JOURNAL

NO. 9



SIEBERT & LILLEY,

MANUFACTURERS,

Opera House Building,

COLUMBUS, O.

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Pltff

Adams vs Richwood 8.9.318
Andrews vs Miller 65.177
Adams vs McClear Dft. 90.112
Argo vs Helm Insurance Co. 92.99.122
Adams vs Gray 111.123
Adams vs Rogers 119
Andrews Hannah vs Richter 133.151
Andrews Randall vs Richter 133.135;136.151
Alexander vs Hornbeck 138
Adams vs Pltff's Dft. Richwood 143.188.213;315;327.425.403.431
Adams vs Adams 143.188.194
Allison Dualt. vs Gribble Dualt. 175.176
Adams Dualt. vs Gray 215
Adams vs Richwood 227.244.308.315.327.403
Akey Admr. vs Turner Dft. Gr. 221.245
Adams vs Carpenter Dualt. 276.315.330
Aytens Admr. vs Daniels Dualt. 345;352.362.364
Adams vs Hildreth 359.406.412
Adams vs Adams 404.440
Arnold vs Gates 405.411

assee of W. M. Lessett Pltff's Dft.

Auctioneers assee of 116.139.143.228.232.254.278.357.473

Dfts

35-7,473

Anderson vs. Smith 14
Alexander Ex'r. Finley 15
American Ex. Co vs Parltemore 18, 71
Alden vs State 31
Anedas vs Mattox 33
Alexander Randy Mary vs Robinson 266, 252, 323, 403, 421 44, 78, 81, 118, 148, 226
Adams vs Lingrel Ex'r. 49, 71, 116, 121
Andrews Ex'r. Vs Brown 60
Aetna Insurance Co. advs Argo 92, 99
Asher advs Pierson & Shearer 119
Amrine Ex'r. vs Rickley 125
Amrine Ex'r. advs Corner Ex'r. 125
Adams advs Adams 143, 188, 194
Amrine advs Brown 146, 156
Alden advs Solen 197
Asher Ex'r. advs Bank of N. Lewisburg 206
Asher Ex'r. advs Bank of N. Lewisburg 207
Adams Ex'r. advs Farmers Bk Union Co 258
Anthony Ex'r. advs Stuart 276
Andrews Ex'r. advs Wilkins Admr. 292
Amrine advs Knotts 306, 337
Alexander advs Armbeck 341
Adams Ex'r. advs Gray 359, 405
Adams Ex'r. advs Sterling 387
Adams advs Adams 404, 440
Alexander Ex'r. advs Fields 405, 440
Alexander advs Robinson Admr. 429
Armstrong Ex'r. advs Newlove 445
Armstrong Ex'r. advs Shipley 467

A B C D E F G H I K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Diffs

Bosh vs Bosh 3
Bland vs Bland 12, 47, 82
Bellus vs Bailey D. ad. 13
Barlow vs Wheeler 18
Bailey vs Bailey D. ad. 22, 34, 59
Broom vs Long D. ad. 28, 65, 81
Broom vs Hobbs. D. ad. 29
Bolenbaugh vs Bolenbaugh 42
Buchanan vs Cameron D. ad. 42, 81
Blue vs Crahord D. ad. 58, 74, 164
Broom vs Edwards 60
Broom vs Andrews D. ad. 60
Broom vs Toby D. ad. 61
~~Broom~~ Eberly Jr. vs Morhn 61
Broom vs Miller Hoffe 64
Blake Jr. vs Bird D. ad. 67
Bland vs Wells D. ad. 76, 92, 98, 116
Bowen vs Miller D. ad. 96, 425, 478 98, 143, 170, 219, 266, 308, 333
Bowen vs Hardy 99
Burkam vs Pooler 109, 124, 155, 212, 234
Burkam vs Case 109, 124, 155, 212, 234
Bethard vs Pitcher 117
Belleville D. ad. vs Draper D. ad. 122, 189, 195
Burnham vs Burnham 134, 162
Bank Richwood vs Hildreth D. ad. 136
Butler D. ad. vs Dunfee D. ad. 140
Brown vs Amrine 146, 156
Baker vs Village Marysville 154
Brown vs Ford D. ad. 165
Bank Richwood vs Baldwin 168, 313, 357, 436
Ball & Co vs Norval D. ad. 169
Broderick vs Baker & Wells 190
Blake & Co vs Browning D. ad. 201, 256
Blake & Co vs Finley D. ad. 205
Beecher vs Conklin D. ad. 206, 223
Blaylock vs Lingrel 206
Bank of N. Lewisburg vs Asher D. ad. 206
Bank of N. Lewisburg vs Asher D. ad. 207
Banister vs Moore D. ad. 215
Broom vs Edwards D. ad. 219
Broom vs Burris 223, 224
Bank of Richwood vs Evans D. ad. 235
Bartram vs Finley D. ad. 243
Beecher vs Conklin D. ad. 265
Bell vs Drury 267
Bellefontaine Nat. BK vs Miller D. ad. 276
Bunch vs Bunch 276, 316, 358, 404, 439, 472
Bosart vs Bosart 285, 317, 339
Bellefontaine Nat. BK vs Miller D. ad. 294
Brown vs Brown D. ad. 310

Brooks Houston vs Smith 336
Braud vs Price 351, 401, 444, 466
Bank Richwood vs Beem D. ad. 363
Bernstein, Moses & Boyer vs Smith 367
Bank Richwood vs Parsons D. ad. 372
Bank Richwood vs Grant D. ad. 373
Bank Richwood vs Stiles D. ad. 374
Bank Richwood vs Welshimer 374
Bank Richwood vs Welshimer D. ad. 374
Bernstein, Moses & Boyer vs Davis D. ad. 381
Bank Richwood vs Robertson D. ad. 405
Bank of N. Lewisburg vs Wells D. ad. 410
Bank of N. Lewisburg vs Drake D. ad. 410
Bailey vs Lenox 421
Bank Richwood vs Lister D. ad. 423
Bliss vs Ins. Co 440, 465
Blair vs Little 447
Burnham vs Fry D. ad. 448, 467
Barlow admr. vs Stout 459
Bliss vs Doekum D. ad. 459
Bank Richwood vs Hanby D. ad. 469
Bazzel vs Shaffer D. d. 472

Diffs

Bosh
Bonnet
Bailey
Bird
Belt
Bland
Bailey
Bailey
Bolen
Benn
Brown
Bent
Bird
Butler
Butler
Beam
Burn
Black Ha
Barnet
Blue Ha
Barth &
Beck &
Brow
Brown
Burnha
Bliss &
Bailey
Bell
Blue a
Baldwi
Bliss
Baker &
Brown
Blayloc
Baldwi
Blayloc
Bird
Blue
Brown
Bliss
Bird
Bree
Bees D.
Blue H
Bunc
Bosar
Bland
Bank
Brown
Brick

Dfts

Bosh vs Bosh 3
Bonnett vs Leghorn 5
Bailey vs Leghorn 6
Bird vs Snider 9
Belt vs Miller 11
Bland vs Bland 12, 47, 82
Bailey Dec'd vs Bellyy 13
Bailey Dec'd vs Bailey 22, 34, 59
Bolenbaugh vs Bolenbaugh 42
Bennett Dec'd vs Marsh 43
Brown Dec'd vs Millers, Grant & Joyce 43, 74, 95
Benton vs Winters 52, 78, 87
Bird Dec'd vs Blake Pet'n 67
Bartlett Dec'd vs Wood 72, 107, 137, 185, 216, 228
Butler vs Penn 74, 91, 138, 149, 194, 234, 267, 278
Beam vs Chance 74, 107, 134, 170, 193
Burnham Dec'd vs Kennedy 75, 93, 95, 129, 148
Black Dec'd vs Mansfield Machine Works 77
Barnette Dec'd vs Hamilton & Co 81, 100
Blue Dec'd vs Rinehart, Ballard & Co 94
Barth Adm'r ads Hames Dec'd 111, 129, 189, 214, 266, 273, 308
Beck Dec'd vs Sherwood 111, 124, 154, 183, 224, 232
Brown Dec'd ads Roney 112, 126
Brown vs Poppleton & McElroy 116
Burnham ads Burnham 134
Bliss ads Emrich Exr ad 140
Bailey Dec'd ads Hullington Dec'd 140
Bell ads Taylor 141
Blue ads Taylor Exr & Co 154, 226, 275, 308, 334, 403, 431
Baldwin ads Bank Richwood 168, 313, 357, 436
Bliss ads Gill 182
Baker & Wells ads Broderick 190
Bowring Exr ads Blake & Co 201, 256
Blaylock ads Lingel 201
Baldwin Dec'd ads Lavender 201, 248
Blaylock ads Lingel 206
Bird Exr ads Spring 209
Blue ads Rhodes 210
Bowson ads Culbertson 213
Bliss Adm'r ads McCarty & Co 218
Bobbitt ads Brown 223, 224
Brees ads Greenleaf & Co 237
Brees Adm'r ads Greenleaf & Co 257
Blue Adm'r ads Leib 262, 287
Bunch ads Bunch 276, 316, 354, 404, 439, 472
Bozard ads Bozard 285, 317, 339
Bland Adm'r ads McEune, Mithoff & Co 287
Bambrick Adm'r ads Gregg Adm'r 296
Brown Adm'r ads Brown 310
Bricker ads Dolbear Wood 313

Ballinger Adm'r ads Thomas 317, 318
Butts ads Felkner 334, 341, 342, 391
Baker ads Beatty 344
Bonham Adm'r ads Wood Adm'r 347, 348, 367
Barlow ads Wells 374, 380, 402
Bailey ads Dunfee 375, 382, 418
Blair ads Little 379, 404, 440
Bell & Ads Reneé 379, 392
Bartram ads Finley 360, 373
Beem Adm'r ads Bank Richwood 363
Baldwin Adm'r ads Savage & Seigler 370
Buck Adm'r ads Johnson Adm'r 400, 440
Blake ads Caldwell 404, 418
Baker Adm'r ads Goodman Thornhill Vest 406
Drake Adm'r ads Bank of N. Lewisburg 410
Beecher ads Hutchinson 422
Barnett ads Smith 426, 445
Blue ads Erb 433
Bonham Adm'r ads Cowgill 433, 451
Bank North Lewisburg ads Wells 436
Barnett ads Smith 445
Blue Adm'r ads Harriman 451
Breward Adm'r ads Zerrdorf 454
Bowen Adm'r ads Taylor Adm'r 470

Courts Times of holding 5 16. 144, 229, 408
Coles commission 5 3

Plffs

Culbertson vs Sharp 4
Cook vs Mast 12. 28. 60
Cushman Dec'd vs Welsh 14
Converse vs Rees Dec'd 41. 71
Cole assignee &c vs Raymond Dec'd 5 2. 81. 90. 137. 181, 216, 236 30 8, 334, 403, 427
Covant & Moore vs Morey & Huff. 5 4
Cole assigned vs Kimball 5 4. 82. 93. 137. 181
Chance vs Beam 74, 107, 134, 170, 193
Cartmell vs Pullington Dec'd 89. 146, 181, 228
Converse vs Rees Dec'd 97. 146
Clark Dec'd vs Foster Dec'd 104, 141
Comer Dec'd vs Amrine Dec'd 125
Cole vs McCampbell 135, 184, 217
Culbertson vs Sinclair 156, 187, 228, 275
Culbertson vs Sinclair 187. 156
Coolidge vs Coolidge Dec'd 190, 204, 247
Clark vs Dennis 210, 275, 315
Culbertson vs Prouson 213
Carpenter vs Hubbard Dec'd 219, 252
Clark vs Clark 227, 241
Commissioners Union Co vs Avery 227, 241, 315, 354, 390, 395
Commissioners Union Co vs Gabriel 244, 316, 354, 404, 439, 468
Chapman & Co vs Wells Dec'd 247
Chapman vs Terrell 266, 344
Carpenter Dec'd vs Page 276, 313, 354, 403, 436
Converse vs Pedrazzoli Dec'd 276, 316, 340, 404, 439, 450
Case vs Gardner 276
Culbertson vs Culbertson 306, 359
Cody vs Thompson 316, 359, 380
Conklight Admr. vs Haynes 319
Collier vs Pickett 326, 401, 412
Cratty vs Baker 344
Clark vs Dennis Dec'd 354
Collier, Smith & Co vs Fisher Dec'd 359, 405
Custer vs Little 359, 404, 440
Collier vs Wood Dec'd 360, 406, 442, 470
Carter & Co vs Swartz 361
Clapp & Co vs Fetter 371
Carpenter vs Curl Dec'd 377
Carter & Co vs Patrick 379, 436
Clark Dec'd vs Dow 385, 440
Chapman & Co vs McAllister 390
Caldwell vs Blake 404, 418
Carter & Co vs Swartz 406
Carter & Co vs Livingston Dec'd 406
Carter & Co vs Dixon Dec'd 406
Clark & Farmer vs Haines Dec'd 415
Carpenter vs Hubbard 426, 466
Chapman & Co vs Payers Dec'd 432
Conwill vs Bonham Dec'd 433, 451

Collier Smith & Co vs Fletcher 433, 446
Cheney Dec'd vs Ward 441, 447
Culbertson & Barr vs Vansant Dec'd 445
Connell vs Collier Dec'd 454
Converse vs Spain Dec'd 454
Cooper & Co vs Myers Dec'd 463, 470, 473
Culbertson & Barr vs McElroy Dec'd 464
Chapman vs Carter Dec'd 471

Dffts

Clark Dec'd
Coder vs
Clark vs
Coleman
Case vs
Culbertson
Cameron
Curren Dec'd
Compton Dec'd
Culbertson
Caldwell
Converse
Case vs
Cockrell Dec'd
Culbertson
Culbertson
Converse Dec'd
Cartmell Dec'd
Comp. Union Co
Carey Dec'd
Converse Dec'd
Coolidge Dec'd
Collum Dec'd
Cook Dec'd
Conklin
Branson
Cook Dec'd
Culbertson
Culbertson
Culbertson
Clark Adm
Carter Dec'd
Caldwell
Conklin
Clark Adm
Cameron
Carpenter
Curl Dec'd
Childs Dec'd
Cook Dec'd
Culbertson
Cameron
Crambler
Curry Dec'd
Cummings
Curl Dec'd
Cherry
Cox
Curl
Cook
Cheney

Dfts

Clark Exr. vs Phelps 2, 3
Cocker vs Robinson 8
Clark vs Rosette Exr. 30
Coleman vs State 33, 37
Case vs Martin 35, 71, 116
Culbertson vs Walden 39
Cameron Exr. vs Buchanan 42, 81
Curren Exr. vs Richwood Bank 66
Compton Exr. vs Shire & Co. 94
Culbertson Exr. vs Fullington Exr. 106, 134
Caldwell vs Ferguson 107, 143
Converse Exr. vs Fullington Exr. 109, 146
Case vs Burkam 109, 124, 155, 212, 234
Crockett Exr. adv Robinson 111, 124, 170, 219, 233, 308, 333 403, 431, 472
Culbertson adv Sinclair 146, 185
Culbertson Exr. adv Howard 148
Converse Exrx. adv Toby 154
Cartmell Exr. adv Goodwin Exr. 175
Comp. Union Co Exr. adv McAllister 186
Carey Exr. adv Scott 187, 214
Converse Exrx. adv Harlan 188
Coolidge Exr. adv Coolidge 190, 204, 247
Collum Exr. adv Miles 192
Cook Exr. adv Mast 201
Carlin Exr. adv Beecher 206, 223
Cranston admr. adv Hopkins 211, 240
Cook Exr. adv Mast 211, 201, 275, 315
Culberton Exr. adv Walden Exr. 222, 275
Culberton adv Walden Exr. 222, 275
Clark adv Clark 227, 241
Carter Exr. adv Myers 240, 302, 322, 325, 363
Caldwell Exr. adv Savage 250
Conklin Exr. adv Becker 265
Clark adv Douglas 272, 300
Cameron Kennedy adv Reid 272, 302
Carpenter Exr. adv Adams 276, 315, 330
Curl Exr. adv Wilkins 289
Childs Exr. adv Wilkins admr. 294
Cook Exr. adv Karns 299, 343, 404, 442
Culberton adv Culberton 306, 359
Cameron Exr. adv Wilkins admr. 317
Cramblet Exr. adv Farmers & Merchants Bk 337
Cherry Exr. adv Wilkins Admr. 345
Cunningham adv Hamilton 348, 369
Curl Exr. adv Union Co. Bank 349
Cherry Exr. adv Fullington Exr. 362
Cox Exr. adv Green 376
Curl Exr. adv Carpenter 377
Cook Exr. adv Robinson 394
Cheney Exr. adv Ward 395, 405, 440, 441, 447

Curle adv Graham 403
Comm'l Union Co. Ct. adv Kent 407, 443
Carter Exr. adv Rea 446
Collier Exr. adv Connell 451
Carter adv Lewis & Son 463
Carter Exr. adv Chapman 471

C D E F G H I K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Plffs

Dumick vs Patterson 7, 21
Delaware F. N. Bank vs Mulvain 79
Doekum vs Doekum 31
Dillon vs Sterling Dad. 36. 73, 93, 134, 181, 216
Dawson vs Rail Road Comp. 92, 99, 122, 123, 138, 160
Dynes vs Dynes Dad. 142, 171
Dolbear Woods vs Mavin Dadv. 153
Dysert vs Dysert Dadv. 171, 210, 244
Dynes vs Woods 207
Doyle & McDonald vs Safford Dadv. 279, 286, 360, 406, 439
Doekum vs Stimmel Dadv. 259
Douglas vs Clark 272, 300
Deney vs Goff Dadv. 276, 303, 339
Dolbear Woods vs Bricker 313
Dunfee vs Bailey 359, 382, 418
Davis vs Davis Dadv. 384
Dum & Shipley vs Rief 422, 478
Dills Dad. vs Robinson Dadv. 450

Dfts

292, 323, 403, 421, 449
Davis vs Sullington Dad. 18, 63, 87, 118, 148, 212, 233
Doekum vs Doekum 31
Dumick vs Hamilton 45, 78, 88
Davis vs Sinclair 94
Davis vs Fitzpatrick 107, 113
Draper Dad. adv Belleville Dad. 122, 189, 195
Dollison adv Rockhold 139, 158
Dunfee Dad. adv Butler Dad. 140
Dynes Dad. adv Dynes 142, 171
Dollison adv Rockhold 158, 137
Dysert Dadv. adv Dysert 171, 210, 244
Dennis adv Clark 210, 273, 315
Dillon Dadv. adv Lawrence Admr. 217
Dowell Dadv. adv Myers Dadv. 221, 234, 256, 268
Drury adv Bell 267
Donelly adv Guthrie 343
Daniels Dadv. adv Dykens admr. 345, 352, 362, 364
Dennis Dadv. adv Clark 354
Davis Dadv. adv Hullington Dadv. 355
Davis adv Steinbarger, Aldrich & Co 377
Davis Dadv. adv Bunstine, Moses & Boyer 381
Davis Dadv. adv Davis 384
Davis adv Steinbarger, Aldrich & Co 389
Durborow Dadv. adv Liggett 405, 440, 478
Dixon Dadv. adv Carter & Co 406
Denison adv Sterling 407
Davis Dadv. adv Gabriel 421
Davis Dadv. adv Woods 430, 448
Davis Dadv. adv Lytle & Co 456
Doekum Dadv. adv Rief 459

49
8.212,233

8

2,364

Plffs

Edgar vs Richter 102.147
Emrich Exr. vs Bliss 140
Elliott vs Jewell 184
English, Miller & Co. vs Shaffer Exr. 190
Ell vs Ell Exr. 198.213.254
Evans vs Vany Exr. 228
Epps vs R.R. Co 247.304.316.336.397.431
English, Miller & Co vs Howell & Son 276
English, Miller & Co vs Storms 287
Eberly & Co vs Smith 383
Eberly & Co vs Storms 383
Erb vs Blue 433

Dfts

Edwards vs Broom 60
Evans vs Farmers Bank Union Co. 77.76.125.142.219.235.308 332403.477
Evans vs Meredith 88
Erb Exr. vs Watson 101.472
Enoch Exr. ads Farmers Bk Union Co 173
Ell Exr. ads Ell 198.213.254
Edwards Exr. ads Broom 219
Evans Exr. ads Bank Richwood 235
Evans ads Vansant 317.340.404.431.478
Evans Exr. ads Weiss 387.439
Edwards Exr. ads Lynn & Baker L. Co. 442
Ellis Exr. ads Wagner 444.453

E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Plffs.

Fleck vs Melching D.d. 2, 26
Finley vs Alexander D.d. 15
Fullington, Garrard & Co. vs Davis 18, 63, 87, 118, 148, 212, 233, 252, 323, 403, 422, 448
Farmers Bank & Union Co. vs Watson D.d. 27
Fullington D.d. vs Titus D.d. 29
Foos vs Hall D.d. 32, 78, 109, 124
Fullington D.d. vs Rudolph D.d. 36
Farmers Bank Union Co. vs Robinson D.d. 45
Fullington D.d. vs Lapham 46
Fullington D.d. vs Mulvain D.d. 46
First N. Bank of Delaware Co. vs Mulvain D.d. 50, 78, 106
Farmers Bank Union Co. vs Knox D.d. 62
Fullington D.d. vs Watson D.d. 67
Felton vs Staley D.d. 74, 171
Farmers Bank of Union Co. vs Evans 71, 96, 125, 149, 219, 235, 308, 332, 403, 422
Fullington D.d. vs Culbertson D.d. 106, 134
Fullington D.d. vs Myers D.d. 107
Fitzpatrick vs Davis 107, 113
Ferguson vs Caldwell 107, 143
Fullington D.d. vs Converse D.d. 109, 146
Ford vs Ford 109
Fitzpatrick vs Haines D.d. 125, 154, 187, 219, 266, 308, 333, 346, 431, 468
Fullington D.d. vs Mapes D.d. 130, 170
Franklin vs Franklin 136
Fullington D.d. vs Bailey D.d. 140
Fullington D.d. vs McAdams D.d. 141
Fullington D.d. vs Larisher D.d. 142
Farmers & Merchants Bank vs McElroy D.d. 127
Farmers & Merchants Bank vs Hefs D.d. 127
Farmers Bk Union Co vs Moore D.d. 150, 216
Fullington D.d. vs Merwell 156, 213
Farmers Bk Union Co vs John Larisher D.d. 159
Farmers Bk Union Co. vs Hubbard D.d. 159
Finley vs Railway Co 167, 213, 276
Fullington D.d. vs Ward D.d. 167
Farmers Bk Union Co vs Enoch D.d. 173
Freeman vs Freeman D.d. 180, 225
Fullington, Phillis Woods vs Hildreth D.d. 213
Figley vs Figley 213
Fullington vs Kent 224
Farnum vs Snodgrass D.d. 257
Farmers Bk Union Co vs Adams D.d. 258
Ford vs Gray D.d. 258, 270
Ford vs Gray 259
Fullington D.d. vs Richter 279
Farmers & Merchants Bk vs Helwig D.d. 285
Farmers & Merchants Bk vs Helwig D.d. 285
Foxtee vs Haines 288
Farmers Bk Union Co vs Mather 312
Fullington D.d. vs Spain 312

Finley vs Wells 326
Felkner vs Butts 334, 341, 342, 391
Farmers & Merchants Bk vs McCullough 337
Farmers & Merchants Bank vs Gramble D.d. 337
Farmers & Merchants Bank vs Slade D.d. 342
Fullington D.d. vs Fidler D.d. 343
Fullington D.d. vs Spain D.d. 354
Fullington D.d. vs Davis D.d. 355
Finley vs Bartram 360, 373
Fullington D.d. vs Kunze 362
Fullington D.d. vs Cherry D.d. 362
Fullington D.d. vs McKitrick D.d. 378
Ford vs R.R. Co. Att'y 378, 439, 450
Fullington D.d. vs Mepongo D.d. 396, 397
Flanders & Son vs Fleck 401
Fullington D.d. vs Fidler D.d. 405, 415, 446
Fields vs Alexander D.d. 406, 440
Ferguson vs Hanby D.d. 417
Fleck vs Fleck D.d. 428, 434, 448
Flood vs Flood 452
Fullington, Phillis Woods vs Shearer D.d. 461
Fullington, Phillis Woods vs Pierson D.d. 462
Farmers Bk Union Co vs McRary D.d. 465
Fisher vs Thompson 478

Aff.

Finley vs
Figley vs
Farnum
Fehling
Fullington
Fullington
Foster D.d.
Ford vs
Franklin
Freeman D.d. ad
Ford D.d. ad
Freeman D.d.
Figley adv
Finley D.d.
Fleck D.d.
Fox adv
Franklin
Fiddler D.d.
Ferguson D.d.
Fisher D.d.
Fisher D.d.
Fetter ad
Fleck ad
Fidler D.d.
Fleck D.d.
Ferguson D.d.
Fry D.d. ad
Flood adv

Ff 15/11

Finley vs Leglom 7
Figley vs Grant 19.64
Farnum Exr. vs Hardy 23
Fehlings vs Powell 32
Fullington's Exrs vs Janard 48, 75, 101
Fullington Exr. Cartmell & 9, 146, 181, 228
Foster Exr. vs Clark Exr. 104, 141
Ford vs Ford 109
Franklin adm's Franklin 136
Freeman Exr adm's Welsh adm'r & Exr. 139
Ford Exr. adm's Brown 165
Freeman Exr. adm's Freeman 180, 225
Figley adm's Figley 243.
Finley Exr. adm's Bartram 243
Fleck Exr. adm's Thompson 432, 467 Exr. 280, 291, 336, 373
Fox adm's Richter 304
Franklin adm's Miller Exr. 320
Fiddler Exr. adm's Fullington Exr. 343
Ferguson Exr. adm's adm's Scott & Robinson 344
Fisher Exr. adm's adm's Collier, Smith & Co 359, 405
Fisher Exr. adm's adm's Owen 363, 395, 425
Fetter adm's Clapp & Co 371
Fleck adm's Flanders & Son 401
Filler Exr. adm's adm's Fullington Exr. 405, 415, 446
Fleck Exr. adm's adm's Fleck 428, 434, 448
Ferguson Exr. adm's adm's Arnold Exr. 441
Fry Exr. adm's adm's Burnham 448, 467
Flood adm's Flood 452

F G H I K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Cliffs

Guthrie vs Mapes Ohio 2. 22. 83, 110, 146
Gilbert vs Lapham Ohio 8
Grant vs Fizley 19. 64
Garwood vs Vance 30. 82
Gray vs Stultz 33
Grump vs Hager 45; 59, 112, 113, 122, 158, 186
Gabriel vs Robinson Ohio 57. 69
Gilbert vs Minthorn 95; 125; 150
Gabriel vs Marsh & Co. 111, 137, 180, 193
Golding vs Watson 116, 126
Grow vs Grow 125
Green vs Robinson Ohio 154, 226, 233, 307, 327, 362, 424,
Goodwin Ohio vs Cartmell Ohio 175
Galloway vs Mulford 177, 185; 205
Gill vs Bliss 182
Gandy vs Gandy Ohio 188
Gallagher vs Gallagher 205
Griffis vs Griffis 208
Graham vs Richter Ohio. 223, 267
Griffis vs Kelsey Ohio 228, 269
Gill vs Gill 236, 305; 340
Greenleaf & Co vs Brees 237
Greenleaf & Co vs Brees Ohio 95 7
Gabriel exr vs Piper Ohio 279, 296
Gregg Ohio vs Bambeck Ohio 296
Gabriel ex vs Piper Ohio 296, 279, 330
Gunderman vs Richter 306
Garwood Guard. vs Freeman Guard 316, 340 386 439, 465
Greenleaf & Co vs Smith 336
Guthrie vs Donelly 343
Gump & Co vs Winters Ohio. 350
Gabriel vs Whelpley 352, 407, 439
Gray vs Adams Ohio. 359, 405
Green vs Cox Ohio 376
Greenleaf & Co vs Harris 392
Greenleaf & Co vs Snodgrass 392
Graham vs Curle 403
Godman Thornhill & Co vs Baker Ohio. 406
Gabriel vs Davis Ohio. 421
Grover & Baker S. M. Co. vs Wood Ohio. 436
Grover & Baker S. M. Co. vs Edwards Ohio. 442
Gabriel vs Witter Ohio. 452
Greenleaf & Co vs Haines & Shaffer 461
Godman Thornhill & Co Gandy Ohio. 463
Graham vs Stiles 464
Goodrich vs Goodrich 471

Iffs.

Gamble vs
Griffis Ohio
Gorden
Gray
Green vs
Green vs
Gabriel vs
Gabriel
Gabriel
Gabriel
Gray ad
Grow ad
Gabriel
Guthrie &
Gray adv
Gribble Ohio
Gandy Ohio
Gallagher
Griffis ad
Gray advs
Gates Ohio
Galloway a
Gibson Ohio
Gill advs
Gabriel advs
Goff advs
Gray Ohio
Gray ados
Gardner ad
Goff advs
Grandstaff
Gill Ohio
Geiser Ohio
Gates ad
Grant Ohio
Gates ad
Gandy Ohio
Gandy Ohio
Goodrich

Affts.

Gamble vs Leghorn 6
Griffis Jas. vs Leeper Jas. 10. 20
Gordon Jas. vs Erlcox 24. 62
Gray vs Sabine 40. 47. 75. 117
Green vs Kuhn. Stern & Co 41
Green vs Hill 48. 63. 90
Gabriel vs Mann 95
Gabriel vs Likely 97
Gabriel vs Kennedy 110. 137. 176
Gray advs Adams 111. 123
Grow advs Grow 124
Gabriel Jas. advs Piper Jas. 125, 181, 192, 226, 308, 333, 403
Guthrie & Moore advs Hills 143
Gray advs Miltenberger 143, 155
Gribble Jas. advs Allison Jas. 175, 176
Gandy Jas. advs Gandy 188
Gallagher advs Gallagher 205
Griffis advs Griffis 208
Gray advs Adams Jas. 215
Gates Jas. advs Simpkinson & Co 218
Galloway advs Mulford 223, 273, 291, 354, 402, 436, 464
Gibson Jas. advs Wood 227, 242
Gill advs Gill 236, 305, 340
Gabriel advs Commissioners Union Co 244, 316, 354, 404, 439, 468
Goff advs Tevay 253, 279
Gray Jas. advs Ford 258, 270
Gray advs Ford 259
Gardner advs Case 276
Goff advs Dewey 276. 303. 339
Grandstaff Jas. advs Healy admrs. 298
Gill Jas. advs Haynes 317, 357. 369
Geiler Jas. advs Wilkins admrs. 345
Gates advs Root & Co 362
Grant Jas. advs Bank Richwood 373
Gates advs Arnold 405, 411
Gandy Jas. advs Goldman, Thornhill & Co 463
Gandy Jas. advs Montgomery 464
Goodrich advs Goodrich 471

G H I K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Plffs

Hinkson Ind. vs Watson Ind. 1
Hall vs Woods Ind. 11
Herriott vs Herriott 15
Hanover Ind. vs Wharry Ind. 1, 8, 63, 87, 118, 149, 212, 233, 292, 325, 403, 424, 454
Harbert vs Neal Ind. 19, 66, 87, 125, 149, 216, 233, 307, 320, 364, 425
Hatcher vs Miller 20, 71
Hill vs Snodgrass 21
Hardy vs Harmon Ind. 23
Hefs. Blum & Co vs Snider & Co Ind. 24, 69, 115, 120
Hopkins vs Robinson Ind. 24, 86
Henderson vs McCreight 28, 60, 137
Hamilton vs Dunnick 45, 78, 88
Hill vs Green 48, 63, 90
Hopkins vs Williams Ind. 51, 73, 109, 137, 188, 216, 241, 308, 332, 364, 429
Hamilton Ind. vs Sabine 52, 78, 116
Henderson vs McCreight 54, 60, 68, 93, 137, 168, 219, 266, 308, 28, 332, 350
Healey vs Wilson Ind. 56, 78, 90, 124, 181, 216, 236, 307, 328, 340, 425
Herd vs Simmons Ind. 62, 101
Hopkins vs Hathaway Ind. 69, 81, 113
Harriman Ind. vs Moffitt 73
Hill vs Price 73
Hamilton Jr. vs Barnett Ind. 81, 100
Hall vs Miller Ind. 109
Haines Ind. vs Barth Ind. 111, 129, 189, 214, 266, 273, 308
Humphries Ind. vs Hoffman Ind. 126, 151, 193, 238, 246, 308, 325, 353
Hofferline Ind. vs Powers Ind. 126, 151, 193, 238, 308, 328, 398
Hills vs Guthrie & Moore 143
Howard vs Culbertson Indpls. 148
Howard vs Trickey Indpls. 180, 188, 194, 228, 274, 288, 338, 365
Hartman vs Converse Indpls. 188
Hoge vs Hoge 192
Hosack vs Hosack 208
Hopkins vs Branston Admr. 211, 240
Haines Indpls. vs McClain Indpls. 220
Higgins vs Hopf 232, 399
Harrington vs Moore 255, 276, 286
Hammond vs ~~Williams~~ Miller Indpls. 276, 281
Healey admr. vs Grandstaff Indpls. 299
Hemmway vs Johnson Indpls. 317
Haynes vs Gill Indpls. 319, 357, 369
Hodge Indpls. vs Jones Indpls. 332, 342, 350
Hill vs Pooler 335
Hornbeck vs Alexander 341
Hamilton vs Cunningham 348, 369
Huffman vs Merriott 358
Hamilton vs Stubert Indpls. 358, 406, 412
Huffman vs Painter 359, 400
Hill vs Hill Indpls. 365, 397
Hunt vs Reed 398
Huber vs Nicol 407

Huffines vs Huffines 410, 443, 472
Hutchinson vs Beecher 422
Hunt vs Lightlieger 430
Hubbard vs Hubbard 442
Harriman vs Blue Indpls. 451
Hills vs Haines & Shaffer 461

Dfts

Hayden & Hitchc
Harriott vs
Hodge Ind.
Haines vs
Hobbs Ind.
Hall Ind.
Hatcher
Hager vs
Hathaway
Hammond
Haines Ind.
Hiestand
Hardy
Haines Ind.
Haines Ind.
Hoffman Ind.
Hildreth Ind.
Hornbeck
Hefs Ind. adv
Hubbard Ind. adv
Hildreth advs
Hardy Indpls. adv
Hoge advs
Hosack adv
Hildreth Indpls.
Hildreth
Hubbard Ind.
Hutchinson
Harper Indpls.
Howard Indpls.
Henry advs Co
Hopf advs
Hutchinson
Harris advs
Hamilton
Hill Indpls.
Helvig Indpls.
Helvig Indpls.
Haines adv
Haynes advs
Hildreth Ind.
Hodges ad
Hildreth adv
Hill Ind.
Hildreth Ind.
Huffman
Harris ad
Huffines ad
Haines Indpls.
Hanby Indpls.

Hayden Hutchinson & al vs Latham 2, 45, 72, 93, 124, 154, 215, 236, 307, 328, 365
Haviit vs Herriott 15
Hodge Ex. al. vs Jones Ex. al. 19, 70, 116, 142, 188, 221, 236, 305
Haines vs McLarn 19, 71, 88
Hobbs Ex. al. vs Broom 29
Hall Ex. al. vs Foos 32, 78, 109, 124
Hatcher vs Martin 35, 71, 90, 124, 154
Hager vs Gump 45, 59, 112, 113, 122, 148, 186
Hathaway Ex. al. Vs Hopkins 69, 81, 113
Hammond vs Kelley Banerof Jr. 2, 10, 11, 34, 124, 216, 232, 345, 311, 332, 364, 429, 469
Haines Ex. al. vs Timmons 6, 76, 134, 175, 216, 233, 308, 354, 364, 429, 468
Hiestand vs Patch 95
Hardy vs Bowen 99
Haines Ex. al. adv Fitzpatrick 125, 154, 187, 219, 266, 308, 366, 431, 468
Hoffman Ex. al. adv Humphries Ex. al. 126, 154, 193, 238, 246, 308, 325, 353
Hildreth Ex. al. adv Bank Richwood 136
Hombeck adv Alexander 138
Hefs Ex. al. adv Farmers & Merchants Bank 127
Hubbard Ex. al. adv Farmers RR Union Co 159
Hildreth adv Robinson 167
Hardy Ex. al. adv Singrel Ex. al. 188, 215
Hoge adv Hoge 192
Hosack adv Hosack 208
Hildreth Ex. al. adv Pullington, Phillis & Woods 213
Harris adv Price 216
Hildreth Ex. al. adv Scott 217
Hubbard Ex. al. adv Carpenter 219, 252
Hutchinson Ex. al. adv Jones 220, 231
Harper Ex. al. adv Myers Ex. al. 227, 237, 243, 271
Howard Ex. al. adv Trickey admrl 227, 276, 289
Henry adv Commissioners Union Co 227, 241, 315, 354, 390, 395
Hoyd adv Higgins 232, 399
Hutchinson Ex. al. adv Jane 247, 313, 388, 430
Harris adv Woodruff 267, 279, 324, 364, 424
Hamilton adv Wood 276, 282
Hill Ex. al. adv Wilkins admrs 284
Helvig Ex. al. adv Farmers & Merchants BK 285
Helvig Ex. al. adv Farmers & Merchants BK 285
Haines adv Fox & Co 288
Haynes adv Conkright admrs 319
Hildreth Ex. al. adv Scott & Robinson 326
Hedges adv Jennings 357
Hildreth adv Adams 357, 406, 412
Hill Ex. al. adv Hill 365, 397
Hildreth Ex. al. adv Scott & Robinson 371
Huffman Ex. al. adv Mason & Co 387
Harris adv Greenleaf & Co 392
Huffines adv Huffines 407, 443, 472
Haines Ex. al. adv Clark & Farmer 415
Hanby Ex. al. adv Ferguson 417

Herd adv Stickney 426, 445
Hubbard adv Carpenter 426, 466
Hatcher adv Collier & Smith & Co 433, 446
Horn Ex. al. adv Webster 438
Haines Ex. al. adv Miller & Huston 438
Hubbard adv Hubbard 442
Hoover adv McMurry Fisher & Co 451
Haines & Shaffer adv Greenleaf 461
Haines & Shaffer adv Hills 461
Haines adv McKee Weakley & Co 468
Hanby Ex. al. adv Bank Richwood 469
Haines & Shaffer adv McKee Weakley & Co 473

H I K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Cliffs Jail report 91

Johnson vs Johnson 7
Jones Ind vs Hoelze Ind 19, ~~52~~ 70, 116, 142, 188, 221, 236, 304, 305
Jolliff vs Parsons Ind 47, 71, 73, 125, 154, 174, 216, 235, 302
Jones Ind vs Watson 52
Jenkins vs Lake 73, 107, 122
Jones vs Hutchinson Ind 220, 231
Johnson vs Johnson 227, 244
Jenkins vs Jenkins 268
Jennings vs Hedges 357
Johnston vs Riley Ind 371
Johnson Ind vs Buck Ind 407, 440
Johnston vs Shaffer Ind 457
Jones vs Vangordon 461

Cliffs

Jones vs Moore 35
Insp't v. Richmond adm Adams 143, 188, 213

Cliffs

Johnson vs J
Judy vs
Judy vs
Johnson Ind ad
Jewell ad
Johnson a
Jenkins a
Jenkins a
Johnson Ind a
Johnson
Jones Ind
Judy ad
Jones Ind
Jenkins
Jewell Ind

Iff 15

Johnson vs Johnson 7
Judy vs Smith 18
Judy vs Smith 20
Johnson Ex. adv McMurray, Fisher & Co 120
Jewell adv Elliott 184
Johnson adv Johnson 227, 244
Jenkins adv Weidman 227, 274
Jenkins adv Jenkins 268
Johnson Ad'r, adv Hemingway 317
Johnson Ad'x adv Kerr 324
Jones Ad'x adv Hodge Ad'x 332, 342, 350
Judy adv Phelps 359, 404, 440
Jones Ad'r, adv Lacrage & Leyler 364
Jenkins Ad'r, adv O'Donnell 381, 438
Jewell Ad'r adv Laurence Ad'r 442

Iff 16

Etna Ins. Co. adv Argo 92, 99, 122
Ingov. adv Clark Ad'r, 385, 440
Ins. co adv Phelps 440, 465
Ins. co adv Wells 441, 468

I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Pffs

Knight vs Wall 23.39
Kuhn. Stern & Co. vs Green 41
Keyes vs McCampbell D.a. 44.73.102
Kellon. Bancroft & Co. vs Hammond 72.101.134.174.216.252 345 311 332 364 429 449
Kennedy vs Burnham D.a. 75.93.95.129.148
Kimball vs Williams D.a. 79.93
Kennedy vs Gabriel 110.137.176
Kelley vs Rittenhouse 147
Kilberry vs Rail Road Co 152.167
Keyes vs Wells 236
Kimkade admr vs Williams 274
Keller & Co vs Weisz 276.279.286.305.307.356
King D.ak. vs Randall D.ak. 276.301
Karns vs Cook D.ak. 299.343.404.442
Knotts vs Amrine 306.337
Kerr vs Johnson D.ak. 324
Kerr vs Riley D.ak. 324
Kent vs Comm. Union Co. 407.443
Kratline vs Ridenour 407.440.458
King vs Sager 412

Jffs

Kezartee vs
Knox D.a.
Kimball v
Knox D.a. vs
Kent adv
Kelsey Dual
Kinney Dual
Kezartee Du
King adv
Kreidler ad
Kunze ad
Keckley a
Kightlinge

Ffts

Kezartee vs Leghorn 6
Knox Pad. vs Yearsley 20, 71, 93, 134, 187
Kimball vs Hole assigned 54, 82, 93, 137, 188
Knox Pad. vs Farmers Bank Union Co 62
Kent advs Fullington 224
Kelsey Pad. advs Griffis 228, 269
Kinney Pad. advs Morey 249, 261, 314
Kezartee Pad. advs Snowden Pad. 276, 315, 354, 399, 437
King advs Randall 306
Kreidler advs Woods Pad. 362
Kunze advs Fullington Pad. 362
Keckley advs Robinson 394
Kightlinger advs Hand 430

K L M N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Pltffs

Lapham vs Hayden, Mitchinson & al. 2. 45. 72. 93. 124. 154. 215. 236. 328. 307. 365.
Lapham vs Woods & al 2. 45. 72. 93. 124. 154. 215. 236. 328. 307. 365.
Leghorn vs Sanders 5
Leghorn vs Bonnett 5
Leghorn vs Bailey 6
Leghorn vs Gamble 6
Leghorn vs Wileox 6
Leghorn vs Kezartee 6
Leghorn vs Finley 7
Leeper & al vs Griffis & al. 10. 20
Leonard vs Williams & al. 20. 71. 93. 137. 172. 392
Law Ward vs Patrick 39. 56. 59. 73. 92
Lingel & al. vs Adams 49. 71. 116. 121
Likely vs Gabriel 97
Lyon vs Lyon 111
Little vs Welsh 166. 166. ~~200~~ 210
Lingel & al vs Hardy & al. 188. 215
Lingel vs Blaylock 201
Lavender vs Baldwin & al. 201. 248
Lingel vs Blaylock 206
Lawry vs Lawry 212
Lawrence Admr. vs Dillon & al. 217
Loop vs Stone 226. 241. 315. 336. 397
Long vs Robinson Admr. 227. 242
Linsley vs Linsley 241
Leib vs Rhodes & al. 260
Leib vs Blue & al. 262. 287
Lawrence King M. F. Co vs Linder & Fisher 282
Liggett vs Liggett & al. 323. 334. 349
Little vs Blair 355. 404. 440
Lenard vs Powers 360
Lary vs R. Br. Co. 361. 406. 411
Liggett & al. vs McBride & al. 369. 370. 427
Lightner vs Patrick & al. 369. 370. 393. 422
Liggett vs Durborow & al. 405. 440. 478
Lytle vs Leyneger 440
Lawrence & al. vs Jewell & al. 442
Langstaff vs Langstaff & al. 450
Lytle Co vs Davis & al. 456
Lewis Hon vs Carter 463

Pltffs

Lapham &
Long &
Lapham
Laker vs
Lawrence
Lynn vs
Lee & al
Liegel adm
Lawry adm
Linsley adm
Lockhart &
Longacre &
Linder & Fisher
Lansdown &
Liggett &
Little adm
Little adm
Livingston
Lenox adm
Listen & al. adm
Little adms
Langstaff &

Offs

Lapham Pat. vs Gilbert 8
Lang Pat. vs Broom 28.65.81
Lapham vs Fullington Pat. 46
Lake vs Jenkins 73.107.122
Lawrence adv Powers 91.143.155: 219.266.308.327
Lyon vs Lyon 111
Lee Pat. adv Reed et al 152.182
Lingel adv Blaylock 206
Lowry adv Lowry 212
Linsley adv Linsley 241
Lockhart Pat. adv Wood Writter 270
Longacre Pat. adv Miller Pat. 276.284
Linder & Fisher adv Lawrence Mfg. Co. 282
Lansdown Pat. adv Wilkins Admr 298
Liggett Pat. adv Liggett 323.334.349
Little adv Custer 359.404.440
Little adv Longnecker 402.423
Livingston Pat. adv Carlet & Co 406
Lenox adv Bailey 421
Lister Pat. adv Bank of Richwood 423
Little adv Blair 447
Langstaff Pat. adv Langstaff 450

L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Cliff

Mulford is Warner 3
Mulford vs Patch D.A. 10
Miller vs Belt 11
McLarn vs Haines 19, 71, 88
McCarty vs McCarty D.A. 20, 47
Mayo vs Mayo D.A. 32, 63, 109-226, 266, 311
Martin vs Hatcher 35, 71, 90, 124, 154
Martin vs Case 35, 71, 116
Mattox vs Audas 35
McCune Mithoff & Co. vs Watson D.A. 35
McCune Mithoff & Co. vs Neal D.A. 36
Marsh vs Bennett D.A. 43
Millers, Green & Joyce vs Brown D.A. 43, 74, 95
Moore vs Parthemore 49, 59, 112, 113
Moses vs Mulford D.A. 51
Mitchell vs Robinson D.A. 52
McCullough vs McCullough 58, 83
Myers D.A. vs Pritchard D.A. 73
Mansfield Machine Works vs Black D.A. 77
McNeal vs McNeal 78
Meredith vs Evans 88
Mann vs Gabriel 95
McCombs vs McCombs 95
Moffitt vs Moffitt 107-121
McMurray, Fisher & Co. vs Johnson D.A. 120
Miller & Armstrong vs Morey 125
Miltenberger vs Gray 143, 154
Meleking vs Sherwood 147, 186
McMillen vs McMillen D. Adm. 149, 153, 172
McDonald & Co. vs Welsheimer 150
Martin vs Hatcher 154
Miltenberger vs Gray 155
Miller & Armstrong vs Morey D. Adm. 157, 206
Moses vs Moses 166
McDonald & Co. vs Martin 175
Minter vs Merriott D. Adm. 176
Muhr & Son vs McFarland 184
McAllister vs Comm. Union Co. D. Adm. 186
Mayfield vs Sadle D. Adm. 186
Miller, Green & Joyce vs Shaffer D. Adm. 190
Miles vs Collum D. Adm. 192
Mast vs Cook D. Adm. 201, 211, 275, 315
McCarty & Co. vs Bliss D. Adm. 218
Myers D. Adm. vs Durall D. Adm. 221, 234, 256, 268
Mulford vs Galloway 223, 275, 291, 354, 402, 436, 464
Merrell & Co. vs Piper 228, 260, 315, 324
Myers vs Carter D. Adm. 240, 302, 322, 325, 363
Morey vs Kinney D. Adm. 249, 261, 314
Martin vs Martin 251
Miller & Bro vs Longacre D. Adm. 276, 284

May vs May 276, 316, 344
McCune, Mithoff & Co vs Bland D. Adm. 287
Martin D. Adm. vs Randall D. Adm. 304
Moore vs R.R. Co. 306, 314, 359, 404, 443
McGinnis vs Webster 318
Miller D. Adm. vs Franklin 320
Millers, Green & Joyce vs Storms 375
McKee, Weakley & Co vs Storms 382
McKee, Weakley & Co vs Smith 383
Mason & Co vs Huffman D. Adm. 387
Miller & Huston vs Haines D. Adm. 438
Murdock vs McColly D. Adm. 441, 456, 468
McDonald & Co vs Walters 445
McMurry, Fisher & Co vs Hoover 451
Montgomery vs Gandy D. Adm. 464
McKee, Weakley & Co vs Haines 468
McKee, Weakley & Co vs Haines & Shaffer 473

Cliff

Melching D
Mayes D.A.
Miller, Timm
Mast vs
Mulvain
Marks
McCarty D
Miller
McCreigh
Mayo D
Moffitt
Moore
McCamp
Magaha
Mulvain
Mulvain D.A.
Mulford D
McCreight
Morey & Hay
McCullo
Merlin
Miller &
Miller
Mahaffy
Moffitt
McNee
McNear
Minthorn
McCombs
Miller &
Moffitt
Miller
Marsh &
Morey
Mayes D.A.
McCamp
McAdams
McEntire
McElroy D.A.
McMillen
Moore D. Adm.
Martin
Morratt
Morey D. Adm.
Moses adm
Martin adm
Merriott D.A.
Mulford adm
McFarland
Minter adm

Mfls

Melching d.a. Fleck 2, 26
Mapes d.a. vs Guthrie 2. 22, 83, 110, 146
Miller, Timmons & Co. d.a. vs Oglevee 11, 45, 78, 100
Mast vs Cook 12. 28, 60
Mulvain vs Delaware R. R. Bank 19
Marks vs Pierce 20
McCarty d.a. vs McCarty 20, 47
Miller vs Hatcher 20, 71
McCreight vs Henderson 28
Mayo d.a. vs Maye 32, 63, 109, 226, 266, 311
Moffitt d.a. vs Teeters 32. 78. 90. 124, 170, 216
Morse vs Dyer 35
McCampbell d.a. vs Keyes 44. 73, 102
Magaha d.a. vs Weisz 302, 328, 403, 425, 478 45, 78, 109, 143, 188, 216, 266
Mulvain d.a. vs Hullington d.a. 46
Mulvain d.a. vs First N. Bank of Delaware C. 50, 78, 106
Mulford d.a. vs Mapes 51
McCreight vs Henderson 28, 266, 308, 332, 351 34, 60, 68, 93, 137, 168, 219
Morey & Hupp vs Conant & Morse 54
McCullough vs McCullough 58, 83
Morbm vs ~~Broom~~ Eberly & Co 61
Miller & Wife vs Broom 64
Miller vs Andrews 65
Mahaffy d.a. vs Taylor d.a. 68, 93, 137, 178
Moffitt vs Harriman d.a. 73
McNeal vs McNeal 78
McNear d.a. vs Adams 90, 112
Minthorn vs Gilbert 95, 125, 150
McCombs vs McCombs 95
Miller d.a. adv Bowen 366, 422, 478 78, 143, 170, 219, 266, 308, 332
Moffitt vs Moffitt 107, 121
Miller d.a. vs Hall 109
Marsh & Co adv Gabriel 111, 137, 180, 193
Morey adv Miller & Armstrong 125
Mapes d.a. adv Hullington d.a. 130, 170
McCampbell adv Cole 135, 184, 217
McAdams d.a. adv Hullington d.a. 141
McClure adv White 143, 188, 219, 241, 308, 333, 366, 431
McElroy d.a. adv Farmers & Merchants Bank 127
McMillen d.a. adv McMillen 149, 153, 172
Moore d.a. adv Farmers BK Union Co 150, 216
Marvin d.a. adv Dolbeer & Woods 153
Morwatt adv Hullington d.a. 156, 213
Morey d.a. adv Miller & Armstrong 157, 206
Moses adv Moses 166
Martin adv McDonald & Co 175
Merriott d.a. adv Minter 176
Mulford adv Galloway 177, 185, 205
McFarland adv Muhr & Son 184
Minter adv Powell & Son 190

McRory d.a. adv Farmers BK Union Co 465
McElroy d.a. adv Culbertson & Barr 464
Manchester & Merritt adv Rea 402
McGonigle d.a. adv Hullington d.a. 396, 397
McAllister adv Chapman & Co 390
McKitrick d.a. adv Hullington d.a. 378
McBride d.a. adv Liggett d.a. 369, 370, 393, 427
Montgomery d.a. adv Riddle 194, 202, 242
Marshall adv Sabine 201, 223, 237
Moore d.a. adv Banister 215
McClain d.a. adv Haines d.a. 226
Marshall adv Sabine, 2d 223, 282
Marks adv Southard 234
Means d.a. adv Wilkins 235
Moore d.a. adv Union Building & Loan Assn. 246.
Martin adv Martin 251
Moore adv Harrington 255, 276, 286
McMonis d.a. adv Stout 276, 283, 331
Miller d.a. adv Hammond 276, 281
Miller d.a. adv Bellefontaine Nat. BK 276
May adv May 276, 316, 344
Miller adv Roberts 282, 339
Miller d.a. adv Bellefontaine Nat. BK 294
Mather adv Farmers BK Union Co 312
Mulvaine adv Sidle 331, 404, 440
Myers d.a. adv Young & K. 335
McCullough d.a. adv Farmers & Merchants BK 337
Moffitt adv Union Co. BK 345
Merriott adv Huffman 358
Minich adv Tanner 35-, 404, 439, 455
McColly d.a. adv Murdock 44, 456, 468
Myers d.a. adv Cooper & Co 463, 470, 473

M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Pfff

Nesbet vs Nesbet 80, 86, 100, 134, 189
Newlove vs Wolford Ad. 445
Newlove vs Armstrong Ad. 445

Sff5

Neal S.A. vs Harbert 19, 66, 81, 125, 149, 216, 233 307, 328, 364, 425
Neal S.A. vs Piper 27
Neal vs Piper 29
Neal S.A. vs McEwen & Co. 36
Nesbet vs Nesbet 80, 86, 100, 134, 189
Neal vs Reed Admr. 100, 131
Neal S.A. adv Truman by &c 143, 153, 168, 361, 375
Norvell adv Rice 157
Norval Exr. adv Ball & Co 169
Norvell & Son adv English, Miller & Co 276
Nicol adv Huber 407

9,216,233

N O P Q R S T U V W Y Z

Aff

Oglevee vs Miller Simmons Ex. Ind. 11, 45; 78, 100
Owen vs Pooler 91, 120
O'Harra vs Price 357
Owen vs Fisher Ind. 363, 395, 425
O'Donnell vs Jenkins Ind. 381, 438
Oerdorf vs Breward Ind. 451

Affs

Oglesby vs State 32
Orahood Ex. Ind. vs Bleed 5, 8, 74, 104

O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Poffs

Phelps vs Clark D.d. 2, 3
Powell vs Stewart D.d. 4
Partheimore vs American Ex. Co. 18, 71
Paulk vs Price D.d. 19, 71, 90, 121, 140
Porter vs Slottry D.d. 20, 76
Pierce vs Marks 20
Piper vs Neal D.d. 27
Piper vs Neal 29
Powell vs Fehlings 32
Phillis vs Watson D.d. 37, 39, 67
Penn vs Butler 74, 91, 138, 149, 194, 234, 267, 278
Powers vs Lawrence 91, 143, 155; 219, 266, 308, 327
Payer vs Rice D.d. 94
Powers admr. vs Wells D.d. 94
Patch vs Heistand 95
Pudney vs Pudney 101, 125, 157
Parthemore vs Parthemore 107, 137, 170, 173
Phillips admr. vs Rice D.d. 115
Popplaton & McElroy vs Brown 116
Pierson & Shearer vs Asher 119
Piper D.d. vs Gabriel D.d. 125, 181, 192, 266, 308, 333, 403, 431
Price vs Waldon D. adm. 130
Payne vs Rosette 163, 196
Payne vs Rosette D.d. 163, 196, 241, 315, 336, 403, 431
Powell & Son vs Minter 190
Price vs Harris 216
Akey admr. vs Turner D.d. 227
Pyers D.d. vs Harper D.d. 227, 237, 243, 271
Phelps vs Judy 35-9, 404, 440
Pence vs Bell D.d. 35-5, 372
Preden & Son vs Wood D.d. 361, 406, 413, 414
Park vs Park D.d. 460, 469

Pfff

Poted D.d. vs
Patterson D.d.
Patch D.d. v
Price D.d.
Patrick vs
Parsons D.d.
Parsons D.d.
Powers D.d.
Parthemore
Parthemore
Pritchard
Perry D.d.
Price
Pooler vs
Pudney v
Pyers D.d.
Parthemore
Pooler vs
Pitcher vs
Powers D.d. a
Piper adv
Pittinger D
Page adv
Pedrazzoli
Piper D.d.
Plotner D.d.
Pettinger D.
Powers D.d.
Packet ad
Pooler adv
Price ad
Price ad
Painter ad
Powers ad
Parsons D.d.
Patrick D.d.
Patrick R. a
Pyers D.d.
Parson & Shea
Patrick D.d.
Park D.d. a
Pierson D.d. adv
Perry adv
Pittinger D.d.
Pierson D.d.

Pfl.

Poled D.d. vs Yearsley 1.25.78
Patterson D.d. Dunnick 7.21
Patch D.d. vs Milford 10
Price D.d. vs Paulk 19.71.90.121.140
Patrick vs Low & Wait 39.56.59.73.92
Parrens D.d. vs Sidle 47.71.93.125.154.174.216.235 302.331.403.429.455
Parrons D.d. vs Joliff 47.71.93.125.154.174.216.235 302.331.403.429.455
Powers D.d. vs Yates 48
Parthemore vs Morse 49.59.112.113
Parthemore D.d. vs Rogers 64
Pritchard D.d. vs Myers D.d. 73
Perry D.d. vs Russell 73
Prier vs Hill 73
Pooler vs Owen 91.120
Pudney vs Pudney 101.125.151
Pyers D.d. vs Fullington D.d. 107
Parthemore vs Parthemore 107.137.170.173
Porter vs Burkam 109.124.155.212.234
Pitcher vs Bethard 117
Powers D.d. adv Hofferbire D.d. 126.154.193.239.308.328 378
Piper adv Merrell 228.270.315.324 2nd
Pittinger D.d. adv Tuscarawas Valley Bank 269
Page adv Carpenter 276.313.354.423.436 2nd Mulk
Pedrazzoli D.d. adv Converse 276.316.346.404.439 450
Piper D.d. adv Gabriel exr. 279.296.330
Plotner D.d. adv Wilkins Admr. 298
Pettinger D.d. adv Tuscarawas Valley BK 313
Powers D.d. adv Stillings D.d. 325.333.350.353
Packet adv Collier 326.401.412
Pooler adv Hill 333
Price adv O'Harra 357
Price adv Brand 359.401.441.466
Painter adv Huffman 359.400
Powers adv Leonard 360
Parsons D.d. adv Bank Richwood 372
Patrick D.d. adv Lichliter 379.422
Patrick R. adv Carter Exs 379.436
Pyers D.d. adv Chapman Exs 432
Pierson & Shearer adv Rud. Ellis Exs 432
Patrick D.d. adv Walker 452
Park D.d. adv Park 460.469
Pierson D.d. adv Fullington Phillis Woods 462
Perry adv Stillings 463
Pettinger D.d. adv Tuscarawas Valley BK 466
Pierson D.d. adv Ryan Johnson Exs 471

P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Pltffs.

Robinson vs Coder 8
Reed vs Reed 10.25
Robinson vs Robinson Ex'd. 21.34.76
Rosette Ex'd vs Clark 30
Robinson vs Alexander Bank of Marquette 26.292.323.403.421 44.78.87.118.148.226
Rogers vs Parthemore Ex'd. 64
Richwood Bank vs Curren Ex'd. 66
Rupell vs Perry Ex'd. 73
Rees Ex'd. vs Smyth 75
Reynolds vs Woodworth Ex'd. 88.89.103.130
Richard. Ballard & Co vs Blue Ex'd. 94
Robertson vs Stultz 96.137.180.195
Reed admr vs Neal 100.131
Robinson vs Crickett Ex'd. 111.124.170.219.233.308.323.403 431.472
Roney vs Brown Ex'd. 112.126
Rickley vs Smyrne Ex'd. 125
Randall vs Randall 135
Rockhold vs Dollison 137.158
Rogers vs Joben 138.155
Reed vs Reed 124
Reed Exrs vs Lee Exrs 152.182
Rice vs Nowell 157
Rockhold vs Dollison 158-137
Robinson vs Hildreth 167
Rupell & Co vs Ward & Case 191
Riddle vs Montgomery Exrs 194.202.242
Rhodes vs David Blue 210
Randall vs Randall 272.302
Reed vs Cameron Kennedy 272.302
Roberts vs Miller 282.339
Richter vs Hoff 304
Randall vs King 306
Roberson vs Robertson 355.406.439.467
Roseberry vs Wright 358
Robinson vs Robinson Exrs 359.404
Root & Co vs Gales 362
Rosette vs vs Thompson & Fisher 375.383.394.443.478
Robinson vs Cook Exrs 394
Robinson vs Keckley 394
Rea. vs Manchester & Hewitt 402
Robinson admr vs Alexander 429
Rust. King & Co vs Pierson & Sheaver 432
Rea vs Carter Exrs 446
Rodman vs Labrie 469
Ryan. Johnson & Co vs Pierson Exrs 471

Dfts

Richwood
Reed vs
Robinson E
Robinson
Rudolph Ex
Rees Ex'r
Robinson E
Robinson Ex
Raymond E
Robinson E
Robinson Ex
Rail Road
Rail Road com
Rice Ex'r
Rees Ex'r
Richter vs
Reed Ex'r
Ramey Ex'r vs
Rice Ex'r
Rogers adm
Richter vs
Richter adm
Randall a
Rail Road
Reed adm
Rittenhouse
Rail Road co
Robinson Exr
R.R. company
Rosette adm
Rosette Exrs
Railway C
Russell ad
Rosette Exrs
Richter Exr
Robinson adm
R.R. Co
Richey Exr
Richwood
R.R. Co adm
R.R. Co. adm
Rhodes Exr
Randall
Randall Exrs
Richter
Richter
Richter Exr
R.R. Co
Richter
Robbins Exr

Aff^ts

Richwood vs Adams 8.9
Reed vs Reed 10.25
Robinson Ex^r vs Robinson 21.34.76
Robinson Ex^r vs Hopkins 24.86
Rudolph Ex^r vs Fullington Ex^r 36
Rees Ex^r vs Converse 41.71
Robinson Ex^r vs Weidman 45.80
Robinson Ex^r vs Farmers Bank Union Co. 45
Raymond Ex^r vs Cole assignee &c. 52.81.90.137.181.216.236 308.354.403.427
Robinson Ex^r vs Mitchell 52
Robinson Ex^r vs Gabriel 57.69
Rail Road vs Witter 70
Rail Road comp. vs Dawson 92.99.122.123.138.160
Rice Ex^r vs Peeber 94
Rees Ex^r vs Converse 97.146
Richter vs Edgar 102.147
Reed Ex^r adv^s Spain Exter 102.127.156
Ramey Ex^r vs Dille 478 111.143.189.215.235.308.332.403.429
Rice Ex^r vs Phillips admr^s 115
Rogers adv^s Adams 119
Richter vs Andrews Hannah 133.151
Richter adv^s Andrews Randall 133.135.136.151
Randall adv^s Randall 135
Rail Road co adv^s Watson 143.169.160
Reed adv^s Reed 124
Rittenhouse adv^s Kelley 147
Rail Road co adv^s Kilbery 152.161
Robinson Ex^r adv^s Speer 154.226.233.307.327.362.424
R.R. company adv^s Smith 155.162.182.183.191.195.221.256
Rosette adv^s Payne 163.196
Rosette Ex^r adv^s Payne 163.196.241.315.336.403.431
Railway Co adv^s Finley 167.215.276
Russell adv^s Minnates 188.208
Rosette Ex^r adv^s Sterling 191
Richter Ex^r adv^s Graham 223.267
Robinson admr^s adv^s Long 227.242
R.R. Co^y adv^s Witter 227.275.292.303
Richey Ex^r adv^s Witter 227.277
Richwood adv^s Adams 227.244.431.308.315.327.403.425
R.R. Co adv^s Woodworth 235.244.290.323
R.R. Co. adv^s Epps 247.304.316.336.397.431
Rhodes Ex^r adv^s Leib 260
Randall adv^s Randall 272.302
Randall Ex^r adv^s King Ex^r 276.301
Richter adv^s Fullington Ex^r 279
Richter adv^s Weis 298
Richter Ex^r adv^s Martin Ex^r 304
R.R. Co adv^s Moore 306 314.359.404.443
Richter adv^s Gunderman 306
Robbins Ex^r adv^s Weaver 316.338

Riley Ex^r adv^s Kerr 324
Robertson adv^s Robertson 355.406.439.467
Robinson Ex^r adv^s Robinson 359.404
Roseberry Ex^r adv^s Sanders 359.377.392
R.W.Co. P.C.& St Louis adv^s Smith 359.382.395
R.W.Co. adv^s Wolford 361.406.429.437
Randall Ex^r adv^s Thompson 361.393.412.468
R.W.Co vs Lary 361.406.411
Riley Ex^r adv^s Johnston 371
R.R.Co. Adm^r adv^s Ford 378.439.450
Reed adv^s Hunt 398
Robertson Ex^r adv^s Bank Richwood 405
Ridenour adv^s Kratline 407.440.458
R.W.Co adv^s Wheisel 417.448.464
Rief adv^s Dunn & Shipley 422.478
Robinson Ex^r adv^s Wilkins admr^x 322.321
R.W.Co. adv^s Zimmer 440.470
Robinson Ex^r adv^s Dills Ex^r 450

Pltffs

Snider vs Bird 9
Sparks vs Scheiderer 10
Smith vs Anderson 14
Swan Ex. vs Williams Ex. 8, 66, 87, 124, 149, 212, 233, 307
Spicer Ex. vs Sprague Ex. 17, 70, 93, 134, 157, 212, 236, 299
Smith vs Wells 32
Selover vs Selover 33
Stout vs Stout 35, 70, 131
Sabine vs Gray 40, 47, 75, 117
Sidle vs Parsons Ex. 47, 71, 93, 125, 154, 214, 216, 235
Stiles vs Tracy 89
Sinclair vs Davis 94
Shire Exr vs Compton Ex. 94
Spain exrx vs Reed Ex. 102, 127, 156
Sherwood vs Beck Ex. 111, 124, 155, 183, 224, 232
Sidle vs Ramey Ex. 111, 143, 189, 215, 235, 308, 332, 403, 429
Smith vs Judy 18
Smith vs Judy 20
Smith vs Smith 143, 188, 226, 240
Sinclair vs Culbertson 146, 185
Smith vs R.R. Company 159, 169, 182, 183, 191, 195, 221, 256
Scott vs Carey Exr. 187, 214
Smith vs Smith 188
Stinates vs Russell 188, 208
Spain vs Spain 226, 241, 308, 354, 368,
Sterling vs Rosette 191
Soden vs Alden Exr
Sabine vs Marshall 201, 223
Spring vs Bird Exr. 209
Shedd Exr vs Shaffer Exr. 211, 252
Scott vs Hildreth Exr. 217
Simpkinson Exr vs Yates Exr. 218
Smith vs Smith 219, 275, 287
Sabine vs Marshall 201, 223, 237, 282
Smith vs Smith 226
Smith vs Williams 227, 260
Shirk vs Shirk Exr. 232, 253
Southard vs Marks 234
Savage vs Caldwell Exr. 250
Spencer vs Spencer 269
Snowden Exr. vs Kezartee Exr. 276, 315, 354, 399, 437
Stout vs McMeins Exr. 276, 283, 331
Stuart vs Anthony Exr. 276
Stillings vs Whelpley 292
Stillings Exr. vs Powers Exr. 325, 333, 350, 353
Scott & Robinson vs Hildreth Exr. 326
Sidle vs Mulvaine 331, 404, 440
Scott & Robinson vs Ferguson Exr. 344
Sanders vs Rosebery Exr. 359, 377, 392
Smith vs P.C.C. St Louis R.W. Co. 359, 382, 395

Safley vs Safley 355, 406
Savage & Leigler vs Jones Exr. 364
Steinbarger Exr vs Smith 367
Steinbarger Exr. vs Storms 368
Savage & Leigler vs Baldwin Exr. 370
Scott & Robinson vs Hildreth Exr. 371
Steinbarger Aldrich Exr vs Davis 377
Steinbarger Aldrich Exr vs Bruckers 381
Stillings vs Crowalter 382, 441, 478
Sterling vs Adams Exr. 387
Steinbarger Aldrich Exr vs Davis 389
Sparks vs Sparks 394
Sterling vs Dennison 407
Shultz vs White Exr. 417
Snider vs Snodgrap 418, 427
Stickney vs Ford 426, 445
Smith vs Barnett 426, 445
Snodgrap vs Ferguson Exr. 441
Stark Exr vs Wells Exr. 442
Smith vs Barnett 445
Stillings vs Perry 463
Shipley vs Armstrong Exr. 467

Apltes

Sharp vs
Stewart Ex.
Sanders vs
Scheiderer vs
Sabine
Sprague Ex
Slotty Ex
Snodgrap
Snider Exr
Stultz
Sturlin
Selover
Stout
Sharp vs
Sabine vs
Simmons
Shirk vs
Staley E
Smyth
Sidle Ex
Stiles Ex.
Stultz vs
Spurlock
Smith ad
Sherwood
Sinclair
Spurlock Exr ad
Sidle Exr ad
Smith ad
Spain ad
Shaffer Exr ad
Shaffer Exr ad
Shaffer Exr
Smith ad
Safford Exr
Smith ad
Stone ad
Shirk Exr
Stull Exr
Snodgrap
Sinclair
Stunned
Spain ad
Storms & Sa
Spencer
Storms
Spain adv
Smith
Smith
Slade Exr

Aff'ts

Sharp vs Culbertson 4
Stewart Ex'r. vs Powell 4
Sanders vs Leghorn 5
Schriderer vs Sparks 10
Sabrie vs Thompsen 18
Sprague Ex'rs vs Spicer Ex'r. 17, 70, 93, 134, 155, 212, 236, 259, 328, 403, 427, 451
Slotty Ex'r. vs Porter 20, 76
Snodgrass vs Hill 21
Snider Ex'r. vs Hefs. Blum Ex'r. 24, 69, 115, 120
Stultz vs Gray 33
Sturlin Ex'r. vs Dillon 35, 73, 93, 134, 181, 216
Selover vs Selover 35
Stout vs Stout 35, 70, 131
Sharp vs Wells 46, 96
Sabrie vs Hamilton Ex'r. 52, 78, 116
Simmons Ex'r. vs Herd 62, 101
Shirk vs Union Building Assn. 66
Staley Ex'r. vs Felton 74, 171
Smyth vs Rees Ex'r. 75
Sidle Ex'r. vs Woods Ex'r. 77
Stiles Ex'r. vs Westlake 94
Stultz vs Robertson 96, 137, 180, 195
Squirsher Ex'r. adv Hullington Ex'r. 142
Smith adv Smith 143, 188, 226, 240
Sherwood adv Melching 147, 186
Sinclair adv Culbertson 156, 186, 238, 273
Swisher Ex'r. adv Farmers R.R. Union Co 159
Sidle Ex'r. adv Magahey 186, 156
Smith adv Smith 188
Spain adv Spain 188, 226, 241, 308, 354, 368
Shaffer Ex'r. adv Miller, Green & Joyce 190
Shaffer Ex'r. adv English, Miller & Co. 190
Shaffer & Wife adv Shedd & Co 211, 252
Smith adv Smith 219, 275, 281
Safford Ex'r. adv Doyle & McDonald 219, 286, 360, 406, 439
Smith adv Smith 226
Stone adv Loop 226, 241, 315, 336, 397
Shirk Ex'r. adv Shirk 232, 253
Stull Ex'r. adv Tuscarawas Valley Bank 254
Snodgrass Ex'r. adv Farnum 257
Sinclair Ex'r. adv Truman & Co 258
Stumm Ex'r. adv Dockum 259
Spain adv Truman 261
Sloms & Davis adv Jones & Co 267
Spencer adv Spencer 269
Sloms adv English, Miller & Co 287
Spain adv Hullington Ex'r. 312
Smith adv Brooks & Houston 336
Smith adv Greenleaf & Co 336
Slade Ex'r. adv Farmers & Merchants Bank 342
Spain Ex'r. adv Hullington Ex'r. 354
Stubert Ex'r. adv Hamilton 358, 406, 412
Safley adv Safley 359, 406
Swartz adv Carter & Co 361
Smith adv Bernstine Moses & Boyer 367
Smith adv Steinbarger & Co 367
Sloms adv Steinbarger & Co 368
Stiles Ex'r. adv Bank Richwood 374
Sloms adv Millers, Green & Joyce 375
Showalter adv Stillings 382, 441, 478
Sloms adv McKee, Weakley & Co 382
Smith adv McKee, Weakley & Co 383
Smith adv Eberly & Co 383
Sloms adv Eberly & Co 383
Snodgrass adv Greenleaf & Co 392
Sparks adv Sparks 396
Swartz adv Carter & Co 406
Sager adv Yoris 412
Landers adv Lane 416
Snodgrass adv Snider 418, 427
Spain Ex'r. adv Converse 454
Shaffer Ex'r. adv Johnston 457
Stout adv Paslow admr. 459
Shearer Ex'r. adv Hullington, Wells & Woods 461
Stiles adv Graham 464
Sabrie adv Rodman [illegible]
Shaffer Ex'r. adv Bazzel 472

S

T

U

V

W

Y

Z

Cliffs

State vs Alden 31. 54, 56, 83, 85; 113. 114, 115; 128, 164 202, 203, 204, 263
State vs Oglesby 32
State vs Coleman 33. 37, 69, 110, 105; 128, 137, 164, 204
State vs Richter 40, 55; 56, 84, 85; 108, 118
State vs Leeber 40, 54, 56, 83, 85; 103, 113, 128, 164, 203 204, 250, 388, 391, 398
State vs Roush 40
State vs Green 54, 83, 114, 128, 164, 204, 272, 291, 334 388, 420, 457
State vs Ellen Long 54, 83, 113, 128, 164, 204
State vs Marshall 54, 84, 99, 103, 113, 128, 132, 164, 165; 203 273
State vs Lingrel 54, 84, 114
State vs Cartmell 54, 55; 84, 85; 114, 115; 128, 129, 164, 203 205; 207, 263, 264, 265
State vs Joseph Price 55; 84, 113, 128, 164, 205; 272, 292, 334, 388, 421 457
State vs Kirchner 55; 84, 114, 115
State vs Skidmore 55; 84, 114
State vs Harvey Price 55; 63
State vs Perkins et al. 55; 84
State vs Joshua Cobb 55; 84, 114, 128, 164, 205; 272, 295
State vs Wall 55; 84, 114, 128
State vs Linder & Stout 55; 85, 114, 128, 164, 207
State vs Amrine 56, 76
State vs Brown 56, 85; 114
State vs Roush 56, 85; 114, 200, 248
State vs Bayley 56, 85; 114
State vs Hildreth 56, 69, 115; 128
State vs Strain 56, 85; 99
State vs Ford 85; 115
State vs Rofs 85; 97, 103, 126
State vs White 85; 273
State vs Gray Silas 105; 139, 165
State vs Joseph Gordon 115; 132, 165; 207, 272, 295; 334, 388, 424, 457
State vs McNeal 115; 132, 165; 207, 272, 295
State vs Clark et al 115; 132, 165; 207, 272
State vs Gray Wm 128
State vs Burkebile 132, 139
State vs Stout 138
State vs Guthrie 139
State vs Lille 139, 165; 207, 272, 295
State vs Murphy 139
State vs Burkebile 139, 132, 161, 162
State vs Bedell 151
State vs Stultz 157, 162
State vs Robbins 157, 161, 184, 200, 272, 295; 334, 388, 424, 457
State vs Blake 178, 199
State vs Cody Richard 178, 198, 200
State vs Cody et al 178
State vs Brown Chandler 178, 204
State vs Cartmell et al 178, 207
State vs Cody Wm 185; 200
State vs Hinton 198
State vs Bees 198

State vs Hill 199
State vs Finley 199, 200, 272
State vs Reuchlen 200, 273
State vs Gray 200, 271, 273, 295
State vs Mayo 203, 273
State vs Greer et ux. 203, 248
State vs Evans 203
State vs Artz 204, 245; 253, 260
State vs Oliver 227, 259, 265
State vs Sherman 227
State vs Snodgrass (Caution) 242, 275; 290, 294, 295; 297
State vs Stimmel 245; 275; 293, 320
State vs Kent 249, 273, 295; 317
State vs Reid 260, 289, 327, 329, 331, 332, 333, 341
State vs Vansant 272, 295; 335, 389, 424, 457
State vs Hubbard 273, 275; 295; 297, 334
State vs Polin 273, 291, 293, 334
State vs Smith 275
State vs Wilmoth 275; 297, 335; 389, 421
State vs Goldstep 275; 297, 335; 389, 421
State vs Lytell et al. 275; 297, 335; 389, 424, 457
State vs Scheiderer 297
State vs Dennee 297, 335; 389, 424, 457
State vs Lee 309
State vs Burkam 346, 384, 424, 457
State vs Moffitt 384, 419
State vs Brown 385, 389, 426, 457
State vs Livingston 385; 386, 421
State vs Hildreth 386
State vs Stokes 386
State vs Brown 389, 385; 426, 457
State vs Johnson & Morgan 389, 421
State vs Brown 389, 432, 457
State vs Burns & Mayo 389, 401, 420, 434
State vs Kent 416
State vs Gardner 417, 433, 453
State vs Debolt 418
State vs Mayo 418, 432, 434
State vs Harris 419, 420, 424, 426, 433, 458
State vs Wynegar 420, 432, 457
State vs Bonham 420, 421
State vs Blue 433, 456
State vs Cook 433, 458
State vs Richard Harris 452, 472
State vs Barrick 452
State vs Langstaff 454

T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Pltffs.

Turner vs Turner Ind. 1, 49
Thompson vs Sabine 18
Teeters vs Moffitt Ind. 32, 78, 90, 124, 170, 216
Taylor Ind. vs Mahaffy Ind. 68, 93, 137, 178
Timmons vs Hanes Ind. 76, 96, 134, 175, 216, 233, 308, 354, 364, 442, 478
Taylor vs Bell 141
Truman by &c vs Neal Ind. 143, 153, 168, 361, 375
Toby vs Converse Indk 154
Trickey vs Trickey Indk. 154, 178, 191, 210, 224
Taylor &c &c vs Blue 155, 226, 273, 308, 354, 403, 431, 478
Trickey, admr. vs Howard Ind. 227, 276, 289
Tuscarawas Valley Bank vs Stull Ind. 251
Tway vs Goff 253, 279
Truman by &c vs Sinclair Ind. 258
Truman vs Spain 261
Towns &c &c vs Sloms & Davis 267
Tuscarawas Valley Bank vs Pettinger Ind. 269
Thompson Indk vs Flint Indk 280, 291, 336, 373, 432, 463
Tuscarawas Valley RR vs Pettinger Ind. 313
Trout vs Trout 316
Thomas vs Ballinger Ind. 317, 318
Timberlake vs Watson 338
Tanner vs Minugh 359, 404, 439, 455
Thompson vs Randall Ind. 361, 393, 412, 468
Teller vs Wollput Ind. 422, 423, 434
Tuscarawas Valley RR vs Pettinger Ind. 466
Taylor Indn vs Bowen Indn 470

Aff'd

Turner d.d. vs Turner 1 149
Titus d.d. vs Fieldington d.d. 29
Tobey d.d. vs Broom 61
Tracy advs Stiles 89
Toban advs Rogers 138, 155
Trickey d.d. advs Trickey 154, 178, 191, 210, 224
Trickey d.d. advs Howard 180, 188, 194, 228, 274, 288, 338, 365
Turner d.d. advs Akey admr &c 227, 245
Terrell advs Chapman 266, 344
Truman Guard. advs Garwood Guard. 316, 340, 386, 463
Thompson advs Cody 316, 359, 380
Tourd advs Tourd 316
Turner et. al. advs Wilkins admr 316
Thompson & Fisher advs Rosette 375, 393, 394, 443, 478
Thompson advs Fisher 478

T U V W Y Z

Pfff

Union Building & Loan Ass'n. vs Stuck 66
Union Building & Loan Ass'n. vs Moore 81 N.J. 246
Union Co. Bank vs Moffitt 348
Union Co. Bank vs Curl et al. 349

U
V
W
Y
Z

Pltff

Vining vs Vining 376

Dfts pltff

Vance vs Garwood 30. 82

Village Maryville ados Baker 154

Vary Exr. ados Evans 228

Vausant vs Evans 314. 340. 404. 431. 478

Vining ados Vining 376

Vausant Admr. ados Culberson & Barr 445

Vangordon ados Jones 461

V
W
Y
Z

Pltffs

Woods vs Westfall D.R. 8
West vs Zimmerman D.R. 18, 66, 87, 124, 149, 216 (233) (307, 327, 362, 424, 478)
Wilcox vs Gordon D.R. 24, 62
Waldon vs Culbertson 39
Witter vs Watson D.R. 42, 74, 94, 134, 173, 179
Weisz vs Magaha D.R. 45, 78, 109, 143, 188, 216, 266, 302 (328, 402, 425, 478)
Weidman vs Robinson D.R. 45, 80
Wells vs Sharp 46, 96
Wilson vs Wilson 49, 65
Winters vs Benton 52, 78, 87
Woods vs Worline D.R. 52, 78
Witter vs Rail Road 70
Wood vs Bartlett D.R. 72, 107, 137, 188, 216, 228
Woods D.R. vs Sidle D.R. 77
Westlake vs Stiles D.R. 94
Watson vs Erb D.R. 101, 472
Welsh admr. &c. D.R. vs Freeman D.R. 139
White vs McGuire 143, 188, 219, 241, 308, 333, 366, 431
Watson vs Rail Road Co 143, 159, 160
Walker vs Walker 143
Woods & Buxton vs Brouson Dmak. 208
Walden Dmak. vs Culbertson Dmak. 222, 275, 308
Walden D.m.k. vs Culbertson 222, 275, 309
Wood vs Gibson D.m.k. 227, 242
Witter vs R.R. Co 227, 275, 292, 303
Weidman vs Jenkins 227, 274
Witter vs Richey D.m.k. 227, 277
Wilkins vs Means D.m.k. 235
Woodworth vs R.R. Co 238, 244, 290, 300, 323
Woodruff vs Harris 267, 279, 324, 364, 424
Wood & Witter vs Lockhart D.m.k. 270
Wood vs Hamilton 276, 282
Wilcox vs Wells 276, 283, 288
Wilkins admr. vs Hill D.m.k. 284
Wilkins vs Curl D.m.k. 289
Wilkins admr vs Andrews D.m.k. 292
Wilkins Admr vs Childs D.m.k. 294
Weis vs Richter 298
Wilkins admr. vs Lansdown D.m.k. 294
Wilkins Admr vs Plotner D.m.k. 298
Weaver vs Robbins D.m.k. 316, 338
Wilkins admr. vs Turner D.m.k. 316
Wilkins Admr. vs Cameron D.m.k. 317
Wilkins admr. vs Curry D.m.k. 345
Wilkins admr. vs Geisler D.m.k. 345
Wood D.m.k. vs Bonham D.m.k. 347, 348, 367
Wilkins Admr. vs White D.m.k. 352
Wells vs Barlow 354, 380, 402
Wells vs Wells 360
Wolford vs R.W. Co 361, 406, 429, 437

Webster vs Webster 362
Woods et. al. vs Kreidler 362
Weis vs Evans et. al. 387, 439
Ward vs Cheney et. al. 395, 405, 440, 441, 447
Wynegar vs Lytle 402, 423
Wright vs Wright 414, 435
Wood vs White et. al. 415
Wheeler vs R.W. Co 417, 446, 464
Wilkins admr. vs Robinson et. al. 320, 321
Woods vs Davis et. al. 430, 448
Wells vs P.R. North Lewis twp 436
Webster vs Horn et. al. 438
Winters vs Webster 440, 451
Wells vs Ins Co 441, 468
Wagner vs Ellis et. al. 444, 453
Walker vs Patrick et. al. 452

Watson et.
Woods et. a
Warner vs
Wilcox vs
Westfall D.R.
Woods et. a
Welsh vs
Wheeler
Wharry et
Williams
Williams
Wall vs
Watson et
Wells
Watson et
Watson et
Watson
Wilson
Williams
Watson
Worline
Wilson et
Watson
Walk et
William
Woodwor
Wells et
Watson
Walker
Waldon et
Welsheim
Welsh ad
Ward et al
Ward & Case
Woods ad
Brouson et
Williams
Wells ad
Wells et al
Williams
Wells adv
Weisz et al
Whelpley
Webster
Wells a
Winters
White et
Whelpley
Wright
Watson

Watson D.A. vs Hinkson D.A.
Woods D.A. vs Lapham 30?, 32, 36, 45, 112, 193, 124, 154, 215, 236
Warner vs Mulford 3
Wilcox vs Leghorn 6
Westfall D.A. vs Woods 8
Woods D.A. vs Hall 11
Welsh vs Kushman D.A. 14
Wheeler vs Barlow 18
Wharry D.A. vs Hanover D.A. 22, 32, 54, 403, 424, 455 18, 63, 81, 118, 141, 212 233
Williams D.A. vs Swan D.A. 30?, 32?, 362, 424, 455 18, 66, 88, 124, 141, 2 /233
Williams D.A. vs Leonard 20, 71, 93, 137, 172, 392
Wall vs Knight 25, 39
Watson D.A. vs Farmers Bank Union Co. 27
Wells vs Smith 32
Watson D.A. vs McCune Milhoff & Co. 35
Watson D.A. vs Phillis 37, 39, 67
Watson D.A. vs Witter 42, 74, 94, 134, 173, 179
Wilson vs Wilson 49, 65
Williams D.A. vs Hopkins 308, 332, 364, 429 51, 73, 169, 137, 188, 216, 24
Watson vs Jones D.A. 52
Worline D.A. vs Woods 52, 78
Wilson D.A. vs Healey 307, 328, 403, 425 56, 78, 90, 124, 8F, 216, 236
Watson D.A. vs Hullington D.A. 67
Walk D.A. vs Bland 76, 92, 98, 116
Williams D.A. vs Kimball 79, 93
Woolworth D.A. vs Reynolds 88, 89, 103, 130
Wells D.A. vs Powers Admr. 94
Watson adv Golding 116, 126
Walker adv Walker 143
Waldon D.A. adv Price 150
Welsheimer adv McDonald & Co 150
Welsh adv Little 166, 166
Ward D.A. adv Hullington D.A. 167
Ward & Case adv Rupell & Co 191
Woods adv Dynes 207
Bronson D.A. adv Woods & Buxton 208
Williams adv Smith 227, 260
Wells adv Keyes 236
Wells D.A. adv Chapman & Co 247
Williams adv Kinkade Admr. 274
Wells adv Wilcox 276, 283, 288
Weisz D.A. adv Keller & Co 276, 277, 286, 305, 307, 356
Whelpley adv Stillings 292
Webster adv McGinnis 318
Wells adv Finley 326
Winters D.A. adv Grump & Co 350
White D.A. adv Wilkins Admr. 352
Whelpley adv Gabriel 352, 407, 439
Wright adv Rosebary 358
Watson adv Timberlake 358

Wood D.A. adv Collier 360, 406, 442, 470
Wells adv Wells 360
Wood D.A. adv Borden & Son 361, 406, 413, 414
Webster adv Webster 362
Welshimer adv Bank Richwood 374
Welshimer D.A. adv Bank Richwood 374
Writers adv Stemberger, Aldrich & Co 381
Wells D.A. adv Bank of N. Lewisburg 410
Wringer adv Wringer 414, 435
White D.A. adv Wood 415
White D.A. adv Shults 417
Wollfurt D.A. adv Fuller 422, 423, 434
Wood D.A. adv Grover Waller & Mc Co 436
Webster adv Winters 440, 451
Wynegar adv Lytle 440
Ward adv Cheney D.A. 441, 447
Wells D.A. adv Stark & Co 442
Wolford D.A. adv Newlove 445
Walters adv McDonald & Co 445
Witter D.A. adv Gabriel 452

Cliffs:

Yearsley vs. Poler I. 21. 6, 25, 78
Yearsley vs Knox I. 21. 20, 71, 93, 134, 187
Yates vs Powers I. 21. 48
Young ^et vs Myers I. 21. 335

Y
Z

Pltfs

Hanover vs Fullington Ind. 48, 75, 101
Hand vs Hutchinson Ind. 247, 313, 388, 430
Hane vs Sanders 416
Hinmer vs R.R. Co 440, 470

Dfts

Zimmerman d. d. vs Weil 18, 66, 87, 124, 149, 216, 233 30, 32, 362, 424, 478

8
216.233

May Term 1871 Saturday June 10. 1871

R. Hinkson. J. L. Carothers & al
Members of Clinton Co. Importing Company &c
vs
David Watson. James Fullington. Robert Read & Jesse Watson

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney and the said defendants being in default this cause was submitted to the Court neither party requiring a Jury. Upon consideration whereof the Court finds for the plaintiffs and assess their damages at the sum of three hundred ninety seven 50/100 dollars. it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiffs recover of the defendants said sum of $397.50 their damages aforesaid assessed and also their costs herein taxed to $— the Court also with the consent of the plaintiffs finds that David Watson is the principal debtor and that James Fullington Robert Read and Jessie Watson are his sureties and order that execution issue accordingly —

Joshua Turner
vs
Aquilla H. Turner & others
In Partition

On motion to the Court by M. C. Lawrence Atty. for the plaintiff and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the commissioners. herein before appointed and the same being examined. it is ordered by the Court that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed in all respects; and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners on motion of the Counsel for plaintiff it is ordered by the Court that the lands and tenements in the petition mentioned and as surveyed be sold at public auction by the Sheriff of this County. according to the statute in such case made and provided. free from the dower interest of Ann W. Turner. upon the following terms to wit. one third in hand one third in one year and one third in two years from the day of sale. with interest on defered payments. and that they are to be secured by a Mortgage upon the premises

Thomas Yearsley
vs
Lewis Potee & Susan Potee

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of plaintiff and answer of defendants and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that illegal interest has been incorporated into said promissory note as claimed in defendants answer and that the Correct and true amount due to plaintiff is the sum of five hundred and forty six dollars with interest on the same at 6 per cent from september 6th 1870 up to the present date amounting to twenty four 84/100 dollars it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendant Lewis Potee the said sum of five hundred and seventy 84/100 dollars ($570.84) the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf Expended taxed to $—
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant Lewis Potee fails for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay to the plaintiff the said sum of five hundred and seventy 84/100 dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to

Saturday June 10- A.D. 1871

the Sheriff of said County who is hereby appointed special Master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said judgment and that execution be awarded for the balance - second trial demanded motion overruled notice of appeal byrd $5-00

John. D. Fleck
vs
F. W. Melching & others
} No 1048

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition Answers and Cross Petitions herein - exhibits and testimony and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due the plaintiff John D. Fleck from the defendant Frederick W. Melching on the account set forth in his petition the sum of one hundred & sixty five dollars and fifty nine cents and that the said John D. Fleck holds a Mechanics lien on said premises in the petition described, and that the same is a lien upon said premises which are described as follows to wit,, In Lot No 126 in the Village of Marysville in the Township of Paris and County of Union and State of Ohio, reference being made to the Plat of said Town of Marysville, for a more particular description thereof - and the Court further find that there is due the defendant Maria M. Melching from the defendant Frederick W. Melching on the Note and Mortgage in her answer and cross petition herein filed the sum of Eight hundred and seventy eight dollars - it is further found by the Court here that the same is a lien upon said above described premises for the above amount by virtue of the said Mortgage in her said answer and cross petition set forth - it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant Frederick W. Melching the said sum of one hundred and sixty five Dollars and fifty nine cents his debt so as before found due him, together with his costs herein - And it is further considered ordered and adjudged that the said defendants, Maria M. Melching recover of the said defendant Frederick W. Melching the sum of Eight hundred and twenty Eight Dollars the sum so found due as aforesaid, and also his costs herein taxed to ff - and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendant Frederick W. Melching fails to pay said plaintiff or the said defendant Maria M. Melching the said sums found due them or either of them with costs of suit an order issue to Robert Sharp Sheriff of this County Ohio - who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose - commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and cause continued to distribute the proceeds of the sale according to the priorities of the liens hereafter to be described and as to all other matters herein not disposed of -

Ozial Lapham vs Hayden, Hutchinson & Co. } Continued

Ozial Lapham vs W. W. Woods & others } Continued

John Guthrie vs T. A. Mapes & al } Continued

Eunice Phelps vs. Angus Clark & al }

On motion to the Court by P. B. Cole Atty for Plff M. C. Lawrence Esq. an Atty. of this Court is appointed Guardian ad litem

Saturday June 10. A.D. 1871

for Henry Clark an Infant defendant in this case and thereupon appeared in Court and accepted said appointment

Eunice Phelps vs Angus Clark } This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff the answer of Daniel Beltz and Shepherd Clark two of the defendants and Henry Clark an infant by his Guardian ad litem the other defendants still failing to answer or demur- thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises find- that the plaintiff has a judgment against the defendant Angus Clark as alleged in her petition and that the same is a lien upon the lands and tenements described in the petition. second- that the defendants Daniel Beltz and Shepherd Clark have a Mortgage on said lands and tenements- it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that said lands and tenements be sold and for that purpose this cause is refered to the Sheriff of this County for the time being who is hereby aphointed a special Master Commissioner- and he is hereby ordered to proceed to advertise and sell said lands as upon executions or judgments at law and that he bring the proceeds of said sale into Court to abide the further order and desicission of this Court as to the distribution thereof and as to the priority of liens between the defendant parties found to have liens- as well as to all other questions made in the petition in this case not herein before determined by the Court this cause is continued- and that he report his proceedings to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued- Notice Shepherd Clark and Daniel Beltz Bond - $150.

William J. Bosh vs Priscilla Bosh } Divorce

And now comes the said William J. Bosh by his Attorneys Porter Sterling and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel; on consideration whereof the Court do find that service of summons and copy of petition was duly served on the said defendant according to law; and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been willfully absent from the said Petitioner more than three years prior to the filing of said Petition: it is therefore adjudged and decreed that the Marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties wholly released from the Obligations of the same

David Mulford vs Elijah Warner. Louis Warner & John Kahler } No1024

This day came the Plaintiff but the defendants came not and made default and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find there is due Plaintiff as alleged in his petition from Elijah Warner as maker and John Kahler as Endorser of said Note the sum of Eight hundred and fifty & 27/100 dollars it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff recover of said Elijah Warner as maker and said John Kahler as Endorser said sum of Eight hundred & fifty & 27/100 dollars with his costs herein expended taxed to $ -
Second trial demanded and refused by the Court- whereupon the defendant Elijah Warner gave notice of an appeal and the bond was fixed at $1000.

Saturday June 10th 1871

Henry C. Culbertson
vs
Robert Sharp } In Replevin

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit: A. J. Ferguson, Adam Brown, I. W. H. Durborow, James Kinkade, Goreen Bird, Phillip Raush, L. P. G. Brown, G. L. Sellers, Samuel W. Smith J. M. Blue Regular Jurors William Howard, & J. H. Bennett tales Jurors who being duly emphanelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say that at the commencement of this suit the right of property and the right of possession in the said goods and chattels in the petition mentioned was with the exception of one wagon and the yoke and six Pigs in the said Henry C. Culbertson, and as to the said wagon and yoke and six Pigs they do say that at the commencement of this suit the right of property in and the right of posession of the same was in the said Robert Sharp and they assess the damages of the said Robert Sharp by reason of the premises to ($106[41]) one hundred six $^{41}/_{100}$ dollars. Therefore it is considered that the said Robert Sharp recover of the said Henry C. Culbertson Plaintiff the said sum of one hundred six $^{41}/_{100}$ dollars his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs herein expended taxed to $\frac{}{}$.
And it is further considered that the said H. C. Culbertson recover of the said Robert Sharp his costs herein expended taxed to $\frac{}{}$.
a second trial under the statute was demanded by the defendant and allowed by the Court, and the Court fixed the second trial bond at the sum of $130.00

Thomas W. Powell
vs
John H. Stewart & D. F. Dyal } }

This day came the parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the pleadings and evidence whereupon the Court find that including an amount herein allowed and credited for the payments made and the sum expended by defendants in procuring the release of J. J. Walter Henson and his wife Martha A. Henson there remains due and unpaid upon the contract in said Petition described as a ballance the sum of seven thousand eight hundred Eighty four $^{45}/_{100}$ dollars which sum in Equity is due plaintiff from defendants on said lands sold by said contract. it is therefore adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court that Plaintiff recover of said defendants said sum so found due together with interest thereon. and it is further ordered subject to the conditions hereinafter mentioned that if the defendants fail for thirty days to pay said sum of money after the deductions and modifications hereinafter mentioned that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell according to law the Real estate in said petition described to satisfy said sum of money and decree
The Court further find that there is a Mortgage upon said premises executed by said T. W. Powell plaintiff to Edwin L. Edmondson as set forth and mentioned in the original answer of defendants herein. and it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that the amount of said Mortgage and the sum claimed to be now remaining due and secured thereby, to wit: the sum of $3000.00 may be withheld from the amount hereinbefore found due to Plaintiff by the defendants and retained by them until said Mortgage is released, discharged and cancelled whereby the Mortgagee and holder thereof or by a decree of Court, and the plaintiff shall have a lien on said premises for the sum so withheld and retained by defendants with interest

Saturday June 10 1871

And the same and all principal with interest thereon shall be a lien on said premises till paid or discharged - and the Court doth further order adjudge and decree that the deed made by plaintiff to defendants on file with the papers in this case shall be forthwith delivered to the defendants by the plaintiff who instead thereof shall have his lien on the premises for the payment of the residue of the purchase money and interest as aforesaid as herein decreed - it is also ordered and decreed that there be deducted from the amount hereinbefore found due to said plaintiff and decreed to him the sum of $466.50 for so much money (without interest) paid out by defendants for price and expenses in procuring the said claim and title of Martha A. Henson and her husband J. Walter Henson and which should be repaid to them by said Powell -
It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that no order of sale shall issue on said decree in favor of said plaintiff, nor shall said sum therein so as aforesaid found to be due nor any part thereof be required to be paid until the plaintiff shall execute and deliver to the defendants his bond with security satisfactory to the Clerk of this Court in the sum of $ conditioned that plaintiff save harmless and protect said defendants and their asigns in respect to the said title so obtained from plaintiff and that he will make good and maintain and fulfill the covenants of warranty made in said deed so as aforesaid on file in this case; which bond shall be filed with the Clerk of this Court as part of the papers and files of this case; and after deducting as aforesaid from said amount herein found due plaintiff on said contract the said sum of $466.50 and the amount of said Edmondson Mortgage to be released by defendants as aforesaid the balance of said sum shall be paid within 30 days as aforesaid by defendants to plaintiff as aforesaid and the same shall be a lien on said lands and premises till fully paid the Court doth find and adjudge that each party pay half the costs of this suit within 30 days or that execution issue therefor - said defendants are to and shall take the release from said Martha A. Henson and her Husband without any right of indemnity from said Powell against any claim she may make to said land - the Court doth find and adjudge that said defendants are not entitled to recover from said Powell the said sum of $300 claimed by them as asigns of said Henson for taxes paid on said land - thereupon the defendants did give notice of and demand a second trial of this action as of course under the Statute in such case made and provided and the Court being of opinion that this case is not one in which a trial by Jury may be demanded by either party doth refuse and disallow a second trial in this case - in which action and ruling of the Court defendants Except -
thereupon the defendants did give notice of appeal in the case and the Court doth fix the amount of the bond for such appeal at $75.00

John C. Leghorn }
vs
S. P. Sanders }

This day this cause is by leave of the Court dismissed by the Plaintiff at his own costs without prejudice to a future action - it is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the promissory note sued upon from the files -

No 866 John C. Leghorn vs. John Bonnell }

This day came the Plaintiff and by leave of the Court dismissed his action without prejudice to a future action at his own costs

Saturday June 10. 1871

it is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $- and thereupon the defendant moved the Court to permit this Cause to remain docketed for the purpose of obtaining a decree for cancelling the Note sued on, which motion the Court overruled, to which defendant excepts - and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the Note on which this action is brought from the files, and the defendant has leave to withdraw from the files the deposition of John C. Leghorn

John C. Leghorn
vs
William Bailey

This day came the plaintiff and by leave of the Court dismises his action without prejudice to a future action at his own costs. it is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $- and thereupon the defendant moved the Court to permit this cause to remain docketed for the purpose of obtaining a decree for cancelling the Note sued on, which motion the Court overruled, to which defendant excepts- and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the Note on which this action is brought from the files, and the defendant has leave to withdraw from the files the deposition of John C. Leghorn

John C. Leghorn
vs
Samuel Gamble

This day came the plaintiff, and by leave of the Court dismises his action without prejudice to a future action at his own costs. it is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ and thereupon the defendant moved the Court to permit this cause to remain docketed for the purpose of obtaining a decree for cancelling the Note sued on which motion the Court overruled, to which defendant excepts - and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the Note on which this action is brought from the files, and the defendant has leave to withdraw from the files the deposition of John C. Leghorn

John C. Leghorn
vs
J. B. Wilcox

This day came the plaintiff and by leave of the Court dismises his action without prejudice to a future action at his own costs - it is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $- And thereupon the defendant moved the Court to permit this cause to remain docketed for the purpose of obtaining a decree for cancelling the note sued on, which motion the Court overruled, to which defendant excepts and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the Note on which this action is brought from the files, and the defendant has leave to withdraw from the files the deposition of John C. Leghorn

John C. Leghorn
vs
Henry Kezartee

This day came the plaintiff and by leave of the Court dismises his action without prejudice to a future action at his own costs. it is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $- And thereupon the defendant moved the Court to permit this cause to remain docketed for the purpose of obtaining a decree for cancelling the Note sued on

which motion the Court overruled- to which defendant excepts- and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the Note on which this action is brought from the files and the defendant has leave to withdraw from the files the deposition of John C. Leghorn-

John C. Leghorn
vs
J. V. Finley

This day came the plaintiff and by leave of the Court dismissed his action without Bejudice to a future action at his own costs. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And thereupon the defendant moved the Court to permit this cause to remain docketed for the purpose of obtaining a decree for cancelling the Note sued on which motion the Court overruled- to which defendant excepts- and the plaintiff has leave to withdraw the Note on which this action is brought from the files. and the defendant has leave to withdraw from the files the deposition of John C. Leghorn

Lucinda Johnson
vs
Joseph A. Johnson
} Alimony

This day came the Petitioner Lucinda Johnson and submitted this cause to the Court. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that due notice hath been given to the defendant of the filing & pendency of this petition. and that all the allegations of said Petition are true— it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant Joseph A. Johnson her reasonable Alimony in the sum of five hundred dollars. one half payable in three days. and the other half with interest in one year from this date together with the costs of this proceeding taxed to $ L And it is further ordered that if default be made by said defendant to pay either of said sums when due that execution issue therefor as upon Judgments at law. And it is further ordered that the Custody care and Education of Nancy Idora Johnson their Daughter be given to said Plaintiff until further order of this Court

Wm H. Dunnick
vs
Andrew J. Patterson & others

This day came on this cause to be heard on the cross Petition of Louisa Snyder herein filed. Whereupon the Court do find that said Louisa Snyder is the legal owner of the three promissory Notes in her Petition described- due respectively Feb. 1st 1871 Feb 1st 1872. & Feb. 1st 1873- all drawing interest annually from Feb 1st 1869 and all secured by Mortgage as therein- and that there is now due on said Note due Feb 1st 1871. the sum of five hundred and fifteen dollars and seventy cents- which is a Mortgage lien on said lands— it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Louisa Snyder recover of said Andrew J. Patterson said sum of five hundred and fifteen & 70/100 dollars due as aforesaid and her costs herein expended taxed to $— and it is further ordered that in case said Patterson fail to pay said sum for twenty days that an order of sale issue therefor directed to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell according to law said premises and bring the proceeds into Court and as to all questions of priority of liens between said Louisa Snyder and said Wm H. Dunnick this cause is continued

June 10- 1871

James W. Robinson
vs
George Coder

Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio

This day came the plaintiff and filed his motion to enter Judgment and thereupon the defendant by his Attorney who appeared by virtue of the warrant of Attorney herewith filed and duly proved came and confessed that he doth owe Plaintiff the Sum of one hundred and sixty four & 75/100 dollars and authorize Court to enter Judgment for that Sum and Costs- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff recover of defendant said sum of one hundred and sixty four & 75/100 dollars and costs herein expended taxed to $ —

N. A. Gilbert
vs
Oziel Lapham & David Watson

And now comes the said N. A. Gilbert and the said Oziel Lapham and David Watson and each of them still failing to answer or demur to the said Petition it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant Oziel Lapham and David Watson on their Joint and several promissory Note as in the Petition set forth the sum of three hundred and Ninety Dollars and Eighty Seven Cts- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendants Oziel Lapham and David Watson the said sum of three hundred and Ninety Dollars & Eighty Seven Cents the same so found due from them as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $

W. W. Woods
vs
Christopher Westfall & Wilhelmina Westfall

This day this cause came on to be heard the defendant being in default and the same was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff upon the petition exhibits and testimony upon consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant Christopher Westfall to plaintiff on the two Notes in the petition mentioned the sum of $216.24 at this date which sum the Court finds to be a lien on the lands in the Petition described by virtue of the Mortgage in the petition mentioned- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants pay to the Plaintiff within ten days from the rising of this Court said Sum of $216.24 with interest from this date and that they pay the costs of this suit and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being- commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise And sell the Lands and tenements in the Petition and Mortgage Mentioned and described as upon Judgments at law

John Adams
vs
Incorporated Village Richwood

No 946

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard as well the transcript of the Judgment and other proceedings between said parties before Thomas R. Crotty Mayor of said Village brought here by a Petition in error from the said Mayors Docket as also upon the matters by said John Adams herein assigned for error- and the same being seen by the Court now here fully understood and mature deliberation being thereupon had

May Term Saturday June 10 1871

it appears to the Court here that there is no error ~~within~~ in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid as rendered August 26. 1870 by said Mayor in favor of said Village and against said John Adams for one hundred dollars and costs. thereupon it is considered that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect and it is further considered that the said Incorporated Village of Richwood do recover against the said John Adams its costs in this behalf expended taxed to $— And the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered to certify this desicission to the Mayor of the Incorporated Village of Richwood that his said Judgment may be enforced by said Mayor as if these proceedings in error had not been taken— Plaintiff in error excepts to this desicission

John Adams
vs
Incorporated Village Richwood
} No 934

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard as well upon the transcript of the Judgment and other proceedings between said parties before Thomas R. Crafty Mayor of said Village brought here by a Petition in error from the said Mayors Court as also upon the matters by said John Adams herein assigned for Error and the same being seen by the Court now here fully understood and mature deliberation being thereupon had. it appears to the Court here that there is no error within the record and proceeding aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid— thereupon it is considered that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and Effect. and it is further considered that the said Incorporated Village of Richwood do recover against the said John Adams its costs in this behalf expended taxed to $— and it is ordered by the Court that Execution issue from this Court to call into Effect the said Judgment of said Mayor in such manner as if said Judgment had been rendered in this Court— and the Court find that said Judgment Amounts to $75 67 with interest thereon from June 14th 1870 amounting to $4 00 total amount of Judgment $79 67 and costs amounting to $ Plff in Error Excepts

David Snider
vs
Jesse Bird
} Cognovit

This day came the Plaintiff by Robinson & Piper his Attorneys and filed a Petition against the defendant and thereupon came the defendant by M.C. Lawrence one of the Attorneys of this Court and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney Executed by the said defendant for that purpose now produced in Open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of any proces in this case and enters the appearance of the defendant and files his answer herein and confesses that there is due to the said Plaintiff upon the Note mentioned in said Petition the sum of Six hundred Seventy Dollars and seventeen cents and requests and consents that the Court render a Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and against the said defendant for that amount and costs. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $670 17/100 and costs herein taxed to $— and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors that may accrue in the rendition of this Judgment the right of Appeal and the Stay of Execution are hereby waived

Saturday June 10 1871

Jane Sparks
vs
George Scheiderer

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit. A. W. H. Burbraw. Gorum Bird. V. P. G. Brown. Adam Brown. Samuel W. Smith. J. M. Blue. Regular Jurors. Daniel Longbrake. Levi Longbrake. Wm. C. Wood. J. K. Richey Jacob Painter. John Broderick tales Jurors. who being duly empannelled and sworn to speak the truth upon the issues joined, do upon their oaths say. they find for the plaintiff. against the defendant George Scheiderer Jr. and assess her damages at Eighty six dollars and that they find for the defendant George Scheiderer Sr. it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant George Scheiderer Jr. the said sum of Eighty six dollars her damages so as aforesaid assessed and that the defendant George Scheiderer Sen. recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended. demand by Plff. George Scheiderer Jr. for 2d trial under the statute Bond for plaintiff fixed at $100 and for defendant at $172

Wm Leeper & T. W. Rizartee
vs
Wm J. Griffis & Martha J. Griffis
No 1032

This day came on this cause to be heard the parties submitted this to the Court and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that there is due Plaintiffs from the said defendant Wm J. Griffis as claimed in their petition the sum of three hundred and fifty five 25/100 dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the said Wm J. Griffis the said sum of three hundred and fifty five and 25/100 dollars and costs of suit. and it is further ordered that if the said defendant fail for ten days to pay to said Plaintiffs the said Judgment and interest and pay said costs that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to sell according to law the land in said petition described to pay said Judgment and costs and any surplus if any there be bring into Court and for that purpose this cause is continued

Hiram Reed
vs
Mathew Reed & others
No 1029
In Partition

On motion to the Court by Robinson & Lyon Counsel for said Petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said Commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been Examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law. It is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed: and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County, (Union) according to law: and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this action is continued

David Mufford
vs
Harmon Patch & Dr.

This Cause settled by agreement of parties— at Plaintiffs cost— It is therefore considered that the Plaintiffs pay the costs herein taxed to $— No record

May Term Saturday June 10- 1871

William L. Miller
vs
Robert Belt

And now comes the said Parties by their Attorney's and thereupon came a Jury to wit. G. L. Sellers, Samuel W. Smith, Adam Brown S. W. H Durborow James Kinkade. J. M. Blue. Gorum Bird. Philip Raush. S. P. G. Brown. Regular Jurors. Samuel Cartmell Ralph Minter. J. F. Bennett tales Jurors who were duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties the parties according to the law and evidence do upon their oaths say that they find for the Plaintiff William L. Miller upon the issues joined and assess his damages at sixty dollars and fifty four cents- it is therefore considered by the Court that the said Plaintiff William L. Miller recover against the said defendant Robert Belt the said sum of sixty dollass & fifty four cents his damages in form aforesaid assessed-

John F. Oglevee
vs
Miller Timmons & Co. Isaac Potee & Gabriel Potee and others

This day this cause came on to be heard on the motion of the defendant Moses Thompson for leave to reply to the answer herein filed by the defendants Isaac Potee and Gabriel Potee, which leave to reply was granted. the reply to be filed at once- whereupon and thereafter the said Moses Thompson not desiring to reply the Court find that he the said Moses Thompson, Miller Timmons & Co. and Wm. L. Miller and L. M. Miller his wife are in default, and then this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition, answers, exhibits and testimony, and was argued by Counsel, whereupon the Court find that the said Isaac Potee & Gabriel Potee hold a Mortgage as they have in their answer and cros Petition set forth and upon the Property lands and tenements therein described and that the same became a lien thereon on the 10th day of April 1867- at 20 minutes before three oclock, A. M. of said day- on which Mortgage the Court with the consent of the said Isaac and Gabriel Potee, find there is due the sum of twelve Hundred and twelve Dollars, from the said William L. Miller & L. M. Miller and that the same is a lien upon the premises in said answer of said Isaac and Gabriel Potee- it is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed that the said Isaac and Gabriel Potee recover of the defendant William L. Miller and L. M. Miller the said sum of $1212.00 as aforesaid found due on said Mortgage- it is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed that unless the said sum of $1212.00 as aforesaid found due to said Isaac Potee and Gabriel Potee is paid within 20 days from the rising of this Court, with interest that may accrue thereon and costs of suit that an order issue to Robert Sharp Sheriff of Union County Ohio who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to cause the Property lands and tenements before mentioned to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and that he report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued

John M. Hall
vs.
W. W. Woods & others

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition Answers and replies. Exhibits and testimony, and was argued by Counsel and submitted to the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises find

Saturday June 10. 1871

for the defendants - whereupon it is considered ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendants be allowed to go hence and recover of the Plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $ Whereupon the Plaintiff gave notice of his intention to appeal said action and the Court allowed the same and fixed the appeal Bond at one hundred and fifty Dollars

Reuben Cook
vs
George Mast
} In Partition

And now comes the said Reuben Cook by Gilbert and Ayers his Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition and evidence and was argued by counsel; on consideration whereof the Court do find that the defendant George Mast has entered his appearance to this action and waived service of process in notice of the pendency thereof; and the Court do further find that the said Reuben Cook has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of the one equal undivided one half part of the said premises; and the Court do further find that the said Reuben Cook and George Mast are tenants in common in said premises in the following proportions to wit, that the said George Mast is seized of one equal undivided one half part thereof; and the said Reuben Cook is seized of one equal undivided one half part thereof. it is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of S. D. Robinson. John Douglas and Joseph Haven partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit, To the said Reuben Cook one equal undivided one half part; to the said George Mast one equal undivided one half part and it is further ordered that if they the said S. D. Robinson. John Douglas and Joseph Haven shall be of opinion upon actual view of said premises that the same cannot be partitioned as above. without actual manifest injury to the same. then that they the said S. D. Robinson. John Douglas and Joseph Haven appraise the value thereof; and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings forthwith it is further ordered by consent of parties that if said commissioners in partition shall be of the opinion that it would be better for said parties to set off to said Reuben Cook the House and Lot wherein he resides. and to George Mast the House and Lot wherein he resides and appraise the Mill and Lot on which it stands. that they so set off to each of the said parties to Lot occupied by each respectfully and return an appraisement of each Lot irrespective of any improvements which they may find each has made on such Lot so that out of the proceeds of the sale of the Mill they may be made Equal in the divide of the whole property and in that case that they duly appraise the Mill and Mill Lot together

Mile Bland
vs
William Bland & others
} No 1034.
In Partition

This day came the Parties and by consent this cause and the Petition for Dower by Martha E. Bland were consolidated and the Court being fully satisfied that all parties interested in said lands have been served with process and that the plaintiff is entitled to partition and that said Martha E. Bland has filed her answer electing to take in lieu of her share of land as dower in the first and second tract in said Petition set forth the value of her Dower

Saturday June 10 1871

in money and as to the other tract being the Jason Mosse Farm she elects to take her share in land, and the Court find that said widow is entitled to dower in said Jason Mosse tract and in said first mentioned tract Known as the Peter Bland farm and in 21½ acres of the second mentioned tract Known as the Silver farm. Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him by the oaths of Samuel Woods Elephas Burnham and Hiram Stokes three disinterested freeholders of the vicinity he proceed and set off to the said Martha E. Bland as her Dower in said 5½ acres of the Mosse farm the third part thereof and in case partition is made of the other tracts by actual division that they also assign to her as her Dower therein the full one third of the first of said tracts Known as the Peter Bland farm and the one third of 21½ acres of average value in the said Silver farm, but in case they do not make actual partition of said last two tracts above named that they omit the assignment of Dower in said last two tracts. It is further ordered that by the like oaths of said Samuel Woods, Elephas Burnham and Hiram Stokes the said Sheriff make partition of said Jason Mosse farm subject to said Dower as follows viz. he shall set off to Jason Mosse and Nelia Mosse and Fletcher Mosse and Strange Mosse in one Parcel the two thirds of the said Jason Mosse farm. To William Bland one twenty first part. Nile Bland one twenty first part. Jane Bland one twenty first part. John Bland one twenty first part. Abigal Bland one twenty first part. Eliza Bland one twenty first part and Elvy E. Bland one twenty first part. also by the like oaths he make partition of the said first and second tracts. Known as the Peter Bland farm and the Silver farm subject to said Dower as follows. to Nile Bland one seventh part thereof, to William Bland one seventh part. to Jane Bland one seventh part. to John Bland one seventh. to Abigal Bland one seventh. to Eliza Bland one seventh and to Elvy E Bland one seventh part. But if the same cannot be done without manifest injury to the premises that they return an appraisement of the true value thereof without dower. and as to the distribution of the proceeds in case of sale and all matters not hereby settled this Cause is continued

Stanley Bellus } No 977
vs
Smith Bailey Dec'd }

By agreement the Plaintiff filed his amended Petition this day to supply the one heretofore filed and which has been mislaid and to which defendants demured. and thereupon this day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of defendants to the plaintiffs amended Petition and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises sustained said demurer.
Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said several defendants go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff their costs herein taxed to ——. To which ruling and Judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts.

The State of Ohio } Supreme Court of the State of Ohio
City of Columbus } of the term of December A.D. 1869. Error reserved in the District Court
of Union County. This cause came on to be heard upon the Transcript
of the record of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, and was argued by
Counsel, on consideration whereof, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,
do find that said Court of Common Pleas erred in overruling the motion to set
aside the judgment. It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the order overruling
said motion be and the same is hereby reversed, and it is further ordered that
said motion be sustained, and that said judgment be set aside, and cause remanded
to Court of Common Pleas for further proceedings - ordered that a writ of procedure
issue to said Court of Common Pleas, commanding it to proceed to final judgment
between the Parties according to law - ordered that a special mandate be sent to
the Court of Common Pleas of Union County to carry this judgment and order
into execution - ordered that a copy of this entry be certified to the clerk of the District
Court of Union County for entry &c -
I Rodney Foos Clerk of the Supreme Court of Ohio, do hereby certify that the foregoing Judg
ment is truly taken and correctly copied from the Journal of said Court
witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 15th day of May A.D. 1871 -
L.S. Rodney Foos Clerk

State of Ohio } Supreme Court of Ohio
City of Columbus } To the Honorable Court of Common Pleas within and for the County
of Union Ohio greeting - we do hereby command you, that you proceed, without delay
to carry the within and foregoing Judgment of our Supreme Court of Ohio
in the case of Wm. W. Cushman & John F. Scrimager vs. Wm. P. Welsh
into execution, the petition in error herein and heretofore granted to the contrary
notwithstanding - witness Rodney Foos Clerk of our said Supreme Court of Ohio
at Columbus this fifteenth day of May 1871. L.S. Rodney Foos Clerk

State of Ohio } Supreme Court of the State of Ohio -
City of Columbus } of the term of December A.D. 1870
Jeremiah W. Smith } Appeal reserved in the District Court of
vs } Union County
Madeline Anderson }
This cause came on to be heard upon the motion
filed in the District Court to dismiss the Appeal, and which motion was reserved
from said Court for hearing in this Court, and was argued by counsel,
on consideration whereof it is ordered and adjudged that the motion be and the
same is hereby sustained and the said Appeal dismissed -
ordered that a special mandate be sent to the District Court of Union County to carry
this judgment into execution - ordered that a copy of this entry be certified to the Clerk
of the District Court of Union County for entry &c -
I Rodney Foos Clerk of the Supreme Court of Ohio do hereby certify that the foregoing
Judgment is truly taken and correctly copied from the Journal of said Court -
witness my hand and the seal of said Court this fourth day of May A.D. 1871 -
L.S. Rodney Foos Clerk

State of Ohio } Supreme Court of Ohio
City of Columbus } To the Honorable Court of Common Pleas, within and for the County
of Union Ohio greeting - we do hereby command you, that you
proceed, without delay to carry the within and foregoing Judgment of our Supreme
Court of Ohio, in the case of Jeremiah W. Smith vs Madeline Anderson in to
execution, the writ of error herein and heretofore granted, to the contrary notwithstanding -
witness Rodney Foos Clerk of our said Supreme Court of Ohio at Columbus this fourth
day of May 1871 - L.S. Rodney Foos Clerk. Mls Cost $3.00 Fee $3 P. B. Cole

Saturday June 10. 1871

J. V. Finley
vs
J. D. Alexander
John H. Wood

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the said defendants came not but made default and this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff - neither party demanding a Jury - the Court on consideration thereof does find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendants at this date on the Note in the Petition mentioned the sum of one hundred sixty eight $\frac{83}{100}$ ($168.83) Dollars -
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of $168.83 so found due and also his costs herein expended Taxed @ $

Christina Harriott
vs
William B. Harriott
} Pet. for Divorce

And now comes the said Christina Harriott by her Attorneys, Porter and Sterling, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the Petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof, the Court do find that service of summons and copy of Petition was duly served on defendant according to law and the Court do further find that the said William B. Harriott defendant is guilty of the several charges of adultery and neglect alleged in Plaintiffs Petition; it is therefore considered, adjudged and decreed that the Marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same; and it is further ordered and adjudged that the said defendant do pay to the said plaintiff for her reasonable Alimony the sum of Three hundred Dollars, payable in five days from the rising of this term of Court - and it is further ordered that the custody, nurture, education, and care of the said Infant Boy be, and the same is wholly given to the said Petitioner, and the said defendant is hereby forever enjoined from interfering with or disturbing the said Petitioner in the custody, nurture, education and care of the above named Infant Boy, until the further order of this Court, and it is further ordered and adjudged that defendant pay the Costs of this action Taxed at $

ordered that all causes motions and matters now pending in this Court not otherwise disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

J. L. Conklin
Judge

Times fixed for holding Courts in the Third Judicial District of the State of Ohio for the year 1872

Be it remembered, that at a meeting of Judges of the Court of Common Pleas of the third Common Pleas Judicial District of the State of Ohio, at the Court House in the Town of Lima County of Allen Ohio, on the day of the date hereof for the purpose of fixing the times of holding the terms of the Courts of Common Pleas and the District Courts in said District for the year 1872 i we the undersigned, Judges of the Court of common Pleas, within and for said District do hereby fix the times of holding said Courts for the year 1872 in each and every County in said District as follows

Common Pleas Court

The several Terms of the Court of Common Pleas in and for the several Counties of said District, in and for said year, shall commence in the several Counties thereof as follows

In	Union	County.	January 29	May 6.	September 23
In	Hardin	County	February 12	May 20.	October 14
In	Logan	County	March 11	June 17.	November 25
In	Shelby	County	February 26.	June 3	November 4
In	Auglaize	County	March 4	May 27	October 14
In	Allen	County	February 12.	May 6.	November 11
In	Mercer	County	February 19.	April 29	November 11
In	Vanwert	County	January 16	May 14	October 29
In	Putnam	County	January 29	April 22.	October 14
In	Paulding	County	February 20	May 7.	October 8
In	Defiance	County	February 13.	April 30.	September 24
In	Henry	County	February 27.	May 14.	October 22
In	Fulton	County	March 5.	May 21.	November 5.
In	Williams	County	March 19.	June 4	November 19
In	Wood	County	January 23.	May 21.	October 15.
In	Marion	County	January 3	May 1	September 24
In	Seneca	County	March 4.	June 10.	November 11
In	Hancock	County	February 12.	May 13.	October 1st
In	Wyandot	County	January 23.	April 29	September 9
In	Crawford	County	February 26	June 3.	November 4

District Court

The several terms of the District Court, in and for the year 1872 shall commence in the several Counties thereof as follows

In	Williams	County	April 2
In	Fulton	County	April 3
In	Wood	County	April 4
In	Henry	County	April 9
In	Defiance	County	April 10
In	Paulding	County	April 11
In	Vanwert	County	September 13
In	Allen	County	September 16
In	Putnam	County	September 11
In	Auglaize	County	September 6

See next Page

Courts Continued from Page 16

In	Mercer	County	September 9
In	Shelby	County	September 4
In	Logan	County	August 29
In	Marion	County	April 17
In	Union	County	August 27
In	Crawford	County	April 15
In	Wyandot	County	April 12
In	Hardin	County	September 2
In	Hancock	County	April 19
In	Seneca	County	April 22

And we do further order and direct that the several terms of said Courts shall commence on the first day of each of said terms at eight o'clock A. M.

In testimony whereof, we issue this our order for the purpose aforesaid, at said Court House, on this the 16th day of September A.D. 1871

J. S. Conklin
Chester R. Noll
E. M. Phelps
James Mackenzie
James Pillars
} Judges of said Courts

To the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio who is hereby directed to publish this order for consecutive weeks in each of the several newspapers published in said County

A true copy of the original order now remaining of file

F. T. Arthur Clerk

October Term A.D. 1871

Tuesday October 24th A.D. 1871. This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met in pursuance of law. at 10 o'clock A. M. Present J. D. Conklin Presiding Judge. Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney Robert Sharp Sheriff and F. T. Arthur Clerk

This day the Juros of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit. John Mitchell. William Goff. John Mashill. James Wood, A. J. Thompson. Wm M. Wright. Robert W. Davis. Luther Liggett. M. Sager. James S. Miller. Thomas Stillings. H. C. Hamilton. H. B. Fellmer. Regular Juros. and because the residue of said Juros of that Jury do not appear. others from among the bystanders are. by the Sheriff of said County. at the command of the Court. elected anew to wit, Wm M. Robinson and John Guthrie. Tales Juros. good and lawful men. whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. The Court appoint the said H. C. Hamilton. Foreman. and the said Juros being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room to deliberate. attended by a sworn Constable.

Rufus Smith vs Amaziah Judy 2 settled cost paid

Lawrence Barlow
vs
Henry Wheeler

This cause is settled upon the following terms to wit, said Wheeler is to pay the costs made by him in the transfer of said case from the Docket of A. L. Hemminger. J. P. to the Docket of J. P. Cranston J. P. and is to pay the costs of the continuance. had at his instance. and said Barlow is to pay all the balance of the costs made in said case - Judgment accordingly

Sullington Garwood & Co.
vs
Michael Davis
} Continued

J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown
vs
William Wharry, C. H. Cady & P. Safford
} Continued

William Barthemere
vs
The American Express Co.
} Continued

Frances Thompson
vs
Hyles Sabine
} This case is dismissed at Plaintiffs cost. by Plff. It is therefore considered ordered that defendant recover of Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed at $

John Swan et. al.
vs
Henry Williams et. al.
} Continued

Robert West
vs
Uriah Zimmerman, Phillip Pausch & Fort Monser
} Continued

Tuesday 24th October Term A.D. 1871

Samuel R. Harbert
vs
James H. Neal Jr. } Continued

Thomas N. Paulk
vs
Joseph Price Jr. } Continued

Jane O. M. Jones Jr.
vs
Ketura M. Hodge Jr. } Continued

Andrew J. Spicer Admr &c
vs
William Sprague Jr. } Continued

William H. Grant
vs
Robert Figley } Continued

The First National Bank of Delaware Ohio
vs
James Mulvain } Cognovit October 24th 1871 Interest 8 per cent

This day came into Court The First National Bank of Delaware Ohio. by Ph. C. Lawrence its Attorney and filed its Petition against the said James Mulvain defendant and thereupon R. L. Woodburn one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in Open Court in behalf of the said James Mulvain and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said James Mulvain. and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process upon the said James Mulvain and acknowledged that the said James Mulvain did owe the said First National Bank of Delaware Ohio. the sum of one hundred and twenty five Dollars with interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum from November 5th 1870. to this date. as the Plaintiff hath in its Petition alleged. which would amount to one hundred Thirty four 70/100 Dollars ($134,70) At this date and consented that Judgment be entered for said sum in favor of the plaintiff against said James Mulvain together with the costs herein. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant James Mulvain said sum of $134,70 so confessed to be due as aforesaid and also its costs herein expended taxed to $— and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal or second trial waived by said defendant

John McClarn
vs
William Haines } Continued on the application of Plaintiff with leave to file Petition in forty days

Tuesday October 24th 1871

Mary M. Yearsley
vs
H. T. Knox Et al. } Continued

Wm H. Leeper & T. W. Kezartee
vs
Wm J. Griffis & Martha J. Griffis

This day came on this cause for hearing on motion to confirm the sale made herein by the special master commissioner Robert Sharp - whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said proceedings and sale in all respects lawful - It is therfore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed and the said Special Master ordered to make to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land and that out of the proceeds of said sale the costs of this proceeding and the taxes unpaid on said land, and the ballance applied on the decree herein before rendered -

Rufus Smith vs M. W. Judy & settled costs paid

Wm H. Porter
vs
Thomas Slotter & others } Continued

Hiram W. Pierce
vs
James E. Marks } settled & costs paid

Jacob Leonard
vs
David A. Williams Et al.

The death of Levi Kirk suggested and leave to make his personal representative party defendant

Wm M. McCarty
vs
James T. McCarty Et al.

On motion the Court appoint N. D. Gilbert Guardian ad litem of the Infant defendants who filed his answer whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find due notice hath been given of the filing and pendency of this cause and that plaintiff is entitled to partition as prayed for. It is therfore ordered that by the oaths of ~~J. D. Gay~~ Marvin Philip Snider and Levi Longbrake the Sheriff make Partition of said lands in said Petition mentioned, setting off to plaintiff one third and to each of said defendants one third of the same and report at this term of Court -

William Hatcher
vs
Rees Miller } Continued with leave to file Petition in ~~forty~~ Forty days -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Tomorrow Morning 9½ o'clock

J. W. Conklin Judge

Wednesday October 25th 1871

This Morning the Court met at 9½ o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Wm H. Dunnick
vs
Andrew J. Patterson et al } ₵7

This day came the parties and ~~submitted~~ settled this cause by written agreement on file as follows- said Dunnick delivers to said Patterson the Note sued on and the said Louisa Snider delivers for cancellation the Notes held by her and the Mortgage mentioned in her answer- said Patterson makes a deed of release to said Snyder for said land- said Dunnick is to pay all costs made in the case and settle and remove all tax claims now on said lands and said Snyder gives her Note and Mortgage to said Dunnick for $492. due Eighteen Months after date with interest- It is therefore considered ordered and decreed that said agreement be entered of record and that said Dunnick pay the costs of this cause in twenty days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law-

V. T. Hill
vs
A. H. Snodgrap } ✓

This case is dismissed by plaintiff without prejudice to a new action at the costs of plaintiff. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

Stuart Robinson
vs
Andrew Robinson Sr. et al } Partition

This day came the Parties and it appearing that all the defendants have been duly notified and that Charles Robinson James Robinson, Andrew Robinson, Margaret A. Bradley, Frank D. Bradley, Catharine Howell, and Perry Howell have filed their answer herein disclaiming title to said land but claiming pay for their share thereof in the proportion named in said Petition at $11ᵒᵒ per acre with interest from May 15, 1871. and it appearing that Mary Robinson and John Robinson defendants are Minors under the Guardianship of D. Furtwangler leave is given said D. Furtwangler as Guardian to file his answer which is accordingly done- Thereupon this cause came on to be heard, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the petitioner to be the owner in fee simple in his own right of the forty seven fiftieth part of said tract of land, that he holds the legal title to the remaining three fiftieth parts in trust for Mattie Hallum wife of J. R. Hallum- Mary Robinson and John Robinson minors aforesaid and that Partition of said lands should be made-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff, by the oaths of C. S. Mowry, Reed White, John Bozarth three disinterested freeholders of the vicinity, he make partition of said premises and set off to the petitioner the forty seven fiftieth parts of said tract of land and set off to the said Mattie Hallum, Mary Robinson and John Robinson the other three fiftieth parts thereof and divide

Wednesday October 25th 1871

said three fiftyeth parts in to three equal parts giving to said Mattie Hallum one part and to Mary Robinson one part and to John Robinson one part thereof giving to each the full one fiftyeth part of said 441 acres tract of land and that he report his proceedings herein at this term of court

X John Guthrie
vs
Thomas A. Mapes Sr. et al. } In Partition

It being suggested that Malinda Ann Fletcher one of the defendants in this case has died since the last continuance hereof on motion to the Court by the representatives of said deceased and by the consent of the parties to this action the said representatives are made parties herein to wit George Fletcher Laura Fletcher Leah Fletcher John Fletcher Jane Fletcher Hannah Andrews and Lewis Andrews Ruth Andrews and Archibald Andrews

X Droggan Bailey
vs
Edward Bailey Sr. et al. } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter & Sterling Attorneys for the plaintiff N. A. Gilbert one of the Attorneys of this Court is appointed Guardian ad litem for Abbot Bailey, Balsam Bailey, Barman Bailey Harriet Bailey, Wilson Bailey, Savana Bailey, Clement Bailey Edward Blue, Martha Blue, Michael Blue, Sarah Blue, Delitia Blue, Susan Blue, Joseph Carter, and Gideon Carter infant defendants in the above case

X Droggan Bailey
vs
Edward Bailey Sr. et al. } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter & Sterling Attorneys for the plaintiff it is ordered that by the oaths of A. S. Mowry, ~~David~~ J. A. White and John Bozart partition be made of said lands described in plaintiffs Petition in the following proportions to wit, To the said Droggan Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Edward[2] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Margaret Bennett[3] one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Benjamin[4] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Elitia Rice[5] one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said William[6] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Shannon[7] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part to the said Wayne[8] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Audrain[9] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Abbot[10] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Balsam[11] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Barman[12] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Harriet[13] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Wilson[14] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Savana[15] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Clement[16] Bailey one Equal Eighteenth part, to the said Edward Blue, Martha Blue, Michael Blue, Sarah Blue, Delitia Blue and Susan Blue each one sixth of one Eighteenth part, and to the said Joseph Carter and Gideon Carter each one half of one Eighteenth part, and it is further ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him

Wednesday October 25th Term 1871

to cause partition to be made accordingly. and that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

Elisha Hardy
vs
A. E. Farnum & B. W. Miller
} Cognovit

And now comes the said Elisha Hardy by John J. Williams his Attorney and files his Petition against the said A. E. Farnum & B. W. Miller thereupon J. W. Robinson one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in Open Court in behalf of the said A. E. Farnum & B. W. Miller and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed & now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process & confessed that the said A. E. Farnum & B. W. Miller do owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of $402.37 as the said plaintiff hath in his said Petition set forth. It is therefore considered that the said Elisha Hardy do recover from the said A. E. Farnum & B. W. Miller the said sum of $402.37 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released & all right of Appeal waived by said A. E. Farnum & B. W. Miller

William M. Hardy
vs
A. E. Farnum & Erastus Farnum
} Cognovit

And now comes the said William M. Hardy by John J. Williams his Attorney and files his Petition against the said A. E. Farnum and Erastus Farnum. thereupon J. W. Robinson one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in Open Court in behalf of the said A. E. Farnum & Erastus Farnum and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed & now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process & confessed that the said A. E. Farnum and Erastus Farnum do owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of $676.19 as the said plaintiff hath in his said Petition set forth. It is therefore considered that the said William M. Hardy do recover from the said A. E. Farnum & Erastus Farnum the said sum of $676.19 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by said A. E. Farnum & Erastus Farnum

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

J. V. Conkling
Judge

Thursday October 26th 1871

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

William Wilcox
vs
David Gordon & Martha J. Gordon

This day came the said William Wilcox by his Attorneys and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition of Plaintiff. it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover of the said David Gordon the sum of Three hundred and thirty five 55/100 Dollars as the plaintiff hath in his Petition demanded. It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover of the said David Gordon the said sum of three hundred and thirty five 55/100 Dollars so found due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $-
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant David Gordon fails for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay to plaintiff said sum of $335.55 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit- an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Hefs. Blum & Co.
vs
J. F. Snider & Co. et al.

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiffs and the answer of R. L. Broom the other defendants being in default for answer, on consideration whereof the Court do find that the said plaintiffs ought to recover of the said J. F. Snider & Co. the sum of Fourteen hundred and Ninety one 42/100 Dollars as the plaintiffs in their petition hath demanded- It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover of the said J. F. Snider & Co. the said sum of Fourteen hundred and Ninety one 42/100 Dollars the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendants J. F. Snider & Co. fail for three days from the close of this Term of Court to pay to the plaintiffs said sum of Fourteen hundred and Ninety one 42/100 Dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit. an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in the Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and as to the Question of priority of liens and distribution of proceeds this cause is continued

Elizabeth Hopkins
vs
Warren S. Robinson & Harriet M. Robinson

On motion to the Court by the Plaintiff and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County

Thursday October 26. 1871

of a sale of real estate made by him on the 12th day of August 1871 to Mary A. Hathaway on an order of sale made in this case and dated on the 3d day of July 1871. and the Court on Examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law. it is ordered that said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold

Hiram Reed
vs
Mathew Reed et al } In Partition

And now comes the said Hiram Reed by Robinson & Piper his counsel and on his motion and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under former order of this Court. and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law- it is ordered that the said proceedings and sale be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed and the Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple- and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the money in his hands pay first the costs of this case including a counsel fee of $10.00 to Robinson & Piper taxed to $- also all the taxes on said premises including the tax for the year 1871. and that out of the residue he pay to the said Hiram Reed one equal tenth part. to the said Mathew Reed one equal tenth part. to the said David Reed one equal tenth part- to the said Stephanus Reed one equal tenth part- to the said Hester Reed one equal tenth part- to the said Rebecca Reed one equal tenth part- to the said Adaline Drakeord one equal tenth part to the said Mariah Deaver one equal tenth part- to the said Christopher Gris Guardian of the said John Reed one equal tenth part- and to the said Wm M. Robinson Guardian of said Martha Reed one equal tenth part

1048

Emma. F. Knight
vs
James H. Wall } On motion to the Court by the plaintiff for a rule requiring plaintiff to give security for the costs of said action on the ground that Plaintiff is a nonresident of this County it is ordered that said plaintiff give good and sufficient security for said costs by the second day of November 1871. at 10 oclock A. M.

Thomas. Yearsley
vs
Lewis Potee et al } This day came on this cause to be heard on the answer of Marion Inskeep. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of said answer are all true and there is due said Inskeep from said Potee the sum of $203. which is the first lien on said premises as alleged in said answer- It is therefore ordered and

Thursday October 26. 1871

decreed that if said Lewis Potee shall for ten days fail to pay to said Marion Bristley said sum of ten hundred and three dollars with interest from this date that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said premises according to law to pay said claim and the proceeds bring into Court for distribution

John. S. Fleck
vs
F. W. Melching Et al

This day came on this cause to be heard and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said F. W. Melching doth owe Robson L. Broom as part of the purchase money of said Lot the sum of three hundred and forty Eight Dollars which is a lien from April 6 1869. that the Mechanics lien for the sum found due the plaintiff commenced October 21. 1869. that the said Henry E. Melching has a Mechanics lien on said premises for one hundred and fifty seven 15/100 Dollars which commenced June 14. 1870. that J. W. Field has a claim which is a lien on said premises for $13 5/100 commencing as a lien Augst 10, 1870 - that Pierson and Shearer have a claim which is a lien on said premises for $25 63 commencing August 11 1870 - The Court further find that said Frederick Melching and Mary A. Melching by their Mortgage deed duly recorded conveyed said premises to Maria M. Melching on which Mortgage there is due to her the sum of Eight hundred and thirty five Dollars and which lien dates from November 8, 1870 - and the Court further find that said Key and Smith hold a Mortgage given by said Frederick Melching and his wife Mary A. Melching for $140 12/100 Dollars duly recorded Dec. 8 1870 not yet due and which is a lien on said premises unpaid

1048

John. S. Fleck
vs
Frederick W. Melching Et al

P-2

This day came on for hearing on motion of the purchaser of the property to set aside the sale herein made - also on the motion of defendants to confirm the said sale - whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said proceedings and sale in all respects legal and regular and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the same be confirmed and the Sheriff of the County is authorized and required to execute and deliver to said purchasers a deed in fee simple clear of all claims of all the defendants including the contingent right of Dower in said premises - and it is further ordered that of the proceeds of said sale the costs of these proceedings be first paid and that all taxes now a lien on said premises be also paid and of the ballance be divided as follows to wit: First the amount due to Robson L. Broom due him as part of the purchase money for said Lot - second the amount due John. S. Fleck as herein before decreed - 3d the amount due Henry E. Melching as herein before decreed - 4th the amount due J. W. Fields herein decreed - 5th the amount due Pierson & Shearer herein before decreed - 6th the amount due Maria M. Melching as is herein before decreed so far as the said proceeds remain undisposed of - and it is further ordered that the Sheriff deliver to said purchasers the full possession of said premises

Thursday October 26. 1871

on their demand - and the claims of either of the parties which shall remain unpaid shall not be prejudiced by this decree but said Property is to be released from the liens of all the defendants

Levi Longbrake, J. M. Southard
A. J. Sterling, J. K. Richey, Joseph
Newlove, E. Weller, J. W. Sterling
and Thomas Martin Partners
doing busines under the firm name
of The Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
David Watson, B. H. Williams & John Williams } Cognovit

And now comes the said Farmers Bank of Union County by Potter & Sterling their Attorneys and file their Petition against the said David Watson, B. H. Williams and John Williams and thereupon S. W. Ayers one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said David Watson B. H. Williams and John Williams and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of proces and confessed that the said David Watson, B. H. Williams and John Williams do owe to the said plaintiffs the said sum of $3256.60/100 as the said plaintiffs hath in their said Petition set forth, and confess Judgment therefor -
It is therefore considered that the said Farmers Bank of Union County recover of the said David Watson, B. H. Williams and John Williams the said sum of $3256.60 so confessed to be due and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ -
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by the said defendants

William L. Piper
vs
James H. Neal & Mary R. Neal }

And now comes the said Wm. L. Piper and the said James H. Neal and Mary R. Neal having failed to demur or answer to the Petition of the said William L. Piper, it is considered that the said Wm. L. Piper ought to recover the said sum of $320.80/100 so demanded in his Petition together with the sum of $20.56/100 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon, as prayed for in said Petition It is therefore considered that the said Wm. L. Piper recover against the said James H. Neal and Mary R. Neal the said sum of $341.36/100 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $ -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. L. Countryman
Judge

Friday October 27. 1871

This morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight } The amended Petition being filed without leave. It is ordered that plaintiff now have leave to file his amended Petition which he does by refiling his amended petition marked filed July 10. 1871

(Reuben Cook vs George Mast } In Partition

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the report of the commissioners in partition- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do approve and confirm the same and it is ordered that said George Mast hold in severalty the Lot in his possession appraised at $350 and that Reuben Cook hold the Lot in his possession appraised at $400. and by the consent of both Parties it is ordered and decreed that the remainder of said premises be sold. being the Mill and Mill yard which is appraised at $500- and it is ordered that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to sell the same according to law. and the proceeds to bring into Court for distribution hereafter in such manner as to Equalize between said parties the whole of the premises for that purpose this cause is continued

† Robson L. Broom vs John Long & others } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of plaintiff and the answers of the said A. James Sterling and the said J. G. & F. Howard the said John Long and Ellen Long and the said J. B. Wilkins being in default for answer and as to the said Crowley Dickinson & Co. the Sheriff returned not found" in consideration whereof the Court do find that the said plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant John Long the sum of Seven hundred and forty Two & 75/100 Dollars as the plaintiff in his Petition hath alleged

It is therfore considered that the plaintiff recover of the said John Long the said sum of Seven hundred and forty two & 75/100 Dollars the same so found due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf Expended taxed at $- and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant John Long fails for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay to the plaintiff said sum of seven hundred and forty two & 75/100 Dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in the Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and as to the Question of priority of liens and distribution of proceeds this cause is continued

Friday October 27. 1871

Robson. L. Broom
vs
Sylvester P. Hobbs Sr.

This day came the said Robson. L. Broom by his Attorneys and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition of plaintiff. It is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant Sylvester P. Hobbs the sum of Eight hundred Dollars as the plaintiff hath in his Petition demanded- It is therefore considered that the said Plaintiff recover of the said Sylvester P. Hobbs the said sum of Eight hundred dollars so found due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $-. And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant Sylvester P. Hobbs fails for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay to the plaintiff said sum of eight hundred dollars. so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit. an order issue to the Sheriff of said County. commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in the Petition described. to be appraised. advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

William L. Piper
vs
James M. Neal

And now comes the said William L. Piper and the said James M. Neal having failed to demur or answer to the Petition of the said William L. Piper it is considered that the said William L. Piper ought to recover the said sum of $500.00 so demanded in his Petition together with the sum of $58.50 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said Petition. It is therefore considered that the said William L. Piper recover against the said James M. Neal the said sum of $558.50/100 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $-

James Tullington. Charles Phellis & Wm. Woods
vs
Wm. H. H. Tilus. S. M. Hewett. & P. Manchester
Cognovit

And now comes the said Tullington. Phellis & Woods by Robinson & Piper their Attorneys & file their Petition against the said Tilus Hewett & Manchester & thereupon M. C. Lawrence one of the Attorneys of this Court & appeared in open Court & in behalf of the said Tilus. Hewett & Manchester & by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed & now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing & service of process & confessed that the said Tilus. Hewett & Manchester do owe to the said plaintiffs the said sum of $897.38 as the said plaintiffs doth in their said Petition set forth- It is therefore considered that the said Tullington Phellis & Woods recover from the said Tilus. Hewett & Manchester the said sum of $897.38. so confessed to be due & also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $-
and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right- of Appeal waived by said Wm. H. H. Tilus S. M. Hewett and P. Manchester

Charles W. Rosette
& Isaac Gray
vs
George Clark

This day came the parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit:- Henry S. Merriott Joseph Parish Perry Buck W. M. Benson Regular Jurors. Thomas Stillings. Wm. M. Robinson. John M. Sabine Reuben Foot. John Reever. Beverly Keyes. Madison Liggett and David Clement tales Jurors- who being duly Empannelled and Sworn the truth to speak upon the Issue Joined between the parties do upon their oaths say they find for the Plaintiffs and assess their damages at twenty five dollars- and thereupon defendant moved the Court to grant a new trial for reasons on file which motion the Court overruled- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff receover of said defendant said sum of Twenty five dollars found due and their costs herein expended taxed to $-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. S. Conklin Judge

Saturday October 28. 1871

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

French Garwood, Admr. of Dixon Mitchell Dec'd.
vs
Davis Vance

And now comes the said French Garwood by his Attorneys Robinson & Piper and the said Davis Vance still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the Court with the consent of said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff on the Notes in said Petition set forth the sum of $1096 4/0 It is therefore considered by said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $1096 4/0 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $- And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $1096 4/0 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to Robert Sharp Sheriff of Union County as Special Master Commissioner of this Court commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be Appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered - and as to the remaining Notes not due and all other matters this cause is continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday Morning 10 oclock

J. S. Conklin Judge

Monday October 30th 1871

This morning the Court met at 10 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

The State of Ohio } Recognizance
vs } Indictment for selling Liquors contrary to law
Uriah. D. Alden }

This day came in open Court Uriah. D. Alden and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of two hundred Dollars. to be levied of his goods and chattels. lands and tenements. if default be made in the following condition to wit:. that the said Uriah. D. Alden be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof. to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court. and not depart the Court without leave. then this recognizance to be void. otherwise to be and remain in full force

Maskill Dockum } No 488. & 664
vs
Harriet. E. Dockum extxr &c
of Andrew. Dockum Decsd. }

These two causes having been consolidated as herein before ordered and James Guy being now the Administrator with the will annexed of the estate of Andrew Dockum Decsd- the said parties appeared and thereupon came a Jury to wit:, Henry T. Merriott. Wesley Garrard. W. M. Benson. Joseph Parish Perry Buck. Joseph Temple. Regular Juros. Wm Cartmell. J. W. Harrington Philip Snider. James Brown. J. B. Whelpley. Jerry Danforth tales Juros who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give according to the evidence after hearing the parties. and the testimony and the charge of Court returned their verdict for the defendant duly signed by their foreman whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $-
And as the Note in said Petition described as $110. given to John Jones on which there has been but one trial the plaintiff gives notice of 2d trial as per agreement of consolidation and second trial is allowed under the Statutes as to that Note on giving bond according to law in the sum of $100.00

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. L. Conklin Judge

Tuesday October 31st 1871

This morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Rufus Smith
vs
O. Wells

And now comes the said Rufus Smith and the said O. Wells having failed to answer or demur to the Petition of the said Rufus Smith it is considered that the said Rufus Smith ought to recover the said sum of $196 Cts so demanded in his Petition together with the sum of $2 81 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition; it is therefore considered that the said Rufus Smith recover against the said O. Wells the said sum of $199 80 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $—

The State of Ohio
vs
Monroe Oglesby
Assault & Battery

The defendant Monroe Oglesby this day was arraigned in open Court and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court that he make his fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of seven dollars and pay the costs of prosecution taxed to $— and it is further ordered that the defendant stand committed until said fine and costs are fully paid

Peter Mayo vs John Mayo et al } Continued under former order

Lewis Foos
vs
John M. Hall et al } Continued

Alonzo Teeters
vs
Ralph Moffitt & Elizabeth Moffitt } Continued former order

Thomas W. Powell
vs
Henry Fehlings } Continued under former order

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

J. L. Conklin Judge

Wednesday November 1st 1871

This morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. L. Conklin Judge

Thursday November 2d 1871

This morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Isaac Gray
vs
Christy Stultz

This day came the parties and waived the calling and empannelling a Jury in this case and by consent submitted the same to the Court. and the Court being fully advised in the premises and with the consent of the parties. do find that the right of possession and right of property in the goods and chattels in said petition set forth was at the time this suit was commenced and ever since have been and now are with said plaintiff and the Court with the like consent of the parties do find that said defendant both unlawfully detain said property - and Assess the damage by reason of such unlawful detention at one cent -

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of said defendant the sum of one cent his damages so as aforesaid sustained together with his costs herein expended taxed to ff

State of Ohio
vs
John H. Coleman
Indictment for grand Larceny

This day came Harrison McFadden surety on Recognizance for said John H. Coleman and delivered said defendant in open Court. and thereupon was by Court discharged from any further responsibility on said recognizance and said defendant was delivered into hands of Sheriff.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. L. Conklin Judge

Salem Friday November 3d 1871

This morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

X Croggan Bailey
is
Edward Bailey &c. } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling Attorneys for the plaintiff and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the commissioners herein before appointed. and the same being examined- It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed; and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners, on motion of plaintiff it is ordered that said estate be sold at public auction by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to the statute in such case made and provided—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. S. Conklin Judge

Saturday November 4th 1871

This Morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X Stuart Robinson
is
Andrew Robinson &c. } In Partition

This day came this cause on to be heard on the Report of the Sheriff and the commissioners in partition herein before appointed. and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said proceedings and report in all respects regular and lawful- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said report and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed- and it is ordered and adjudged that the said Stuart Robinson stand and remain seized in his own right and hold in severalty the Lot of land aforesaid set off to him in said Report- And that the said Mattie Hallum Mary Robinson and John Robinson stand seized and hold in severalty the Twenty five acres set off to them in common- And it appearing that said twenty five acre Lot cannot be divided between said three persons as required by said order- without manifest injury- and neither of said persons electing to take the same at the valuation and no one objecting- it is

Saturday November 4th 1871

ordered by the Court that said Sheriff proceed to advertise and sell said Twenty five acres according to law- and bring the same into Court for distribution- for which purpose and the purpose of assessing costs this cause is continued

Jos. S. Dillon
vs
Simeon J. Sturbin

This cause is continued both as to the defendant and the Garnishees. who are held to answer as to any Notes or property held by them- and as to any indebtedness by them to the defendant At the first day of next term of this Court

Thomas Martin
vs
Joseph R. Hatcher

On motion of plaintiff on affidavit this cause is continued at plaintiffs cost

Thomas Martin
vs
Newton Case

On motion of plaintiff on affidavit this cause is continued at plaintiffs cost

William Selover
vs
Daniel Selover

This cause is dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution- It is therefore adjudged that plaintiff pay the costs of this proceeding taxed at $—

Silas Pgou
vs
Reuben Moore

The defendant having paid the Notes mentioned in the Petition and having paid all costs to this date. the plaintiff dismissed the cause as to the account without prejudice

Maria Stout
vs
Samuel Stout

} continued

Isaac Mallox
vs
Thomas Audas

This cause is settled as per written agreement on file at plaintiffs cost- Judgment accordingly

J. M. McCune. E. T. Mithoff. George W. Maris and C. C. Griswold. Partners in busines under the firm name of J. McCune. Mithoff & Co.
vs
David Watson and H. D. Kimball

This day came the

Saturday November 4th 1871

Plaintiffs - by their Attorney - and the said defendants David Watson and H. D. Kimball came not but made default - thereupon the plaintiffs submitted this cause to the Court - neither party demanding a Jury - upon consideration whereof the Court do find the allegations of the petition to be true - and that there is due from the defendants to the plaintiff on the Note in the Petition set forth: at this date the sum of Eight Hundred Eighty four 84/100 Dollars ($884.84)

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiffs recover of said defendants, David Watson and Hiram D. Kimball said sum of eight-hundred Eighty four 84/100 Dollars - the sum so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to ℔ -

J. M. McCune, E. T. Mithoff, George W. Maris and C. C. Griswold Partners in busines under the firm name of McCune Mithoff & Co.

vs

J. H. Neal, Mary R. Neal his wife & J. M. Welsh

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney - and the said defendants came not but made default: thereupon neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiff - and the Court on consideration thereof do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant J. H. Neal to the Plaintiffs at this date upon the first Note in the Petition mentioned the sum of one Hundred and forty 78/100 Dollars ($140.78) and the Court also finds that said sum is a lien upon the Real Estate described in the Petition - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendant J. H. Neal said sum of $140.78 so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to ℔ -

It is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that if the defendant J. H. Neal shall fail to pay said sum of money and costs - within ten days from this date: an order of Sale shall issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition described as upon judgments at law - and that of the proceeds he pay the plaintiffs claim and interest and costs - and that he bring the residue if any into Court: and as to the Note not due this cause is continued

James Tullington, Charles Phellis & W. W. Woods Partners in busines under the firm name of Tullington Phellis and Woods

vs

Absolom Rudolph, Sarah E. Rudolph his wife and William Thompson

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the said defendants came not but made default - and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiffs upon the Petition testimony and Exhibits - on consideration whereof the Court finds that since the commencement of this suit the Note first mentioned in the Petition has been paid in full and that there

Saturday November 4th 1871

is now due to the plaintiffs from the defendant Absolom Rudolph on the second Note in the Petition set forth at this date the sum of Four hundred and forty Dollars and fifty five cents after deducting payments made on said Note since this suit was commenced- and the Court further find that said sum is a lien upon the lands and tenements in the Petition set forth as therein stated-

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that if the defendants fail for ten days from this date to pay to the Plaintiffs said sum of Four hundred and forty $\frac{55}{100}$ Dollars and interest and the Costs of this suit- then and in that case that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County- commanding him to proceed to Appraise advertise and sell said Real Estate in the Petition described- as upon Judgments at law- and that of the proceeds he first pay the costs- second the plaintiffs claim and interest- and 3d the balance if any to the defendant Absolom Rudolph

The State of Ohio
vs
John. H. Coleman
} Recognizance
Indictment for Grand Larceny

This day came in open Court John. H. Coleman. Mary E. Coleman. William. N. Coleman and Aaron Swick and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Six Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit.. that the said John. H. Coleman be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for Grand Larceny and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave. then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force

Charles Phillis
vs
David Watson & Emily A. Watson his wife et al.
}

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiff. the answers of the Champaign National Bank- Henry Weaver and James. W. Robinson for himself and as Asignee of R. A. Gilbert together with the exhibits and testimony- the Defendants. B. Hinkson et al and the Citizens National Bank and David and Emily. A. Watson being in default- upon consideration whereof the Court does find the allegations of the Petition to be true- and that there was a mutual mistake and misunderstanding of the Parties as alleged in the Petition-

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that said Mortgage mentioned in the Petition be corrected as therein prayed for- so as to more fully and completely cover that portion of the lands lying in Champaign County- And upon further consideration the Court finds that there is due at this date from David

Saturday November 4th 1871

Watson to Charles Phillis- on the Note mentioned in the Petition the sum of seven Thousand seven Hundred and forty two Dollars (7742^{00}) which sum bears interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum until paid- and is a lien upon the premises in the Petition mentioned by virtue of the Mortgage therein set forth- which lien is Prior to and better than those of any of the defendants- who have filed answers and upon further consideration the Court finds that there is due to James W. Robinson from David Watson- ~~on the Judgment in his favor and from Lafhaun~~ and Watson on the Judgment in favor of N. A. Gilbert which is now owned by said Robinson the sum of Four hundred Sixty Six $^{55}/_{100}$ Dollars- Debt and 6^{35} costs- which is a lien upon the lands in the Petition mentioned as well as upon other lands owned by said David Watson And the Court further finds that there is due from said Watson D. d. to the defendant Henry Weaver on the Judgment set up in said Weavers answer the sum of 3165^{00} with interest from June 19. 1871 and 16^{34} costs- which sum and interest and costs are a lien on the lands in the Petition mentioned by virtue of the levy mentioned in the answer of said Weaver- as well as upon other lands of said Watson-

And the Court further finds that there is due from the defendant David Watson to the defendant the Champaign National Bank of Urbana Ohio on the Judgment in its answer set up- the sum of 5118^{30} and interest from March 14th 1870 and costs amounting to 34^{50} which sum of Money with interest and costs are a lien upon the lands in the Petition mentioned by virtue of the levy mentioned in its answer- as well as upon other lands of said Watson-

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said David Watson Pay to the said Charles Phellis within ten days from this date said sum of 7742^{00} with interest at eight per cent Per annum from this date- and the costs of this Proceeding- and that he pay to each of the other defendants within said time the several sums found due them respectively with interest and costs- And in default of payment to said Plaintiff or to either of said defendants- that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to Proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon Judgments at law- as to the rights of other Parties not disposed of and for report of Sale this Cause is continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Tuesday Morning 10 oclock

J. L. Conklin Judge

Tuesday November 7. 1871

This Morning the Court met at 10 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday Saturday

Charles Phillis
vs
David Watson

This day came the parties and submitted this cause on the cross Petition of J. W. Robinson whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Robinson recover of David Watson the sum set up in his answer amounting to this day to $466 55/100 debt and $6 50/100 costs which is lien on said lands— It is therefore ordered that if the defendant David Watson fail for twenty days to pay said sum with interest that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff commanding him to sell said premises according to law to satisfy said claim

Law & Weil &c
vs
Nathan E. Patrick

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the general demurer of the said plaintiffs to the answer of said defendant and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find and order that all of the so called second count in the said demur be Stricken from the files— but otherwise sustained said demur— and thereupon said defendant asks and has leave to file an amended answer herein in 30 days & continued

Emma F. Knight
vs
James H. Wall

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit:— Henry Myers. Stephen Cranston. Regular jurors Wm M. Robinson. A. D. Doolittle. Thomas Wharton. Henry Knott. John W. Robinson. James Norris. John F. Sabine. David Clement. Levi Longbrake. Daniel Longbrake. tales Jurors— who were duly examined cautioned and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the Parties to the action— and the case being fully submitted to them— they upon their oaths do say that they find for the Plaintiff Emma F. Knight and assess her damages at fifty cents— whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant James H. Wall the said sum of 50 cents together with her costs herein expended taxed to $

James W. Waldon
vs
J. A. Culbertson

And now comes the said James W. Waldon and the said J. A. Culbertson having failed to answer or demur to the Petition of the said James W. Waldon It is considered that the said James W. Waldon ought to recover the said sum of $800

Tuesday November 7th 1871

so demanded in his Petition, together with the sum of $32 53/100 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said Petition—
It is therefore considered that the said James W. Waldon recover against the said J. D. Culbertson the said sum of $832 53/100 Dollars being the amount of both debt and interest together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

X Hylas Sabine vs Isaac Gray } This day came the parties and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the general demurrer of the defendant to the Petition of Plaintiffs and the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said demurrer to which ruling of the Court in overruling said demurr the said defendant excepts—
And thereupon defendant filed his answer

X The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Recognizance

This day came in open Court William Richter and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of two hundred dollars, to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements, if default be made in the following condition to wit: that the said William Richter be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling intoxicating Liquors contrary to law and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

X The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Recognizance

This day came in open Court William Weber and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in in the penal sum of two hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements, if default be made in the following condition to wit: that the said William Weber be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof, to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling Intoxicating Liquors Contrary to law and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

X State of Ohio vs Peter Roush } Recognizance

This day came in open Court Peter Roush and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of two Hundred Dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit: that the said Peter Roush be and

and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof, to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

J J Hostetler Judge

Wednesday November 8th 1871

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Kuhn Stern & Co.
vs
Isaac N. Green

This day came the Plaintiff and dismissed this action without Prejudice to a new action at the costs of Plaintiff— It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

George L. Converse
vs
David Rees, John & Wm Town & Wm Pirtle

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court upon the cross Petition of David Rees and the separate answer of John and William Town and Wm Pirtle thereto and the Court being fully advised in the premises find that the Equity of the case is with the defendants John & Wm Town & Wm Pirtle as against the said David Rees— It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the cross Petition of the said David Rees defendant do from henceforth stand absolutely dismissed out of this Court with costs to be taxed at $—
and as to the Petition of George L. Converse vs David Rees the case be continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

J J Hostetler Judge

Thursday November 9th 1871

This Morning the Court met at 8½ o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

David D. Bolenbaugh
vs
Mary L. Bolenbaugh } Divorce

This day came on this cause to be heard on the Petition and Evidence whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant hath been duly served with process and that the averments of said Petition are all true It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Marriage relation between the said plaintiff and defendant be and the same is hereby dissolved and annulled and said Parties divorced and it is further ordered by the Court that said plaintiff have the care, maintainance, and Education of their child named in said Petition and it is ordered that plaintiff pay the costs herein in ten days

Elijah Witter Admr. &c
vs
David Watson Dec'd } .

Now comes the plaintiff and asks leave to amend Petition by making Matilda Park, Jacob Leonard and Wm. T. Watson defendants which motion is granted and this cause is continued to the next term

P. G. Buckhanan
vs
J. L. Cameron, Levi Longbake
Thomas Brown J. B. Whelpley,
and J. M. Kennedy } .

This day this cause came on to be heard on the Petition of the plaintiff the answers of J. L. Cameron and J. M. Kennedy the other defendants having failed to Answer or demur and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the Petition to be true
and the Court finds that there is due from the defendant J. L. Cameron to the plaintiff on the Notes described in the Petition the sum of $240.88 for his principal and interest thereon and that the same is a lien upon the premises described in the Petition
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of said J. L. Cameron the sum of $240.88 the amount aforesaid found due together with his costs herein Expended taxed to $
and in default of payment for ten days then that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to sell the lands and tenements described in said Petition to satisfy said Judgment and costs as upon execution and it further appearing to the Court that the said J. L. Cameron Mortgagor has parted with his interest in said premises and that the following portion was by him last sold to wit Beginning at a stake in the center of center street South west corner to Thomas Brown thence N. 1½ E. with the line of said Brown 12 Poles 3 links to a stake Thence N. 88. W 77 feet to a stake N. E. corner to L. Pipers land Thence S. 1½ W. 12 Poles & 3 links to a stake in the center of said center street thence with the center of said center street to the beginning It is ordered that the premises last above described be by said Sheriff first sold and the proceeds first expended for the

Thursday November 9th 1871

payment of said claim and costs- and that said Sheriff report his proceedings to the next term of this Court

Isaac J. Marsh
vs
George T. Bennett Jr. al. } No 931

This day came on this cause to be heard by the Court upon the report and the exceptions filed thereto- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule the said exceptions and confirm said report- It is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said report stand confirmed and approved which shows that after paying all the debts of said company and converting all the assetts of said company into money there remains in the hands of said referee the sum of $3460 26/100 to be distributed as follows to wit.
$610 14/100 to P. B. Cole Assignee in Bankruptcy of Williams and Bennett $2682 67/100 to Isaac J. Marsh and $167 45/100 to P. A. Marsh- and it is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the costs of this proceeding including a fee of $25.00 to French Garwood Referee be paid as follows viz- one half by said Isaac J. Marsh and the other half by P. B. Cole as said Assignee out of the said $610 14/100 herein before ordered to be paid to him

Millers. Green & Joyce
vs
Thomas Brown & others } No 1120

This day came the Parties hereto and submitted this cause to the Court neither party requiring a Jury, whereupon the Court find there is due the Plaintiffs from the said Thomas Brown as alleged in said Petition on the Mortgage therein described and recorded February 18th 1870 the sum of Twenty one hundred and eight 15/100 Dollars with interest from the from the first day of this term of Court- and which sum is a lien on all the lands in said Petition described from the first day of February 1870- The Court further find there is due to Marcella R. Johnson on the Note and Mortgage given by Thomas Brown and Mary E. Brown his wife as alleged in her cross Petition the sum of six hundred and Ninety one 50/100 Dollars which is a lien on the 3 64/100 acre Lot in said Petition described from the 29th of April 1869-
The Court further find that C. H. Ewing & Co. have a Judgment in this Court against said Thomas Brown for the sum of $216 24 debt and $8 26/100 costs with interest from February 24. 1871. At which time it became a lien on all of the lands in said Petition described- The Court further find that George W. McAlpin & Co. have a Judgment against said Thomas Brown for the sum of $317.00 debt and $5 25/100 costs with interest from the 24th of February 1871 which is a lien on all of said lands from said date-
The Court further find that the Farmers Bank of Union County Ohio have a Judgment against said Thomas Brown for the sum of six hundred and two dollars debt and $5.73 costs with interest from the 25th of April 1870. from which time the same became a lien on all of said lands- The Court further find that Henry Crist purchased from Thomas Brown and his wife Mary E. Brown by warranty deed November 17. 1870 the half acre Lot in his answer described- The Court further find that on the 14th of February 1871 the said Thomas Brown and A. D. Mowrey made a division of the lands in said Petition described as held by them in common into Town Lots and divided the lots between them as set off in the

Thursday November 9th 1871

answer of said Mowry. but by consent of all parties hereto- the Court order a rescission of the division of said lots between said Brown and said Mowry, but the division of the same into Town Lots is ratified and confirmed and by like consent if a Sale is made of said Lots under the decree hereinafter made that it is ordered that the same be sold as entireties and the proceeds thereof equally divided between said Mowry and the said creditors of said Thomas Brown and the Court find said Mowry has paid said Brown $ 9 cts given his Note and Mortgage for $150 with interest from February 14, 1871 and due the Feb-14, 1872. for one of said Lots as in said answer alleged- Therefore It is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of said Thomas Brown the said sum of twenty one hundred and eight $ 5/100 Dollars debt & $ their costs herein expended and that said Marcella R. Johnson recover of Thomas Brown said sum of six hundred and Ninety one $ 50/100 Dollars and her costs herein expended taxed to $- And It is further ordered and decreed by the Court that if said Thomas Brown fail for ten days to pay said sum found due to plaintiffs with interest and cost as aforesaid that an order of sale ipse to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed special Master for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said premises to satisfy their said claim according to law- And if said Thomas Brown and Mary E. Brown his wife fail for ten days to pay said $691 50/100 Dollars with interest to said Marcella R. Johnson it is ordered that an oder of sale ipse in her favor to the said Sheriff as said Special Master commissioner- commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the 3 64/160 acre Lot in said Petition described to satisfy her said claim according to law- and it is further ordered and decreed that if said Thomas Brown fail for ten days to pay either of said Judgments to C. H. Duhring & Co. with interest and costs to George W. McAlpin & Co. with interest and costs- To the Farmers Bank and interest and costs then that an order of sale ipse to said Sheriff as said Master commissioner commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the said Real estate in said Petition described- And it is further ordered and decreed that said Note and Mortgage given by said ~~Brown~~ D. S. Mowry to A. D. Mowry are hereby cancelled and annulled and said Brown ordered and decreed to pay to said Mowry the said Nine Dollars in ten days or Execution ipse therefor as upon Judgments at law- And it appearing that Henry Crist has since said Mortgages were executed purchased of said Brown a part of said lands. It is ordered that said Sheriff proceed to sell said lands according to law excluding said one half acre in said Crists answer described- And that if he find sufficient of such lands liable to sale to satisfy said sums due to said plaintiffs and to said Marcella R. Johnson and said Farmers Bank excluding the half acre described in the answer of said Henry Crist- that he sell the same leaving the said half acre unsold until all the other parts of said lands and Lots are disposed of- And as to all questions arising out of the Homestead Exemption laws and all other questions including the claim of Pierson and Shearer not disposed of this cause is continued-

C. M. Robinson
vs
J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville } Continued

Andrew Keyes vs Samuel McCampbell & al. } Continued

Thursday November 9th 1871

Y — J. N. Hamilton Admr &c vs W. A. Dannick S.A. } On motion to the Court leave is granted to J. N. Hamilton Admr &c to file supplemental Petition within 30 days and this cause is continued

Y — Reuben W. Weisz vs James Mashcha S.A. } Continued

Y — John T. Ogleve vs Miller, Timmons & Co. S.A. } Continued

X — John Weidman vs Margaretta Robinson S.A. } Continued

X — James Gump vs Levi Hager } Continued on motion of plaintiff at his costs

✓ — Joseph Newlove - A. J. Sterling, J. H. Sterling, Ed. Weller - J. M. Southard - Thos. Martin, Levi Longbrake & J. K. Richey, Partners in business under the firm name of Farmers Bank of Union County vs James Robinson, W. W. Woodburn & D. N. Hamilton } Cognovit

This day came the said Plaintiffs and filed their Petition against the said James Robinson, W. W. Woodburn and D. N. Hamilton and thereupon John L. Foster one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said James Robinson, W. W. Woodburn and D. N. Hamilton defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendants and now produced and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendants, and acknowledged that the said James Robinson, W. W. Woodburn and D. N. Hamilton doth owe the said Plaintiffs, the sum of Three hundred thirty three & 20/100 Dollars on the Note in the Petition mentioned - and consented that Judgment be entered in favor of said Plaintiffs, as against said defendants, for said sum of money and costs of suit - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of said defendants James Robinson, W. W. Woodburn and D. N. Hamilton said sum of $333.20 so confessed to be due - and also their costs herein taxed to $ - and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal waived by the said defendants -

Y — Oziel Lapham vs Hayden, Hutchinson & Co. S.A. } Continued

X — Oziel Lapham vs Pullington Phillis Woods } Continued

Thursday November 9th 1871

James. Fullington. Charles Phellis & W. W. Woods. Partners under the firm name of Fullington Phellis. & Woods
vs
Oziel Lapham
} Cognovit Interest 8 per cent until paid

This day came the said Plaintiffs by their Attorney and filed their Petition against the said Oziel Lapham defendant. and thereupon Leonidas Piper one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said Oziel Lapham defendant and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Oziel Lapham. and now produced in Open Court and duly proved. waived the issuing. and service of process on said Oziel Lapham defendant. and acknowledged that the said Oziel Lapham defendant doth owe to the said Plaintiffs. the sum of one Hundred and one Dollars and fifty-five cents ($101.55) and consented that Judgment be entered against said defendant in favor of said Plaintiffs for said sum of money and costs.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of said Oziel Lapham defendant said sum of one Hundred and one 55/100 Dollars so confessed to be due. and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ -
And the Court finds that this Judgment should bear interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum until paid - And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by the said defendant.

James. Fullington. Charles Phellis & W. W. Woods. Partners under the firm name of Fullington. Phellis & Woods
vs
James. Mulvain. Henry Kreider & Cornelius Mulvain
} Cognovit interest 8 per cent until paid

This day came the said Plaintiffs by their Attorney. and filed their Petition against the said James Mulvain. Henry Kreider. and Cornelius Mulvain. and thereupon Leonidas Piper one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said James Mulvain. Henry Kreider and Cornelius Mulvain. defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said James Mulvain. Henry Kreider and Cornelius Mulvain. and now produced and duly proved. waived the issuing and service of process on the said James Mulvain. Henry Kreider. and Cornelius Mulvain. defendants. and acknowledged that the said defendants do owe to the said Plaintiffs. the sum of six Hundred forty Eight dollars and sixty cents ($648.60) and consented that Judgment be entered against said defendants in favor of said Plaintiffs for said sum of money and costs.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of said James Mulvain. Henry Kreider. and Cornelius Mulvain defendants said sum of Six Hundred forty Eight 60/100 Dollars. so confessed to be due and also their Costs herein expended taxed to $ -
And the Court finds that this Judgment should bear interest at the rate of Eight per cent per annum until paid - And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal waived by the said defendants.

Osmond Wells
vs
Robert Sharp
} continued by agreement

Thursday November 9th 1871

Wm M. McCarty
vs
James T. McCarty & Junius R. McCarty
No 1070
In Partition

This day came the parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the motion to confirm the report of the Sheriff and commissioners in partition made in this cause— whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the said report to be in all respects regular and lawful and do hereby confirm and approve the same, and it is ordered that the said Wm M. McCarty stand seized of and hold in severalty the Lot of 250 acres set off to him and that said James T. McCarty stand seized of and hold in severalty the Lot of 165 acres set off to him— and that said Junius R. McCarty stand seized of and hold in severalty the lot of 185 acres set off to him in said Report— and it is further ordered and decreed that the costs and expenses of this proceeding including an Attorney fee of one Hundred and seventy five dollars to Robinson and Piper be paid by said parties in equal proportions, and in case said costs &c be not paid within ten days that execution issue therefor as upon Judgments at law—

Hyles Sabine
vs
Isaac Gray

This day came the parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit, Perry Buck. Henry Crist. Mark Love. James Williams. Samuel Turner W. M. Benson. Charles P. Morse. Henry Myers. Stephen Cranston. Wesley Garrard. Regular Jurors— Wm Cartmell. J. T. Mahaffy tales Jurors— who being duly Empannled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths— after hearing the evidence were unable to agree and were discharged and this cause is continued

Jacob. C. Sidle
vs
D. F. Parsons Jr. Ad.
No 1088

On the affidavits of defendants this cause is continued at defendants costs with leave to answer in 30 days

John Joliff
vs
D. F. Parsons Jr. Ad.

On the affidavit of defendants this cause is continued at defendants costs with leave to answer in 30 days

Mile Bland
vs
William Bland Jr. Ad.
Nos 1023 & 1034
Consolidated

This day came on this cause as consolidated to be heard on the motion to confirm the report of the Sheriff and commissioners in Partition and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do confirm said report— and order that said Martha E. Bland stand and be endowed of the third of the Jason Morse Farm as the same is awarded to her in said report— and Jason Morse

Thursday November 9th 1871

one of the tenants in common of said Jason Mose farm electing to take said Jason Mose farm at its valuation subject to said dower and no one objecting, it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that he have and hold said farm subject to said dower in fee simple upon his paying and securing according to law the several shares of his cotenants aforesaid- that is to say one third in hand, one third in one year and one third in two years with interest from the first day of this term of Court and it is further ordered that the costs of this proceeding so far as it relates to the said Jason Mose farm and including an attorney fee of $14.00 be paid by the several parties interested in fee simple in said farm in the same proportions that the same was ordered to be divided in the order of partition- said fee to be as follows $3.00 to Robinson and Piper and $11.00 to P. B. Cole who is Attorney for said Mose heirs- and it appearing that the Bland farm and the Silver farm have been appraised and will not bear partition and that the said widow elects to take money in lieu of dower in said two farms and neither party electing to take said farms or either of them at the valuation thereof- It is ordered by the Court that the said two farms be sold according to law- in two parcels making the Rail Road the dividing line between them- and that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff commanding him to advertise and sell said two parcels according to law- on the usual terms of one third cash in hand and the balance in two equal annual installments with interest clear of dower- and as to amount of dower and the claim of the Administrator to a part of the proceeds of the sale to pay debts and all other matters not otherwise disposed of this cause is continued-
If either party shall require it the County Surveyor is directed to make a survey of either or all of said Lots of land in order to ascertain the true quantity of the same

X

Charles A. Zanone
vs
James Fullington et al

This day came on this cause to be heard on the demurrer of the defendants to the plaintiffs Petition- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said demurrer- thereupon on motion of plaintiff leave is granted them to file amended Petition in 30 days and this cause is continued- the plaintiff to put in security for costs in 30 days

√

Thomas Yates
vs
John Powers et al

Pet. in error

This day came on this cause for hearing on the Petition in error- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises find no error in said proceedings- except that the costs in some respects are taxed too high- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Judgment be affirmed with costs herein taxed to ff- and by consent of Parties the Justice of the Peace who entered said Judgment is ordered to retax the costs according to law- so as to conform to the cost Bill made by ~~P~~ H. B. Griswold herewith filed

X

Levi Hill
vs
Isaac N. Green

Continued with leave to plaintiff to file Petition in thirty days

Thursday November 9th 1871

Alfred. V. Morse
vs
George Parthemore } Continued

William H. Wilson vs Margaret Wilson 3 Continued

Mathew Lingel Ex'r. vs John Adams 3 Continued

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Monroe Oglesby for Assault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- also their other Bill of Indictment against George W. Baxley for selling Intoxicating Liquors to a Minor- Indorsed a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- also their three other Bills of Indictment against Uriah S. Alder for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- also their other Bills of Indictment each severally Indorsed- a true Bill Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- Also their Six other Bills of Indictment against Mich White for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- each severally- Indorsed- a true Bill Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- and not having finished the business before them- they retired again to deliberate-

This entry should have gone on Oct. 26. 1871

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Uriah S. Alden for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- Indorsed- a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- also their Five other Bills of Indictment against William Richter for selling Liquors contrary to law- Indorsed- a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- also their two other Bills of Indictment against William Weber for selling Liquors contrary to law- Indorsed- a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- also their other Bill of Indictment- against Peter Rousk for selling Intoxicating Liquors to a Minor- Indorsed- a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman also their other Bill of Indictment against Aaron Brown- for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- Indorsed- a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton- Foreman- also their other Bill of Indictment against Lucien Strain for Assault & Battery- Indorsed- a true Bill- Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman- and having finished the business before them, they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court

This should have gone on Oct. 27

Joshua Turner
vs
Aquilla H. Turner Ex'r. } Confirmation of Sale in Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the Counsel for the Plaintiff- to confirm the sale made in this case; and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the Deed of the premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of the Court- and the same being examined and found by the Court in all respects, in due form of law- It is ordered that said proceedings and Sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed- and that said Sheriff Execute and deliver to the said purchaser Joseph Powers a deed in fee simple for the said lands and tenements by

Thursday November 9th 1871

by him sold as aforesaid - and thereupon this cause came on to be further heard upon the Answer of Ann. W. Turner widow and on motion to distribute the proceeds of sale and was argued by Counsel - on consideration whereof the Court finds that the rents of said premises pending the suit amount to $ - and that said Ann. W. Turner occupied the Mansion House the value of the same pending suit is $ - whereupon the Court finds that said Ann W. Turner is not entitled to dower or money in lieu thereof pending the suit, and order that all of said rents be and remain the property of said Heirs - and it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit amounting to $189[29] including Attorneys fees - and the taxes for the year 1871. amounting to $ 91[29] - be paid out of the money arising from said sale - in the following proportions to wit:- Joshua Turner one Ninth George W. Turner one Ninth - Eleanor Powers wife of Joseph Powers one Ninth Addison Turner one Ninth, Martha Staley wife of Peter Staley one Ninth Amanda Burns, wife of Alexander Burns one Ninth. Jemima Wilber wife of George Wilber one Ninth - Aquilla W. Turner one Ninth and Mary Jane Turner one Ninth - and that the Sheriff distribute the residue of the first payment as follows - 1st To Ann. W. Turner - widow $ 449[76] 2d To Joshua Turner $174[02] - 3d To George W. Turner $174[02] - 4th To Eleanor Powers $174[02] - 5th To Martha Staley $174[02] - 6th To Addison Turner $174[02] 7th To Amanda Burns $174[02] - 8th To Jemima Wilber $174[02] - 9th To Aquilla W. Turner $174[02] 10th To Mary Jane Turner $174[02] - That he divide the residue as follows - and take Notes with interest from day of sale and Mortgage to secure the same on the premises - 1st To Ann. W. Turner $449[76] in one year and the same amount in two years - 2d To Joshua Turner $205[20] in one year and the same amount in two years - 3d To George W. Turner $205[12] in one year and the same amount in two years - 4th To Eleanor Powers $205[12] in one year and the same amount in two years - 5th To Addison Turner $205[12] in one year and the same amount in two years - 6th To Martha Staley $205[12] in one year and the same amount in two years 7th To Amanda Burns $205[12] in one year and the same amount in two years 8th To Jemima Wilber $205[20] in one year and the same amount in two years 9th To Aquilla W. Turner $205[12] in one year and the same amount in two years 10th To Mary Jane Turner $205[20] in one year and the same amount in two years - To the ruling and order of the Court relating to the Dower of Ann W. Turner or money in lieu thereof pending the suit. the said Ann W. Turner by her Attorney excepted - The said Ann W. Turner also gave notice of Appeal and the Court fixed the Appeal Bond at the sum of $200[00]

First National Bank of Delaware Ohio
vs
James Mulvain et al.

} Interest 8 per cent

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiff and the Answer of James W. Robinson and the answer of John Maskill and others. the said James Mulvain Barbara Ann Mulvain his wife - Isaac Gray, Wesley Abrams, William Elliott; and Joseph Newlove and others Partners &c. defendants being in default; and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court upon the said pleadings testimony and exhibits -
upon consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due at this date from the defendant James Mulvain - to the plaintiff on the first Note in Plaintiffs Petition mentioned the sum of one Thousand one Hundred and Twenty five $\frac{33}{100}$ Dollars ($1125[33]) which sum bears interest at the

Thursday November 9th 1871

rate of eight per cent per annum until paid- and is a lien on the premises in the Petition described by virtue of the Mortgage therein mentioned and refered to- and the Court further finds that there is due from the defendant James Mulvain to the defendants John Maskill and others. on the Judgment in favor of said John Maskill & others the sum of $124.16 debt with interest from January 27. 1869- and $92.31 costs- amounting at this date to $144.89 debt- and $92.31 costs- which is a lien upon the lands in the Petition described by reason of the levy- set forth in the answer of said John Maskill &c &c- and the Court further finds that there is due from the defendant James Mulvain to the defendant James. W. Robinson on the Judgment in favor of said James. W. Robinson the sum of $145.20 debt with interest from September 30. 1869- and $9.05 costs- amounting at this date to $168.35 debt- and $9.05 costs- which is a lien upon the lands in the Petition mentioned and described- by virtue of the levy set forth in the answer of said James. W. Robinson-

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiff recover of said defendant James Mulvain- said sum of one Thousand one Hundred and Twenty five $\frac{23}{100}$ Dollars- and also its costs herein expended taxed to $\frac{}{}$-

And it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that if said defendant James Mulvain fail to pay within ten days to said Plaintiff said judgment and interest at Eight per cent and the costs of this proceeding- , or if the said defendant James Mulvain shall fail within a like time to pay said John Maskill &c &c their judgment and costs- or if he shall for a like time fail to pay said James. W. Robinson his judgment and costs- then- and on a failure to pay in either case- that an order of Sale issue to the Sheriff of this County- for the time being- directing him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon judgments at law- and bring the proceeds into Court subject to its order- as to the Note mentioned in Plaintiffs Petition not yet due- and as to all other matters in this case not yet disposed of this cause is continued

Jacob Mapes
vs
David Mulford &c &c } This case is dismissed at Mulfords costs
It is therefore considered that Mulford pay the costs herein expended taxed to $\frac{}{}$

Marion Hopkins
vs
David A. Williams &c &c } Continued with leave to to defendant Watson to file answer in 30 days

This day came the commissioners of Union County Ohio and made their annual report as required by law- to the Court of Common Pleas of said County of their official transactions during the year next preceding the time of making said report- which said report contained an accurate statement of the financial affairs of said County- Thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises- appoint James Kinkade and Darius Buxton to examine said Report and ordered said report to be printed-

Thursday November 9th 1871

X P. B. Cole Assignee &c
vs
H. T. Raymond & Levi Kirk } Continued

X John F. Winters vs Orsen Benton 3 Continued

Charles Mitchell
vs
James Robinson & J. M. Robinson } No 1112.

This day came the Plaintiff but the defendants came not but made default. Whereupon this cause was submitted to the Court neither party demanding a Jury, whereupon the Court find the allegations of the Petition to be true and that there is due plaintiff from said defendants on said Note the sum of six hundred and four & 25/100 Dollars as declared against them It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of defendants said sum of six hundred and four & 25/100 Dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to ff.

Jane O. M. Jones & George W. Jones
vs
David Watson }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff and the answer of the defendant David Watson and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said defendant has failed to perform his part of said contract of sale as set forth in Plaintiffs Petition and that said contract ought to be rescinded and set aside - it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that in case said Watson fails for thirty days from the rising of this Term of Court to pay to Plaintiff said sum of ff2000 with the interest thereon then that said Contract be rescinded, set aside and held for naught - and the Court further find that the annual rental value of said Property is ff250 and that the said Watson has made lasting and valuable improvements on said Property to the amount of five hundred and Eleven & 5/100 Dollars and that in consideration of said improvements said Watson ought to be permitted by Plaintiffs to retain posession until the first day of March 1872 It is therefore ordered that said Watson be allowed to retain possession of said Property until said last named time and at said time said Watson is ordered and decreed to surrender posession of said premises to Plaintiffs and it is considered that Plaintiffs recover of defendant the costs in this behalf expended Taxed to ff

X H. C. Hamilton Jr. vs Hylas Sabine } Continued with leave to file Petition in 40 days

W. W. Woods
vs
George Woodine and Margaretta Woodine his wife }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiff - the defendants being in default and neither Party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiff - upon the Petition exhibits and testimony - on consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the Petition to be true and that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendants - on the Notes and Mortgage set forth in Plaintiffs Petition - at this date the following sums

Thursday November 9. 1871

of money to wit on the first Note the sum of $78.41 on the 2d Note the sum of $270.27 on the 3d Note the sum of $270.27 on the 4th Note interest due $67.27 and on the 5th interest due $101.96 making in all the sum of $788.88 above mentioned- leaving yet to become due to Plaintiff as follows- on the 4th Note $200 and on the 5th Note $300 with interest on each from September 1st 1871 payable annually- said 4th will fall due September 1st 1872 and the 5th Note will fall due September 1st 1873- and the Court finds that said several sums of money and interest are a lien upon the lands and tenements in the Petition described by virtue of the Mortgage therein set forth- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants within ten days from this date Pay to the Plaintiff said sum of $788.88 with interest from this date- and that they also Pay the costs of this proceeding or in default thereof that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being, commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon Judgments at law- and bring the proceeds of such sale into Court for the further order of the Court- as to the Notes not yet due and for report of sale this cause is continued-

In the Name and by the Authority of the State of Ohio
Rutherford B. Hayes Governor of said State-
To all to whom these presents shall come greeting
Know ye That Philander B. Cole having been duly elected to the Office of Judge of the Court of Common Pleas within and for the Third Judicial District of the State aforesaid- Therefore. By virtue of the power vested in me by the Constitution and in accordance with the provisions of the laws, I do hereby Commission him the said Philander B. Cole as Judge of the Court of Common Pleas as aforesaid, for the term of five years authorizing and empowering him to Execute and discharge, all and singular, the duties appertaining to said Office and to enjoy all the privileges and immunities thereof- In Testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the great seal of the State of Ohio to be affixed at Columbus, the 28th day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy one and in the ninety-sixth year of the Independence of the United States of America

By the Governor- R. B. Hayes

Isaac R. Sherwood- Secretary of State

You Philander B. Cole do solemnly swear that you will support the Constitution of the United States, the Constitution of the State of Ohio, that you will administer Justice without respect to persons, and that you will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon you as such Judge of the Court of Common Pleas within and for the 3d Judicial District of the State of Ohio according to the best of your abilities and understanding so help you God-

Philander B. Cole

State of Ohio Union County ss
I John B. Coats Probate Judge in and for said County hereby certify that Philander B. Cole personally appeared before me on the first day of December A.D. 1871- and that I then duly administered to him the above official oath as a Judge of the Court of Common Pleas under and by authority of the within commission and that he did sign said oath in my presence-

John B. Coats Probate Judge

X
X No 1
X No 2
X No 3
X No 4
X No 5
X No 6
X No 7
X No 8
X No 9
X No 10
X No 13

Thursday November 9th 1871

David W. Henderson
vs
John A. McCreight

This cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of the said John A. McCreight to the amended Petition of the said David W. Henderson and was argued by Counsel. and the Court being fully advised in the premises is of opinion that the Petition aforesaid and the matters therein contained are sufficient in law to support this action and contains facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action - whereupon it is considered that the demurer aforesaid be and the same is hereby overruled and the defendant has leave to further answer until the first day of January 1872 - The defendant excepts

Watson Conant & John Moore
Partners in busines under the firm
name of Conant and Moore
vs
A. Morey & G. W. Hupp late Partners in busines
under the firm name of Morey & Hupp -

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendants came not but made default - whereupon this cause came on to be heard. and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiffs - and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that there is due to the Plaintiffs from the defendants the sum of $148 00 with interest from March 12th 1871 making at this date the sum of $154 14 It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants - A. Morey and G. W. Hupp the sum of $154 14 80 found due - and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ -

Philander B. Cobb Assignee
vs
Truman M. Kimball
Continued

No 1 The State of Ohio vs James Green 3 Continued for sentence
No 2 The State of Ohio vs Ellen Long 3 Continued for sentence
No 3 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden 3 Continued for sentence
No 24 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden 3 Continued for sentence
No 5 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden 3 Continued for sentence
No 6 The State of Ohio vs William Weber 3 Continued for sentence
No 7 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall 3 Continued for sentence
No 8 The State of Ohio vs Mathew Singel 3 Continued
No 9 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
No 12 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
No 13 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence

Thursday November 9th 1871

No 14 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
No 15 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
No 39 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Price 3 Continued for sentence
No 43 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued on motion for new trial
No 60 The State of Ohio vs William Richter 3 Continued for sentence
No 65 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued on motion for new trial
No 84 The State of Ohio vs Gustavus J. Skidmore 3 Continued
No 87 The State of Ohio vs William Richter 3 Continued
No 88 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 92 The State of Ohio vs Harvey Price 3 Continued
No 93 The State of Ohio vs Harvey Price 3 Continued
No 94 The State of Ohio vs Harvey Price 3 Continued
No 95 The State of Ohio vs Elliott B. Perkins & Menzo D. Halsey 3 Continued
No 101 The State of Ohio vs Elliott B. Perkins & Menzo D. Halsey 3 Continued
No 102 The State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole 3 Continued
No 103 The State of Ohio vs Elliott B. Perkins, Menzo D. Halsey & D. A. Wilder 3 Continued
No 104 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 105 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 106 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 108 The State of Ohio vs Harvey Price 3 Recognizance forfeited and respited to next term
No 109 The State of Ohio vs James H. Wall 3 Continued
No 110 The State of Ohio vs James H. Wall 3 Continued
No 115 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 116 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 117 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 118 The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 3 Continued
No 119 The State of Ohio vs Wallace Linder & Samuel Stout 3 Continued

No 120
No 121
No 122
No 123
No 124
No 125
No 126
No 127
No 128
No 129
No 130
No 131
No 132
No 134
No 135
No 136
No 137

Thursday November 9th 1871

No 120 The State of Ohio vs Henry Amrine } Continued

No 121 The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued

No 122 The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued

No 123 The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued

No 124 The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued

No 125 The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued

No 126 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued

No 127 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued

No 128 The State of Ohio vs Aaron Brown } Continued

No 129 The State of Ohio vs Peter Rourk } Continued

No 130 The State of Ohio vs Geo. W. Baxley } Continued

No 131 The State of Ohio vs Enos Hildreth } Continued

No 132 The State of Ohio vs Lucien Strain } Continued

No 134 The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued

No 135 The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued

No 136 The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued

No 137 The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued

Ebenezer Healey
vs
William H. Wilson Et al } On Demurer

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of defendants and was argued by counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said demurer to which ruling and decision Plaintiff excepts and thereupon on the motion of Plaintiff he has leave to amend his Petition in days and cause continued

Law & Wait
vs
N. E. Patrick

This day came George W. Law one of the Plaintiffs in this cause and suggested on the record of the Court here that E. H. Wait the other Plaintiff has died since the commencement of this action and that Hannah O. Wait has been duly appointed and qualified as his Administratrix and the Court being satisfied that such suggestion is true do order that the same be entered on the journal hereof and the said Hannah O. Wait who requests and consents to the same is hereby substituted as Plaintiff to take the place of said E. H. Wait deceased J. L. Cameron } H. T. Van Fleet Plffs Attys

Thursday Nov^r 9^th 1871

James Gabriel- Administrator
vs
James B. Robinson ex^r- al^s. } Continued

This day it is ordered by the Court upon the certificate of the Sheriff that he be allowed the sum of $106^cr to pay Deputys for this Term of Court

ordered that all causes motions and matters now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

Monday January 29^th A.D. 1872

Monday January 29^th 1872
This being the day for the Court of Common Pleas to meet within and for the County of Union in the State of Ohio pursuant to law- present Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney Robert Sharp Sheriff and F. T. Arthur Clerk-
The Judge failing to attend the Sheriff thereupon adjourned Court until tomorrow Morning 10 oclock

Tuesday January 30^th A.D. 1872

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union in the State of Ohio met pursuant to adjournment at 10 oclock A. M. Present Jacob S. Conklin Presiding Judge Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney. Robert Sharp Sheriff and F. T. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called some of them came to wit: Samuel Woods. John Munemaker. Wm Robinson. Hezekiah Flenner Henry Knotts. Joseph Maskill. J. Y. Severn. Leonard Bliss Peleg Branston Israel Slack. T. P. Crattz. Samuel Linville. Adam Wolford. and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear. others from among the bystanders are. by the Sheriff of said County. at the command of the Court elected anew. to wit. O. W. R. Ingman. and. V. L. Reed- good and lawful men. whose names are annexed to the panel. according to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided. the Court appoint the said Wm Robinson Foreman. and the said Jurors being duly Empanelled. sworn and charged retired to their Room. to deliberate; attended by a sworn Constable

Tuesday January 30th 1872

John. T. McCullaugh
vs
Margaret McCullaugh & al. } In Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition exhibits answer and testimony and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof and on motion of D. W. Ayers Counsel for Plaintiff it is ordered that by the oaths of J. F. M. Pennington. George Rickard and S. B. Woodburn one full and equal third part of the lands in said Petition described be assigned and set off to said Margaret McCullaugh as her dower estate. and that by the like oaths of the same J. M. Pennington George Rickard and S. B. Woodburn Partition be made of said lands. subject to said dower estate in the following proportions to wit. To the said John. T. McCullaugh one equal one half part to Laura McCullaugh one equal one fourth part; to Geneo. E. McCullaugh one equal one fourth part. and it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said dower to be assigned and said partition be made accordingly

Hamilton & Susan Blue
vs
George Orahood & others } In Partition

This day came the Plaintiff and made proof of due notice to all of the defendants of the pendency of this Petition to the satisfaction of the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do appoint M. C. Lawrence Esq. Guardian ad litem of Wm Orahood and Lawrence Orahood minors who appeared and filed his answer as such. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do order and adjudge that Partition of said premises in the Petition described as prayed for and that the Clerk of this Court issue an order of Partition directed to the Sheriff of this County commanding him by the oaths of S. S. Jewell John Barker & George Wilber three disinterested freeholders of the County he make Partition of said premises setting off to the Plaintiffs one eleventh part. To George Orahood one eleventh part. To John Orahood one eleventh part. To William Orahood one eleventh part. To Phoeba and Samuel Scott one eleventh part. To Hannah and Joshua Spain one eleventh part. To Elizabeth Orahood one eleventh part. To Nancy and Martin Ambine one twenty second part. and to Lewis Blue one twenty second part. To Mary Josephine Orahood one eleventh part. To Samuel Orahood one eleventh part. To William and Lawrence Orahood together one eleventh part. and that in case the same cannot be divided without injury they return the first Valuation of the said premises and report at this term of Court.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock Tomorrow Morning

J. Monklin Judge

Wednesday January 31st 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Law & Wait
vs
Nathan E. Patrick

This day came the said Plaintiffs and moved the Court for leave to file a general demurer to the answer of defendant as amended which leave was granted by Court and demurer filed instanter

Alfred V. Mose
vs
George W. Parthemore

This day came the Plaintiff and moved the Court for leave to file a reply to the answer of defendant which leave was granted by the Court and reply filed instanter Case continued

James Gump
vs
Levi Hager

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit:, Thomas Moore, Nesbit January, S. W. H. Durbo and James Thompson Jr. Wilton W. Bales, H. S. Stamates, Baldwin Johnson, Solomon Walker, Garrett Harris and William Bell. Regular Jurors, William Smith and Joseph Powers Tales Jurors- who to speak the truth upon the issues joined- being duly empanneled and sworn do upon their oaths say they find for the defendant- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed, to $- and thereupon the said Plaintiff gave notice of second trial which was allowed and bond fixed by the Court at $100 Plaintiff also asked and obtained leave to file an amended Petition herein in 40 days-

Droggan Bailey
vs
Edward Bailey Et al. } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter & Sterling counsel for the Petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale of the premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of Court, and the same being examined and found by the Court in all respects in due form of law. It is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements by him sold as aforesaid- and it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit be paid out of the said moneys in the hands of the Sheriff including an Attorneys fee to Porter & Sterling of $71.50 in the proportion to which each party was entitled in the division of said premises. and that the Sheriff distribute the residue of said monies, according to said proportions heretofore found to be their due-

after paying taxes now due

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

J. L. Conklin Judge

Thursday February 12th 1872

This morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X David W. Henderson
vs
John A. McCreight

This day came on this cause to be heard on the defendants motion to submit the same to the Court which Plaintiff claimed the right of a jury. whereupon the Court overruled said motion and order the same to be submitted to a jury- to which defendant excepted-

√ Robson L. Broom
vs
Festus Edwards

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the defendant still failing to answer or demur to the Petition of Plaintiff. it is considered that the Plaintiff ought to recover of defendant the sum of one hundred and Nine 8/100 Dollars as the Plaintiff has demanded in his Petition - It is therefore considered and adjudged that the said Robson L. Broom recover of the said Festus Edwards said sum of one hundred and Nine 8/100 Dollars so found due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $-

√ Reuben Cook
vs
George Mast

1022 In Partition P 12 + 28

Exhibit No 1309

On motion to the Court by N.A. Gilbert Counsel for the Petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the sale of the premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of the Court- and the same being examined and found by the Court in all respects in due form of law. it is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the said lands and tenements by him sold as aforesaid. and it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit including a counsel fee of Twenty five Dollars to Gilbert and Ayers. and Twenty five Dollars to J.M. Robinson be paid equally by the said Plaintiff and defendant- each paying one half- and that the said Sheriff distribute the balance of said moneys as follows- First. Fifty Dollars to George Mast to Equalize the division or Partition heretofore made- and the balance equally divide between the Parties. It is further ordered that the one third of the purchase money paid down be distributed as above set forth and that the second and third payments be secured by Mortgage on the premises according to the terms of sale and distributed according to the above order

√ Robson L. Broom
vs
Benjamin Andrews & Mary Ann. Andrews

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to Plaintiffs Petition. It is considered that the Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. and the Court find that there is due from the defendand Benjamin Andrews

Thursday February 1st 1872

to the plaintiff on said promisory Note in said Petition set forth the sum of seventy five $ 53/100 Dollars
It is therefore considered and adjudged that in case said defendant Benjamin Andrews fails for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay to said Plaintiff said sum of $ 75.53 so found his due as aforesaid with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be Appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Robson L. Broom
vs
Elbert Tobey & Margaret Tobey

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition of Plaintiff it is considered that said Plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant Elbert Tobey by reason of the premises the sum of four hundred and twenty one $ 53/100 Dollars on the Notes in said Petition described - It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff recover of said Elbert Tobey said sum of Four hundred and twenty one $ 53/100 Dollars - ($ 421,53) and also his costs taxed at $ -
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant Elbert Tobey fails for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay to Plaintiff said sum of $ 421,53 so found his due, with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County Commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Isaac Eberly & Co
vs
Phillips Morton

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorneys and the defendant still failing to answer or demur to Plaintiffs Petition it is considered that the Plaintiffs ought to recover of said defendant the sum of one hundred and eighteen $ 17/100 dollars as the Plaintiff has claimed in his Petition -
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of one hundred and eighteen $ 17/100 Dollars and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ -

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against John Ford for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law - Indorsed - a true Bill. W. M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury - also their other Bill of Indictment against John Cartwell for selling intoxicating Liquors to a Minor - Indorsed - a true Bill, W. M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury - also their two other Bills of Indictment against Joseph Rofs for selling intoxicating Liquors contrary to law - Each severally - Indorsed - a true Bill. W. M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury - also their three other Bills of Indictment against William Richter for selling Intoxicating Liquors to a Minor Each severally indorsed a true Bill

W. M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. and having finished the business before them, they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow morning

J. L. Conklin Judge.

Friday February 2d 1872

This morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Farmers Bank Union County
vs
H. T. Knox Et al. }

This day came the Plaintiff by their Attorneys and the said defendants failing to answer or demur to Plaintiffs Petition It is considered that Plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendant H. T. Knox the amount due him by reason of the premises. and the Court find that there is due Plaintiff from said H. T. Knox on said Note in said Petition set forth the sum of two hundred and twenty Nine & 47/100 Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged that Plaintiffs recover of said H. T. Knox said sum of Two hundred and twenty Nine & 47/100 Dollars so found their due and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ It is further ordered and adjudged that in case said H. T. Knox fails for three days from the rising of this term of Court to pay to said Plaintiff said sum of $229.47 so found their due as aforesaid with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said judgment so as aforesaid rendered.

William Wilcox
vs
David Gordon & Martha J. Gordon } P. 24

On motion of the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court in this case. and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law. It is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed. and said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold.

Thomas Herd
vs
Sarah A. Simmons Et al }

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and

Friday February 2d 1872

the defendants still failing to answer or demur to Plaintiffs Petition and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to Plaintiff upon said Note of the said William R. Simmons the sum of one hundred and twenty three $\frac{05}{100}$ Dollars as the Plaintiff hath set forth in his Petition. It is therefore ordered and decreed that in case said sum of one hundred and twenty three $\frac{05}{100}$ Dollars and costs of suit is not paid to Plaintiff in three days from the rising of this term of Court an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said indebtedness and the costs herein made

Levi Hill
vs
Isaac N. Green

leave granted to Plaintiff to reply. Reply filed. whereupon Plaintiff moved the Court for a continuance of this action upon affidavit on file. continuance was ordered at the cost of Plaintiff. whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff Levi Hill pay the costs of this term taxed at $

No 92 State of Ohio vs Harvey Price } Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 93 State of Ohio vs Harvey Price } Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 94 State of Ohio vs Harvey Price } Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 106 State of Ohio vs Harvey Price } Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

Tullington Garwood & Co. vs Michael Davis } Continued

J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown vs William Wharry. C. H. Cady & P. Safford } Cont'd

William Parthemore vs The American Express Co. } Continued

Peter L. Mayo vs John Mayo D. & } Continued former order

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

J. Coulter Judge

Saturday February 3d. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Dacon Rogers
vs
William Parthemore & George W. Parthemore

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to Plaintiffs Petition and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the Equity of the case to be with the Plaintiff, and the Court at the request of Plaintiff set aside said levies so made by the Sheriff upon the said undivided interest and estate of said William Parthemore in said tract of one hundred and one acres and in said tract of fifty acres of land described in Plaintiffs Petition, and the Court find that the amount due to Plaintiff from said William Parthemore on said Judgments is two hundred and eighty seven 93/100 Dollars ($287,93) with interest on said Judgments from their date thereon
It is therefore ordered and decreed that in case said William Parthemore fails for three days from the rising of this Court to pay to Plaintiff said sum of $287,93 with interest from the date and the costs made on said Judgments and the costs of this action an order issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to cause said undivided interest of said William Parthemore in said twenty one acres of land set off in said Partition Proceedings to said William Parthemore and said George W. Parthemore jointly to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgments and the costs therein and also the costs made herein

W. H. Grant
vs
Robert Digley

On motion of the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed without prejudice to a new action at the costs of the Plaintiff. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

Robson L. Broom
vs
William L. Miller & Lucinda M. Miller

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to Plaintiffs Petition it is considered that the Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due by reason of the premises, and the Court find that there is due from said defendants William L. Miller to Plaintiff on the Notes in said Petition set forth the sum of twelve hundred and eighty seven Dollars ($1287). It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of said William L. Miller said sum of twelve hundred and eighty seven Dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said William L. Miller fails for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay to the Plaintiff said sum of $1287 so as aforesaid found his due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Saturday February 3d 1872

James. M. Andrews
vs
A. William Miller

And now comes the said James. M. Andrews by P. B Cole his Attorney. and the said A. William Miller still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition. it is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; and the Court with the consent of the Plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff on the Notes in the said Petition set forth the sum of three hundred and forty $\frac{76}{100}$ Dollars
It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $340^{76} the sum so found due so as aforesaid. and also his costs taxed to $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court. to pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $340^{76} so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County; commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to wit.. In Lot No 2 in the Town of Unionville Union County Ohio. Known as the Edward. A. McIntire and Jerome D. McIntire Lot conveyed to Joseph Haven by Sheriffs deed February 22. 1866. and all of the above Lot except that part of the building on the front of said In Lot. that has been occupied at various times as a Shop. and the ground on which it stands. twelve feet two inches wide in front and eleven feet eight inches in rear. to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered.

Robson L. Broom
vs
John Long Et al.

28

On motion of the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and on producing the report and return of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court. and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law- it is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to execute a deed in fee simple to said purchaser for the lands and tenements so sold. and it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of said sale the Sheriff after paying the costs herein made pay to Plaintiff the amount of his said indebtedness and as to the balance of said proceeds the Sheriff bring the same into Court subject to its order as to the distribution of the same.

William H. Wilson
vs
Margaret Wilson
} Divorce

This day this Cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff the defendant being in default for answer in consideration whereof the Court do find that due service of Summons with a copy of said Petition was duly made upon said defendant according to law and the Court further find that said defendant has been guilty of gross neglect towards Plaintiff as alleged by him in his said Petition. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said Parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said Parties wholly released from the obligations of the same

Saturday February 3d 1872

and it is further considered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs pay the costs of suit in ten days or that execution issue therefor.

Union Building & Loan Association
vs
Simon Shirk

This day came the Plaintiff by their Attorneys, Robinson and Piper and this cause thereupon came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff of said County of Union a special master commissioner and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff as said Master and the sale by him made of the Tenements in the Bill mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale of said Sheriff as said Master be in all things affirmed and that said Sheriff as said Master execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed of the lands and tenements aforesaid in fee simple pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided. and that of the proceeds of said sale the said Master after discharging the costs of this suit pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $406 34 with interest from June 8d 1871 until paid. and to T M. Carter on Judgment obtained before a Justice of the Peace and filed with the Clerk of this Court on the day of 1871 which said Judgment was rendered on the 31d day of May 1871 for the sum of $149 24 with interest to be computed thereon from the date of said Judgment together with the costs of said suit taxed at $ and all the taxes on said premises for the year 1871 together with all delinquent taxes if any on said premises and the residue if any to the defendant.

It is further ordered that the defendant who may be in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under him pending this suit deliver up the possession thereof to said purchaser on demand and production of said Masters deed.

Bank of Richwood
vs
Joseph Cramer & David Perry } Cognovit

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendants having answered to the Petition of said Plaintiffs by their Attorney and confessed Judgment for the sum of three hundred and thirty seven $ 95/100 Dollars as the Plaintiffs have demanded in their Petition. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs recover of the said Joseph Curren and David Perry said sum of three hundred and thirty seven $ 95/100 Dollars so found due as aforesaid and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

x John Swan P. ad. vs Henry Williams P. d. 3 Continued

x Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman. Philip Roush & Hart Monser 3 Continued

x Samuel R. Harbert vs James A. Neal & Henry Neal 3 Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 10 o'clock Monday Morning

J. Conklin Judge

Monday February 5th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 11 oclock pursuan to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

A. J. Blake & Co
vs
Charles Bird & Jesse Bird

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition of Plaintiffs. It is considered that the Plaintiffs ought to recover of defendants the sum of one Hundred and one $\frac{70}{100}$ Dollars as the Plaintiffs have demanded in their Petition. It is therfore considered and adjudged that the said A. J. Blake & Co recover of the said Charles Bird and Jesse Bird said sum of $101.70 so found due as aforesaid. and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $--

James Fullington. Charles Phillis & W. W. Woods. Partners in busines under firm name of Fullington Phillis & Woods
vs
David Watson & A. A. Woodworth
} Cognovit

And now comes the said Fullington Phillis and Woods by Robinson & Piper their Attorneys and file their Petition against the said David Watson and A. A. Woodworth and thereupon John L. Porter one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said David Watson and A. A. Woodworth and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said David Watson and A. A. Woodworth do owe to the said Plaintiffs the sum of $1854.00 as the said Plaintiffs hath in their said Petition set forth. and confess Judgment therefor. It is therefore considered that the said Fullington Phillis and Woods recover of the said David Watson and A. A. Woodworth the said sum of $1854.00 so confessed to be due with 8 per cent interest from this date and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $-- and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by the said defendants

The State of Ohio
vs
John H. Coleman
} Recognizance
Indictment for Grand Larceny

This day came in open Court. John. H. Coleman Mary. E. Coleman. William. N. Coleman and Aaron Swirk and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of six Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit. that the said John. H. Coleman be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for grand Larceny. and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void. otherwise to be and remain in full force

Charles Phellis
vs
David Watson. Emily Watson his wife & others
} Confirmation of sale

P. 37 - P 39

The Court this day having examined

the proceedings of the Sheriff, and the sale made by him upon an order of sale issued in this case and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided: and the Court being further satisfied that Charles Khellis the purchaser has sold and transfered his interest in the real estate so sold to Mary Pearl do order the Clerk to make an entry on the journal, that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the said Mary Pearl the assign of the purchase a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold, all of which is entered accordingly, and on motion for distribution of the proceeds arising from the sale it is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff pay the same, as follows. 1st that he pay the costs of this proceeding amounting to $39,07 - 2d that he pay all taxes on said land which were a lien or payable December 20th 1871 amounting to $120 77 - 3d that he pay to the plaintiff Charles Khellis the balance of the purchase money amounting to $7840 16 which leaves a balance due the Plaintiff in the sum of $4980 for which award of execution is granted him

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow morning

J. Conklin Judge

Tuesday February 6. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

David W. Henderson)
vs
John A. McCreight)

And now comes the said Parties by their Attorney's and thereupon came a jury to wit, Thomas Moore, Nesbet January, D. W. H. Durborow, Willis W. Bales, H. D. Stamates, Balduin Johnson, Solomon Walker, William Bell, Garret Harris, James Thompson Jr. Regular Jurors, ~~A. D. Doolittle~~ ~~Samuel Works~~, ~~Thomas Wharton~~ Wm Stagley, and ~~Hamilton Bloe~~ Isaac Thompson, tales Jurors who were duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the Parties. Upon their said oaths do say we the Jury find for the Plaintiff in the sum of two thousand and seventy Dollars. It is therfore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of Two thousand and seventy dollars so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff and also his costs in and about his suit expended taxed to $
And thereupon defendant demanded a second trial under the statute which is allowed and bond fixed at $ 4140

Samuel Taylor Ex'r.)
vs
James T. Mahaffy Ex'r.)

On motion of defendant this cause is continued

Tuesday February 6th 1872

by reason of the sickness of Perry Buck a material witness for defendant and at the defendants costs

The State of Ohio
vs
Enos Hildreth } Indictment for Assault and Battery

The defendant Enos Hildreth this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged. Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine of five Dollars ($5.00) and also the costs of this prosecution taxed at $- and that the said Enos Hildreth be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law.

James Gabriel executor of
Richard Gabriel deceased
vs
James B. Robinson & James Robinson sureties } Note

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and submitted this cause to the Court on the answer of the said defendant James B. Robinson and reply thereto by Plaintiff
On the consideration whereof the Court do find that the several matters and things contained in the answer of the said defendant are not true as the defendant hath in his said answer alleged. Except that said James Robinson is a surety on the Note. and the said James Robinson still failing to answer or demur to the said Petition. It is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. and the Court find that there is due from the said defendants to the said Plaintiff on the Note in the said Petition set forth the sum of one thousand and five dollars. It is therfore considered by the said Court here that the Plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of one thousand and five Dollars the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $-
and the Court finds that James B. Robinson is Principal and James Robinson surety. and execution is to be issued by the clerk. accordingly

Hefs. Blum & Co.
vs
J. F. Snider & Co. & al. } Foreclosure

On motion to the Court and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the Real estate heretofore ordered to be sold in this cause has been appraised and twice advertised and twice offered for said sale and still remains unsold for want of bidders It is ordered that said appraisement be and the same is hereby set aside and a new appraisment of said premises is hereby ordered

William P. Hopkins
vs
Mary A. Hathaway & al. } In Partition

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition and answer of the said Mary A. Smith. Lafayette Hopkins. Emaline Durkey and Thomas Durkey her Husband. Ida Hopkins. Philip Smith for himself and as guardian for Wm O. L. Hopkins. Forest P. Hopkins & Cerepta

Tuesday February 6th 1872

Hopkins and Ada Hopkins, and was argued by Counsel. In consideration whereof it is ordered by the oaths of A. S. Mowry. ~~Hiram Stoltz~~ and George Sinclair Partition of said lands be made in the following Proportions to wit:- To the said William P. Hopkins one equal sixth part, to the said Mary A. Hathaway one equal sixth part, to the said Harriet M. Robinson one equal sixth part- to the said Emaline Burnham one equal sixth part, to the said Francis M. Hopkins, George A. Hopkins, Benjamin L. Hopkins Mary E. Miller, Hylas A. Hopkins, Lilian Hopkins each one equal thirty-sixth 1/36 Part. To the said Mary A. Smith, Lafayette Hopkins, William C. L. Hopkins, Emaline Burkey, Ida Hopkins, Forrest D. Hopkins, Sarepta Hopkins and Ada Hopkins each one equal one forty eighth 1/48 Part. And it is further ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause said Partition to be made accordingly - and by consent of Parties all other questions made in the answer, and all questions of distribution are continued.

Albert B. Witter
vs
Pittsburg, Cincinnatti & St. Louis Rail Road

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon came a Jury to wit:- Thomas Moore, Nesbet January, S. W. H. Purbraw, James Thompson Jr, Wilton W. Bales, H. S. Standlis, Baldwin Johnson, Solomon Walker, and William Bell Regular Jurors. Thomas Wharton, Joseph Powers, and William Smith Tales Jurors who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the Parties, upon their oaths do say. We the Jury do find for the Plaintiff in the sum of Ninety three $\frac{50}{100}$ Dollars
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said defendant said sum of Ninety three $\frac{50}{100}$ dollars so as aforesaid assessed second trial demanded by defendant and allowed by the Court

Andrew Spicer
vs
William Sprague & others } Continued

Jane O. N. Jones Et al
vs
Ketura M. Hodges Et al } Continued

This cause continued with leave to such of defendants as are in default to file answer in 40 days

Mariah Stout
vs
Samuel Stout

This cause dismissed by agreement of Parties at defendants costs. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that defendant pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to $ in ten days and in default that execution issue therefor

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 o'clock Tomorrow Morning

Wednesday February 7th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Wm Parthemore
vs
The American Express Company

Dismissed for want of Prosecution without prejudice- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant recover of Plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed at $-

William Hatcher
vs
Rees Miller

This cause is dismissed for want of Prosecution and it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $-

John McFarn
vs
Wm Haines

default of Plaintiff for Petition is opened up and this Petition on file, refiled and cause continued

Thomas Martin vs Newton case 3 Continued

Thomas Martin vs Joseph R. Hatcher 3 Continued

Geo'd. L. Converse
vs
David Rees Et al. } ordered to be left off Docket

Thomas N. Paulk vs Joseph Price Et al. 3 Continued

Jacob Leonard
vs
David A. Williams Et al.

Continued on the showing of defendants on account of sickness of Raymond and at defendants costs

Mary M. Yearsley vs H. T. Knox Et al. 3 Continued

Jacob C. Fidler
vs
D. T. Parsons Et al.

default of defendants opened up and leave to file answer in 20 days and continued on defendants application at defendants costs

John Joliff
vs
D. T. Parsons Et al.

default of defendants opened up and leave to file answer in 20 days and continued on defendants application at defendants costs

Mathew Lingel Et al vs John Adams 3 Continued

Wednesday Febuary 7th 1872

Kelton. Bancroft & Co. }
vs
E. Hammond Et al. }

This day came the Parties to this action and submitted this cause to the Court. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the Petition to be true. and there is due to Plaintiffs from the defendant E. Hammond on the Judgment described in Plaintiffs Petition the sum of $210.40 with interest from Febuary 11. 1868. and $4.50 costs amounting at this day to the sum of two hundred and sixty four 90/100 dollars which is a lien on the said Lot in said Petition described as a fraction of Lot No 17. in the Village of Newton by virtue of the Judgment and levy set forth in said Petition. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of said E. Hammond said sum of two hundred and sixty four 90/100 dollars together with the costs of this action by Plaintiffs expended taxed to $ and in default of payment thereof for twenty days that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to Appraise. advertise and sell said premises according to law. to satisfy the said claim and the other liens thereon
and as to the claim of James McElroy and the claim of Reuben Barnett this cause is continued with leave to file cross Petition in forty days

Kelton. Bancroft & Co. }
vs
E. Hammond Et al. }

This day A. L. Scoville & Co. by leave of the Court file their answer herein. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the answer of said A. L. Scoville & Co. to be true and that there is due from said defendant E. Hammond to said A. L. Scoville & Co. on the Judgment described in their said answer the sum of $123.00 with interest from the 11th day of November 1868. amounting now to the sum of $ and that the same is a lien on the said Lot in Plaintiffs Petition described as fraction of Lot No 17. in the Village of Newton as set forth in Plaintiffs Petition
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that said A. L. Scoville recover of said E. Hammond the said amount of said Judgment with the interest and costs. and in default of payment thereof for twenty days that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said premises according to law to satisfy said claim and said costs and the costs herein made

John Wood Assignee & c } John Landon Garnishee
vs
Bartlett. Berry & Co. }

On motion to the Court by the Plaintiff and it appearing from the answer of John Landon garnishee that he is indebted to the said defendants in the sum of one thousand and four dollars and 47/100 and that said sum is now due and payable and liable to be applied under said attachment; It is therefore ordered that John Landon Garnishee do pay into the hands of the clerk of this Court the said sum of $1004.47 within ten days from this time and in default thereof it is further ordered that an execution be issued against the said John Landon to collect the said sum of $1004.47 as upon Judgments

Oziel Lapham vs Hayden Hutchinson & Co. et al. } Continued
Oziel Lapham vs Hullington. Phillips & Woods } Continued

Wednesday Febuary 7th 1872

J. H. & S. Myers
vs
G. W. Pritchard. Michael. Myers & J. H. Telkner

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorneys and the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition of Plaintiff. and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that since the commencement of this action to wit:, on January 29. 1872. the defendants have paid to Plaintiffs on the Note in said Petition set forth the sum of one hundred dollars. and that there is still Plaintiffs due and remaining unpaid upon said Note the sum of Eighty & ^{00} dollars.
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs recover of said defendants said sum of Eighty & $^{00}_{100}$ dollars so found their due as aforesaid. and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
It is further found by the Court that said G. W. Pritchard and Michael Myers are Principal debtors and the said J. H. Telkner is their surety

John Harriman & H. C. Dickinson
vs
James Moffitt

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of Plaintiffs to dismiss the Appeal of defendant on the ground that this case was tried by a Jury before said Justice of the Peace. and that neither party claimed in their Bill of particulars a greater sum than Twenty dollars. in consideration whereof the Court dismiss said appeal at the costs of said defendant. It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover of said defendant their costs herein expended taxed to $.

Law. & Wait
vs
N. E. Patrick

Leave granted Plaintiffs to withdraw their general demurer to answer as amended. and the amendment to the answer is stricken from the files by the Court. and the defendant has leave to file an amended answer herein in 30 days

Joh. S. Dillon vs Simeon. J. Sturlin } Continued

Martha. A. Russell vs Edson Perry et. al. } Settled & costs paid

Lewis Jenkins vs Lewis Lake } Continued

Valorus. P. Hills vs James. A. Price } ~~Continued~~ Settled Cost paid

Marion Hopkins vs David. A. Williams et. al. } Continued

Andrew Keyes vs Samuel McCampbell et. al. } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock Tomorrow Morning

J. H. Conklin
J P

Thursday Febuary 8th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X Cyrus. E. Felton
vs
Isaac Staley Et al.

This day this cause came on to be heard by the Court whereupon the Court find for Plaintiff. and there is due him on said Note described in said Petition and secured by said Mortgage the sum of $133.30 It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of said defendants said sum of money together with costs taxed at $ and in case said defendants fail for ten days to pay said sum with interest and costs that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the premises in said Petition described according to law to satisfy said claim

X Millers. Green. & Joyce
vs
Thomas Brown Et al

43

This day came on this cause on the motion to confirm sale. whereupon the Court find the sale made to Henry Crist to be regular and in all respects lawfull and doth hereby confirm the same. and order the Sheriff to make him a deed for the part of said premises by him bid off. and as to the other parts sold the motion by consent of all parties is continued.

X Joshua Penn
vs
A. B. Butler

This cause is continued at the cost of Plaintiff with leave to file Petition in thirty days.

X Benjamin Chance vs Orrin Beem 3 Continued

X Hamilton & Susan Blue
vs
George Orahood Et al.

The Sheriff having reported an appraisment of the premises in the Petition described and that the same would not bear Partition. and no one electing to take the same at the valuation It is ordered and adjudged that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff commanding him to advertise and sell the said premises according to law. and report to the next term of this Court. and the Court finding that there is a Mortgage lien on said premises in favor of Hamilton Blue for the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars. with interest from May 16 1864. now amounting to $220 at this date which sum of money the Court order to be paid out of the purchase money and this cause is continued.

X Elijah Witter
vs
David Watson Et al.

And now comes the said Elijah Witter by his Attorney, P. B. Cole. and the said David Watson still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition. It is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. and the Court with the consent of the

Thursday February 8th 1872

said Plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff on the Note in the said Petition set forth the sum of Three thousand five hundred and thirty ⅁ 5/100 Dollars
It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $3530 5/100 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $3530 5/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and that he bring the proceeds of said sale into Court at the next term thereof to be disposed of according to the order of said Court hereafter to be made and this cause is continued for that purpose

Hyllas Sabine
vs
Isaac Gray

This day came the Parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit,, Thomas Moore. Nesbet January. Wilton W. Bales. H. S. Stamates. Baldwin Johnson. Solomon Walker. William. Bell. Garret Harris. James Thompson Jr. Regular Jurors. Samuel Woods. Thomas Wharton. Hamilton Bluel tales Jurors. who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the Parties, upon their oaths do say that they find for the Plaintiff and assess his damages at the sum of one Hundred and three & 33/100 Dollars
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant Isaac Gray said sum of $103 33/100 so found due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

Charles A. Zanone
vs
James Fullington Et al

This cause came on to be heard on the motion to make the amended Petition more specific and certain and number the several causes of action- whereupon as to paragraphing said causes of action the Court sustain said Motion, and thereupon Plaintiff asked and obtained leave to file an amended Petition in 30 days and cause continued

Joseph M. Kennedy
vs
H. C. Burnham Et al.

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the motion to make the Petition more specific and certain whereupon the Court sustain said motion and the cause is continued with leave to file the amended Petition in 30 days

David Rees Et al
vs
Betsey E. Smyth
Motion to tax costs

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the motion to tax costs made under the proceedings under the occupying claimant law whereupon the Court being fully advised

Thursday February 8th 1872

in the premises do sustain said motion- it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant Betsey E. Smyth pay the costs made in this cause under the occupying claimant law in this cause within twenty days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law

Wm. Porter
vs
Thomas Slattery Et al }

This day came the Plaintiff but defendants came not but made default. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises from the evidence do find for the Plaintiff- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the injunction heretofore allowed be and the same is hereby made perpetual. and it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of the defendants his costs herein expended &c-

John Bland Admr. &c
vs
Wm. Walk & others }

This day came the Parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Thomas Moore. Herbet January. Wilton W. Bales W. S. Flannates Baldwin Johnson. Solomon Walker. William Bell. Garret Harris. James Thompson, Jr. S. W. H. Durborow. Regular Jurors. Levi Longbake. Thomas Wharton. tales Jurors- who being duly empanneled and sworn, and having failed to agree on a verdict was discharged by the Court, whereupon this cause is continued with leave to file a new answer in thirty days and the Plaintiff to file reply in forty days

Thomas O. Timmons Admr &c
vs
Rhoda Haines Et al }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of defendants. to the Jurisdiction of the Court in consideration whereof the Court overrule said demurrer and thereupon the Plaintiff asked and obtained leave to amend his Petition in 30 days. & continued

The State of Ohio
vs
Henry Amrine } Indictment for Perjury

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant to Quash the Indictment and said motion having been argued by counsel And it appearing to the Court that there is such defects apparent upon the face of the records in said motion alleged. it is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said indictment be Quashed- and that the said Henry Amrine be not required to further plead or answer to the same. and that said defendant be discharged and have leave to go hence without day-
The Court appoint in this cause John L. Porter as counsel to assist the Prosecuting Attorney

Stuart Robinson
vs
Andrew Robinson Et al }

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause on the

Thursday February 8th 1872

motion to confirm sale and distribute proceeds of sale and tax costs whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the proceedings and sale to be in all respects regular and lawful. it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the said sale be and the same is confirmed and the Sheriff ordered to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple. and it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of sale made all the costs made since the order for the sale was made be paid and that out of said proceeds there be also paid as costs the sum of twenty dollars to Robinson & Riser Attorneys. and that the balance of said purchase money as well as the two deferred payments to be secured by Mortgage. be distributed between the following persons in equal shares viz. Mattie Hallum. Mary Robinson and John Robinson children of John Robinson deceased and it is further ordered that the remaining costs including an Attorney fee of Eighty five dollars to Robinson & Riser be paid by the Plaintiff to whom the remainder of said land was set off within twenty days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

W. W. Woods & Thos. J. Buxton
late Partners in busines under the firm
name of Bank of Marysville
vs
John Sidle. J. C. Sidle & Norton Scott } Cognovit

This day came the Plaintiffs and filed their Petition against the said John Sidle. J. C. Sidle and Norton Scott defendants and thereupon D. W. Ayers one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants. and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said John Sidle. J. C. Sidle and Norton Scott and now produced in Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process upon the said defendants and acknowledged that the said John Sidle. J. C. Sidle and Norton Scott defendants do owe the said W. W. Woods & Thos. J. Buxton Partners &c Plaintiffs the sum of Three hundred and fifty Nine dollars ($359.00) and consented that Judgment be entered against the said defendants in favor of said Plaintiffs for that sum. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiffs recover of said defendants said sum of $359.00 dollars and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by the defendants

Mansfield Machine Works
vs
Henry Black & Rachel Black

This day came the said Mansfield Machine Works and the said Henry Black and Rachel Black though solemnly called came not but made default. whereupon it is considered that the said Mansfield Machine Works recover his damages by reason of the premises. and neither of the Parties requiring a Jury the Court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said Plaintiff at $42.25 - therefore it is considered that the said Plaintiff recover of the said Henry Black and Rachel Black the said sum of $42.25 his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Thursday February 8th 1872

William McNeal
vs
James D. McNeal
} Coment-

This day came William McNeal by his Attorney and filed his Petition against the said James D. McNeal and thereupon John L. Foster Esq. one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said James D. McNeal defendant and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said James D. McNeal defendent and now produced and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon the said James D. McNeal and acknowledged that the said James D. McNeal does owe the said William McNeal at this date the sum of one hundred and ninety-six 80/100 Dollars ($196.80) and consented that Judgment be entered therefor— It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Wm McNeal recover of the said James D. McNeal said sum of $196.80 so confessed to be due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $—
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by the said defendant

Thomas Yearsley
vs
Lewis Potee & Wife
}

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and on his motion and on producing the proceedings and report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court made in this case, and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made in all respects according to law it is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to said purchaser in fee simple, and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the moneys in his hands first pay the costs of this case and the taxes on said Property, second that he pay the claim of Innkeep and third that he pay the Judgment of said Plaintiff

× C. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville } Continued

× D. N. Hamilton vs Wm H. Damick } Continued—

× Lewis Foos vs John M. Hall et al } Continued

× Reuben Weisz vs Jamis Magaha et al } Continued

× John F. Oglevee vs Miller, Timmons & Co et al } Continued

× Alonzo Peters vs Ralph Moffitt & Elizabeth Moffitt } Continued

× Ebenezer Healey vs William H. Wilson et al } Leave to amend Petition in 30 days and Continued

× John F. Winters vs Orson Benton } Continued

× H. C. Hamilton vs Hyles Sabine } Continued with leave to file Petition in 40 days

× First National Bank of Delaware Ohio vs James Mulvain et al } Continued former order

✓ W. W. Woods vs Geo. Worline & Margaretta Worline } left off Docket

Thursday February 8th 1872

Truman. M. Kimball
vs
David A. Williams. Lucy A. Williams his wife
George F. Bennett & Orpha A. Bennett his wife
P. B. Cole. Asignee in Bankruptcy of Williams & Bennett
Aaron H George Mitchell & the Citizens National Bank

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiff the answer of P. B. Cole Asignee of the said Williams & Bennett the reply of the Plaintiff together with the exhibits and testimony and was argued by Counsel; on consideration whereof the Court do find that the Equity of the case is with the Plaintiff and that there is due to him after deducting all usury on this 8th day of February 1872 upon the Mortgage in the Petition mentioned the following sums of money to wit. on the Note which fell due October 1st 1870 the sum of Three Thousand eight hundred & four Dollars and forty four cents ($3804.44) on the Note which fell due October 1st 1871. since the filing of the Petition the sum of Three Thousand eight hundred & four Dollars and forty four cents ($3804.44) making now due and unpaid the sum of seven Thousand six Hundred and eight dollars & Eighty eight cents & The Court further finds that the 3d and last Note mentioned in the Mortgage ought in Equity to be reduced to the sum of ($3333 33/100) Three Thousand Three Hundred Thirty Three & 33/100 Dollars with interest from October 1st 1869 at six per cent per annum and therefore orders and decrees that the same be reduced to and held good for that sum with interest from that date and no more

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendants David A. Williams. George F. Bennett or P. B. Cole as their Asignee in Bankruptcy; within ten days from this date pay to said Plaintiff. Truman M. Kimball the said sum of Seven Thousand Six Hundred eight & 88/100 Dollars with interest from this date until paid. and also the costs of this suit taxed to $- and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being. commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned and described as upon judgments at law. and report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court. to which time all Questions not disposed of in this case are continued. The defendant P. B. Cole Asignee in Bankruptcy of Williams and Bennett gave notice of Appeal and Bond fixed at $300.

Truman. M. Kimball
vs
David A. Williams et al.

This day came Truman M. Kimball Plaintiff by M. C. Lawrence one of his Attorneys and moved the Court to appoint a receiver to take charge of the real estate in the Petition mentioned & rent the same collect the rents. pay taxes and do all other acts necessary to the proper preservation and care of said Real estate. on consideration whereof it is ordered by the Court that Nathan Howard be and he is hereby appointed a receiver for the purpose and with the Powers herein before named until the further order of this Court upon consent of Parties without Bond

Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
B. D. Evans
} Continued

Thursday February 8th 1872

John Weidman
vs
Margaretta Robinson et al.

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the Petition of John Weidman the crofs Petition of R.L. Broom. the crofs Petition of J.W. Robinson. the crofs Petition of John. S. Fleck. the crofs Petition of Pierson and Shearer. the answer of Margaretta Robinson. the demurer of Margaretta Robinson in the crofs Petition of Pierson and Shearer and the evidence and submitted by the Parties whereupon the Court being fully advised in the Premises do sustain said demurer to the crofs Petition of Pierson and Shearer and they not asking to amend. It is ordered and adjudged that said crofs Petition be and the same is dismissed as to said Margaretta Robinson and that she recover of said Pierson and Shearer her costs in that behalf expended taxed to $ and thereupon said Pierson & Shearer gave notice of their intention to appeal and the Court fix their Bond for Appeal at $200 and the Court further find upon the issues joined that the said Broom hath the first Mortgage lien on said Premises for the purchase money thereof in the sum of $700- with six per cent annual interest from the 4th of February 1868 ~~amounting to $833 27/100~~ That said J.W. Robinson hath a Mortgage lien on said Premises as alleged in his Petition for the sum of Three hundred dollars with interest from February 4th 1868. in the manner following to wit, $100. with interest from February 4th 1868 secured to said Broom by the note of Margaretta Robinson as Principal and J.W. Robinson as her surety which sums are the second lien on said premises. the Court find that said Broom is the owner of the Mechanics liens of said Weidman and said Fleck. that the sum hereinbefore decreed to said John. S. Fleck constitutes the third lien on said premises. that the claim of John Weidman is a valid Mechanics lien on said premises for $334- with interest from December 4th 1869 and constitutes the fourth lien on said premises- That said R. L. Broom has a valid Mortgage as alleged in his crofs Petition for $600. with six per cent interest from September 13. 1869. which ~~crofs Petition~~ Court strikes the fifth lien on said premises and that said Broom has a valid Mortgage lien on said premises as alleged in his crofs Petition for $300. with interest thereon from November 4th 1869 which constitutes the sixth lien thereon- Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the eighteen hundred dollars paid to the Sheriff as the purchase money for the premises aforesaid sold in this case and which sale has herein before been duly confirmed by the Court be distributed between the several Parties in the order of their liens aforesaid as follows to wit, First- the costs of these proceedings- second- the taxes due and payable on the premises at the time of sale. Third- the sum due as aforesaid to R. L. Broom on his first Mortgage before named- Fourth- the amount due J.W. Robinson amounting to $118. secured by Mortgage as alleged- Fifth- the amount of the two hundred dollar Note held by R. L. Broom secured by the Mortgage in said Robinsons Petition described and being $238. and on the payment of which Note said R. L. Broom will deliver the same to the Clerk of this Court for cancellation- Sixth- That the said claim herein before allowed to John. S. Fleck be paid- seventh- that said claim of John Weidman amounting to $334. with said interest be paid. eighth. That the balance if any remain be paid to R. L. Broom to apply on his said second and third Mortgages in the order of their said liens so far as the same will go and for the balance an execution against C.M. Robinson & Margaretta Robinson the makers of said Notes is awarded- Notice of Appeal by R. L. Broom and Bond fixed at $200-

John Nesbet vs Christina Nesbet } Continued-

Thursday February 8th 1872

William P. Hopkins
vs
Mary A. Hathaway Et al } In Partition

On motion to the Court by the Plaintiff and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law it is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed. and thereupon came the said William P. Hopkins and elected to take the said premises at the said valuation which Election is allowed and approved by the Court. and the Court order the payment to be made to said defendants to be one third Cash. one third in one year and one third in two years with interest. the defered payments to be secured by Mortgage on said premises. to said defendants according to their respective shares of said moneys to be hereinafter determined and upon payment being so made and secured as aforesaid it is ordered that said Sheriff execute a deed in fee simple to the same William P. Hopkins for the premises aforesaid - and it is ordered that the costs of this action including an Attorney fee to Porter & Sterling of $- and to M. C. Lawrence of $- be paid out of the moneys now in the hands of the Sheriff. and it is further ordered that all other questions as to the distribution of the moneys arising from said Election be continued

No 1109

Robson L. Broom
vs
John Long Et al } p 28 & 65

Ex H. No 1336

This day this cause came on further to be heard upon the Question of the distribution of the balance of the proceeds of said sale after paying the costs and the claim of Plaintiff. In consideration whereof the Court do adjudge and order that after payment of the costs and the claim of Plaintiff heretofore ordered. the Sheriff next pay the said amount secured by the Note and Mortgage of said A. J. Sterling and the remainder of said proceeds if any. said Sheriff is ordered to pay the same to said Ellen Long not Exceeding the sum of $- under the homestead law

P. G. Buchanan vs. J. L. Cameron Et al } Left off Docket 42

P. B. Cole vs H. T. Raymond Et al } Continued on motion of defendant
Pltffs. Raymond. Judgment against defendants for costs

H. C. Hamilton Guardian &c
vs
W. C. Barnett Et al

This day on motion to the Court leave is granted the Union Building and Loan Association of Marysville Ohio to file answer and cross Petition within forty days.

Horatio C. Hamilton Guardian &c
vs
W. C. Barnett. Missouri C. Barnett his wife
Wm M. Randall. N. A. Gilbert and Anna M. Gilbert his wife
John Kirchner and Rosina Kirchner his wife. The Union Building and Loan Association of Marysville Ohio
Martin Kirchner and Christopher Kirchner

This day came the Plaintiff Horatio C.

Thursday February 8th 1872

Hamilton Guardian &c by his Attorney, and this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of said Plaintiff together with the Exhibits and testimony, the said W. C. Barnett Missouri C. Barnett his wife, Wm M. Randall, N. A. Gilbert and Anna M. Gilbert his wife, John Kirchner and Rosina Kirchner his wife being in default for Plea, answer or demurer to the Petition of Plaintiff as such Guardian and the said cause was submitted by the Plaintiff to the Court, on consideration whereof and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that due notice hath been given to Wm M. Randall John Kirchner and Rosina Kirchner his wife of the object and prayer of said Petition by Publication as required by law; the Court do find that the Equity of the case is with the Plaintiff and that there is due to him as such Guardian from the defendant, W. C. Barnett on the two Notes set forth in the Petition at this date the sum of seven hundred and five 20/100 Dollars ($705.20) which sum is a lien upon the premises in the said Petition described by virtue of the Mortgage therein set forth— It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant W. C. Barnett within ten days from this date pay to the Plaintiff the said sum of $705.20 with interest from this date until paid and also the costs of this suit to be taxed; and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County, for the time being— commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon Judgments at law, and report his proceedings in the premises to the next Term of this Court— to which time all questions arising under the answers and cross Petitions of Martin Kirchner and Christopher Kirchner— and all other questions in the case not disposed of are continued—

French Garwood Admr—
vs
Davis Vance
} Left off. Docket P. 30

Philander B. Cole, Assignee of Williams & Bennett
vs
Truman M. Kimball
}

This day came the Plaintiff and by consent of Parties and leave of the Court, as to all of the articles described in his Petition except the Cattle dismisses his suit without Prejudice to another action therefor— and thereupon came the Parties by their Attorneys and by consent as well of the Parties as of the Court this cause was submitted to the Court, both Parties waiving a Jury— and the Court having heard the evidence and argument of Counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises finds as follows— First— that the Cattle in the Petition of the Plaintiff described were at the commencement of this action the Property of the Plaintiff in manner and form as alleged in his Petition in this case— second— That the defendant Truman M. Kimball did convert said Cattle to his own use as the Plaintiff claimed in his said Petition— and the Court by reason of the premises do assess the damages of the said Plaintiff to the sum of Two thousand Two hundred and Eighty-two Dollars— Therefor it is considered ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff as Assignee of David A. Williams and George F. Bennett do recover of the said defendant Truman M. Kimball the said sum of Two thousand Two hundred and Eighty-two dollars for his damages as aforesaid assessed and also his costs herein expended taxed to a second trial demanded by defendant and allowed by the Court and undertaking fixed at $250.00

Nile Bland
vs
William Bland et al.
} In Partition

Ex H. Doc 1310
Doc 1034 P. 12 & 47
" 1023

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the Sales made herein and distribute the proceeds thereof, whereupon the Court being fully

Thursday February 8th 1872

advised in the premises do find said proceedings in all respects regular and lawfull and confirm and approve the same and order the Sheriff to make deeds to said purchasers and the Court award to Martha E. Bland the widow of Peter Bland as her dower the use of the one third of said proceeds after deducting therefrom the sum of $1984 45/100 secured by Mortgage on said lands which by computation is valued at $2253 67/100 and the Court further find that in order to pay said Mortgage and the balance of the debts of the said estate of Peter Bland deceased - as set forth in said administrators answer there is required four thousand dollars of the proceeds of said sale - It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that out of the proceeds of said sale the Sheriff pay the costs of these proceedings including an Attorney fee of $- of which one seventh is to be paid to P. B. Cole Attorney for Evy E. Bland and the other six sevenths to Robinson and Piper Attorneys for the remaining six Heirs - Second that the sum of $2253 67 be paid to said Martha E. Bland in full of her dower in said lands so sold one third out of the cash payment one third out of the 2d and one third out of the third payment with interest - Third - that out of said proceeds there be next paid to said Admin istrator the sum of four thousand dollars to enable him to complete the payment of the debts of said estate - of which he the said Administrator shall be required to render an account to the Probate Court - Fourth - that the balance of the Proceeds of said sale to wit the money in the hands of the Sheriff and the defered payments be divided between the Children of Peter Bland deceased - named in said Petition in equal parts and the defered payments to be secured to them by Mortgage according to law

John D. McCullough
vs
Margaret McCullough Laura McCullough & Zenes E. McCullough } On motion to the Court by D. W. Ayers counsel for said Petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of the Commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the Court and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law; It is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed; and that the said Parties hold in severalty the shares and premises set off and assigned to each respectively by said commissioners - and it is further ordered that the costs in this action including a counsel fee to D. W. Ayers of $- be paid by the said Parties in the following Proportions to wit: John D. McCullough one third part. Margaret McCullough one third part; Laura McCullough and Zenes E. McCullough one third part and in default thereof that execution issue therefore

John Guthrie
vs
Thomas A. Mapes et al } Reserved - decission to be sent back by consent of Counsel for Plaintiff and defendant

No 1 The State of Ohio vs James Green } Continued for Sentence

No 2 The State of Ohio vs Ellen Long } Continued for Sentence

No 3 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for Sentence

No 4 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for Sentence

No 5 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for Sentence

No 6 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued for Sentence

X No 7
X No 8
X No 9
X No 12
X No 13
X No 14
X No 15
X No 39
X No 43
X No 60
X No 65
X No 84
X No 87
X No 88
✓ No 98
✓ No 101
X No 103
✓ No 103
X No 104
X No 105
X No 106
X No 109
X No 110
X No 115
X No 116
X No 117
X No 118

Thursday February 8th 1872

x	No 7	The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Continued for sentence
x	No 8	The State of Ohio vs Mathew Lingrel } Continued
x	No 9	The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Continued for sentence
x	No 12	The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Continued for sentence
x	No 13	The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Continued for sentence
x	No 14	The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Continued for sentence
x	No 15	The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Continued for sentence
x	No 39	The State of Ohio vs Joseph Price } Continued for sentence
x	No 43	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued on motion for new trial
x	No 60	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued for sentence
x	No 65	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued on motion for new trial
x	No 84	The State of Ohio vs Gustavus J. Slidmore } Continued
x	No 87	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
x	No 88	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
✓	No 95	The State of Ohio vs Elliott B. Perkins & Menzo D. Halsey } Left off Docket by order of Pros Atty.
✓	No 101	The State of Ohio vs Elliott B. Perkins & Menzo D. Halsey } Continued
x	No 102	The State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole } Continued
✓	No 103	The State of Ohio vs Elliott B. Perkins, Menzo D. Halsey & D. A. Wilder } Left off Docket by order of Pros Atty
x	No 104	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
x	No 105	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
x	No 106	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
x	No 109	The State of Ohio vs James H. Wall } Continued
x	No 110	The State of Ohio vs James H. Wall } Continued
x	No 115	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
x	No 116	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
x	No 117	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued
x	No 118	The State of Ohio vs John Kirchner } Continued

Tuesday February 8th 1872

✗ No 119	The State of Ohio vs Wallace Linder & Samuel Stout } Continued
✗ No 121	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 122	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 123	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 124	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 125	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 126	The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued
✗ No 127	The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued
✗ No 128	The State of Ohio vs Aaron Brown } Continued
✗ No 129	The State of Ohio vs Peter Roush } Continued
✗ No 130	The State of Ohio vs George W. Baxley } Continued
✗ No 132	The State of Ohio vs Lucien Strain } Continued
✗ No 134	The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued
✗ No 135	The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued
✗ No 136	The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued
✗ No 137	The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Continued
✗ No 144	The State of Ohio vs John Ford } Continued
✗ No 145	The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Continued
✗ No 146	The State of Ohio vs Joseph Rofs } Continued
✗ No 147	The State of Ohio vs Joseph Rofs } Continued
✗ No 148	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 149	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✗ No 150	The State of Ohio vs William Richter } Continued
✓ No 138	The State of Ohio vs Nich White } Left off Docket by order of Pros Attorney
✓ No 139	The State of Ohio vs Nich White } Left off Docket by order of Pros Attorney
✓ No 140	The State of Ohio vs Nich White } Left off Docket by order of Pros Attorney
✓ No 141	The State of Ohio vs Nich White } Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney

✗ No 142

✓ No 143

✗

✗

No 142 The State of Ohio vs Nich White } Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

No 143 The State of Ohio vs Nich White Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

Elizabeth Hopkins
vs
Warren C. Robinson and wife } This day on motion to the Court M. C. Lawrence is by the Court appointed a Referee in this case to examine and report to whom the residue of the purchase money, after paying Plaintiffs claim costs and taxes shall be paid-

John Nesbet
vs
Christina Nesbet } This day on motion to the Court it is ordered that the Plaintiff pay to the clerk the sum of $50.00 within sixty days- the same being Alimony Pendante lite and cause continued

ordered that all matters, causes, and motions now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

May Term A.D. 1872

Monday May 6th 1872 This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met in pursuance of law at 10 oclock A. M. Present P. B. Cole Presiding Judge. Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney Robert Sharp Sheriff and H. T. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit, O. W. Smith, William H. Elson, George W. Carey, David Davis Jr. Willard Edson, J. B. Green, James Martin, Lewis Corbet, Henry Ferris, Wm H. Conkright, John Guthrie, Milton M. Shipley- and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court, elected anew to wit, Jared Clement, Levi Longbrake, and Joseph Powers, good an lawful men, whose names are annexed to the Panel, according to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, the Court the said Levi Longbrake Foreman- and the said Jurors being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their Room to deliberate
attended by a sworn Constable

John H. Winters
vs
Orson Benton

This cause is settled by Agreement of Parties as follows- the Plaintiff is to retain the possession of the Property mentioned in the Petition and defendant releases all claim to the same
Plaintiff is to pay Fifteen dollars of the costs made in this action and defendant is to pay all other costs- Judgment accordingly- It is therefore ordered that the Parties the costs in ten days or that Execution issue therefor

Sullington Garwood & Co
vs
Michael Davis
} Continued

C. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville } Continued

J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown
vs
William Wharry, C. A. Cady & P. Safford
} Continued

John Swan & al. vs Henry Williams & al } Continued-

Robert West
vs
Uriah Zimmerman, Philip Rough & Hart Monser
} Continued

Samuel R. Harbert vs James H. Neal & Henry Neal } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Tuesday May 7th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

D. N. Hamilton Admrs &c
vs
Wm H. Dunnick D.d.

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the supplemental Petition of the Plaintiff.- the defendant Wm H. Dunnick being in default for answer to the said supplemental Petition- and neither Party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by Plaintiff upon the Pleadings and testimony upon consideration whereof the Court do find the allegations in the supplemental Petition to be true- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant Wm H. Dunnick the costs herein taxed to $ no record

E. L. Reynolds
vs
Hilah Woodworth D.d. } In Partition

This day on motion to the Court- and it appearing to the Court that Ralph. P. Smith and Carrie Thrift are minors- and it also appearing to the Court that the children and Heirs or some of the children and Heirs- of Byron Irwin- deceased- and of Mary. E. Stirrat- deceased- are Probably minors- but that the names and ages of said children and Heirs are yet unknown to the Petitioner- John. L. Porter an Attorney of this Court is appointed by the Court Guardian ad litem- for the said Ralph. P. Smith Carrie Thrift- and the unknown children and Heirs of Byron Irwin- deceased- and of Mary. E. Stirrat- deceased- who may at this time be minors- The said John. L. Porter thereupon appeared and accepted said appointment and filed his answer as such Guardian Ad litem-

John McClarn
vs
Wm Haines }

This day came this cause on to be heard by the Court the defendant still being in default and neither party demanding a Jury. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of said Petition to be true- and there is due Plaintiff from defendant as alleged in said Petition set forth the sum of twenty dollars with interest from November 1st 1870 to wit interest to this ~~amount~~ date of $1.50 making a total due at this date of twenty one and 50/100 dollars-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of Twenty one & 50/100 dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to $-

Charles P. Meredith Trustee &c
vs
Benjamin Evans }

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys. and the said Plaintiff admitting the fact stated in the answer of said defendant as to the first Note mentioned in the Petition being sold to said Kezartee. the said Plaintiff asks and has leave to withdraw said Note from this action and nothing herein to Prejudice any action or rights said Plaintiff may have against said Kezartee- and thereupon the said Plaintiff and defendant settled in full all claims between them and the said defendant is forever dismissed from any and all liability on either of said Notes and this cause is thus settled- each Party Pays his own costs. Judgment accordingly

Tuesday May 7th 1872

E. L. Reynolds
vs
Hilah Woodworth Et al } In Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition- the answer of the Guardian ad Litem- the evidence and exhibits- on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing of the Petition and pendency of this action was given for forty days Prior to the first day of the Present term of this Court; and the Court on further consideration thereof orders that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio commanding him that by the oaths of John Cranston. Dyer Reed and Hiram D. Kimball. three disinterested freeholders of the Vicinity; Partition be made of the lands in the Petition described in the following Proportions to wit., To the said E. L. Reynolds- one undivided seventh part- To Hilah Woodworth wife of A. A. Woodworth one undivided seventh part- To Elizabeth Potter wife of Edward Potter. one undivided seventh Part- To. Ira Reynolds one undivided seventh Part. To Emma Lee wife of Alfred. E. Lee- Corintha Lacroix- wife of John. P. Lacroix and James. G. Irwin- one undivided Thirty fifth Part each- To the children and Heirs of Byron Irwin. deceased- names unknown- one undivided Thirty fifth Part- together- To the child or children of Mary. E. Sturat- deceased- name or names unknown. one undivided thirty fifth Part together- To the said Chauncey Albert. R. Charles E- Viema R. Ralph J- T. Rodney and Lucius D. Smith each. one undivided forty Ninth Part- To the said Sarepta Garwood wife of French Garwood- Mary. R. Field wife of James. W. Field- Venitia. R. Runkle wife of General Benjamin. P. Runkle each one undivided Twenty eighth Part- To Mae- Thrift and Carrie Thrift each one undivided fifty-sixth Part- and it is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff. return his Proceedings in the premises forthwith

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their four several Bills of Indictment against Henry Marshall for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- each severally Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake. Foreman of Grand Jury- and not having finished the business before them, they retired again to deliberate

Sarah. J. Cartmell
vs
Hullington. Phellis & Woods & others } On Note & Mortgage

This day came William. H. Cartmell one of the defendants herein and asks and has leave of the Court to file answer and cross Petition for affirmative relief against said defendants. Hullington Phellis and Woods- and such Pleading is filed- cause continued by consent-

Edith Stiles
vs
Hiram Tracy } On Note & Mortgage

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiff and the evidence- and exhibits- the defendant being in default on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing of the Petition- its object and Prayer and of the Pendency of this action has been given by Publication in the Marysville Tribune- and on further consideration the Court do find for the Plaintiff- and that there is due to him on this 7th day of May 1872. on the Note first mentioned and set forth in the Petition and Mortgage the sum of

Tuesday May 7th 1872

one Hundred and eighty three 90/100 Dollars ($183.90)-
It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Hiram Tracey within ten days from the close of this term of Court pay to the Plaintiff said sum of $183.90 with interest from this date until paid- and also the costs of this suit to be taxed- and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being commanding him to proceed to appraise & advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon judgments at law- and report his proceedings in the premises to this Court- as to the Note not yet due and for the report of the Sheriff this cause is continued

Edwin G. Adams
vs
Stephen McNear Et al } In Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition exhibits- answer and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof, and on motion of S. W. Ayers counsel for Plaintiff it is ordered by the oaths of John T. McCullough, George Rickard and D. D. Robinson that Partition be made of said lands in said Petition described in the following proportions to wit: To Edwin G. Adams the equal three fourths 3/4 and to Parintha S. McNear the equal one fourth-
and it is further ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said Partition to be made accordingly and report during the present term

Levi Hill
vs
Isaac N. Green } ?

This day came the Parties and settled this cause as follows defendant releases in favor of said Plaintiff the Judgment heretofore rendered in this action and releases all claims against said Plaintiff and on account of this action- Plaintiff releases all claims against said defendant on account of this action and is to pay all costs of the same Judgment accordingly- and no record- It is therefore ordered that defendant recover of Plaintiff the costs herein to be taxed

Alonzo Peelers
vs
Ralph Moffitt & Elizabeth Moffitt } Continued under former order

Thomas N. Paulk vs Joseph Price Et al } Continued by consent

Thomas Martin vs Joseph R. Hatcher } Continued

Ebenezer Healey vs William H. Wilson Et al } Continued

P. B. Cole Assignee &c
vs
H. T. Raymond & Levi Kirk } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Wednesday May 8th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their three several Bills of Indictment against Peter Gray for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- each severally- Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury- also their other Bill of Indictment against William Richter for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law- Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury- also their other Bill of Indictment against Enos Hildreth for Assault and Battery- Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury- also their other Bill of Indictment against Asa Clark and James Clark for Petit Larceny- Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury- also their other Bill of Indictment against Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers for Fornication- Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury- also their other Bill of Indictment against James McNeal for Rape- Indorsed- a true Bill- Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury- and having finished the business before them they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court=

Grand Jurors Jail Report

ordered to be recorded- State of Ohio Union County ss

To the Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio of the Term of May in the Year A.D.1872- The Jurors of the Grand Jury of the State of Ohio within and for the body of the County of Union duly impannelled and sworn- having taken the condition of the Jail of said County into consideration do find that said Jail Building has been destroyed by fire and most earnestly recommend that steps be taken at once to rebuild the same- the necessity of the County and public welfare demands active prompt measures to be taken in regard to this matter

Levi Longbrake Foreman of Grand Jury

Joshua Penn
vs
A. B. Butler

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and the said defendant asked and had leave to withdraw his demurrer- and thereupon Plaintiff asked and had leave of the Court to file an amended Petition in 30 days and cause continued-

Thomas J. Owen
vs
Belotes J. Pooler

On motion and affidavit of said defendant this cause is continued at the defendants cost- It is therefore considered and adjudged that Plaintiff recover of defendant his costs of this term taxed to $-

James J. Powers by his next friend &c vs James J. Lawrence } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bloss Judge

Thursday May 9th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Elizabeth A. Dawson
vs
C.C.C.& Indianapolis R.R. Comp.

This caus came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant to Quash the summons and service of the same and was argued by counsel - and submitted to the Court on consideration whereof the Court do sustain said motion but granted leave to Plff to amend summons - whereupon defendant waived the amendment and summons & Entered its appearance herein

Low and Wait
vs
Nathan E. Patrick

This day came the said Plaintiff and the defendant having failed to file an amended answer or demurer and being in default this cause was submitted to the Court upon the Petition exhibits and testimony and the Court being fully advised in the premises and with the consent of said Plaintiffs do find that there is due the said Plaintiffs from the said defendant upon the account mentioned in said Petition as principal the sum of three Hundred and thirty four dollars and forty five cents $334 45/100 and the Court do further find that there is due as interest upon said account the sum of Thirty three & 77/100 dollars
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiffs Low and Wait recover of the said Nathan E. Patrick defendant the said sum Principal and interest of Three Hundred and Sixty Eight dollars and seventy seven cents together with their costs expended taxed to &

Otho N. Argo
vs
Aetna Insurance Company

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of Plaintiff to the second and third grounds of defense set up in the answer - and was argued by counsel and submitted - and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said demurer as to both said grounds of defense - to which ruling of the Court in sustaining said demurer - the said defendant then and there excepted, and without waiving any rights in the premises the defendants has leave to amend its second ground of defense instanter - and it is agreed between the Parties that said amendment may be made by interlineation and without rewriting said answer or farther verification

John Bland Admr
vs
Wm Walk & B. F. Harris

This day this caus came on to be heard on motion of defendant to strike out the second defense - and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said motion and do order said second defence to be stricken out of the defendants answer - to which ruling of the Court and order striking out said defence the Plaintiff excepts and the same is entered by the order of the Court on the Journal of the Court

Elizabeth A. Dawson
vs
The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnatti and Indianapolis Railway Company

This caus came on this day

Thursday May 9th 1872

to be further heard upon the motion filed herein by the defendant to require the Plaintiff to separately state and number her causes of action - which motion was sustained by the Court - to which Plaintiff excepts -
And was further heard upon the motion to strike out certain redundant and irrelevant matter therein named - numbered two in said motion - on consideration whereof the Court doth overrule the said motion in that behalf -
And was further heard upon the motion to require said Petition to be made more definite and certain - numbered three - in said motion on consideration whereof the Court doth sustain said motion as to that - to which Plaintiff excepts -
And was further heard upon the motion to strike said Petition from the files for want of sufficient verification - as numbered four in said motion - whereupon the Court overrule said motion as to that - and held said verification sufficient - to which defendant excepted - whereupon without waiving any rights Plaintiff asks and has leave to file an amended Petition by Tuesday the 14th of May 1872

Joseph M. Kennedy Admrs
vs
Henry C. Burnham Et al } On motion the Plaintiff has leave to open default - and file reply - and reply filed -

Oziel Lapham vs Hayden, Hutchinson & Co. Et al 3 Continued

Oziel Lapham vs Fullington, Phillips & Woods 3 Continued

Truman M. Kimball
vs
David A. Williams Et al } Left off Docket because of Appeal bond having been filed ~~Continued~~

Andrew A. Spicer Admr &c
vs
William Sprague Et al } Continued for service

Job. S. Dillon vs Simeon J. Sturlin Et al 3 Continued having been retained in the case

Jacob C. Sidle vs D. H. Parsons Et al 3 Continued by reason of Judge Cole

John Doliff vs D. H. Parsons Et al 3 Continued by reason of Judge Cole having been retained in the case

Mary M. Yearsley vs H. T. Knox. Margaret Knox Et al 3 Continued

Jacob Leonard vs David A. Williams Et al 3 Continued by suggestion of defendants that Judge Cole may be interested as assignee of Williams & Bennett

Samuel Taylor Et al vs James T. Mahaffey Et al Continued

D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight 3 Continued

P. B. Cole assignee vs Truman M. Kimball 3 Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Friday May 10th 1872

This morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

✓ Geo Sinclair vs George Davis } settled and costs paid

✓ William Westlake vs George B Stiles & Mary C. Stiles } settled & costs paid

✓ Rinehart, Ballard & Co vs Adam Blue et al } settled and costs paid

✓ John Lever vs Daniel Rice & Malinda Rice } settled and costs paid

✓ Joseph. Powers Admr. vs O. Wells et al } settled and costs paid

✗ Elijah. Witter- executor of Martin Ballow deceased
vs
David Watson et al } On motion to the Court the said Plaintiff has leave to file an amended Petition herein in twenty days and make Emily A. Watson party defendant in this action- and David Watson, J. W. Robinson, Morris and Pullington, have leave to file answers and cross Petitions herein in twenty days from this date-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bloods Judge

Saturday May 11th 1872

This morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday-

✓ Francis E. Suire & Co
vs
John H. Compton, Sarah J. Compton and W. B. Wheatley } on Note and Mortgage

And now comes the said F. E. Suire & Co. by Robinson and Piper their Attorneys and the said John H. Compton Sarah J. Compton, W. B Wheatley or either of them still failing to demur or answer to said Petition it is considered that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the said Plaintiffs find that there is due from the said defendants John H. Compton to the said Plaintiffs on the Note in said Petition set forth the sum of seventy six and 37/100 Dollars- It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant John H. Compton the said sum of $76 37/100 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $- And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay the said Plaintiffs the said sum of $76 37/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County as Special Master Commissioner of this Court commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Saturday May 11th 1872

Joseph M. Kennedy Admr
vs
H. C. Burnham et al.

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit, Robert McMillen, Mathew Singel, Jackson Godfrey, B. J Seigler, Samuel Reems, John Weaver, John Gibson, Regular Jurors John Guthrie A. J. Whitney, William Lee, John C. Price, James A. Henderson tales Jurors - who being duly impannled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the Parties - after hearing the evidence, arguments of Counsel, and charge of the Court, being unable to agree upon a verdict they were discharged by the Court and cause continued -

Strange Y. Mann
vs
William Gabriel

This day came the Parties and settled all matters in dispute between them and this cause is settled at costs of the defendant - no record It is therefore ordered that the defendant pay the costs in ten days or that Execution issue therefor

Frank Gilbert
vs
A. K. Minthorn

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion of defendant for leave to file Petition for damages - and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the Plaintiff is in default for Petition and hath the possession of the Property replevied from defendant - whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant within thirty days file his Petition for the recovery of the value of said Property so replevied out of his hands and this cause is continued -

Daniel B. Patch
vs
Daniel Hiestand

This day came the defendant and moved the Court to dismiss this cause for want of Prosecution, whereupon it appearing that Plaintiff is in default for Petition and no one answering for Plaintiff it is ordered and adjudged that this cause be and the same is dismissed without Prejudice at Plaintiffs costs - whereupon it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ - in ten days and in default that execution issue therefor -

John McCombs
vs
Emma McCombs

This day came the Plaintiff and dismissed this cause and asked leave to withdraw the papers from the files which is allowed and thereupon it is considered ordered that Plaintiff pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ - within ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor - no record -

Millers. Green & Joyce
vs
Thomas Brown wife et al.

Vol 1 20 P 43 & 74 Ex H No 1349

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the sale made in this cause and it appearing that said proceedings and sale have been regular and lawful in all respects and the sale satisfactory

Saturday May 11th 1872

To the Plaintiffs and said Thomas Brown and wife - the said sale and proceedings are confirmed and it appearing that said purchasers have assigned their bid for said premises to A. S. Mowry it is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of this County execute and deliver to said Mowry his deed as sheriff and Master commissioner for said premises so sold and that out of the purchase money he pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to $- and second he pay the claim of Pierson and Shearer amounting to $149- and third that he pay the claim of Marcella Johnson amounting to $- and fourth that he pay the claim of the Plaintiffs amounting to $ and fifth if there be any ballance he pay the same prorata upon the judgments of Dubrins &c. against Thomas Brown - of McAlpine &c. against Thomas Brown and of the Farmers Bank against Thomas Brown and J. W. Robinson - and it is further decreed that all claim of dower or homestead on the part of the wife of Thomas Brown be and the same is hereby quieted and possession of said premises given on or before the first day of August 1872

Wm H. Robertson
vs
Crilly Stultz

This day came on this cause to be heard on the issues joined between the Parties, and thereupon came a jury to wit, John Gibson, John Weaver, Samuel Reems Jackson Godfrey Regular Jurors- W. C. Barnett, Lawrence Barlow, Cyrus Phillips George Hill, B. F. Martin, Thomas Yearsley, Franklin Welsh, W. P. Hiser, Tales Jurors- who being duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give according to the evidence- did return their verdict and find that the right of Property and the right of possession of the Property in said Petition described, at the time this action was commenced in the Plaintiff, and they assess his damage at one cent for the unlawful detention- thereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of the defendant his damages of one cent assessed as aforesaid and his costs herein expended taxed at $- defendant demanded a second trial under the statute which was allowed by the Court and the bond fixed at $100 &c

Osmond Wells
vs
Robert Sharp

This day came the defendant and with consent of Plaintiff withdraw his defence and by consent of defendant the Plaintiff dismissed this cause without Prejudice to the rights of the judgment creditors of the defendants costs- whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs herein expended taxed at $- no record

Thomas O. Simmons Admr &c
vs
Rhoda Haines Et al

continued

Farmers Bank Union Co. Ohio
vs
B. D. Evans

continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock Monday morning

A. Flood Judge

Monday May 13th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday

James Likely
vs
Wm Gabriel

This day came the Parties and settled this cause at defendants costs. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding in 10 days and in default thereof that Execution issue therefor - no record

George L. Converse
vs
David Rees Et al.

This day came the Plaintiff, none appearing for the defendants or either of them, and the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to said Plaintiffs Petition. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the same be taken as confessed, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the said Petition exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel for the Petitioner on consideration whereof the Court do find that the equity of the case is with the Plaintiff, and that there is due to him on this 13th day of May, A.D. 1872. Upon the Mortgage in the Petition mentioned the sum of Twenty six hundred Seventy Nine 8/100 Dollars - and that the same is a lien upon the real estate in the Petition mentioned - it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendants David Rees and Mary Rees within ten days from this date pay or cause to be paid to said Plaintiff the said sum of Twenty six hundred and Seventy Nine 8/100 Dollars with interest to be computed thereon from this day until paid at the rate of eight per cent per annum and also the costs of this suit to be taxed - and in default thereof that the Sheriff of this County as master commissioner of this Court proceed to sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned according to law which said lands and tenements are described as follows situate in Union County Ohio, and part of Survey No 5005; Virginia Military District - Beginning at a stake in the center of the Road - thence S. 63¾° E 217 3/5 Poles to a stake thence N. 54° E 75 9/10 Poles to a stake in the center of the Cedar Road, thence N. 63¾° W 177/5 Poles to a stake, thence N. 53° 26' E 87 [illegible]/10 Poles to a Beech and white oak and Elm - thence N. 63.¼° W 222 4/5 Poles to a stake in the center of the Haun Road - thence with said Road S 38¼° W 78 4/10 Poles to a stake at the beginning point - containing one hundred and seven and one half acres more or less - and being the farm on which said David Rees now resides - and that said Sheriff pay out of the proceeds of such sale first the costs of this action - second - the said claim of said Plaintiff including interest at eight per cent as aforesaid, and that he bring the residue of the proceeds of such sale if any into Court and report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court, to which time this cause is continued

The State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Rofs
Recognizance Retailing

This day came in Open Court Joseph Rofs and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of two hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that the said Joseph Rofs be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling Intoxicating Liquors Contrary

Monday May 13th 1872

to law, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void - otherwise to be and remain in full force -

John Bland Administrater of Peter Bland dec'd
vs
William Walk and B. F. Harris

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit: James Kinkade, Beverly Keyes, W. C. Barnett, William Smith, Emory Malin, Leonidas Sumner, Valonus Sumner, Wm M. Liggett Gregory Hawley, George Baldwin, Joseph Powers, Harvey S. Wood, tales Jurors, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the Parties upon their oaths do say the said Wm Walk and B. F. Harris did assume and promise in manner and form as the said John Bland hath complained against them and they assess the damages of the said John Bland as said Administrator by reason thereof to twenty seven and 4/100 dollars - see. Page 116

Therefore it is considered that the said John Bland as said Administrator as aforesaid recover against the said William Walk and B. F. Harris the sum of $27.4/100 his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ in motion for new trial

T. C. Bowen Admr &c
vs
J. G. Miller Et al.

This day came the Plaintiff and the said defendants having made default herein and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that both of said Mortgages in the Petition described are Equal in Priority and lien and that they both became a lien on said premises on the 23rd day of March 1871 - at 4 o'clock P. M. and the Court do find that there is now due from said defendants B. W. Miller and Brother and said J. G. Miller to said Plaintiff as such Administrator on the Note held by him being the first Note in said Petition described the sum of $1175.24 after deducting $1000 - paid to said Plaintiff by said defendants B. W. Miller and Brother and J. G. Miller -
It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that said defendants B. W. Miller and Brother and J. G. Miller pay to said Plaintiff as such administrator within ten days from this date the sum of $1175.24 with interest from this date and costs herein to be taxed and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of said Union County commanding him to cause said Premises in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law - and the Court do further find that there is now due and to become due upon the other of said Notes in the Petition described as follows to the said defendant the Marion County Importing Company the sum of $ - To said defendant Richard Carter on two Notes the sum of $ To the said defendant T. D. H. Kling the sum of $ To become due to said Marion County Importing Company the sum of $ on July 1st 1872 -
It is further ordered that said Sheriff report his Proceedings herein to the next term of this Court and bring the Proceeds of sale into Court at such next term: to which time this cause is continued as to all other matters not herein determined -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Tuesday May 14th 1872

This morning the Court met at 8 1/2 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

T. C. Bowen Administrator with the will annexed of the estate of Ozias Bowen deceased
vs
W. M. Hardy

And now comes the said T. C. Bowen Administrater with the will annexed of Ozias Bowen deceased by himself and J. L. Cameron his Attorneys and files his Petition against the said W. M. Hardy and thereupon Joseph M. Kennedy one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said W. M. Hardy and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said W. M. Hardy does owe to the said Plaintiff the said sum of fourteen Hundred and sixty eight Dollars and forty nine cents as the said Plaintiff hath in his said Petition set forth - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said T. C. Bowen as Administrator as aforesaid do recover of the said W. M. Hardy the said sum of fourteen hundred and sixty eight dollars and forty nine cents so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ -
and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by the said W. M. Hardy

The State of Ohio
vs
Henry Marshall
} Recognizance Retailing

This day came in open Court Henry Marshall and Alexander Snodgrass and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Two Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that the said Henry Marshall be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law - and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this Recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force

Elizabeth A. Dawson
vs
The Cleveland Columbus Cincinnatti and Indianapolis R. R. Company
} On motion and showing of defendant this cause is continued and the Court grant a further time of twenty days from the rising of this Court for the Plaintiff to file her amended Petition

Clarzo N. Argo vs Aetna Insurance Company } Continued by consent of Parties

No 132
The State of Ohio
vs
Lucien Strain
} Indictment for Assault and Battery

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and upon his motion the said defendant was three times solemnly called to answer unto an Indictment found against him for Assault and Battery and came not but made default and thereupon W. H. Goff the security of said Lucien Strain

Tuesday May 14th 1872

being three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with him the body of the said Lucien Strain to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do or that the same would be forfeited and the said W. A. Goff still failing to appear according to the condition of his said recognizance with the body of the said Lucien Strain. It is ordered by the Court that the same be and hereby is forfeited

No 760 John H. Oglevee vs Miller, Timmons & Co. and others } By the consent of Parties this cause is left off the Docket Ex H. No 1311

John Nesbet vs Christina Nesbet } On motion this cause is continued and sixty days from this time is given to the Plaintiff in which to pay the Alimony Pendente Lite ordered at the last term of this Court

H. C. Hamilton Guardian &c vs W. C. Barnett & al } on confirmation P. 81

The Court this day on motion of the Plaintiffs Attorney having examined the Proceedings of the Sheriff and a sale by him made on an order of sale issued in this cause and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the Provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold all which is entered accordingly
And on further motion for an order distributing the proceeds arising from said sale. It is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff pay as follows - 1st the costs of this suit taxed to $- 2d the taxes which were a lien on the Real estate sold at the date of sale in the sum of $10.20 3d the Plaintiffs claim as Guardian in the sum of $716.28 and 4th That the residue of the money arising from said sale being $- be applied upon the first Mortgage to the Union Building and Loan Association of Marysville Ohio executed by John Kirchner and wife which Mortgage the Court find to be a lien next in Priority to the lien in favor of the Plaintiff

Samuel L. Reed Admr vs James A. Neal } on Note and Mortgage

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys none appearing for the defendant and the said defendant still failing to plead Answer or demur to the said Plaintiffs Petition on consideration whereof the Court do find the equity of the case with the complainant and that there is due him the said Plaintiff from the said defendant on this 14th day of May A.D. 1872. upon the Notes in the said Petition set forth the sum of two hundred and sixteen Dollars and fifty cents ($216.50)
It is therefore considered by the said Court here that said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $216.50 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $-
And it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $216.50 Dollars so as aforesaid

Tuesday May 14th 1872

found due with costs of suit. that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being who is hereby appointed a Special Master Commissioner for that purpose, proceed to sell the tenements in the said Petition described as upon judgments at law and report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued

Thomas Herd P 62

vs

Sarah A. Simmons, Jane Simmons & Nelson Simmons } on confirmation

On motion of the Plaintiff by his Attorney and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of Real Estate made by him on the 23rd day of March 1872. to said Thomas Herd on an order of sale issued in this case and dated the 10th day of February 1872. and the Court on examination of said return and proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law- it is ordered that said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed. and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold upon payment by said purchaser- (he being the Plaintiff herein) of the costs herein made

Charles A. Zanone

vs

James Fullington Et al } ?

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the issues joined between the Parties- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendants- whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged that the defendants go hence without day and recover of the Plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $- and thereupon Plaintiff gave notice of Appeal and the Court fix the Appeal Bond at $200 cts- no record-

David Watson

vs

Charles Erb & A. G. Wilkins } ?

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court on the Pleadings and evidence- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendants- and thereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the injunction heretofore allowed be dissolved and the defendants go hence without day and recover of Plaintiff their costs taxed at $- and thereupon Plaintiff gave notice of Appeal and the Court fixed the bond at $150-

Wakelene A. Pudney vs Sarah A. Pudney } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Hole Judge

Wednesday May 15th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

David Edgar
vs
William Richter

on motion leave is granted for the Plaintiff to file a Petition in thirty days and this cause is continued

Robert Spain Executor of Aaron Spain Deceased
vs
James W. Reed & Elizabeth D. Reed

on Note & Mortgage

This cause came on to be heard and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition are adjudged in default and the intervention of a Jury being waived the case is submitted to the Court upon the Petition exhibits &c and the Court being fully advised finds the statements of the Petition to be true and that there is due to the Plaintiff as said Executor from the defendant James W. Reed on the first Note set out and refered to in the Petition the sum of $424 &c-

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff, Executor as aforesaid do recover of the said James W. Reed the sum of four hundred and twenty four dollars so as aforesaid found due, and also his costs herein expended taxed to $-

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant James W. Reed fail for five days from this date, to pay to the said Plaintiff the amount of said Judgment with interest so as aforesaid found due to him with costs of suit-, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union, State of Ohio, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in the Petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and that he bring the Proceeds of said sale into this Court to be applied in satisfaction of said Judgment and costs, and to abide its further order of application to the other Notes not yet due refered to in said Petition and Mortgage- and cause continued

Andrew Keyes
vs
Samuel McCampbell et al.

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants- Samuel McCampbell, Nancy J. McCampbell and James S. Alexander, Sr, came not but made default and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition- testimony and exhibits on consideration whereof the Court do find that the equity of the case is with the Plaintiff- and that the allegations in his Petition are true and that there is due to him on this 15th day of May 1872, upon the Note and Mortgage in the Petition mentioned the sum of one thousand fifty seven 86/100 dollars ($1057.86)

It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Samuel McCampbell within thirty days from this date Pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $1057,86- with the interest to be computed thereon from this date until paid- and also the costs of this suit to be taxed- and in default thereof that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon Judgments at law and report his proceedings in the premises to this Court-

Wednesday May 15th 1872

No 126 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } No 126 Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney- costs paid by defendant

No 127 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney- costs paid by defendant—

No 146 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Ross } Retailing

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and consented that this cause should be continued for the reason that there would not be sufficient time during the term to try said cause

No 147 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Ross } Retailing

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and consented that this cause should be continued for the reason that there would not be sufficient time during the term to try said cause

No 151 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Retailing

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and consented that this cause should be continued for the reason that there would not be time to try the cause during this term of the Court—

No 152 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Retailing

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and consented that this cause should be continued for the reason that there would not be time to try the cause during this term of the Court

No 153 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Retailing

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and consented that this cause should be continued for the reason that there would not be time to try the cause during this term of the Court—

No 154 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Retailing

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and consented that this cause should be continued for the reason that there would not be time to try the cause during this term of the Court

E. L. Reynolds vs Hilah Woodworth Et al } In Partition

On motion to the Court by the Attorney for the Petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff, and the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined, it is ordered by the Court that said Proceeding and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed; and thereupon neither of

Wednesday, May 15th 1872

the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners; on motion of the Petitioner; It is ordered by the Court that said estate be sold at public auction, by the Sheriff of Union County Ohio, according to the statute in such case made and provided and for report of sale this cause is Continued-

Hamilton Blue & Susan Blue
vs
George Crahood Et al. } In Partition

P 70

This day came the Parties and thereupon on motion of the Plaintiffs the order of sale made in this cause at its last term is set aside and the said George Crahood one of the tenants in common electing to take said lands at their appraised value, and no one objecting and there being no good reason why the same might not be done, the Court do approve of such election and do consider and order that the said George Crahood stand seized of said land on his paying to each of his said cotenants their share of the first payment as hereinafter provided and securing to them the two defered payments to wit:
That after paying the taxes on said land for 1871 amounting to $17.60/100 and the costs of this proceeding including an attorney fee to Robinson & Piper of $-
and after paying the judgment lien on said land as provided by the decree of this Court at its last term to Hamilton Blue, that the balance be divided equally between the several Heirs of Samuel Crahood deceased-as provided in the original order of Partition made herein- and that the deferred payments be secured according to law, to the said Parties in the proportions provided in said original order of Partition- and the Sheriff is authorized and directed to make a deed for said land to said George Crahood on his paying and securing said several sums of money due and to become due for the said land-

Rachel Clark Et al.
vs
James M. Foster, Rebecca Foster & James W. Hamilton }

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the Petition to be true and there is due the Plaintiffs from the said James M. Foster on the Mortgage described in said Petition the sum of twenty six hundred and seven 8/100 dollars with ten per cent interest from April 1st 1871 now amounting to the sum of Three hundred and three dollars making a total sum due this day of Twenty Nine hundred and ten 8/100 dollars which is a Mortgage line on the land in said Petition described- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said James M. Foster the said sum of Twenty Nine hundred and ten 8/100 dollars and their costs herein expended taxed to $
and it is further ordered that if the defendants for ten days fail to pay said Judgment and interest and costs, that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed special commissioner for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said premises according to law to satisfy said claim-
and thereupon came on this cause to be heard on the cross Petition of the said James W. Hamilton and was submitted to the Court- whereupon the Court find for said Hamilton that the allegations of his Petition is true and there is due to said Hamilton from said James M. Foster on his said Mortgage the sum of five hundred dollars with interest from October 6th 1870- now amounting Principal and interest to the sum of five hundred and forty eight-dollars
It is therefore ordered that if said James M. Foster and wife fail for ten days to pay said sum of money so found due with interest and costs

Wednesday May 15th 1872

that an order of Sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to Appraise advertise and sell said premises to satisfy said claim and it is also found that the lien of said James, W. Hamilton is prior to the lien of the Plaintiffs - and this cause is continued for the report of the Sheriff -

The State of Ohio vs Peter Gray } Retaining Recognizance

This day came in open Court Peter Gray and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Penal sum of one hundred Dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that the said Peter Gray be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law - and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force

The State of Ohio vs John H. Coleman }

This day came the Parties - the defendant in his own proper person and by counsel - and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the application and motion of the defendant for leave to withdraw his plea of not guilty heretofore entered in this cause by said defendant and for leave to file his three several pleas attached to said application and motion and numbered respectively No's 1. 2. 3. to said Indictment - whereupon the State of Ohio by the Prosecuting Attorney asked the Court to enter two nunc. pro tunc orders of the Court in the Journal thereof showing the true action of the court in said cause at its October term 1871 and its February term 1872 to which Counsel of the defendant objected - whereupon the Court having heard the arguments of Counsel and examined said motion and said Pleas so offered to be filed herein by said defendant and did refuse to permit said Pleas of not guilty to be withdrawn - and did refuse to permit said defendant to file said three alleged Pleas or either of them on the grounds that said Pleas if filed in said cause would be insufficient in law and would not permit ~~said~~ further proceedings and trial of said defendant on said Indictment - And the Court refused to discharge said defendant from his recognizance in this case - and ordered the said defendant stand for further trial notwithstanding said alleged matters set forth in said motion and Pleas aforesaid - to all which rulings orders and Judgments of the Court defendant excepts - and thereupon the defendant moved the Court to order a change of venue of this cause on the grounds stated in his motion for that purpose - whereupon the Court after examination of the affidavits and hearing the argument of Counsel do overrule said motion to which ruling and refusal to grant said change of venue the defendant excepts -
and thereupon the defendant moved the Court for a special venire for jury which was accordingly issued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P K Bloole Judge

Thursday May 16th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

James Tullington, Charles Phellis, W. W. Woods
Partners in busines under the firm name of Tullington, Phellis & Woods
vs
Joseph A. Culbertson, Martha A. Culbertson his wife & Henry C. Culbertson
} Note & Mortgage

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney - none appearing for the defendants and they being in default; and this cause thereupon came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiffs - together with the exhibits and testimony - on consideration whereof the Court do find that the equity of the case is with the Plaintiffs - and that there is due to them - from the defendant Joseph A. Culbertson on this 16th day of May 1872 - upon the Note and Mortgage in the Petition mentioned the sum of Three Thousand one Hundred and twenty eight 66/100 which sum the Court finds bears interest until paid at eight per cent per annum - and the Court further finds that by reason of the contract and agreement between the Plaintiffs and the defendant Henry C. Culbertson - set forth in the Petition, the lien of the Plaintiffs on the Premises described in the Petition is Prior to the lien in favor of the said defendant H. C. Culbertson

It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant Joseph A. Culbertson within ten days - from this date pay to the said Plaintiffs said sum of $3128.66 with interest to be computed thereon until paid from this date at eight per cent Per annum - and also the costs of this suit taxed to $ - and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned - as upon Judgments at law; and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court for order of distribution -

First National Bank of Delaware Ohio
vs
James Mulvaine et al,
} on Note & Mortgage $ 5-0

This day this cause came on to be further heard upon the motion of the Plaintiff for Judgment in its favor - upon the 2d Note in its Petition mentioned - and neither Party requiring a Jury this cause was again submitted to the Court upon the Pleadings - exhibits and testimony - upon consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due at this date, from the defendant James Mulvain, to the Plaintiff on the second Note in Plaintiffs Petition mentioned and set forth - the sum of one Thousand one hundred and sixty four 22/100 Dollars ($1164.22) which sum bears interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum until paid. and is a lien on the premises in the Petition described - by virtue of the Mortgage therein mentioned and referred to - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiff recover of said defendant James Mulvain said sum of one thousand one hundred sixty four 22/100 Dollars and also its costs which have accrued since the rendition of the former Judgment in this case taxed to $ - and it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that if said defendant James Mulvain shall fail forten days, to pay to said Plaintiff said Judgment and interest at eight per cent thereon until paid and the costs that have accrued since former Judgment then and in that case that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time of being commanding him to proceed ~~to by foreclosure~~ advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned as upon Judgments at Law and that he bring the Proceeds arising from such sale into Court. subject to its order -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Friday May 17th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment- the same Officers present as on yesterday

Gullington Phelps & Woods vs Mary M. Pyers Et al } on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said Gullington and Woods by their Attorneys and the said Mary M. Pyers. Stephen Pyers. Sarah Epps and William A. Epps still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition It is considered that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. and the Court with the consent of the said Plaintiffs find that there is due from the said defendants to the said Plaintiffs on the Note in the said Petition set forth the sum of four hundred sixty six and 91/100 dollars ($466 91/100) It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the sum of $466 91/100 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $—
and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that in case said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Plaintiffs the said sum of $466 91 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County. for the time being as a special Master commissioner of this Court. commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered—

Jos. Fitzpatrick Et al } vs W. G. Davis

On motion the Plaintiffs have leave to file an amended Petition in this case within forty days. from the close of this term of Court and the cause is continued

Benjamin Chance vs Orin Beam } Continued

Lewis Jenkins vs Lewis Lake } Continued with leave to the defendant to file answer in thirty days

Andrew J. Ferguson vs Wm A. Caldwell } Continued

John Wood Assignee &c vs Phineas Bartlette Et al } Continued

William Parthemore vs Chloe A. Parthemore } Continued with leave to file amended Petition in 30 days

Murdulia Moffitt vs Wm J. Moffitt } Continued

Kellon. Bancroft &co vs E. Hammond } Continued under former order

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

X No 159
X No 150
X No 149
X No 148
X No 125
X No 124
X No 123
X No 122
X No 121
X No 87
X No 60

Saturday May 18th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X No 159 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 150 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 149 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 148 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 125 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 124 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 123 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 122 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 121 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 87 State of Ohio vs William Richter } Retailing
This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time - this cause was by consent of Parties continued

X No 60 State of Ohio vs William Richter 3 Continued for sentence

Saturday May 18th 1872

Sullington, Phelps & Woods vs Nathan W. Converse & Wife } Continued

John W. Ford
vs
Joseph J. Ford } This day came on this cause to be heard upon the Petition and Report
motion of Joseph W. Lawrence heretofore appointed receiver in this action and said report having been regularly filed herein, and there being no objection to the same by any party, and the Court having carefully examined the said Report and being fully advised in the premises to approve and confirm the same and the said receiver is further ordered to pay said money as in his report set forth and pay the costs of this proceedings, and it is further ordered that upon payment thereof that said receiver be discharged from any and all liability on account of this action and his bond herein given is released and cancelled- and it further appearing that said defendant is in default for demurer or answer to the Petition, the Court on the application of said Plaintiff grant leave for Plaintiff to withdraw this action without Prejudice

Peter Mayo vs John Mayo et al } Left off Docket by order of Court

Lewis Foos vs John M. Hall et al } Continued

Reuben W. Weisz vs James Magaha et al } Continued

Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams et al } Continued

Rebecca J. Burkam vs Zelotes S. Pooler } Continued

Rebecca J. Burkam vs Newton Case } Continued

Henry Hall
vs
Rees Miller & Ophelia Miller } This day came the said Henry Hall by his attorneys Ayers and Woodburn and the said Rees Miller having filed his answer by Robinson and Piper to the Petition of said Plaintiff it is considered that when the said Henry Hall cancells a certain Mortgage referred to in said defendants answer that the said Plaintiff ought to recover of the said Rees Miller the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court find that there is due from said Rees Miller to said Plaintiff on the Note in said Petition set forth the sum of sixteen hundred and thirty & 30/100 dollars- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiff recover of said Rees Miller the said sum of $1630.30 dollars so found his due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant Rees Miller fails for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay to said Rees Miller said sum of $1630.30 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered and to bring the balance of the proceeds into Court subject to the further order of the Court- but no order of sale to issue until said Mortgage is cancelled on the record

Saturday May 18th 1872

John Gutherie
vs
Thomas A. Mapes Et al.

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and this cause was submitted to the Court upon the Petition of said Plaintiff, Answer and Cross Petition of Malinda A. Fletcher and exhibits and testimony and the said Thomas A. Mapes being in default for answer or demurer and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the equities of the case with the said representatives of Malinda A. Fletcher as the Priority of lien and the Court do further find that there is now due said Heirs of Malinda A. Fletcher upon the Note marked "A" in her answer and Cross Petition the sum of $52 65 and that there will be a further sum of $150 00 due upon the Note marked "B" July 1st 1872 and that both said sums are a first Lien upon said Premises - and the Court do further find that the facts and averments stated in the Petition of said Plaintiff to be true except as to Priority of lien and that there is now due from said Mapes upon the matters in the Petition mentioned the sum stated in said Petition - It is therefore ordered and decreed by the Court that the said defendants Heirs of Malinda A. Fletcher recover of said defendant Thomas A. Mapes the said sum of $52 65 now due and payable - and that said John Gutherie the amount due him by reason of the premises and the Court do find the amount due to said Gutherie to be $ -
and it is further ordered that the said defendant Thomas A. Mapes pay said sums of money within ten days from the close of this term of Court and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union Commanding him to cause said Premises in said Petition described to be duly appraised advertised and sold according to law to pay said sums of money and costs - and that said Sheriff bring the proceeds of said sale into this Court at the next term thereof to which time as to the Notes not due and all other matters not herein determined this cause is continued - For value received we the Heirs of Malinda A. Fletcher hereby assign the above Judgment and order of sale to said Plaintiff, and we assign him all our interest herein. J. L. Cameron Atty for Heirs of M. A. Fletcher

Thomas M. Kennedy vs John R. Gabriel 3 Continued

The State of Ohio
vs
John H. Coleman
Recognizance

This day came in open court John H. Coleman Mary C. Coleman, William N. Coleman and Aaron Swick and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit: that the said John H. Coleman be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for Grand Larceny - and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force -

The State of Ohio
vs
John H. Coleman
Indictment for Grand Larceny

This day came the Plaintiff by the Prosecuting Attorney of the County and the defendant by Counsel and in person and thereupon came a jury to wit: Jackson Godfrey, John Gray, James Ketch John Mitchell, Jr.

Saturday May 18th 1872

W. H. H. Pennington. Thomas Miller. L. G. Monroe. Lorenzo Cheney. A. E. Knox. Peleg Branston. Baldwin Johnson. John Branston. twelve lawful men having the qualifications of Electors of the County of Union. who being duly empanelled and sworn according to law to well and truly try and true deliverance make between the State of Ohio and the said John. H. Coleman upon the issues joined between them- and thereupon the evidence and arguments of the State and of the defendant being closed and the Court having charged the Jury upon the points of law at the request of the defendant- the Jury retired for deliberation under the charge of a sworn Constable - and thereupon the said Jury having remained so long together that there is no probability of them agreeing upon a verdict the Court after due consideration do discharge said Jury for the reason that there is no probability of them agreeing upon a verdict- said Jury having retired to the Jury Room at twenty minutes past 11 oclock A. M. and continuing in session until ten minutes past 8 oclock P. M. and thereupon counsel for defendant moved the Court to admit the defendant to bail on his own recognizance which motion the Court overruled and fixed the amount of the bond at $500.00 and thereupon by order of the Court the defendant entered recognizance with Mary C. Coleman, William N. Coleman and Aaron Swick as his bail for his appearance at the next term of this Court the said defendant moved and asked the Court to discharge him from further being held or Prosecuted so far as relates to the Indictment in this case or the offense therein chased which the Court refused to do on the sole ground that there is not hereinafter sufficient time to try said cause at the present Term of this Court- to which order and decission of the Court said defendant excepts- and this cause is continued-

Mary Haines Pl'ff
vs
Henry Barth Def't } leave to file answer- answer filed and continued

Nancy. E. Lyon vs Elias. O. Lyon } Dismissed

Caroline Sherwood vs B. F. Beck & Caroline. M. Beck } Continued

John Adams vs Isaac Gray } Continued

J. W. Robinson vs James Crickett Def't } } Continued

William Gabriel vs. P. J. Marsh & Co } Continued

Jacob. O. Sidle
vs
John Ramey }

This day came the Plaintiff and made proof of Publication according to law. to the satisfaction of the Court- whereupon this cause was submitted to the Court. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the Plaintiff and that there is due him as alleged in his Petition the sum of Three hundred and eighty 8/100 dollars and which sum is also a lien on the Property taken in attachment. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of Three hundred and eighty 8/100 dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to $—
And it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to advertise and sell the Property taken in attachment to wit. the lease of the undivided half of a two acre lot and

Saturday May 18th 1872

steam Saw Mill and fixtures and tools belonging thereto. Known as the Ramey Mill in Claibourne Township Union County Ohio- on the North west corner of the Daniel Kinney farm in the Claibourne Survey and being 24 rods long and 10 rods wide- And as to the proces against Safety Stout and Salathiel Carter this cause is continued

James Gump
vs
Levi Hager

The motion of the defendant to Strike the amended Petition from the files for reasons in the motion stated- was argued by counsel and submitted and cause continued by consent of Parties for decission

Alfred V. Morse
vs
George W. Parthemore

This day came this cause on to be heard upon the Readings exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court and cause continued by consent of Parties for decission

James H. Roney
vs
Wm M. Brown & Israel Fuller

This day came the Plaintiff and by leave of the Court amended his Petition- Thereupon this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiff- the defendant waiving a trial by Jury- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the Plaintiff- that there is due to him on the Note in his Petition described the sum of Three hundred and ten dollars ($310.00) and that the same is a Mortgage lien on the 50 acres of land in said Petition described- whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said defendant William M. Brown the said sum of three hundred and ten dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to $
And it is further ordered that if the said defendants fail for ten days to pay said debt and costs and interest that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special master commissioner for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said land according to law to satisfy said Plaintiffs claim

Marysville Ohio- May 18th 1872. Union County Dr.
To John L. Porter fees as assistant- counsel in case of State of Ohio vs Henry Amrine- Ten Dollars- Accd Approved- P. B. Cole Judge

Edwin G. Adams
vs
Stephen McNear. and Pyrinthine McNear

On motion to the Court by D. W. Ayers counsel for said Petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of the commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the Court and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law; it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed; and that the said Parties hold in severalty the parts and premises set off and assigned to Each respectively by said commissioners; and it is further ordered that the costs in this action including a counsel fee to D. W. Ayers $ to be paid by the said Parties in the following Proportions to wit,
Edwin G. Adams three fourths part- and Stephen McNear and Pyrinthine L McNear the one fourth part- and in default- thereof that execution issue therefor

Saturday May 18th 1872

William P. Hopkins
vs
Mary A. Hathaway et al } In Partition 881

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of Plaintiff to have stricken from the said answer of the children and Heirs of John W. Hopkins the second ground of defense therein set forth and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof the Court do order said second ground of defense to be stricken from said answer, and thereupon on the motion of Plaintiff the Court order the distribution of the means arising from said election of said premises by said William P. Hopkins and the said securities for the defered payments to be made as follows- first the costs and expenses of said action 2d that the residue be paid and secured as follows- To said Mary A. Hathaway one Equal sixth Part- To Harriet M. Robinson one Equal sixth Part. To the said children and Heirs of the said Geo. R. Hopkins together one Equal sixth part- To the said Children and Heirs of the said John W. Hopkins together one Equal sixth part and to the said Emaline Burnham one Equal sixth part-

Joh. Fitzpatrick et al } No 1206
vs
W. G. Davis } Action dismissed in vacation

This day came the said Plaintiffs by their Attorney and their own motion dismiss their said action without Prejudice to a new action - no record-

Alfred W. Morse
vs
George W. Parthemore }

This day came on this cause to be heard on the Readings and the evidence whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendant and therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the injunction hereinbefore allowed be and the same is hereby dissolved and the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ and thereupon Plaintiff gave notice of Appeal and the Court fix the bond at $ [illegible]

James Gump
vs
Levi Haser }

This day came on this cause on the motion of defendant to dismiss the amended Petition of the Plaintiff and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said motion to which ruling and Judgment of the Court the defendant excepts and thereupon leave is given to defendant to demurer or answer in thirty days and this cause is continued

No 2 State of Ohio vs Ellen Long } Continued for sentence
No 3 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for sentence
No 4 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for sentence
No 5 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for sentence
No 6 State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued for sentence
No 7 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Continued for sentence
No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price } Continued for sentence

No 9
No 12
No 13
No 14
No 15
No 1
No 8
No 43
No 65
No 84
No 88
No 102
No 104
No 105
No 106
No 109
No 110
No 115
No 116
No 117
No 118
No 119
No 128
No 129
No 130
No 134
No 135

Saturday May 18th 1872

	No 9	State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
	No 12	State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
	No 13	State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
	No 14	State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
	No 15	State of Ohio vs John Cartmell 3 Continued for sentence
	No 1	State of Ohio vs James Green 3 ~~Continued for sentence~~ ~~by order of Prosecuting Attorney~~
	No 8	State of Ohio vs Mathew Lingrel 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 43	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 65	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 84	State of Ohio vs Gustavus J. Kidmore 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 88	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 102	State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole 2 Continued
	No 104	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 105	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 106	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 109	State of Ohio vs James H. Wall 2 Continued
	No 110	State of Ohio vs James H. Wall 2 Continued
	No 115	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 116	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 117	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 118	State of Ohio vs John Kirchner 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 119	State of Ohio vs Wallace Linder & Samuel Stout 2 Continued
	No 128	State of Ohio vs Aaron Brown 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 129	State of Ohio vs Peter Roark 2 Continued
	No 130	State of Ohio vs George W. Baxley 2 settled costs paid
	No 134	State of Ohio vs Uriah D. Alden 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney
	No 135	State of Ohio vs Uriah D. Alden 2 Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

Saturday May 18th 1872

No 136 State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden & Left off Docket by order of Prosecutins attorney

No 137 State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden & Left off Docket by order of Prosecutins attorney

No 144 State of Ohio vs John Ford & Left off Docket by order of Prosecutins attorney

No 145 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued

No 155 State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper & Angeline Simmers & Continued

No 156 State of Ohio vs James McNeal & Continued

No 157 State of Ohio vs Asa Clark & James Clark & Continued

No 158 State of Ohio vs Enos Hildreth & Continued

No 160 The State of Ohio vs Peter Gray } This day came the Parties by their attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time- this cause was by consent of Parties continued-

No 161 The State of Ohio vs Peter Gray } This day came the Parties by their attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time- this cause was by consent of Parties continued

No 162 The State of Ohio vs Peter Gray } This day came the Parties by their attorneys and it appearing that this cause could not be tried at this term of the Court for want of time- this cause was by consent of Parties continued-

No 114 State of Ohio vs John Kirchner & Left Off Docket by order of Prosecutins attorney

Cyrus Phillips Admrs vs Elias Rice D.A. & settled and costs paid

Hess Blum & Co- vs J. F. Snider & Co- D.A. }

This day came again the Parties and this cause thereupon came on to be heard upon the report of the Master on file herein, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Master and the sale by him made of the tenements in the Petition described- to be in all respects in due form of law- Therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale of said Master be and they are hereby in all things confirmed- and it appearing to the Court that said premises were described in said Petition as follows to wit, Situate in the County of Union and State of Ohio and in the Village of Marysville Being In Lot No 16, in said Village of Marysville on the East side of South west street- and it further appearing to the Court that said description is incorrect- it is therefore further ordered and decreed that said description be corrected so as to read as follows to wit, Situate in the County of Union and State of Ohio- Being all of Out Lot Number sixteen (16) excepting therefrom off of the North side forty three and one half (43½) feet conveyed to the said Town of Marysville by J. F. Snider and wife for a street and for further particulars reference is made to the Plat of said Village of Marysville in the office of the Recorder of Union Co. O.

Saturday May 18th 1872

and that the said Master execute and deliver to the said Purchaser a deed of the tenements aforesaid in fee simple pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided as to distribution of the Proceeds of said sale and all other matters this cause is continued

H. C. Hamilton Pltff
vs
H. Sabine

This cause is settled as per agreement on file. It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the said H. Sabine the sum of $8.45 costs as taxed by the Justice of the Peace in this action. and it is further ordered and adjudged that the defendant recover of the Plaintiffs the sum of $2.65 dollars costs taxed by the Justice of the Peace in this action. and that the defendant also recover of Plaintiffs the costs made on Appeal taxed to $ No record

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that William C. Malin be and he is hereby appointed Auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of Three dollars and giving bond according to law.

Walter S. Golding vs David Watson 2 Continued

Mathew Lingrel, Pltff vs John Adams 2 Continued

Jane C. N. Jones Pltff
vs
Kelura M. Hodge Pltff
Case heard and submitted

Thomas Martin
vs
Newton Case
Ex H. No 1248
This cause is settled. Defendant to pay his own witnesses Plaintiff to all balance of costs.

Early F. Poppleton and Charles H. McElroy Partners &c. under the firm name of Poppleton and McElroy Plaintiffs
against
Aaron Brown. Defendant

This day came the said Plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the said Aaron Brown came not but made default, and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiffs. on consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendant to the Plaintiffs on the Note in the Petition set forth the sum of One Hundred five and 50/100 dollars ($105.50) It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of said defendant Aaron Brown the said sum of one Hundred five & 50/100 dollars so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $

John Bland Administrator
of Peter Bland Deceased
vs
Wm Walk. & B. F. Harris

This day to wit. May 14th 1872. came the defendants by their Attorneys and moved the Court to grant a new trial in this cause for reasons set forth in motion on file. which motion the Court overruled. to which ruling and decision of the Court the defendants then and there excepted.
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff recover against the said defendants the sum of $27.45 his damages aforesaid and also

his costs in this behalf expended and taxed to $—

Proceedings in Vacation. August 22. 1872
George A Bethard
against
Ezra Pitcher
In Union County
Court of Common Pleas

Whereas the said Ezra Pitcher heretofore arrested in this case and a written undertaking to be given to the said George A Bethard in the sum of three hundred dollars with Chester L. Robinson security: and the said Ezra Pitcher having again delivered himself into my hands together with a copy of said bail bond. I hereby acknowledge to the said Chester L. Robinson that the said Ezra Pitcher has again delivered himself into my custody this 16th 16th day of August 1872. To Chester L. Robinson— Robert Sharp Sheriff
By Thomas Martin Deputy.

In the matter of Ezra Pitcher upon Habeas corpus
Be it remembered that on the 16th day of August 1872. in obedience to the commands of a certain writ of Habeas corpus lately allowed by the Hon. P. B. Cole Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio on the application of said Ezra Pitcher and issued from the clerks office of said Court on said 16th day of August. Thomas Martin to whom the said writ was directed appeared before me having with him the body of said Ezra Pitcher together with said writ, and the day and cause of his caption and detention as by said writ is commanded. And thereupon the proofs and allegations of the Parties being heard and fully understood and it appearing that the said Ezra Pitcher is illegally detained under the custody of the said Thomas Martin— Therefore it is ordered that the said Ezra Pitcher be and he is hereby discharged out of the custody of the said Thomas Martin and that he go hence thereof without day
Approved— P. B. Cole Judge—

Hylas Sabine
vs
Isaac Gray
On mandate from District Court

This day came the said Hylas Sabine by his Attorneys and filed with the clerk of this Court a special mandate from the District Court of this County dated August 28th 1872. commanding the Judge of this Court that he cause execution to be issued upon a certain Judgment of this Court of the term of January A.D. 1872 in favor of the said Hylas Sabine against the said Isaac Gray for $103 33/100 and $53 24/100 costs— and also for $ costs adjudged by the said District Court to the said Hylas Sabine upon a certain writ of Error prosecuted in that Court by the said Isaac Gray upon the Judgment aforesaid; and also for the further sum of $ five per centum penalty on the Judgment aforesaid, also adjudged by the said District Court to the said Hylas Sabine upon the writ of Error aforesaid which said costs in error and penalty amount in the whole to $ and thereupon on motion of the said Hylas Sabine it is ordered that the said Hylas Sabine have his execution for the moneys aforesaid pursuant to the said mandate

Ordered that all matters causes and motions now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term
And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

P B Cole Judge

No 121
No 122
No 123
No 124
No 125
No 148
No 149
No 150
No 159
No 87
No 60
No 129

September Term A.D. 1872

Monday September 23rd A.D. 1872. This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio, met at 10 o'clock A. M. pursuant to law - Present. P. B. Cole Presiding Judge. Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney - Robert Sharp Sheriff and F. T. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit:, R. J. Smith, George Caldwell, Luther Turner, E. D. Smith, John Piersol, Enos Cahill, John M Campbell, George H. Turner, Joseph Locklin, James D. Herd, E. L. Harriott, T. M. Braman, Morgan Young, Samuel Marsh; and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the by standers are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court, elected anew to wit:- J. H. Clement - tales Jurors, good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. the Court aforesaid the said John M Campbell - Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room, to deliberate; attended by a sworn constable

✓ No 121 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Atty

✓ No 122 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney

✓ No 123 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

✓ No 124 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

✓ No 125 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

✓ No 148 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney

✓ No 149 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney

✓ No 150 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Attorney

✓ No 159 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

✓ No 87 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

✓ No 60 State of Ohio vs William Richter & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

✓ No 129 State of Ohio vs Peter Roush & Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

Fullington Garwood & Co. vs Michael Davis & Continued

C. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville & Continued

J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown vs William Wharry, C. H. Cady & P. Safford & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Tuesday September 24th A.D. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

John Adams
vs
Sidney. M. Rogers

And now comes the said John Adams. and the said Sidney. M. Rogers having failed to demurer or answer to the Petition of the said John Adams and evidence having been produced on the part of said John Adams and the said defendant Sidney. M. Rogers appearing in open Court in person and being made acquainted with the nature of the proceedings against him acknowledged that the several matters and things set forth in the Petition of the said John Adams were true. the Court finds from the evidence and statements of witnesses and parties that the several allegations contained in the Petition of the said John Adams are true. It is therefore considered that said John Adams ought to recover the said sum of 30^{cts} so demanded in his Petition. together with the sum of 2^{25} which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said Petition. the Court also finds the said sum of 30^{cts} is due as the purchase money of certain real estate described in said John Adams Petition and is a lien on the same
And it is further ordered and adjudged that said John Adams has a vendors lien upon said premises. and that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay the said Plaintiff the said sum of 32^{25} so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit. an order issue to the Sheriff of the said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to wit,, In Lot №123 in the Town of Richwood Union County Ohio. for further description see Recorded Plat of said Town of Richwood to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit,,

Albert. C. Pearson & Daniel Shearer. Partners &c
vs
David Asher
} Cognovit

The said Albert. C. Pearson and Daniel Shearer Partners now come by J. L. Cameron their Attorney and file their Petition herein. against the said David Asher defendant. and thereupon M. C. Lawrence one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in Open Court in behalf of the said David Asher. and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said David Asher does owe to the said Plaintiffs the said sum of Three hundred and twenty two dollars and Ninety six cents as the said Plaintiffs hath in their said Petition set forth. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Albert. C. Pearson and Daniel Shearer. Partners. do receeve of the said David Asher the said sum of Three hundred and Twenty Two dollars and Ninety six cents so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal waived by the said David Asher

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against John Sidle for Embezzlement Indorsed a true Bill. John McCampbell Foreman of Grand Jury

And not having finished the business before them, they retired again to deliberate

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

Wednesday September 25th A.D. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Thomas C. Owen
vs
Zelotes C. Pooler

This day came the said Parties, Thomas C. Owen Plaintiff and Zelotes C. Pooler defendant by their Attorneys, and the said Zelotes C. Pooler by and with the leave of the Court withdrew his answer heretofore filed in this case, thereupon the said Zelotes C. Pooler being in default for demurer or answer to the Petition, this cause by the consent of Parties submitted to the Court upon the Petition exhibits and testimony, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the facts stated in said Petition to be true, that the said Zelotes C. Pooler is severally bound upon the written obligation mentioned in said Petition as stated therein, and that an action has accrued to said Plaintiff upon the same, by reason of the facts set forth in said Petition to the full amount claimed therein, that there is justly due said Plaintiff from said defendant Zelotes C. Pooler by reason of the premises the sum of Two hundred and sixty two dollars and twenty Nine cents $262.29

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiff Thomas C. Owen recover of the said Zelotes C. Pooler defendant the said sum of Two hundred and sixty Two dollars and twenty Nine cents the amount so found due as aforesaid, together with his costs herein expended taxed to $

McMurray, Fisher & Co.
vs
Alexander Johnson & Jacob Johnson
} Cognovit

This day came into Court McMurray, Fisher & Co by Porter and Sterling their counsel, and filed their Petition against the said Alexander Johnson and Jacob Johnson, and thereupon M. C. Lawrence one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of the said Alexander Johnson and Jacob Johnson and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendants, and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process, and acknowledged that the said defendants did owe and stand indebted to the said Plaintiffs as adjudged in their Petition-

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs, McMurray, Fisher & Co recover of the defendants Alexander Johnson and Jacob Johnson the sum of $112.55 the sum so as aforesaid confessed to be due and also their costs herein taxed to $- and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all Errors are released and all right and benefit of appeal waived-

Hess, Blum & Co
vs
J. H. Snider & Co Gar.
} Distribution R 115, 69 & 24

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the

Wednesday September 25th 1872

Question of the distribution of the proceeds of the sale heretofore made in this case, and was argued by Counsel in consideration whereof the Court do find the liens on said premises, to be as follows, and in the following order-
First- that there is a lien, for taxes on said premises amounting to Forty eight- 8 83/100 Dollars $48 83/100 Second- That said Robson, L. Boom has a Mortgage lien to the amount of Three hundred and forty five 8 31/100 Dollars- Third- That said Union Building and Loan Association has a Mortgage lien to the amount of Two hundred and eleven 8 27/100 Dollars $211 27/100- Fourth- That Plaintiffs have a Mortgage lien on said premises to the amount of their Judgment heretofore rendered in this Case- It is therefore ordered and adjudged that after paying the costs of this action, the Sheriff pay out of the said proceeds, the liens aforesaid And in the order above stated, and the Balance of the proceeds if any left be brought into Court subject to the future order of the Court

Thomas, N. Paulk)
vs }
Joseph Price Def't } This day the costs in this cause is settled at the costs of the Plaintiff- It is therefore considered that the Defendants recover of the Plaintiff their costs to $ 140 No record

Mathew Luigel Def't)
vs }
John Adams } This cause is settled at the costs of defendant- It is therefore considered that the Plaintiffs recover of defendant their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ no record

Murdulia Moffitt)
vs } In Divorce
William J. Moffitt }

And now comes the said Murdulia Moffitt by L. Piper her Attorney and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this Petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has wholly neglected to provide for the support of the Plaintiff, that said defendant has been guilty of extreme cruelty towards the Plaintiff and that the defendant has unlawfully abandoned the Plaintiff-
It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said Parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said Parties wholly released from the obligations of the same And it is further ordered that the custody, nurture, Education, and care of the said John, D. Moffitt, and the same is hereby given to the said Petitioner and the said defendant is hereby forever enjoined from interfering with or disturbing the said Petitioner in the custody, nurture, education and care of the said above named child, until further order of Court, and that she pay the costs of this suit in ten days or that execution issue therefor-

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court, and having finished the business before them, they were discharged from further attendance as grand Jurors at the present Term of this Court-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. J. Cole Judge

Thursday September 26th 1872

This morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Elenzo. N. Argo
vs
The Etna Insurance Company } This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and neither party requiring a jury this cause is by consent of the Parties submitted to the Court upon the issues joined - on consideration whereof the Court do find for the defendant It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the Plaintiff its costs herein expended taxed to $-
and thereupon the Plaintiff demanded a second trial which was allowed by the Court and the second trial bond fixed at $100.00

James Gump
vs
Levi Hager } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of the defendant to the amended Petition of Plaintiff, and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court, on consideration whereof the Court do overrule said demurer to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts, and thereupon defendant had leave to file an answer to the amended Petition in 40 days and cause continued

Lewis Jenkins } No 1162
vs
Lewis Lake } This cause is settled at the costs of the defendant - It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Ira. Belleville and Rachel Belleville
vs
Biance Draper and Ira Belleville her Guardian } Petition for Dower
And now comes the said Parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition and testimony; on consideration whereof the Court find that the said Petitioner was married to the said Nelson Draper as she has in her petition set forth; and that during the said coverture the said Nelson Draper was seized of an estate of inheritance in and to the said premises; and that the said Nelson Draper is now deceased, and that the said defendant is in possession of said premises claiming the estate of the said Plaintiff Rachel Belleville therein -
It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the said Plaintiff Rachel Belleville be endowed with one full equal one third part of the lands and tenements in said Petition described - and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of the said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of three judicious disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of Kin to either party he cause such Dower to be set off and assigned to the said Plaintiff Rachel Belleville according to law; and that he return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

Union Common Pleas
Elizabeth A. Dawson. Plaintiff
vs
The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnatti and Indianapolis Railway Company. Defendant } This cause came on to be heard upon the motion

Thursday September 26- 1872

filed herein by the defendant on the 4th day of September A.D. 1872 to strike the amended Petition filed by Plaintiff from the files. and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court doth find that there is but one cause of action in the portion of said amended Petition marked and designated as the first cause of action therein- and doth overrule said motion, to which ruling and action and decission of the Court the defendant did and doth except which exception is allowed and ordered to be entered of record. whereupon leave was given to Plaintiff to file another motion in the case

John Adams) No 1210
vs
Isaac Gray)

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys. and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant to discharge the attachment as to the property hereto attached by the Plaintiff in this action. and was argued by Counsel. and the Court after hearing the affidavits and evidence introduced by the Parties. and being fully advised in the premises do overrule said motion and the said Isaac Gray still fails to answer or demurer to the Petition of Plaintiff. and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant owes the Plaintiff the sum of Five hundred and seventy seven Dollars as the Plaintiff hath in his Petition demanded-
Wherefore it is considered that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of Five hundred and seventy seven dollars. and also his costs in this behalf expended and it is further ordered that the property now in the hands of the sheriff under said attachment be sold under the Capias Ca — same restrictions. and regulations as if the same had been levied on by Execution and that the money arising therefrom be applied on the judgment herein rendered, and that execution issue thereon for the balance - the defendant excepts to the decission of the Court overruling the motion to discharge said attachment

Elizabeth A. Dawson - Plaintiff
vs
The Cleveland. Columbus. Cincinnatti and Indianapolis Railway Company- Defendant

This day this cause came on to be further heard upon the motion this day filed. by leave of the Court and which motion reads as follows,, The said defendant comes and moves the Court to require the Plaintiff first. to divid and separately state and number the causes of action set forth in said amended Petition- second- said defendant moves the Court to require the Plaintiff to divide and separately state and number the causes of action set forth in said amended Petition to suit the cause of action as therein set up for a breach of the contract of defendant to deliver and land the Plaintiff at Marysville. and the cause of action therein set up for that as it is alleged the defendant did expel and put off the Plaintiff. wrongfully and contrary to her will and consent. and that in default thereof said amended Petition be stricken from the files-
Whereupon on consideration thereof the Court doth overrule said motion to which decission and ruling of said Court the defendant did by its Counsel except. and did ask that said exception be allowed and made part of the record in this case- leave was granted defendant to file answer to said Petition

Elizabeth A. Dawson- Plaintiff
vs
The Cleveland Columbus. Cincinnatti and Indianapolis Railway Company- Defendant

This cause came on to be this day further heard upon the demurer this day filed in this case to the amended Petition of the Plaintiff of which demurer the following is a copy. The said The Cleveland Columbus Cincinnatti

Thursday Sepitember 26th 1872

and Indianapolis Railway Company. defendant. comes and by leave of the Court demurers to the amended Petition of Plaintiff. filed herein and for ground of demurer says- First- That in said amended Petition several causes of action are improperly joined- second- that there is joined in the same pleading and in the same alleged count in said amended Petition being the first count therein. but which is not numbered a cause of action arising upon an alleged contract, and a cause of action in tort and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court doth overrule said demurer to which action and decission of the Court in overruling said demurer the defendant did by its counsel at the time excpt= whereupon the Court doth allow said exception and doth order the said demurer and the said exception to be made part of the record in this case which is accordingly done- whereupon leave was given defendant to answer by Monday the 7th day of October 1872

Phoeba A. Reed
vs
Joseph Reed } Divorce

This day came the Plaintiff by her Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel on considera tion whereof the Court find that said defendant Joseph Reed has been guilty of gross neglect of duty towards the Plaintiff as she has in her Petition alleged. It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said Parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled. and the said Parties wholly released from the obligations of the same-
and it is further ordered and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff be restored to all her lands. tenements and hereditaments not heretofore disposed of. and the defendants is hereby enjoined from interfering with or in any wise disturbing Plaintiff in the use occupation disposition and enjoyment of all her said property as well personal as real- and it is further considered that the defendant pay the costs of this suit within three days or that execution issue therefor

× John Swan Pltf vs Henry Williams Pltf & Continued

✓ Lewis Foos vs John M. Hall Pltf & ~~Continued~~ Left off Docket

× Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman. Philip Roush and Hart Menser & Continued

× Thomas Martin vs Joseph. R. Hatcher & Continued

× Ebenezer Healey vs William. H. Wilson Pltf & Continued

× Alonzo Peeters vs Ralph Moffitt and Elizabeth Moffitt & Continued

× Ozied Lapham vs Hayden. Hutchinson & Co. Pltf & Continued

× Ozied Lapham vs Hullington Rhodis & Woods & Continued

× Rebecca. J. Burkam vs Zelotes. C. Pooler & Continued by agreement

× Rebecca. J. Burkam vs Newton Case & Continued by agreement

× Caroline Sherwood vs B. F. Beck and Caroline. M. Beck & Continued

× J. W. Robinson vs James Brickett and Samuel Welshimer & Continued

Thursday September 26th 1872.

Samuel Grow
vs
Caroline Grow } Dismissed by Plaintiff Exh No 1278

Samuel S. Rickley vs James M. Amrine Et al. & Dismissed by Plaintiff.

William C. Piper Et al. vs James Gabriel Et al. & Continued for service

Samuel R. Harbert vs James H. Neal and Henry Neal & suggestion of the death of J. H. Neal and order H. Burnham Administrator be made a party and continued-

Farmers Bank Union County vs B. D. Evans & Continued

J. C. Miller and E. S. Armstrong doing business in Ohio as Partners under the firm name of Miller & Armstrong
against
Abraham Morey } This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney, and said defendant still failing to answer or demurer it is considered that the said Miller and Armstrong ought to recover the said sum of $142 45 so demanded in their Petition - It is therefore considered that the said Miller and Armstrong recover against the said Abraham Morey the said sum of $142 45 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $-

Job Fitzpatrick Et al.
vs
Allen Haines Et al. } This day came the Parties to this action by their Attorneys and thereupon counsel for the Plaintiffs suggested the death of the said Elizabeth Cain and Job Daugherty of the Parties Plaintiffs, and that they left issue surviving them, and upon the motion of Counsel for Plaintiffs it is ordered by the Court that this action stand revived in the name of said issue on their part and that the Plaintiffs have leave to revise and correct the names of the Parties Plaintiffs and to file an amended and supplemental Petition &c and thereupon said amended Petition &c being filed with leave for defendants to answer in Ninety days and cause continued

No. 109 State of Ohio vs James H. Wall & Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No. 110 State of Ohio vs James H. Wall & Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting Attorney

Jacob C. Sidle vs D. F. Parsons Et al. & Continued by agreement

John Joliff vs D. F. Parsons Et al. & Continued by agreement

Frank Gilbert vs A. K. Minthorn & Continued

Walstein D. Pudney vs Sarah A. Pudney & Continued

Joseph Comer, David Brown and N. B. Darst- Plaintiffs
vs
Rodney P. Amrine and James H. Wall- Defendants } And now comes the said Comer, Brown and Darst

Thursday September 26th 1872

and the said Rodney P. Amrine and James H. Wall having failed to demurer or answer to the Petition of the said Comer Brown and Darst it is considered that the said Comer Brown and Darst ought to recover the said sum of Two hundred fifty eight and 30/100 dollars so demanded in their Petition together with the sum of seven and 92/100 dollars which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said Petition - It is therefore considered that the said Comer Brown and Darst recover against the said Rodney P. Amrine as Principal and James H. Wall as Guarantor the said sum of $266 42/100 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

Susan Humphries Pltf } No 1247
vs
Jacob Hoffman Deft }
This cause is [illegible] continued for service upon the defendants Thomas Donahoe and William Epps with leave to the defendants served to answer in thirty days.

William Hofferline Pltf } No 1248
vs
John Powers Deft } This cause is continued with leave to the defendants to answer in thirty days -

Walter S. Golding vs David Watson 2 settled

State of Ohio vs Joseph Ross 2 Left off Docket by order of Pros. atty

State of Ohio vs vs Joseph Ross 2 Left off Docket by order of Pros attorney

James H. Roney
vs
W. M. Brown and Brad Fuller } 2 settled - S. H. R. 425 - P 112

Susan Humphries Pltf } 2 No 1247
vs
Jacob Hoffman Deft }
This cause is continued with leave to the Plaintiffs to change the name of Jacob Hoffman to Joab Hoffman and Rebecca Hoffman to Reuben Hoffman of the Parties defendants and the same is done accordingly and this cause is continued for service upon the defendant William Epps, with leave to the defendants served to answer in thirty days &c

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday Morning 8½ oclock

P Sloane Judge

Monday September 30th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday.

Farmers and ~~Mechanics~~ Merchants Bank. Plaintiff
vs
John McElroy and Albert West- Defendants. } Cognovit

And now comes the Farmers and ~~Mechanics~~ Merchants Bank by Porter and Sterling its Attorneys, and files its Petition against the said John McElroy and Albert West, and thereupon J. L. Cameron one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process, and confessed that the said John McElroy and Albert West do owe to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $281.39 as the said Plaintiff hath in his Petition set forth-
It is therefore considered that the said Farmers and Merchants Bank do recover of the said John McElroy and Albert West the said sum of $281.39 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by said John McElroy and Albert West-

Farmers And Merchants Bank. Plaintiff
against
J. D. Hefs. S. A. Hefs. and John Hicks. Defendant } Cognovit

And now comes the Farmers and Merchants Bank by Porter and Sterling its Attorneys, and files its Petition against the said J. D. Hefs. S. A. Hefs, and John Hicks, and thereupon J. L. Cameron one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of proces and confessed that the said. J. D. Hefs. S. A. Hefs. and John Hicks do owe to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $253.72 as the said Plaintiff hath in his Petition set forth-
It is therefore considered that the said Farmers and Merchants Bank do recover of the said. J. D. Hefs. S. A. Hefs. and John Hicks the said sum of $253.72 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by said- J. D. Hefs. S. A. Hefs. and John Hicks

Robert M. Spain ex te of Aaron W. Spain deceased
against
James W. Reed et al,

This day the death of the Plaintiff. Robert M. Spain ex-te was suggested to the Court, and thereupon Abraham Spain having been duly appointed administrator with the will annexed of the Estate of said Aaron W. Spain. which yet remains unadministered upon- Applied to be made the Plaintiff instead of said Robert M. Spain deceased- and the same was ordered by the Court accordingly- and motion to the Court order of sale is granted in this case in favor of said Abraham Spain Administrator &c- upon the Judgment and decree heretofore entered in this case. in favor of said Robert M. Spain as ex-te and this cause is continued under former order

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

No 1
No 2
No 3
No 4
No 5
No 6
No 7
No 9
No 12
No 13
No 14
No 15
No 39
No 102
No 107
No 119
No 164
No 158

Tuesday October 1st 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 158 The State of Ohio vs Enos Hildreth } Assault & Battery

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the Court and thereupon came a Jury to wit. J. L. Berger, Rinaldo Moses Asa Kilberry, N. T. Bennett, George Wilber, Luther Wright, Isaac Channel, Regular Jurors. William Smith, Robert Snodgrass, Jr. Robert Graham, Wm M. Robinson, Henry Harrington, Tales Jurors, who being duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the Parties upon their oaths say, that the defendant is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment. Thereupon said defendant was called by the Court and duly discharged

No 1 State of Ohio vs James Green & Continued for sentence

No 2 State of Ohio vs Ellen Long & Continued for sentence

No 3 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden & Continued for sentence

No 4 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden & Continued for sentence

No 5 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden & Continued for sentence

No 6 State of Ohio vs William Weber & Continued for sentence

No 7 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Continued for sentence

No 9 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 12 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 13 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 14 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 15 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price & Continued for sentence

No 102 State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole & Continued.

No 107 State of Ohio vs John H. Coleman } Continued by agreement and consent of both Parties Counsel for

No 119 State of Ohio vs Wallace Linder and Samuel Stout & Continued

No 164 The State of Ohio vs William Gray } Complaint to Keep the peace

This day appeared before the Court the said William Gray defendant and also Philip C. Adams the complainant herein and the Court having examined

Tuesday October 1st 1872

the witnesses herein produced by said Parties respectively. it is ordered by the Court that the said William Gray enter into a further recognizance and security in the sum of Fifty dollars. conditioned that he Keep the Peace and be of good behavior toward the People of the State generally and especially towards the said Philip C. Adams for the term of one year next ensuing - and it is further ordered adjudged and considered that the State of Ohio recover of the said William Gray the costs of this Prosecution taxed to $- and that the said William Gray be imprisoned in the Jail of the County until said Costs be paid-
and the said William Gray and one Joseph Boyd of said County came in to open Court and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of fifty dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels. lands and tenements. if default be made in the condition following to wit. that if the said William Gray shall Keep the Peace and be of good behavior toward the People of the State generally and especially toward the said Philip. C. Adams for the term of one year next ensuing then this recognizance to be void. otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law-

No 145 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued

Joseph M. Kennedy as Administrator of the estate of Oliver C. Kennedy — Plaintiff. — No 1134
vs
Henry C. Burnham. surviving Partner of the late firm of George B. and Henry C. Burnham. Defendant

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys. and the said Parties being at issue upon the Petition of said Plaintiff and the answer of said defendant thereupon came a Jury to wit. J. L. Berger. Rinaldo Moses. Asa Kilbery. James Middleton. N. L. Bennett. George Wilbon Isaac Shamel Regular Jurors. Robert Graham. Jacob Leonard. Thomas Jones. George Harris George Reed. Tales Jurors- Who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the issues joined between the said Parties do upon their oaths say. they find for the said Plaintiff and assess the amount due him by reason of the premises at Three hundred and fifty-four and 10/100 Dollars- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiff Joseph. M. Kennedy as such Administrator recover of the said Henry C. Burnham defendant- the said sum of Three hundred and fifty-four and 10/100 Dollars the sum so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to said Plaintiff and also his costs in and about this suit expended taxed at $-
And thereupon defendant demanded a second trial of this cause which was allowed by the Court and the bond fixed at $100

Mary Haines Pltf.
vs
Henry Barth Pltf.

This cause is continued with leave to the Plaintiff to file amended Petition making new Parties Plaintiff in 40 days from the close of this Term of Court

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P. J. Keller Judge

Wednesday October 2d 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

James Tullington. Charles Phellis and W.W. Woods
Partners under the firm name of Tullington. Phellis & Woods. Plaintiffs
against
Jacob. Mapes. Elizabeth Mapes his wife. Josiah Stoffer
Amos Stoffer and David Mulford - Defendants

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney the defendants Jacob Mapes. Elizabeth Mapes his wife. Josiah Stoffer and Amos Stoffer being in default. and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiffs. together with the exhibits and testimony. and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiffs. on consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the Petition to be true. and that there is due at this date to the Plaintiffs from the defendant Jacob Mapes. as principal. and the defendants Josiah Stoffer and Amos Stoffer as indorsers. on the two promissory Notes set forth in the Petition the sum of Three Hundred and seventy five Dollars. with interest from May 1st 1872. making at this date the sum of Three Hundred Eighty four & 37/100 Dollars ($384 37) which sum the Court finds to be a lien on the lands in the Petition described by virtue of the Mortgage therein set forth. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants Jacob Mapes as Principal and Josiah Stoffer. and Amos Stoffer as indorsers. the said sum of Three Hundred Eighty four & 37/100 Dollars and also the costs of this suit taxed to $ — and on further consideration of the case. It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that if the defendant Jacob Mapes fails to pay the Plaintiffs said sum of $384 37. within ten days from this date together with interest from this date until paid and also the costs of this suit to be taxed. then and in that event that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being. commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned. as upon Judgments at law and report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued

E. L. Reynolds
vs
Hilah Woodworth Et al. } Confirmation

This day on motion to the Court. and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff. and the sale of the premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of the Court. and the same being examined and found by the Court in all respects in due form of law. It is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed. and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the said lands and tenements by him sold as aforesaid; and it is further ordered by the Court that the costs and expenses of this suit including an attorney fee to M. C. Lawrence in the sum of $25.00 be paid out of the said moneys in the hands of the Sheriff. and that the residue of the moneys and securities arising from said sale, the said Sheriff distribute between the Parties in the following Proportions to wit,, To the Plaintiff. E. L. Reynolds one seventh part To Hilah Woodworth wife of A. A. Woodworth one seventh part. To Elizabeth Potter wife of Edward Potter one seventh Part. To Ira Reynolds one seventh Part. To Emma Lee wife of Alfred. E. Lee. Comitha Lacroix wife of John P. Lacroix and James. G. Irwin one thirty fifth part each. To the Children of Byron Irwin deceased (Names unknown) one thirty fifth Part together. To the Child or Children of Mary. E. Stirrat (Name or Names unknown)

Wednesday October 2d 1872

one Thirty fifth Part together - To Chauncey - Albert R. Charles. E. Vienna R. Ralph L. H. Rodney - and Lucius. D. Smith one Forty Ninth Part each - To Sarepta Garwood wife of Wench Garwood - Mary. R. Field wife of James. W. Field - Venitia. R. Runkle wife of Genl - Benjamin. P. Runkle - one Twenty eighth Part each - To Mary Thrift and Carrie Thrift one Fifty sixth Part each - The one seventh of the moneys on hands after Payment of costs and Attorney fees being $11.81 and the one seventh of the securities being $23.81 due in one year and $23.81 in two years from 2d September 23d 1872 - with interest from that date -

X No 151
X No 152
X No 153
X No 154
X No 155
X No 156

Maria Stout
vs
Samuel Stout
} No 1253 Divorce

X No 157

This day came the said Plaintiff by her Attorney and the said defendant by his Attorney came also and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court on consideration whereof. the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the facts stated in said Petition are true that the said defendant has committed adultery as therein stated and been cruel to said Plaintiff as therein set forth - It is therfore considered ordered and decreed by the Court that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said Parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annuled and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and it is further ordered that the said lands and tenements in said Petition set forth and described be sold as per the terms of a written agreement between said Parties. said agreement being in the profession of their Attorneys and out of the proceeds of said sale that the said Plaintiff receive the sum of six Hundred and twenty five Dollars in lieu of Alimony as per agreement aforesaid and that said defendant pay all incumbrances that may be upon said land and receive the balance of the proceeds of said sale. and that said defendant pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to $ - within ten days from the rising of this Court. and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon Judgments at law -

Samuel L. Reed Admr. of James Reed-deceased
vs
James H. Neal
} P 100 Confirmation

And now comes the Plaintiff by his Attorneys Robinson and Piper. none appearing for the defendant - and this cause thereupon came on to be further heard upon the report of the Special Master. and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Master and the sale by him made of the tenements in the Petition mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law - It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and the sale of the said Master be in all things affirmed. and that the said Master execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed of the tenements aforesaid in fee simple. pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided. and that of the proceeds of said sale the said Master after discharging the costs of this suit and the taxes due upon said premises. pay the Plaintiff the said sum of Two Hundred dollars with interest from the 1st day of February A.D. 1871 - until paid - and the residue to be applied to the payment of the Note in said Petition set forth which is not due and execution awarded for any balance which may remain unpaid upon said indebtedness -
And it is further ordered that the defendant who is in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under him pending this suit deliver up the possession thereof to the said purchaser on demand and production of said Masters deed -

Wednesday October 2d 1872

X No 151 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Continued

X No 152 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Continued

X No 153 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Continued

X No 154 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Continued

X No 155 State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers & Continued

X No 156 State of Ohio vs James McNeal } Indictment for Assault with intent to commit a Rape

This day this cause came on to be heard. whereupon the court appoints John L. Porter to assist the Prosecuting Attorney in this cause and upon the consent of all Parties concerned this cause is continued

X No 157 State of Ohio vs Asa Clark and James Clark } Indictment for Petit Larceny

This day this cause came on to be heard and by consent of all Parties concerned was continued

Y The State of Ohio vs Meifse Burkebile } Recognizance on affidavit and Transcript for Assault by Stabbing with a Knife with intent to Kill

This day came in open Court Meifse Burkebile. J.D. Burkebile and David H. Dyal and acknowledged themselves Jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio, in the penal sum of Five hundred Dollars, to be levied of their goods and chattels, land and tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit, that the said Meifse Burkebile be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the nex term thereof, to answer unto the State of Ohio for Assault by stabbing with a knife with intent to kill. and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

Y The State of Ohio vs Meifse Burkebile } Recognizance on affidavit and Transcript for Assault by stabbing with a Knife with intent to Kill filed in this case

This day came into open Court. Alexander Gillespie. Peter Whetsel Richard Cody. George Pritchard. Marion Roberts. And John Cartmell and severally acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of One Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that the said Alexander Gillespie Peter Whetsel. Richard Cody. George Pritchard. Marion Roberts and John Cartmell be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is Plaintiff, and the said Meifse Burkebile is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then as to such of said Persons as perform this condition, this recognizance to be void. otherwise to be and remain in full force

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Jud

Thursday October 3d 1872

This morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday,

Hannah Andrews- Plaintiff
vs
William Richter- Defendant

This day came the Parties by their attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant to require the said Plaintiff to make her reply more definite and certain in the several respects mentioned in said motion- and was argued by counsel And submitted- on consideration whereof the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said motion, and thereupon the said Plaintiff asked and had leave to file an amended reply instanter which is accordingly done

Hannah Andrews- Plaintiff
vs
William Richter- Defendant

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of the defendant to the amended reply of the Plaintiff, and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court on consideration whereof, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said demurrer to which ruling of the Court in sustaining said demurrer the said Plff excepts.

Laura Fletcher
Randall Andrews, by his next friend, Hannah Andrews
against
William Richter

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of said defendant to strike the Petition in this case from the files for reasons in said motion stated, and was argued by counsel and submitted on consideration whereof the Court do rule that the verification to said Petition may be amended, to which ruling defendants excepts- and asks that his exception be allowed and made a part of the record in this case which is accordingly done

Randall Andrews, by his next friend &c
against
William Richter

This day came the Parties by their attorneys, and it appearing to the Court from the answer of said defendant filed herein that Hannah Andrews who appears as next friend of said Randall Andrews is a Married woman and it not being denied but admitted that said Randall Andrews is an infant and said Hannah Andrews a married woman the said Plaintiff by his counsel moves the Court for leave to amend his Petition and to substitute the name of Laura Fletcher as such next friend, to which motion the defendant objects on the ground among other things that there was no Parties before the Court having legal capacity to proceed in any manner, and in fact and in law there was no action against the defendant, but the Court overruled the objection of said defendant, and permitted the name of said Laura Fletcher to be submitted in place of Hannah Andrews and ruled that there was a sufficient legal capacity in the Plaintiff to bring this action before the Court- To which ruling and proceedings of the Court defendant then and there excepted, and asked the Court to allow his exception and make the same to be made a part of the record in this Case which is accordingly done

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleeke Judge

Friday October 4th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Elijah Witter
vs
David Watson et. al. } On motion to the Court. John Williams and Benjamin Williams have leave to enter their appearance in this case as party defendants, and have leave to file their answer herein forthwith.

James Hallington, Charles Phillis and W. W. Woods. Partners in business under the firm name of Hallington Phillis and Woods
vs
Joseph A. Culbertson, Martha A. Culbertson his wife and Henry C. Culbertson } P106

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney, and this cause came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff of a sale by him made of the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned; on consideration whereof, the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff, and the sale by him made of the tenements in the Petition mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law- It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the Proceedings and sale of said Sheriff be in all things affirmed; and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to Charles, A. McMullen, the assignee of the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements afore said, in fee simple, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided. And that of the Proceeds of the said sale the said Sheriff Pay 1st the costs of this suit amounting to $39.00 2d that he pay the taxes and tax liens thereon amounting to $245.00 3d That he pay to the Plaintiffs the sum of $3128.66- with interest thereon at 8 per cent per annum from the 16th day of May 1872- And the said Henry C. Culbertson having released to the said J. A. Culbertson all his interest in said lands and tenements- it is ordered that said Sheriff Pay the balance of the purchase money if any to the said Josepha A. Culbertson- Defendant

Addie F. Burnham
vs
Lucius A. Burnham } In Divorce

And now comes the Parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard- whereupon the defendant filed an affidavit for continuance of this cause on account of the absence of material witnesses- Whereupon it is considered by the Court here that this cause be continued. and it is hereby ordered that the said defendant pay into the Court the sum of one Hundred Dollars as Alimony pendente Lite in which is accordingly done- and cause continued-

Andrew A. Spicer. Admr &c vs William Sprague et. al. } Continued

Mary M. Yeasley vs H. T. Knox. Margaret Knox et. al. } Continued

Kelton Bancroft & Co. vs E. Hammond } Continued

Job S. Dillon vs Simeon F. Sturlin et. al. } Continued

John Kesbet vs Christina Kesbet } Continued under former order

Benjamin Chance vs Orrin Beem } Continued

Thomas O. Simmons Admr. &c vs Rhoda Haines et. al. } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bledsoe Judge

Saturday October 5th 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Thompson Cole
vs
Samuel M Campbell

And now comes the Parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard. whereupon it appearing to the Court that no Petition had been filed in this case the Plaintiff asked leave of the Court to file Petition instanter which was granted, and the cause continued with leave for defendant to file answer in thirty days

Randall Andrews, by his next friend &c
vs
William Richter

This day the Parties appeared in open Court by their Attorneys, and the said defendant moved the Court to require the said Laura Fletcher who appears as next friend of said infant Plaintiff to give security for costs in this action for the alleged reason that said Laura Fletcher is wholly insolvent the fact so alleged so appears from the affidavit attached to said motion but the Court overruled said motion and refused to require the said Laura Fletcher to give said security for costs as required - to which ruling of the Court in that behalf the said defendant then and there excepted - asked the Court to allow his exception and make the same a part of the record in this case, which is accordingly done

William M. Randall
vs
Laura E. Randall

This day came the said Plaintiff by his attorneys and the said defendant by her Attorney - and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition exhibits and testimony and was submitted to the Court on consideration whereof the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant had lawful notice of the pendency of this cause and that the facts stated in said Petition are true - That the said defendant has been guilty of extreme cruelty toward the Plaintiff as set forth in said Petition - and also of gross neglect of duty It is therefore considered ordered and decreed by the Court that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said Parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled - and the said Parties wholly released from the obligations of the same - It is therefore ordered that the Plaintiff pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to $ -, within ten days from the rising of this Court and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock Wednesday Morning Oct 9th

P Bledsoe Judge

Wednesday October 9th A.D. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

Randall Andrews by Laura Fletcher his next Friend } No 1240
vs
William Richter

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys upon the Petition of Plaintiff and answer of defendant and reply of Plaintiff. Issue was Joined - and also came a Jury to wit, Rinaldo Moses, Asa Kilberry, N. D. Bennett, George Wilber Luther Winget, Isaac Channel, James Middleton, Regular Jurors- Wm M. Robinson John Moore, Robert Graham, Henry Harrington and James Brown tales Jurors- who were duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the issue Joined between the Parties do upon their oaths say they find for the said Plaintiff and assess the damage by reason of the premises at Two Hundred and thirty three dollars. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Thirty three dollars - so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff - or his damages in form aforesaid assessed - and also his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $
and thereupon defendant demanded a second trial of this cause which was allowed by the Court and the Bond fixed at $400 &c

Cordelia J. Franklin } Divorce
vs
Caldwell M. Franklin

And now comes the said Cordelia J. Franklin by her Attorneys and thereupon (the defendant consenting) this cause came on for hearing upon the Petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof the Court do find that the said defendant has been guilty of gross neglect of duty towards Plaintiff, as she has in her Petition alleged - It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said Parties, be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said Parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendant pay to the said Plaintiff for her reasonable alimony the sum of Three Hundred dollars, within ten days, or in default thereof that Execution issue therefore, and that he pay the costs of this suit taxed at $

Bank of Richwood } Cognovit -
vs
Benoni P. Hildreth, John Elliott and J. P. Clevenger

This day came into Court the Bank of Richwood by Porter and Sterling its Counsel, and filed its declaration against the said Benoni P. Hildreth, John Elliott, and J. P. Clevenger and thereupon W. D. Pudney one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in behalf of the said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by said defendants and now produced in open Court, and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of Process, and acknowledged that the said defendants did assume and promise in manner and form as the said Bank of Richwood hath in its Petition alleged against the said defendants, and confessed that the said defendants owed and stood indebted to the said Bank of Richwood in the sum of $373.20 Three hundred seventy three & 20/100 dollars - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the Bank of Richwood recover of the said defendants the sum of $373.20 its debt - so as aforesaid found

to be due and to costs herein expended taxed to $ - And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors and release and all right and benefit of appeal waived by the defendants -

The State of Ohio vs John H. Coleman } Recognizance Grand Larceny

This day came in open Court John H. Coleman Mary C. Coleman and William N. Coleman and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Five Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that the said John H. Coleman be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for Grand Larceny - and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Thursday October 10th A.D. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

P. B. Cole Assignee &c vs H. T. Raymond and Levi Kirk 2 Continued

Jacob Lemard vs David A. Williams Et al. 2 Continued

Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams Et al 2 Continued

Samuel Taylor Et al. vs James T. Mahaffey Et al. 2 Continued

John Wood Assignee &c vs Phineas Barlette Et al 2 Continued

William Gabriel vs D. J. Marsh & Co 2 Continued

D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight 2 Continued

P. B. Cole Assignee vs Truman M. Kimball 2 Continued

Othias M. Kennedy vs John R. Gabriel 2 Continued

William Parthemore vs Chloe A. Parthemore 2 Continued

William A. Robertson vs Christopher Stultz 2 Continued

Mary M. Rockhold vs John M. Dollison 2 Appearance of defendant Entered and cause continued

Joshua Penn
vs
A. B. Butler

This cause is continued on the application of the Plaintiff and at his costs. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs in this behalf. And cause continued with leave to Plaintiff to file an amended petition in 30 days.

No 165 State of Ohio vs Samuel Stout & Dismissed

Elizabeth A. Dawson
vs
Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnatti & Indianapolis Railway Company

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon came a jury to wit, Rinaldo Moses, Asa Kilberry, N. T. Bennett, Luther Winget, Isaac Channel, James Middleton, J. L. Berger, Regular Jurors. Stephen Shirk, J. A. Clement, William Smith, Robert Graham, Hartrum Tales Jurors, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the issues joined between the Parties, do upon their oaths say, they find for the Plaintiff and assess her damage by reason of the premises at Fifty dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of Fifty dollars and that each party pay its own costs taxed at $. And thereupon each party demanded a second trial under the statute which was allowed by the Court and the bond fixed by the Court for each party at $75.00

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Saturday Morning 8½ oclock. Oct 12, 1872

A. B. Cole Judge

Saturday October 12th A. D. 1872

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Thursday

James, S. Alexander
vs
Josepha Hombeck

On motion of the said Josepha Hombeck by his attorneys, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of Real estate made by him on the 4th day of October 1872 to J. Smith Alexander on an execution issued in this case, and dated on the 5th day of October 1872, and the Court on examination of said Proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, it is ordered that said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold and all questions as to the distribution of the proceeds of said sale that may arise are continued.

J. M. Rogers No 1224
vs
J. W. Toban Et al

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and

Saturday October 12th A.D. 1872

this cause came on for hearing. It was agreed by counsel of both Parties to continue the above action, saving all rights to the said Parties—

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that Alex Cranston be and he is hereby appointed Auctioneer for the County of Union for the Term of one year upon his paying to the County Treasurer the Sum of Five dollars and giving bond according to law—

The State of Ohio City of Columbus } Supreme Court of the State of Ohio
of the Term of December A.D. 1871

Mary E. Welsh Admrx de bonis non &c. Pl'ff } Error to the District Court
vs } of Union County
Andrew G. Freeman

This cause came on to be heard upon the Transcript of the record of the District Court of Union County, and was argued by Counsel. on consideration whereof, it is ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the Judgment of the said District Court be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs—
Ordered that a special mandate be sent to the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, to carry this Judgment into execution—
Ordered that a copy of this entry be certified to the Clerk of the District Court of Union County for entry &c
I Rodney Foos Clerk of the supreme Court of Ohio, do hereby certify that the foregoing Judgment is truly taken and correctly copied from the Journal of said Court
Witness my hand and the seal of said Court this 21st day of August A.D. 1872
Rodney Foos clerk

State of Ohio City of Columbus— Supreme Court of Ohio
To the Honorable Court of Common Pleas within and for the County of Union Ohio Greeting— we do hereby command you; that you proceed without delay to carry the within and foregoing Judgment of our Supreme Court of Ohio in the case of Mary E. Welsh Admrx de bonis non &c Pl'ff against Andrew G. Freeman into execution, the Petition in error herein and heretofore granted, to the contrary notwithstanding
Witness Rodney Foos Clerk of our said supreme Court of Ohio, at Columbus this 21st day of August 1872 Rodney Foos Clerk — Clerks costs $17.00 Pd. Atty's for Pl'ff in Error

No 160 State of Ohio vs Peter Gray & Continued
No 161 State of Ohio vs Peter Gray & Continued
No 162 State of Ohio vs Peter Gray & Continued
No 163 State of Ohio vs John Guthrie & Left Off

No 166 State of Ohio vs John Sidle & Continued
No 167 State of Ohio vs John Murphy & Dismissed
No 168 State of Ohio vs Mace Burkebile & Continued

Saturday October 12th A.D. 1872

John and George Butler
vs
William B. Dunfee and John Douglass

And now comes the said John and George Butler and the said William B. Dunfee and John Douglass having failed to demur or answer to the Petition of the said John and George Butler it is considered that the said John and George Butler ought to recover the sum of $117 93/100 dollars the sum demanded in said Petition after deducting $223 25 which has been paid since the filing of the said Petition together with the sum of $14 17 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said Petition: It is therefore considered that the said John and George Butler recover against the said William B. Dunfee and John Douglass the said sum of $132 10 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $—

Christian. Emrich and Anton Piringer. Plaintiffs
against
Charles H. Bliss Defendant

And now comes the said Emrich and Piringer and the said Charles H. Bliss having failed to demurer or answer to the Petition of the said Emrich and Piringer it is considered that the said Emrich and Piringer ought to recover the said sum of $370 52 so demanded in their Petition together with the sum of $13 30/100 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said Petition; It is therefore considered that the said Emrich and Piringer recover against the said Charles H. Bliss the said sum of $389 12/100 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $—

James Hullington. Charles Phellis and W.W. Woods
Partners &c as Hullington. Phellis & Woods. Plaintiffs
vs
John P. Bailey. Orrin Hammond and William Bailey. Defendants

This day came into Court the Plaintiffs. Hullington. Phellis. and Woods. and filed their Petition against the said John P. Bailey. Orrin Hammond. and William Bailey defendants. and thereupon J. L. Cameron. one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said John P. Bailey. Orrin Hammond and William Bailey defendants and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of Process and acknowledged that the said John P. Bailey Orrin Hammond and William Bailey defendants do owe the said Plaintiffs on the promissory Note in the Petition mentioned at this date the sum of one Hundred sixty three 53/100 Dollars and consented that Judgment be entered against the defendants for that sum and for costs in this behalf expended to be taxed. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiffs recover of the said defendants. John P. Bailey. Orrin Hammond and William Bailey said sum of one Hundred sixty three 53/100 dollars ($163 53) and also their costs herein in this behalf expended taxed to $— and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and this Judgment is to bear interest at 8 per cent until paid.

Thomas N. Paulk
vs
John P. Davis. Edward D. Leach and Joseph Price

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court and the said Plaintiff and the said John J. Davis and Edward

Saturday October 12th A.D. 1872

D. Leach having arrainged between themselves the claim upon the real estate in said Petition described. it is ordered by the Court that said Davis and Leach go hence without day and recover of the Plaintiff their costs herein expended. and their title in said Lots forever quieted. as against the said Mortgage of the Plaintiff and the Court find that there is due the Plaintiff from said Joseph Price defendant on the Note described in said Petition the sum of one Hundred and sixty five dollars It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said Joseph Price the said sum of one Hundred and sixty five Dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to $—

Mary E. Taylor vs Amzie Bell } No 1223. Appeal

This day came the said defendant and the said Plaintiff still failing to give security for costs in this action and it appearing to the Court that said Plaintiff is a non resident of said County of Union It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that this action be dismissed at the Costs of said Plaintiff and that said defendant recover of said Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

Rachel Clark & others vs James M. Foster & others } P 104 confirmation of sale

This day came the Parties and on the motion of the defendant James M. Foster to reduce the amount of the judgment, the Court find that the judgment and decree rendered May 15. 1872. was too large in amount and the same should have been Twenty seven Hundred and eighty three $ 62/100 dollars. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the excess of one Hundred and Twenty six $ 92/100 dollars be remitted by the Plaintiffs as of said date— Thereupon this cause came on to be heard on the motion to confirm said sale. and the Court being fully advised in the premises do confirm said sale and order the Sheriff of this County to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said lands sold in this case. and deliver to said purchaser possession of said premises. It is further ordered that out of said purchase money said Sheriff pay the costs of this proceeding amounting to $61.02 and second he pay the tax claim of Robert Graham amounting to $49 37 on said land and Third that he pay James W. Hamilton the first Mortgagee his claim amounting to $560 33 and the balance of the purchase money Amounting to $1134.28 he pay to Plaintiffs. and it appearing to the Court that there still remains due and unpaid on said judgment and decree to the Plaintiffs from said James M. Foster the sum of $1218 92/100 It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that Execution be and the same is hereby awarded against said defendant James M. Foster for said sum of $1218.92 yet unpaid and remaining due with interest from this date together with all costs made hereafter in the premises.

James Fullington Charles Phellis and W. W. Woods Partners under the firm name of Fullington. Phellis. and Woods. Plaintiffs vs William McAdams. S. D. Warner. and Frank Carpenter. Defendants }

This day came the Plaintiffs James Fullington Charles Phellis. and W. W. Woods. Partners &c and filed their Petition against the said William McAdams. S. D. Warner, and Frank Carpenter defendants: and thereupon J. L. Cameron one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said William McAdams. S. D. Warner and Frank Carpenter

Saturday October 12th A.D. 1872

defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendants and now produced in open Court, and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendants and acknowledged that the said defendants William McAdams. D. D. Warner and Frank Carpenter do owe the said Plaintiffs on the Note in the Petition mentioned at this date the sum of one Hundred and one dollars and fifty three cents ($101.53) and consented that Judgment be entered in favor of said Plaintiffs and against said defendants for said sum of money and costs. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiffs as such Partners recover of the defendants William McAdams. D. D. Warner and Frank Carpenter said sum of $101.53 and also their costs herein taxed to $ and the Court finds that said Judgment should bear interest at 8 per cent per annum until paid and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this Judgment are released.

James Fullington. Charles Phellis. and W. W. Woods
Partners under the firm name of
Fullington. Phellis and Woods. Plaintiff
vs
John Swisher. Olive Colwell and Abram Colwell. Defendants

This day came into Court the Plaintiffs. James Fullington. Charles Phellis. and W. W. Woods. Partners &c and filed their Petition against the said John Swisher. Olive Colwell. and Abram Colwell defendants. and thereupon J. L. Cameron one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said John Swisher. Olive Colwell and Abram Colwell defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendants and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendants and acknowledged that the said John Swisher. Olive Colwell and Abram Colwell defendants do owe to the Plaintiffs on the promissory Note mentioned in the Petition at this date the sum of ($300.60) Three Hundred dollars and sixty cents and consented that Judgment be entered in favor of said Plaintiffs against said defendants for said sum of money and costs.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiffs recover of the said defendants John Swisher. Olive Colwell and Abram Colwell the said sum of Three Hundred dollars and sixty cents so confessed as aforesaid. and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And the Court finds that said Judgment should bear interest at eight per cent per annum until paid and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this Judgment are released.

Jane O. N. Jones Pltf.
vs
Kelura M. Hodge Defd.

By consent of the Parties herein. and by leave of the Court leave is granted to the Plaintiffs to so amend their Petition. as to ask the recovery of the land described in Plaintiffs Petition. and to have the question of title determined between the Plaintiffs and the defendants who claim title through Ann W. and John W. Tibbatts. in forty days from the Close of this term of Court. and cause continued.

William C. Dynes
vs
Ella M. Dynes. Lotta Dynes and Nannie Dynes

This day came the Parties to this proceeding

Saturday October 12th A.D. 1872

And the Court finding that due notice hath been served on the defendants of the Pendency of this proceeding and the Court being satisfied from the proof that a sale of the real estate in said Petition described would be for the benefit of the Plaintiffs and do no injury to the defendants the Heirs in tail and remainder do consider, order and adjudge that the said real estate be appraised, advertised and sold according to law and for the purpose of carrying this order into effect and causing said appraisment advertisement and sale to be made. M. C. Lawrence is hereby appointed special master commissioner to discharge said duty and report his proceedings to this Court at its next term, to which time this cause is continued

× No 1261 John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood & Continued

× No 1260 Eliza M. Truman by R. B. Truman vs Mary R. Neal Def. & Continued

√ No 1254 O. T. Hills vs John Guthrie & John Moore Partners &c & ~~Continued~~ Settled

× No 1252 Susan Adams vs John Adams & Continued

× No 1249 Paulina Smith vs Henry Smith & Continued

× No 1242 Richard H. White vs Darius McEntire & Thomas Eubanks & Continued

× No 1235 S. Millenberger vs Isaac J. Gray & Continued

× No 1234 Nancy Watson Pet. vs The C. C. C. & I. C. R. R. Company & Continued

× No 1216 Thomas C. Bowen Admr &c vs J. G. Miller Def. & Continued

× No 708 Reuben W. Weisz vs James Megahey Def. & Continued

× No 1201 James C. Powers Pet. by &c vs James C. Lawrence & Leave to amend Petition in 30 days and continued

√ No 1238 Sarah J. Walker vs Curtis H. Walker & Dismissed

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that Robert Welsh be and he is hereby appointed Auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of Three dollars and giving bond according to law—

×
Jacob C. Sidle
vs
John Ramey Def'dt } This day came on this cause on motion to confirm the sale made to Plaintiff, and the Court being satisfied that said sale and proceedings were regular and lawful do confirm the same. And it appearing that the purchase money is insufficient to pay the costs and claim of the Plaintiff, it is ordered that Isaac Hurd Carter be and appear before the Clerk of this Court within forty days to answer all Questions that may be asked him of his indebtedness to the defendant Ramey and as to that and all matters herein undisposed of this cause is continued—

√ Andrew J. Ferguson Pet. vs William A. Caldwell & Settled costs Paid

Court Record for 1873

Times fixed for holding Courts in the Third Judicial District of the State of Ohio for the year 1873.

Be it remembered, that at a meeting of Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, of the Third Common Pleas Judicial District, of the State of Ohio, at the Court House in the Town of Lima, County of Allen State of Ohio, on the day of the date hereof, for the purpose of fixing the times of holding the terms of the Courts of Common Pleas and the District Courts in said District, for the year 1873; we the undersigned, Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, within and for said District, do hereby fix the times of holding said Courts for the year 1873, in each and every County in said District, as follows;

Common Pleas Court

The several terms of the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the several Counties of said District, in and for said year, shall commence in the several Counties thereof as follows;

In	Union	County	February 3,	May 5,	October 19,
In	Hardin	County	February 25,	May 20,	November 3,
In	Logan	County	March 18,	June 3,	November 24,
In	Shelby	County	January 14	April 15,	September 29,
In	Auglaize	County	March 3,	May 26,	October 15,
In	Allen	County	February 24,	May 26,	October 20
In	Mercer	County	February 17,	April 26,	November 10
In	Vanwert	County	January 14,	May 13,	October 28,
In	Putnam	County	February 3,	May 12,	September 29,
In	Paulding	County	February 4	June 3,	September 23,
In	Defiance	County	January 20,	April 28,	September 29,
In	Henry	County	February 10,	May 5,	October 6
In	Fulton	County	February 25,	May 13,	October 21
In	Williams	County	March 11,	May 20,	November 4
In	Wood	County	January 6,	May 5,	September 2
In	Marion	County	January 27,	May 26,	September 22,
In	Seneca	County	March 3,	June 9,	November 3
In	Hancock	County	February 3	May 26,	October 6
In	Wyandot	County	January 6,	May 12,	September 1,
In	Crawford	County	February 17,	June 9,	October 27,

District Court

The several terms of the District Court, in and for the year 1873, shall commence in the several Counties thereof, as follows;

In	Williams	County	April 14,
In	Fulton	County	April 12,
In	Wood	County	April 5
In	Henry	County	April 11,
In	Defiance	County	April 8,
In	Paulding	County	April 10
In	Vanwert	County	September 15
In	Allen	County	September 17

see next Page

Courts Continued from Page 144

In	Putnam	County	September 11
In	Auglaize	County	September 5
In	Mercer	County	September 9
In	Shelby	County	September 3
In	Logan	County	August 28
In	Marion	County	March 25
In	Union	County	August 25
In	Crawford	County	March 27
In	Wyandot	County	March 29
In	Hardin	County	September 1
In	Hancock	County	April 1
In	Seneca	County	April 3

And we do further order and direct that the several terms of said Courts shall commence on the first day of each of said terms at eight-o'clock A. M.

In testimony whereof, we issue this, our order, for the purpose aforesaid, at said Court House, on this the 16th day of September A.D. 1872

James Pillars
James MacKenzie
E. M. Chelfs
Philander B. Cole
Abner M. Jackson
} Judges

To the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio
who is hereby directed to publish this order for four consecutive weeks in each of the several newspapers published in said County.

A true copy of the original order now remaining of file

Francis T. Arthur Clerk

Saturday October 12th A. D. 1872

Thomas Brown
vs
Moses Aurine

And now comes the said Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon the motion of the said Moses Aurine to set aside the sale made in this case on the day of A.D. 1872. came on to be heard and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do order and adjudge that the sale be and the same is hereby set aside and vacated, at the costs of the said Moses Aurine, ordered that he pay the same in ten days or execution ifsue therefor

John Guthrie
vs
Thomas A. Mayes & al's
} Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio
Confirmation
110

On motion of Plaintiff by his Attorney and upon producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 5th day of August 1872. to R. L. Broom on an order of sale ifsued in this case and dated the 15th day of ~~August~~ June 1872. And the Court on examination of said return and proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered that said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold upon payment by said purchaser of the sum as stated in said Sheriffs return

George L. Converse, Plaintiff
Against
David Rees & al's. Defendants
} Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio
confirmation of sale of Mortgaged premises
P. 97

This day came the Plaintiff in person and by counsel none appearing for the defendants, and this cause thereupon came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff and Master, and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof, the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff and Master And the sale by him made of the lands and tenements in the Petition mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law, It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the proceedings and sale of said Sheriff and Master be in all things affirmed, and that the said Master execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed of the lands and tenements aforesaid in fee simple pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided-
And it is further ordered that the defendants who may be in possession of said premises, or any person who has come in under them, or either of them pending this suit, deliver up the possession thereof to said purchaser, and that the Sheriff of this County put the said purchaser in possession of said lands and tenements

Gullington, Phillis and Woods vs Nathan. W. Converse Wife & Settled

This day It is ordered that the sum of $72.00 be paid to Robert Sharp Sheriff for the purpose of paying his Deputies this 5th September 1872.
P. B. Cole Judge

Sarah J. Cartmell
vs
Gullington. Phillis Woods & al's
} Continued

George Sinclair
vs
Joseph A. Culbertson
} In vacation to wit on December 7th 1872
Motion for temporary Injunction, Before his Honor P. B. Cole, Judge

Saturday October 12th A.D. 1872

This day came as well the said George Sindair Plaintiff as Randall and Pudney Counsel for the defendant Joseph A. Culbertson, and thereupon the motion of the Plaintiff for a temporary injunction came on to be heard and was argued by Counsel. on consideration whereof it is ordered that an injunction be allowed against the defendant as prayed for in his said Petition. to be continued until the further order of Court, on the Plaintiffs giving his undertaking with security to the defendant to the acceptance of the clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio in the sum of one thousand Dollars. conditioned according to law: which is accordingly done

No 1246 Frederick Melchins vs Adam Sherwood } Continued

David Edgar. Plaintiff against William Richter Defendant } Civil action in Replevin

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and neither party requiring or demanding a Jury. this cause by consent of parties was submitted to the Court upon the pleadings exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the right of possession and the right of Property in and to the chattels and property in this action mentioned and set forth was at the commencement hereof and ever since has been in the said defendant. and the Court still acting by consent of Parties in lieu of a Jury do assess the damages which said defendant ought to recover by reason of the premises at Fifty dollars ($50.00)

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendant recover of the said Plaintiff the said sum of $50.00 his damages so as aforesaid assessed together with his costs herein expended taxed to $

Filmore Kelley vs Samuel Rittenhouse } Dismissed in vacation

Ordered that all matters. causes and motions now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

D. Bebb Judge

February Term A.D. 1873

Monday February 3d A.D. 1873- This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 10 oclock A.M. in pursuance of law- Present P. B. Cole Presiding Judge. Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney John C. Price Sheriff and H. D. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit. W. A. A. Titus. James Cone. B. W. Keyes. G. S. Robertson. Warren Bird. J. G. Miller. Stephen Carey. Jeremiah Rhulen. John Gray. W. F. A. Pennington. George Holloway. J. M. Robinson. Thomas Peacock. John W. Robinson Regular Jurors. and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court, elected anew to wit. J. A. Henderson tales Juror good and lawful men; whose names are annexed to the panel according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. the Court appoint the said J. A. Henderson Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly emphannelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room, to deliberate; attended by a sworn constable-

Nathan Howard
vs
J. A. Culbertson et al. } This cause is dismissed without prejudice to a future action and the costs are paid by Plaintiff

Joseph M. Kennedy Admrs &c } No 1280
vs
A. C. Burnham } Ex H. No 1477

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court on an agreement by which defendant is to pay to Plaintiff $183, and pay one half of the costs, and the Plaintiff is to pay one half the costs, which agreement the Court here confirms. and therefore it is considered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of the defendant in satisfaction of the claim sued upon, the sum of one hundred and eighty three dollars. and the one half of the costs in this cause expended and that the Plaintiff pay the other half of said costs out of said judgment. And it is further ordered and adjudged that if the defendant fail for ten days to pay said $183, and one half of said costs that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to appraise advertise and sell according to law the interest of said defendant in the lands and tenements herein before seized upon in attachment and report his proceedings to this Court according to law

Hullinston, Garwood & Co vs Michael Davis Continued

C. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Tuesday February 4th A.D. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Moses Blake for selling Intoxicating Liquors to a minor Indorsed a true Bill. J. A. Henderson- Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against Moses Blake for selling intoxicating Liquors to be drank on premises. and not having finished the business before them. they retired again to deliberate

Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
B. D. Evans } This day the Plaintiffs suggested the death of the defendant B. D. Evans. and thereupon leave is granted to Plaintiffs to make the personal representatives of said deceased parties defendants hereto and cause continued

Mary J. McMillen
vs
Emily A. McMillen et al } In Dower

This day came the Plaintiff by her Attorneys. and the said defendants still failing to plead. answer or demurer to said Petition It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff be endowed of one full equal third part of the said premises in her Petition described as and for her Dower therein. and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union. Commanding him that by the oaths of Thomas W. Miller, ~~Charles Miller~~ William McPeck and A. S. Mowrey three judicious disinterested men of the vicinity and in the County where the lands are situated who are not of kin to any of the Parties interested. they cause dower as aforesaid to be set off and assigned to said Plaintiff according to the statute in such case made and provided. and that he return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

No 703 Samuel R. Harbert vs James A. Neal & Henry Neal } Continued

Joshua Penn
vs
A. B. Butler } No 1144 Continued with leave to file amended Petition in forty days

No 232 J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown vs William Wharry. C. H. Cady & P. Safford } Continued

No 608 John Swan et al. vs Henry Williams et al. } Continued

No 699 Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman et al. } Continued

No 1276

No 1300

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P. Z. Cook Judge

Wednesday February 5th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Frank Gilbert
vs
A. K. Minthorn } Replevin

The Plaintiff having received the Property in this case on Bond given under the statute and having failed to prosecute his action in this Court and the defendant having demanded that the Court empanneled a jury to inquire into the right of Property and the right of posession of the defendant taken in this case. It is ordered by the Court that a Jury be empanneled according to law for that purpose, and thereupon came J. H. Clement, Thomas Snodgrass Robert Snodgrass sr, William Smith, John Bidwell, John Weaver sr, William M. Robinson William Barbour, Robert Graham, Joseph Powers, B. C. Pooler, James Pooler, Tales Jurors, twelve good and true men having the qualifications of Jurors, who being duly empanneled and sworn and hearing the testimony and the charge of the Court do return the following verdict viz.,,
We the Jury do find that the defendant at the commencement of this action had the right of posession and the right of Property in this ~~case~~ Cow taken in this case And do find for said defendant and assess the damages of the defendant sustained in the premises at thirty seven and 30/100 dollars
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff the sum of Thirty seven & 30/100 dollars the damages assessed as aforesaid together with his costs herein expended taxed to $

Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
Louisa A. Moore & Alvin Bellus } Debt

This day came the Plaintiffs and the said defendants still failing to answer or demurer to the Petition of Plaintiff, it is considered that said Plaintiff ought to recover of the said defendant Louisa A Moore the amount due Plaintiffs by reason of the premises. And the Court find that there is due from said Louisa A Moore to said Plaintiffs on the note in the said Petition set forth the sum of one Hundred and sixty one & 4/100 dollars
It is therefore considered by the Court that Plaintiff recover of said Louisa A. Moore the said sum of one Hundred and sixty one & 4/100 dollars so found their due as aforesaid and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $
It is therefore ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant Louisa A. Moore fails for three days to pay Plaintiffs the said sum of $161 4c so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and that the balance of said proceeds of said sale be bring into Court subject to its future order in the premises—

No 1276 Emanuel L. Price vs Kate A. Waldon & E. A. Waldon } settled

No 1300 McDonald & Company vs Samuel Welsheimer } settled ex H. See No 1482

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Joseph Robbins for selling Intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated Indorsed a True Bill. J. A. Henderson

Foreman of Grand Jury also their other Bill of Indictment against Joseph Robbins for selling intoxicated Liquors to a minor. Indorsed a true Bill J. A. Henderson Foreman of Grand Jury. and not having finished the busines before them, they retired again to deliberate

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Thursday February 6th A.D. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

No 1274 Randall Andrews by Laura E. Fletcher his next friend vs William Richter } P 136

This day came the Parties and settled this action and all matters pertaining to the same at the costs of the defendant It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant pay the costs of this action taxed to ft

No 1239 Hannah Andrews vs William Richter } P 133 Ex H. Sro 1474

This day came the Parties and settled this action and all matters pertaining to the same at the costs of the defendant- It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant pay the costs of this action taxed to ft

No 169 State of Ohio vs Emily Bedell } settled

To the Hon. the Judge of the Court of Common Pleas I John C. Price Sheriff of Union County have appointed as my Deputy William M. Liggett of said County subject to the approval of your Honor- February 3. 1873 John C. Price Sheriff

Oath administered by P. B. Cole Judge-

W. D. Pudney vs D. A. Pudney } This day the Plaintiff appeared and dismissed the above action without prejudice

Susan Humphries et al. vs Jacob Hoffman et al. } No 1247

There being a doubt entertained as to the answer on file it is agreed that this cause may be continued generally and the defendants file separate answers each setting forth the part of the land in his possession and claimed by him. with his separate defence the same as is done already. for all in the joint answer already made

No 1245 William Hofferline et al. vs John Powers et al. }

This day this cause came on to

Thursday. February 6th A.D. 1873

be heard upon the exceptions of defendants to the deposition of Mary Ashton a witness on the part of Plaintiffs filed in this action. founded upon the omission of the Justice before whom said deposition was taken to certify. by whom said deposition had been reduced to writing. on consideration whereof the Court sustains said exceptions thereupon the Plaintiffs were allowed to withdraw from the files. temporarily said deposition for the purpose of allowing the said Justice to amend his certificate to the same. and thereupon this cause is continued at the costs of said Plaintiffs

X John F. Kilbury vs P.C.K & St L. Ry Co } No 1295

This day came the Parties by their attorneys. Randall and Pudney for Plaintiff. and Porter and Sterling for Defendants. this cause came on to be heard upon a motion by defendant to require the Plaintiff to attach a full copy of the contract mentioned in his petition as an exhibit. the same being argued by counsel and considered by the Court. the Court overrule said motion to which ruling the counsel for defendant excepted.

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Charles Stultz for furnishing Liquors to a Minor. indorsed a True Bill. J. A. Henderson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against Miesse Burkepile for stabbing with intent to Kill. Indorsed. a true Bill J. A. Henderson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their two other Bills of Indictment against Joseph Robbins. for selling Intoxicating Liquors contrary to law. each severally indorsed. A true Bill. J. A. Henderson Foreman of Grand Jury Also their other Bill of Indictment against ~~Michael~~ William Cody. Richard Cody. Marion Roberts and Miesse Burkepile for Gambling. indorsed. A true Bill J. A. Henderson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against. Elliott Cartmell. William Cody and Michael Cody for Petit Larceny. indorsed. a true Bill. J. A. Henderson. Foreman of Grand Jury Also their other Bill of Indictment against. Elliott Cartmell. William Cody Richard Cody. Elon Rogers. Jeremiah Norris. Israel Nicholson. Marion Roberts. Stephen Slack. Charles Bowen for destroying vegetables. indorsed a true Bill. J. A. Henderson Foreman of Grand Jury and not having finished the business before them. they retired again to deliberate

X Alford H. Reed and Adelia J. Reed against Sarah P. Lee et al } No 1278 In Partition

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court. whereupon the Court find that Wm. Gabriel is entitled to a half interest in the 14 acre Lot described in his answer as well as the other lands in said Petition described. the Court further find that the Petitioner is entitled to Partition of said lands and and owns the one undivided one sixth of all of said lands. and that Sarah P. Lee is also entitled to the same share. and that Ada M. Reed is entitled to the same share. and that said Elizabeth Gabriel widow of said John Gabriel is entitled to dower in the one half of said lands which which descended to said Sarah P. Lee. Adelia J. Reed and Ada M Reed as set up in said Petition.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that a writ of Partition issue in this cause to the Sheriff of this County commanding him by the oaths of Hiram Kimball John Mitchell and Boland H. B. Griswold

three disinterested freeholders of said County not of kin to either of said Parties, he cause dower to be assigned in the half of said lands by setting off to her one sixth of all of said lands as her dower estate therein - and by the like oaths of said three men he also cause Partition of said lands to be made by assigning to said Wm Gabriel the one half of said lands clear of said dower, and to said Adelia J. Reed the one sixth of said lands subject subject to said dower and to said Sarah P. Lee the one sixth of said lands subject to said dower and to said Ada M. Reed the one sixth of said land subject to said dower, and that they report during this term of this Court

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9. oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cook Judge

Friday February 7. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Chandler Brown for Robery - Indorsed - a true Bill J. D. Henderson - Foreman of Grand Jury
also presented their Jail Report which reads as follows to wit.
We the Grand Juros within and for Union County Ohio at the February Term A. D. 1873. find that there is no Jail within and for said County. And hereby recommend that the commissioners of said County be requested to proceed with all possible speed in the construction of a Jail for said County J. D. Henderson - Foreman - And having finished the business before them they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court

Mary J. McMillen
vs
Emily A. McMillen et al. } In Dower

On motion to the Court, and it appearing to the Court that there is a mistake in the description of the land in the Petition of Plaintiff. It is ordered that Plaintiff have leave to amend the description of the land in said Petition forthwith, so that it will show the true description of the same - Dd February 4 - 1873

Eliza M. Trowman } No 1260
vs
Mary R. Neal et al.

On the application of Plaintiff this cause is stayed until Tuesday next, the Plaintiff to pay the witness fees till that time -

Dolbear & Woods, Partners &c
vs
Wm Marvin et al. Partners } No 1264 -

This day came on this cause to be heard by the Court upon the defendants motion to dismiss by the consent of Parties, whereupon

Friday February 7th A.D. 1873

the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that proper service was not made on the defendants, and thereupon the Plaintiffs dismissed this cause without prejudice no record- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendants recover of the Plaintiffs their costs herein taxed to $

A. A. Baker
vs
The Village of Marysville } In error-

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find no error in said proceedings of which said A. A. Baker complains, and therefore confirms said judgment- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said judgment and proceedings be confirmed and the defendant in error recover of Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $
to which ruling and judgment Plaintiff in error excepts-

✓ Lewis W. Green vs William M. Robinson et. al. 2 Continued

✓ Thomas Martin vs Joseph R. Hatcher 2 settled - Thomas Martin to pay the costs

✓ No 966 Oziel Lapham vs Hayden Hutchinson & Co. et. al. 2 Continued

✓ No 967 Oziel Lapham vs Fullington Phillis & Woods 2 Continued

✓ No 1088 Jacob C. Dille vs D. H. Parsons et. al. 2 Continued

✓ No 1089 John Jolliffe vs D. H. Parsons et. al. 2 Continued

✓ No 1307 William Toby vs Ammon P. Converse & James Green 2 settled costs paid

George N. Snelley by Samuel Grow his Guardian
vs
John Snelley } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling Attorneys for Plaintiffs it is ordered that by the oaths of A. S. Mowry, Norton Scott, and Ingham Wood Partition be made of the said lands in said Petition described in the following proportions to wit: to the Plaintiffs, George N. Snelley one equal fourth part: to the said John Snelley one equal fourth part, to the said Oliver C. Snelley one equal fourth part and to the said Rebecca Howard one equal fourth part, and it is further ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said Partition to be made accordingly- the question of consolidation of this case with the case of Rebecca Howard and husband against John N. Snelley et. al. relative to the same matter is reserved for further consideration

Jos. Fitzpatrick et. al.
vs
Allen Haines et. al. }

And now comes the said Parties by their Attorneys and in open Court waive a trial by Jury (the Court consenting) in this action and submit this cause to the Court upon the issue joined between the Parties, and the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel do find that the said Plaintiffs are seized in fee simple of the premises in their said Petition and

Friday February 7 Term 1873

Amended and supplemental Petition described and that the said defendants do unlawfully keep the said Plaintiffs out of the possession of said premises so as aforesaid described in manner and form as the said Plaintiffs have in their said Petitions set forth and declared
It is therefore considered that the said Plaintiffs recover against the said defendants the premises in their said Petition described and also their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $— and thereupon came the said defendants by Porter and Sterling their Attorneys and made application to the Court here for the valuation of improvements and assessment of damages under the statute for the relief of the occupying claimants, and the Court having considered of the same are of opinion that the said defendants are entitled thereto- whereupon it is ordered that further proceedings may be had in the premises agreeably to the provisions of said statute

D. N. Rogers
vs
No 1224 J. W. Toban et al. }

This day came the Parties and settled this action and all matters pertaining to the same & the costs of the Plaintiff-
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff pay the costs of this action in ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon Judgments at law-

James C. Powers et al. } No 1201
vs
James L. Lawrence }

This day came this cause on to be heard on the motion of defendant to dismiss the case for want of Prosecution whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises overrule the motion whereupon the Plaintiffs asked and obtained leave to file an amended Petition in thirty days and cause continued-

No 1193 Rebecca J. Burkam vs Zelotes C. Pooler 2 Continued

No 1194 Rebecca J. Burkam vs Newton Case 2 Continued

No 1211 Caroline Sherwood vs B. F. Beck & Caroline M. Beck 2 Continued

No 1235 T. Miltenberger vs Isaac J. Gray 2 Left off Docket-

No 1262 James Taylor ex &c vs Adam Blue 2 Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Bleak Judge

Saturday Febuary 8th A.D. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

X Hullington. Phellis & Woods
vs
William Morvatt

This cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant to require the Plaintiff to make his Petition more definite and certain so as to set forth fully the contract between the Parties. on consideration the Court do sustain said motion at the costs of the Plaintiffs. and on motion of Plaintiffs leave is given them to file amended Petition by March 1st 1873, and cause continued

/ Abraham Spain. Admr &c
vs
James W. Reed Wife
confirmation of Sale

Dr 1213 P 102 Ex H. Dr 1420

The Court this day on motion of M. C. Lawrence. one of the attorneys for Plaintiff. having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale made by him upon an order of sale issued in this cause, and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided. do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser at such date a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold; All of which is entered accordingly. And on further motion to distribute the proceeds arising from said sale- It is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff pay as follows- 1st the costs of this proceeding amounting to the sum of $ 2d that he pay the taxes on said lands which are now a lien amounting to $ 44 66 3d that he pay to the Plaintiff as Administrator de bonis non- the residue of the money arising from said sale. amounting to $ and that said amount be credited upon the Notes described in the Mortgage sued on

/ Thomas Brown
vs
Moses Amrine Et. al.
Confirmation of Sale

P 146

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the sale made to Christopher Houston. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the sale made to said purchaser is in all respects in conformity with law. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said sale and proceedings be confirmed. and the Sheriff of this County ordered to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed for the lands so sold to him, and it is further ordered that said Sheriff out of the proceeds of said sale pay the costs of this proceeding, second the taxes on the said lands and on the whole surplus if sufficient to do so. after paying the Plaintiffs claim and third. pay the claim of the Plaintiff and the balance if any be pay to the defendants—

/ Joseph A. Culbertson
vs
George Sinclair
Dr 1284

This cause is dismissed at the costs of the Plaintiff. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

The State of Ohio
vs
Charles Stultz
Recognizance
Indictment for furnishing Liquors to a Minor

This day came in open Court Charles Stultz and R. T. McAllister and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one Hundred dollars, to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands and tenements, if default be made in the following condition to wit: that the said Charles Stultz be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on Monday the 10th day of February A.D. 1873, to answer unto the State of Ohio for furnishing Intoxicating Liquors to a Minor, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force—

The State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Robbins
Recognizance
Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors contrary to law

This day came in open Court Joseph Robbins and R. T. McAllister, and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio, in the penal sum of one Hundred Dollars, to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands and tenements, if default be made in the following condition to wit: that the said Joseph Robbins be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on Monday the 10th day of February A.D. 1873 to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling intoxicating Liquors contrary to law and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force—

No 1044 Andrew A. Spicer Admr &c vs William Sprague et al Continued

No 1293 Harrison Rice vs William Nowell Settled costs paid E & H. No 1412

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

P. Bloodgood Judge

Monday February 10th A. D. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

J. C. Miller & E. D. Armstrong, Partners doing business in the State of Ohio under the firm name of Miller & Armstrong
against
Abraham Morey, R. F. Whitney & Andrew Keyes
No 1291
Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio

And now come the said parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing on the Petition, answers

Monday February 10th A.D. 1873

and demurer, and was argued by Counsel: on consideration whereof the Court overrules the demurer of the defendant Abraham Morey to the Petition of Plaintiffs, and do find that the said Miller and Armstrong obtained the judgment as they have in their Petition alleged, and that there is now due on the same the sum of $145.66; and that the said A. J. Whitney holds a Mortgage as he has in his answer and crop Petition set forth, and that the same became a lien on said premises on the 24th day of March A.D. 1871 on which Mortgage there is now due and unpaid the sum of ($325.35) Three Hundred twenty five & 35/100 Dollars. and that the said Andrew Keyes holds a second Mortgage on said premises as he has in his said answer alleged, and the same became a lien thereon from the 5th day of December A.D. 1872, on which Mortgage there is still unpaid and owing to the said Andrew Keyes the sum of $100. and It is therefore considered by the Court that unless the said claim in favor of Plaintiffs is paid within three days from the rising of this Court with interest and costs of this action then that the Sheriff do proceed to cause the said lands and tenements in the said Petition described to appraised advertised and sold according to law— and it is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that if the defendant Abraham Morey shall fail for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay to the defendant A. J. Whitney said sum of $325.35 with interest from this date so found due and with costs, then and in that case that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being, commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in said defendant A. J. Whitneys answer and crop Petition mentioned as upon judgments at law— and bring the proceeds arising from the sale if any be made on either order into Court subject to the further order of the Court and for decission of questions not disposed of and for confirmation this cause is continued

James Gump
vs
Levi Hager

No 1173

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and the parties being at issue upon the Petition of Plaintiff, answer of defendant and reply of Plaintiff thereupon came a jury to wit, John W. Adams, Zara Lindsey, P. C. Bodkin, Alvin Dromgoe, George Turner, John Gibson, David Conklin, Regular Jurors, George Edwards D. G. Crop, Isaac J. Simmons, Orlando Stewart, and Thomas Wharton tales Jurors, who to speak the truth upon the issues joined between the Parties, being duly empannelled and sworn do upon their oaths say, they find for the Plaintiff and assess the amount which said Plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises at one Hundred and fifty dollars principal and Ninety seven dollars and fifty cents interest, making a total amount of Two Hundred and forty seven dollars and fifty cents, which said Plaintiff ought to recover from said defendant by reason of the premises as aforesaid

Mary M. Rockhold
vs
John M. Dollison

This day came the Plaintiff and dismissed this cause at her without prejudice own costs. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

[illegible] Judge

Tuesday February 11th A.D. 1873

This morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Farmers Bank Union County
vs
John Swisher. Abram. Colwell and Joseph Swisher } Cognovit

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff and the answer of Joseph M. Kennedy one of the Attorneys of this Court in behalf of said defendants. and the answer of the said Joseph Swisher, In consideration whereof the Court do find that the name of said Joseph Swisher appearing upon said Note as maker was false and forged, and that said Joseph Swisher should not be held on the same, and thereupon said Joseph M. Kennedy appeared in open Court in behalf of said John Swisher and Abram Colwell and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of procep, and confessed that the said John Swisher and Abram Colwell do owe the Plaintiff the sum of Three Hundred and two 70/100 Dollars, as the said Plaintiff hath in his Petition claimed against them, and confess judgment therefor-
It is therefore considered that the said Farmers Bank of Union County recover of the said John Swisher and Abram Colwell said sum of Three Hundred and two 70/100 dollars so confessed to be its due and also its costs in this behalf expended taxed at $- and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal waived by said John Swisher and Abram Colwell-

Edmund D. Smith
vs
Pittsburg, Cincinnati, & St Louis Railway Co, } This day this cause came on to be heard upon demurer of defendant to the sixth cause of action set up in the Plaintiffs Petition, the same having been argued by counsel, and being fully considered by the Court, said demurer is overruled by the Court, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepted-

Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
J. M. Hubbard et. al. } Cognovit

This day came the Farmers Bank of Union County by its Attorneys and files its Petition against the said J. M. Hubbard, John H. Winters and J. R. Hubbard, and thereupon Joseph M. Kennedy one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of said defendants, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court, and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of proceps, and confessed that said defendants do owe said Plaintiff the sum of Three Hundred and three 75/100 dollars, as the Plaintiff in its Petition has alleged and confesses judgment therefor-
It is therefore considered that said Farmers Bank of Union County recover of said J. M. Hubbard, John H. Winters and J. R. Hubbard the said sum of Three Hundred and three 75/100 Dollars so confessed to be its due, and also its costs in this behalf Expended taxed at $- and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by said defendants

No 1281

Nancy Watson for herself and as next friend of David Watson aged 18 Years. William Watson aged 16 years and Cornelia Watson aged 13 years and Margaret Watson. Isabell Watson and Samuel Watson
vs } The Cleveland Columbus Cincinnati

And Indianapolis Railway Company

This day this cause came on to be heard on the Petition of Plaintiff and the demurer thereto by the defendant, the Cleveland Columbus and Indianapolis Railway Company, defendant, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court did sustain said demurer. To which decission of the Court the Plaintiffs did by their counsel except— and ask the Court to allow the exception and make the same a part of the record of this case which is accordingly done

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Z Cole Judge

Wednesday February 12th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Nancy Watson for herself, and as next friend of David Watson aged 18 years, William Watson aged 16 Years and Cornelia Watson aged 13, years and Margaret Watson, Isabel Watson and Samuel Watson, Plaintiffs

against

The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati, and Indianapolis Railway Company Defendant

This day this cause came on to be further heard; and the demurer filed herein by the defendant to the Petition of the Plaintiffs having been sustained by the Court, and the Plaintiffs not desiring further to amend the Petition or plead, on application of the defendant in this action— It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that this action and cause be dismissed at the costs of the Plaintiff, Nancy Watson, Margaret Watson, Isabel Watson and Samuel Watson— It is therefore considered by the Court that defendant go hence without day, and recover of the said Nancy Watson, Margaret Watson, Isabel Watson, and Samuel Watson its costs herein expended taxed to $
and that said Plaintiffs pay their own costs herein taxed to $

No 1281

Elizabeth A. Dawson, Plaintiff

vs

The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company— Defendant

P 138
Ex H No 1476—

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion filed in this case by the defendant on the 11th day of February A D, 1873, to have this action dismissed as to the second trial thereof, and to have the same dropped from the Docket of this Court, and that the allowance of a second trial herein be held for naught, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court doth find that no undertaking for a second trial of this action was filed by or on behalf of the Plaintiff within thirty days after the term at which said allowance of a second trial herein was made, and it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said motion be sustained, and that this case and action be dropped from the Docket of this Court, and that as to a second trial of this case it be dismissed— and that the defendant be not held to answer in a second trial thereof, and it is ordered by the Court that the defendant recover of Plaintiff its costs herein expended since the last term of this Court, and that the Plaintiff pay her own costs herein expended since the last term of this Court taxed to $—

Wednesday February 12. 1873

No 173 — The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins — Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to a Minor

This day came L. Piper Prosecuting Attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi on above Indictment.

No 176 — The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins — Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to a Minor

This day came L. Piper Prosecuting Attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi on above Indictment

No 172 — The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins — Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated

The defendant Joseph Robbins this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged— thereupon this case is continued for sentence — defendant ordered to give his recognizance in the sum of $100.

No 177. — The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins — Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated

The defendant Joseph Robbins this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged—

No 177. — The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins — Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and the said defendant being before the Court in his own proper person. And thereupon came a Jury to wit., John W. Adams, Zara Lindsey, F. C. Bodkin, Alvin Dorner, George Turner, David Denklin, Samuel McAdow Regular Jurors— Isaac G. Grummons, George Edwards Thomas Wharton, Orlando Stewart tales Jurors, John Gibson. Regular Juror— who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths say, that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

No 177 — The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins — Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated

The defendant Joseph Robbins was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court here that he pay a fine of Fifty dollars and also the costs of this Prosecution taxed to $ and that the said Joseph Robbins be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law—

No 179 — The State of Ohio vs Miesse Burkebile — Indictment for gambling

The defendant Miesse Burkebile was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself

Wednesday February 12. 1873

for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged—

No 179. State of Ohio vs Miesse Burkebile } Indictment for Gambling

The defendant Miesse Burkebile this day being in his own proper person before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is therefore considered and adjudged that he pay his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars, and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $— and that the said Miesse Burkebile be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

No 181 The State of Ohio vs Miesse Burkebile } Indictment for stabbing with intent to kill

The defendant Miesse Burkebile this day being in his own proper person before the Court was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged, then came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit,, John W. Adams, Zara Lindsey, D. C. Bodkin, Alvin Sorrner, George Turner David Conklin Samuel McAdow Regular Jurors. Isaac J. Simmons George Edwards, Milton Thompson, Thomas Wharton Orlando Stewart, tales Jurors, who being duly impannaled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths say, That the defendant is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

No 174 The State of Ohio vs Charles Stultz } Indictment for furnishing intoxicating liquors to a minor—

The defendant Charles Stultz this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged—

The defendant Charles Stultz was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him— it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court here that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio of ten dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $— and that the said Charles Stultz be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

Addie F. Burnham vs Lucius A. Burnham } Divorce & Alimony

This day came the Parties in Person and by Attorney and submitted this cause to the Court on testimony and argument. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the Petitioner And that the defendant is guilty of the facts charged to wit,, of habitual drunkenes for three years, and of cruel treatment of the Plaintiff, and that she ought to be divorced and have the custody of her children and have reasonable alimony. Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that the marriage relation existing between the Parties be

Wednesday February 12. 1873

and the same is hereby dissolved. and the Plaintiff divorced from the defendant and both parties released from said marriage contract=
It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff have the custody. care. education and nurture of her children named in said Petition, subject however to the right of the defendant when sober and at proper times on request to call and see said children and talk with them, but not in any way to interfere with them=
It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant pay to the Plaintiff as her reasonable alimony for the support of herself and said children the sum of Three Thousand dollars with interest from this date as follows viz" Three Hundred dollars in thirty days. Three Hundred dollars and the interest on the same one year from this date. Three Hundred dollars each year from this date with the interest on the same from this date until the Three thousand principal as well as interest is all paid= These payments of principal and interest to be for the purpose of the support of said Plaintiff and Children. and in case of the death of either of said plaintiff or Children before payment. the balance of the same so unpaid shall be due the survivors= and in order to so secure the payment of said sums without trouble or risk the same is made a lien on the land of the defendant on which he resides and described in the Petition and French Garwood a judicious person friendly to both said parties is appointed a Trustee to receive and receipt for said sums of money and pay the same over to the Plaintiff as the same shall be collected. and said Trustee or in case of his death. the Trustee which may be appointed by the Court hereafter is authorized and required to cause execution to issue for any sum which may remain unpaid after it becomes due. and if he fail to do so on application of the plaintiff. she may require such execution to issue therefor= and in case said defendant shall prefer to pay the entire sum herein decreed against him as alimony the said Trustee is authorized to receive the same and discharge said lien and invest the money on Mortgage security in such manner that the Plaintiff may receive the amounts due her as before stated=
It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding in thirty days and in default thereof that Execution issue for the same= the payment of said Alimony shall also bar said Plaintiff of any contingent claim of Dower in said lands= the defendant gives notice of appeal and bond fixed at $600=

Maggie Payne
vs
W. W. Rosette

It appearing that H. C. Hamilton is interested in the lands Mortgaged leave is given him to enter his appearance which is here done and the cause continued with leave to H. C. Hamilton to file answer in thirty days

Maggie Payne
vs
W. W. Rosette and S. Walker

this cause is continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

X No 1
X No 2
X No 3
X No 4
X No 5
X No 6
X No 9
X No 12
X No 13
X No 14
X No 15
/ No 7
X No 39
X No 102
X No 107
X No 119
X No 14
/ No 15
/ No 15

Thursday February 13. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

x No 1 State of Ohio vs James Green & Continued for sentence

x No 2 State of Ohio vs Ellen Long & Continued for sentence

x No 3 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden & Continued for sentence

x No 4 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden & Continued for sentence

x No 5 State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden & Continued for sentence

x No 6 State of Ohio vs William Weber & Continued for sentence

x No 9 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

x No 12 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

x No 13 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

x No 14 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

x No 15 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

/ No 7 State of Ohio. vs Henry Marshall } Indictment for Retailing intoxicating Liquors

The defendant Henry Marshall was this day arraigned before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court here that he pay a fine of Fifty dollars to the State of Ohio and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $— And that the said Henry Marshall be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law—

x No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price & Continued for sentence

x No 102 State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole & Continued

x No 107 State of Ohio vs John A. Coleman } Indictment for Grand Larceny

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard and by consent of all parties concerned this cause was continued—

x No 119 State of Ohio vs Wallace Linder and Samuel Stout & Continued

x No 145 State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued

/ No 151 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Left off Docket by order of Court

/ No 152 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Left off Docket by order of Court

No 153	State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Left off Docket by order of Court
No 154	State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall & Left off Docket by order of Court
No 155	State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper & Angeline Simmers & Continued
No 156	State of Ohio vs James McNeal & Continued
No 157	State of Ohio vs Asa Clark & James Clark & Continued
No 160	State of Ohio vs Peter Gray & Left off Docket by order of Court
No 161	State of Ohio vs Peter Gray & Left off Docket by order of Court
No 162	State of Ohio vs Peter Gray & Left off Docket by order of Court
No 166	State of Ohio vs John Sidle & Continued -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Bleds Judge

Friday February 14. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Aaron Brown
vs
John Ford & Nancy Ford

This day came the Parties with their Attorneys, and the defendant John Ford had leave to withdraw his original answer herein filed, and thereupon said defendants waived a reply to the amended answer and by agreement of the Parties Plaintiff and Defendant the amount of set off and counter claim that defendant is entitled to have against the Note and Mortgage in the Petition described is seventy dollars which sum of seventy dollars is to be deducted from the said Note as of the time the same was due, and that said Plaintiff is entitled to recover the balance of said Note to wit: the sum of one hundred and fifty five dollars ($155.00) with the interest thereon from the 19th day of March 1872. to wit: the amount principal and interest one Hundred and sixty three 29/100 dollars and that the same is a lien upon the premises in the Petition described, which agreement of Parties is reported to and in all things approved and confirmed by the Court - and the Court do thereupon find that there is due to said Plaintiff on the Note and Mortgage in said Petition described the sum of one hundred and sixty three dollars and twenty nine cents -
It is therefore considered and decreed by the Court there that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant John W. Ford the said sum of one hundred and sixty three dollars 29/100 the sum so as aforesaid found due together with his costs taxed to $ - and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant John W. Ford fail for ten days from this date to

Friday February 14, 1873

pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of one Hundred and sixty three & 22/100 dollars the sum so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit and order issue to the Sheriff of said County who is appointed a special commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be duly appraised advertised and sold according to law, and to apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit.

X Samuel Little vs William W. Welsh } This cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant to strike out irrelevant and redundant matter from the reply of the Plaintiff, and was argued by counsel in consideration whereof the Court do sustain said motion, to which ruling and judgment of the Court the Plaintiff by his counsel excepts

X Samuel Little vs William W. Welsh } This cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the Plaintiff to strike out irrelevant and redundant matter from the answer of defendant and to compel defendant to separately state and number his defenses and to make his answer more definite and certain, and was argued by counsel in consideration whereof the Court do overrule said motion, to which ruling and judgment of the Court the Plaintiff by his counsel excepts

✓ Susanah Moses vs Samuel W. Moses } Pet. for Divorce

And now comes the said Susanah Moses by J. L. Cameron and J. M. Kennedy her Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the Petition and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find that the defendant was duly served by summons of the filing and pendency of this Petition according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been wilfully absent from the said Petitioner more than three years prior to the filing of said Petition. It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation here before existing between the said Parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said Parties wholly released from the obligations of the same. And it is further ordered that the said complainant be and she hereby is restored to her maiden name of Susanah Landon, and that she pay the costs of this suit.

X Samuel Little vs William W. Welsh } This day came Samuel Little the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the defendant William W. Welsh by his Attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit, Zara Lindsley, D. C. Bodkin, Alvin Downer, George Turner, David Lonkhin, Samuel McFadon, John Gibson Regular Jurors, Isaac F. Summons, F. D. Clement Thomas Wharton, Orlando Stewart, William Thompson tales Jurors, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truths to speak and a true verdict give upon the issue joined between the Parties according to law and evidence, do upon their oaths say, that they find for the Plaintiff Samuel Little upon the issue joined and assess his damages at $116.22 less $20.00. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiff Samuel Little recover against the said defendant William W. Welsh the sum of $96.17 his damages so as aforesaid found

Friday February 14th 1873

due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff. and also his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed $
Thereupon William M Walsh the defendant by his Attorney demanded a second trial which was allowed by the Court upon a bond being given by said defendant according to law in the sum of one Hundred and fifty dollars—

X R. D. Finley
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Railway Company

By consent of parties Plaintiff has thirty days from the rising of this Court to reform and amend his Petition
And by consent of Parties this Cause is continued

John F. Kilberry
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

This day this Cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff and the answer of defendant, and neither party desiring a jury this cause was by consent of said Parties submitted to the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and on hearing the evidence do find for the Plaintiff, and that by reason of the several causes and matters set forth in Plaintiffs Petition, the Plaintiff is damaged in the sum of Two Hundred dollars. It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of Two Hundred dollars, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

James W. Robinson
vs
Benoni P. Hildreth
Cognovit

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff and the answer of John L. Foster one of the Attorneys of this Court who appeared in open Court in behalf of the said Benoni P. Hildreth, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Benoni P. Hildreth and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process upon said Benoni P. Hildreth defendant, and acknowledged that the said defendant owes the said Plaintiff the sum of Eight Hundred and two $ 00/100 dollars on the Note in the Petition mentioned. and attached thereto, as alleged in the Petition and consented that judgment be entered in favor of said Plaintiff against said defendant for said sum and for costs
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of $ 802 00/100 so confessed to be due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are released by the said defendant— Interest eight per cent—

James Fullington, Charles Phillis, and W. W. Woods
Partners in business under the firm name of
Fullington, Phillis and Woods, Plaintiffs
vs
Eli Ward and Newton Lease, Defendants

This cause came on to be heard upon the

Petition of the Plaintiffs against the said Eli Ward and Newton Care defendants herein filed on Yesterday and thereupon J. M. Kennedy one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of said Eli Ward and Newton Care, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Eli Ward and Newton Care and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said Eli Ward and Newton Care defendants and acknowledged that the said defendants do owe the said Plaintiffs the sum of Five Hundred and eighty six & 21/100 Dollars, on the Note in the Petition mentioned, and attached thereto as alleged in the Petition and consented that judgment be entered in favor of said Plaintiffs, against said defendants, for said sum and for costs. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Plaintiffs recover of said defendants said sum of $586.21 so confessed to be due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $—
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are released by the said defendants— The Court finds that the Note sued on calls for eight per cent interest after it became due— and that said Eli Ward is Principal and said Newton Care is surety on said Note and it is ordered that Execution issue accordingly

X D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight 2 Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

R. B. Cole Judge

Saturday February 15, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday

✓ Bank of Richwood vs Charles Baldwin } On Cognovit

This day came the Richwood Bank by its Attorneys and files its Petition against the said Charles Baldwin, and thereupon L. Piper one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of said Charles Baldwin, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court, and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process, and confessed that the said Charles Baldwin do owe to the said Plaintiff the sum of Four Hundred and thirty six & 25/100 dollars, as the Plaintiff has claimed in its Petition, and confesses judgment therefor—
It is therefore considered that the said Bank of Richwood recover of the said Charles Baldwin said sum of Four Hundred and thirty six & 25/100 dollars so confessed to be due and also its costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by the said Charles Baldwin

✓ Eliza M. Foreman by her next friend R. B. Foreman vs Mary R. Neal and Hiram G. Burnham Administrator of James H. Neal deceased } No 1260

This day came the Parties Plaintiff and Defendants

Saturday February 15, 1873

and submitted this cause to the Court upon the issues joined between the Plaintiff and defendant Mary R. Neal (the said Administrator being in default for answer) and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the Plaintiff as to the said Hiram G. Burnham as Administrator. and find there is due Plaintiff from said estate on said Note the sum of Eleven Hundred and Thirty three and one third dollars, but the Court further find for the defendant Mary R. Neal and against the Plaintiff as to the issues joined between them - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said defendant Hiram G. Burnham, as Administrator as aforesaid, the said sum of Eleven Hundred and thirty three and one third dollars due on said Note together with the costs herein expended and not made on the issues between the Plaintiff and Mary R. Neal - It is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that no cause of action is sustained against the said Mary R. Neal and that She go hence without day and recover of Plaintiff her costs herein expended taxed to $\frac{1}{2}$
Thereupon Plaintiff demanded a second trial under the statute which is overruled. to which Plaintiff excepts and thereupon Plaintiff gave notice of Appeal and the Court fix the Appeal bond at $150.00

1256

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

This day on motion to the Court the Plaintiff has leave to amend his Petition which is accordingly done

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

This day this cause came on to be heard when the demurer to the second ground of defendants Answer, the same having been ~~Answered~~ argued by counsel and fully considered by the Court the said demurer by the Court is sustained to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepted

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

The Plaintiff this day by leave of the Court and without the ~~leave~~ consent of defendant amended his Petition by interlining. the interlining was by consent of Court in sixth cause of action and between the 39th and 40th lines in said cause and in the following words to wit:- while in the employment of the defendant - and thereupon the defendant moved the Court for leave to have the same time to answer said amended Petition as to an original Petition - which motion of defendant the Court overruled and refused to allow said time

Edward Ball & Company
vs
Hiram Norval et al
Cognovit

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff and the answer of John L. Porter one of the Attorneys of this Court who appeared in open Court in behalf of the said Hiram Norval Michael Brake Jr S. J. Hill and Michael Brake sr. and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Hiram Norval Michael Brake Jr, S. J. Hill and Michael Brake sr. and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process upon said Hiram Norval Michael Brake Jr

Saturday February 15: 1873

S. J. Hill and Michael Brake Sr, defendants and acknowledged that the said defendants owe the said Plaintiff the sum of one Hundred sixty four & 25/100 Dollars on the Note in the Petition mentioned and attached thereto as alleged in the Petition and consented that Judgment be entered in favor of said Plaintiffs and against said defendants for said sum and costs in said cause—

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court here that said Plaintiffs recover of said defendants the said sum of $164.25 so confessed to be due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $— and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this Judgment are released by said defendants

1256 James Hullington. Charles Phellis. and W. W. Woods. Partners in business under the firm name of Hullington. Phellis & Woods vs Jacob Mapes. Elizabeth Mapes his wife Josiah Stoffer. Amos Stoffer and David Mulford } Confirmation P. 130 Ex H. Doc 1462

The Court this day carefully examined the proceedings and sale of the Sheriff upon an order of sale issued in this case by the Clerk on the 13th day of November 1872. as required by law. and is satisfied of the legality of said sale. and that the same was in all respects made in conformity to the: and the Court does order the Sheriff to make to John A. Donnell. the Assignee of the purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold— And on motion to the Court to the Court for an order distributing the proceeds arising from said sale— It is ordered by the Court to be distributed as follows. 1st that the Sheriff pay the costs of this proceeding amounting to $— 2d that he pay the taxes now a lien on said premises in the sum of $— 3d that he pay to David Mulford in full for his mortgage lien the sum of $277.25 and that upon such payment the said Mulford releare his said Mortgage 4th that he pay the residue arising from said sale—it being the sum of $ to the Plaintiffs— Hullington. Phellis and Woods— and it is considered and ordered by the Court that said Plaintiffs have award of execution on their Judgment heretofore rendered in this case. for the balance due them—

× Alonzo Teeters vs Ralph Moffitt & Elizabeth Moffitt & Continued

× Benjamin Chance vs Orin Beem & Continued

× William Parthemore vs Chloe A. Parthemore & Continued

× Thomas C. Bowen Admr &c vs J. G. Miller et. al. & Continued

× J. W. Robinson vs James Cricket & Samuel Welsheimer & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

W. B. Doyle Judge

Monday February 17th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Saturday

Cyprus E. Fellon
vs
Isaac Staley Et. al.

This day came this cause on to be heard on the motion to confirm the sale made in this cause, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the sale and proceedings of the Sheriff have been in all respects according to law and do hereby confirm said sale and order the Sheriff to make to said purchaser according to law a deeds for the lands so sold in this case— and it is further ordered by the Court that out of said proceeds of said sale he pay the costs herein expended amounting to $32 23 together with the unpaid costs of George H. Foster against defendants $14 23 second he pay the amount of the decree in this case with interest— Third that he pay the last Note due Plaintiff described in said Mortgage and due October 1872, and amounting to $111 00 with interest from July 1st 1868— Fourth that he pay the taxes due on said lands amounting to $3.76 and Fifth the balance of the purchase money of said lands amounting to $133.33 he pay to the defendants and it appearing that said lands were the Property of Isaac Staley's wife (now deceased) and descended in land to the defendants, the children of his said wife, subject to the life estate of said Isaac Staley by the courtesy it is ordered by the Court that said balance be paid to said defendants in the manner following to wit: to Isaac Staley $141 66 and to each of his said children names in said Petition the sum of $17 65

$141.52

$133.33

Euphemia Dysert
vs
John Dysert Et. al.

No 1282

This day came the Plaintiff by her Attorneys and made proof of due notice to the defendants of the filing and pendency of this proceeding to the satisfaction of the Court, and no one of the defendants objecting this cause was submitted to the Court upon the Petition and the evidence submitted by the Parties to the Court— whereupon the Court do find all the allegations of the Petition to be true, and that it would be to the benefit of the Plaintiff and no injury to the defendants to sell said lands as prayed for
It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said lands be duly appraised, advertised and sold on the terms following to wit: one third cash in hand, one third in one year and one third in two years from the day of sale with interest to be secured by Mortgage on the land, and the Court appoint John E. Price the Sheriff of this County to carry into effect this order and Judgment by appraising said lands and advertising and selling said land on said terms and report his proceedings to this Court at its next term— and the Court find that Frank Brown has paid the taxes on said land for the year 1870 & 1871, and in 1872 amounting with proper interest and penalties to the sum of $287 07 and that he has sold timber off the said lands amounting to $26 95 leaving due him on that account the sum of Two hundred and sixty $1 12/100 Dollars which is a lien on said lands and should be paid out of said purchase money, and there are taxes due on said land unpaid $25 26 and a Pike Assessment of $39 16 which also is a lien on said land—
All questions of distribution and reinvestment are continued—

Wm C. Dynes
vs
Ella M. Dynes, Lotta Dynes and Nannie Dynes

No 1231— Eq. Ct. No 1480

P 142

This day came on this cause

to be heard on the motion to confirm the sale made herein and distribute the proceeds of sale, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said sale in all respects regular and lawful and do confirm and approve said sale and order M. C. Lawrence hereinbefore appointed special master, to make a deed in fee simple for the land so sold - and the Court further order said M. C. Lawrence after paying the costs herein including his fee of $15.00 and an Attorney fee of $20.00 to Robinson and Piper, he pay the balance of the entire purchase money together with the Mortgage for deferred payments to the Plaintiff Wm C. Dynes upon his giving his Mortgage signed by his wife on his interest in the Property on which Providence Dynes lives, Jacob Leonard as surety for Dynes also guaranteeing the payment of the same - the said Mortgage being to the children and Heirs of said Wm C. Dynes, conditional that he will pay to them the said purchase money without interest at and upon the date of his death

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 2 oclock tomorrow

P T Bledsoe Judge

Tuesday February 18, 1873

This day the Court met at 2 oclock pursuant to adjournment - Hon James MacKenzie Presiding - balance of Officers same as on yesterday

Mary J McMillen
vs
Emily A McMillen et al } In Dower

On motion to the Court by Porter and Stirling counsel for the Plaintiff, and upon producing the Sheriffs return and the assignment of dower herein under the former order of this Court, and the same being found by the Court to be in all respects in conformity to law - It is ordered that the said proceedings and assignment of dower be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and that the said Mary J McMillen hold and possess the lands so assigned, as and for her reasonable Dower in and to the said premises; and it is further ordered that a writ of seizen issue to the said Sheriff, commanding him to deliver to said Plaintiff full possession of the premises assigned to her as aforesaid, and it is further ordered that the said defendants in ten days from the rendition of this decree pay to said Plaintiff the sum of Twenty three 33/100 Dollars the one third of the yearly value of said real estate from the 21st day of October 1872, to the seventh day of February 1873 and also that the costs of this suit taxed at $ — be paid one third by the Plaintiff and the remaining two thirds by said defendants within ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law

Jacob Leonard
vs
David A Williams et al } No 1107

This day came the Plaintiff and the defendants. H. T. Raymond and Elisha Calendar Administrater of the estate of Levi Kirk deceased and submitted this cause to the Court upon the issues joined between the Parties - neither party demanding a Jury. Whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the Plaintiff and that there is due him from the defendants (D. A. Williams not being found)

Tuesday February 18, 1873

aforesaid, the sum of Twelve Hundred and Ninety one 8 Ee/100 dollars as claimed in his Petition. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said A. J. Raymond and of the said Administrator of the said estate of Levi Kirk the said sum of Twelve Hundred Ninety one 8 Ee/100 dollars and costs herein expended taxed to $
and by agreement of Parties aforesaid, the said Plaintiff is to remit one Hundred and sixteen 8 Ee/100 dollars from said Judgment, if within thirty days from this date the said defendants shall secure the payment of the balance of said Judgment with interest to the Plaintiff.

Farmers Bank Union County
vs
William D. Enoch & John Enoch } Replevin

And now comes the said Plaintiffs by its Attorneys and files its Petition against the said William D. Enoch and John Enoch, and thereupon R. L. Woodburn one of the Attorneys of record of this Court in behalf of the said William D. Enoch and John Enoch and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said William D. Enoch and John Enoch does owe to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $1269.17 as the said Plaintiff hath in his said Petition set forth. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the said Farmers Bank of Union County do recover of the said William D. Enoch and John Enoch the said sum of $1269.17 so confessed to be due and also its costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal waived by the said defendants

William Parthemore
vs
Chloe A. Parthemore } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of defendant to the Petition of Plaintiff and was argued by counsel. In consideration whereof the Court sustain said demurer and the Plaintiff not asking leave to amend his said Petition, the Court dismiss said action at the costs of Plaintiff. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff her costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Elijah Witter } No 1090 P 74
vs
David Watson et al } Confirmation of sale

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the sale herein made, and no one objecting; and the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find the proceedings and sale to be in all respects regular and lawful, and do approve and confirm the same, and order the Sheriff of the County of Union Ohio, John C. Price, the term of office of Robert Sharp former Sheriff having expired, to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the land so sold to him, and as to all matters of distribution of the proceeds this cause is reserved for further order during this term.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

James Mackenzie
Judge

Wednesday February 19. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

James Fullington, Charles Phillis & W.W. Woods Partners under the firm name of Fullington, Phillis & Woods vs William D. Enoch & John Enoch } cognovit

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney and filed their Petition against the said Wm D. Enoch and John Enoch defendants- and thereupon James W. Robinson- one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said William D. Enoch and John Enoch; and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon the said defendants and confessed that the said William D. Enoch and John Enoch do owe the said Plaintiffs the sum of $ 5.27 1/2 at this date- as Plaintiffs have alleged in their Petition- and he also consented that judgment be entered against the defendants for said sum and for costs

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants William D. Enoch and John Enoch said sum of five Hundred Twenty seven & 12/100 dollars- so confessed to be due- and also their costs herein expended- And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released by the said defendants- the Court finds that the Note sued on bears interest at 8 per cent until paid and orders that the judgment bear interest at that rate until paid

Jacob C. Sidle vs D. H. Parsons et al. } No 1088

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion of defendants for continuance- whereupon this cause is continued with leave to file reply in 40 days- by Plaintiff

John Joliff vs D. H. Parsons et al. }

This cause is continued with leave to Plaintiff to file reply in 40 days—

Kellen Bancroft & Co- vs E. Hammond et al. } No 1139 P. 72 Confirmation of sale Ext. No 1394

This day came on this cause on motion to confirm the sale herein made to B. McElroy, whereupon the Court find said sale and proceedings regular and lawful and do approve and confirm the same And order said Sheriff to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the land so sold him, and the Court order that out of the proceeds of said sale he pay the costs herein made and second that he pay the taxes on the Lot sold- Third he pay the claim aforesaid of the Plaintiffs- and Fourth that he pay the claim of the defendant A.L. Scoville & Co for the sum herein before due them - and Fifth any balance that remain to the defendant Maddux Bro. & Co and as to the several matters of the remaining claims of the defendants this cause is continued with leave to all Parties to further plead in the premises—

Wednesday February 19th 1873

Allison. Smith & Johnson
vs
W. A. Gribble Et al.

This cause came on to be heard on the demurer of Solomon Hearly. one of the defendants: and the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said demurer, to which defendant excepts and asked leave to file answer this day- which motion is allowed

Thomas C. Simmons Admr. of William Speck deceased
vs
Rhoda Davies Et al. } On Demurer

This day this cause came on to be heard on the demurer of defendants to the amended Petition of Plaintiff and was argued by counsel. In consideration whereof the Court do overrule said demurer to which ruling and decission the defendants except- and thereupon the defendants asked for and obtained leave to answer said Petition in forty days And cause continued

Angus McDonald. Alexander Laughlin
and J. A. Saxton. Partners in busines
under the firm name of McDonald & Company
vs
Charles Martin

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of the Plaintiffs. the defendants being in default- and was submitted to the Court upon the Petition and exhibits by the Plaintiff- and the Court with the consent of the Plaintiffs (neither party requiring a jury) finds that there is due to the Plaintiffs. from the defendant on the promissory note in the Petition mentioned at this date the sum of one Hundred Ninety Nine & 26/100 dollars after deducting $20.00 paid Plaintiffs January 30th 1873- It is therefore considered Ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendant the sum of one Hundred Ninety Nine & 26/100 Dollars and also their costs herein expended taxed to ff

John P. Rice. Manning A. Goodwin. Pinckney. Walker and
Louis H. Tyler. Partners in Business under the firm name
and Style of. Rice Goodwin. Walker & Company
vs
William M. Cartmell and John Weidman-

This day this cause came on to be heard the defendants. William M. Cartmell and John Weidman being in default. and neither party requiring a Jury. this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiffs. upon the Petition and evidence- on consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due to the Plaintiffs from the defendants. William M. Cartmell and John Weidman at this date the sum of Five Hundred forty seven dollars and seven cents ($547cts)-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the said William M. Cartmell and John Weidman said sum of $547cts and also their costs herein expended taxed to ff

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

James Mackenzie
Judge

Thursday February 20th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday -

Othias M. Kennedy
vs
John R. Gabriel

now comes the said Plaintiff by his attorneys Cole and Kennedy and the said John R. Gabriel came not but made default although duly served by publication for six consecutive weeks in newspaper of general circulation - therefore it is ordered and adjudged that the said Othias M. Kennedy have his order of revival against the said defendant as prayed for in said Petition. and recover of the said John R. Gabriel the sum of $133 50 dollars. debt and costs taxed at $

And also is further ordered that James Gabriel in the above action who was duly notified appeared and was examined by said Court. pay into said Court said sum of $133 50 judgment and costs $- the amount due the Plaintiff in this action. said garnishee having disclosed in his answers to said Court that he owes said John R. Gabriel as executor of the last will of Richard Gabriel the sum of $300 and in default of said payment within ten days from the date of this order than said Plaintiff to have execution therefor against the said James Gabriel for said sum aforesaid -

Ralph Minter
vs
Henry W. Merriott
John Herriman Et. al.

No 1311

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the Petition to be all true. and that of the Mortgage Note in said Petition described as becoming due January 1st 1873, for $866 66/100 the sum of $257 98/100 with interest from April 1st 1872. is the Property of said John Herriman and the sum of $608 68/100 with interest from April 1st 1872, and the other two Notes and the Mortgage is the Property of Charles Mitchell. It is therfore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the said Henry W. Merriott the said sum of six Hundred and eight 68/100 dollars with $41 32/100 interest amounting to $650 00 together with costs of suit taxed to $- And it appearing that since the commencement of this suit that the defendant Merriott has paid to said Harriman the sum of $ it is ordered on payment of the balance due him that said Harriman deliver to him said Note and in default thereof this decree shall operate as such - And it is further ordered that if said Marriott shall for ten days fail to pay Plaintiff said sum due him and costs. that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the land in said Petition described to satisfy said claim and report to this Court

Allison. Smith and Johnson
vs
W. H. Gribble & others

This day came the Parties and submitted this cause to the Court. neither party demanding a Jury And thereupon said Saul Hearley withdrew his claim upon said Property and the Court do therefore perpetuate the injunction Herein before made as to him. and the Court find the allegations of the Petition to be true and that Wm H. Gribble hath forfeited his right of possession of said Property and failing to redeem the same by payment of said Note, the right of his said sureties J. B. Cole and D. W. Ayers in said Property is declared absolute - Thereupon the said Parties by agreement

settle the matters and things involved in said proceedings by said Ayers and Cole selling to W. A. Conklin all of said Property in consideration that said Conklin has executed this day to said Plaintiffs his nine promissory notes for $1310. secured by Mortgage on said Property, and the defendants all to be released from their obligation on the notes described in the Petition, and by agreement the said J. B. Cole and D. W. Ayers are to pay the costs herein expended taxed to $—
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that all of said agreement be confirmed, and the Plaintiffs recover of the said J. B. Cole and D. W. Ayers the costs herein expended taxed to $

Albert Galloway
vs
David Mulford

And now comes the said Albert Galloway in person, and the said David Mulford defendant, failing to answer or demur to said Petition. It is considered that the said Plaintiff is entitled on account of the money due him in the premises, and the Court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said Plaintiff this 20th day of February 1873. on the Notes and Mortgage in said Petition described, the sum of ten Thousand Nine Hundred and four dollars and sixty seven cents.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of Ten Thousand Nine Hundred and four dollars and sixty seven cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $—
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of Ten Thousand Nine Hundred and four Dollars and sixty seven cents so as aforesaid found to be due, with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands in said Petition described (except the fifty acres in survey No 5166 sold and conveyed to John, Joseph and Henry Kahler, therein described as excepted) to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said Sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

James MacKenzie
Judge

February 21. 1873. Friday

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

James M. Andrews
vs
A. William Miller
} confirmation

P 65

The Court this day on motion of J. B. Cole Attorney for Plaintiff having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the Sale made by him upon the execution issued in this case and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provision of the statute in such case made and provided do order the Clerk to make

Friday February 21. 1873

an entry on the Journal that the court are satisfied of the legality of the sale and that the same is approved and confirmed. and it appearing that said land was sold by a former Sheriff. It is therefore ordered that the present Sheriff do make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold. it appearing that the sale was made by a former Sheriff: all which is entered accordingly

Samuel Taylor and Wife
Rosanah Taylor
vs
James L. Mahaffey Et al. } No 1123

This day came on this cause to be heard on the Pleadings and evidence submitted by the Parties. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendants upon the issues joined - and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Petition of Plaintiffs be and the same is hereby dismissed at Plaintiffs costs - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants recover of the Plaintiffs their costs herein expended taxed to $
Plaintiffs gave notice of appeal and the Court fix the appeal Bond at $300.00

George N. Snickey by Samuel
Snow his Guardian
vs
John Snickey Et al. } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling counsel for the Petitioner. and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff. and the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed. and the same being examined by the Court and found in all respects in conformity to law It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed - and thereupon neither of the Parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners: on motion of the Petitioner it is ordered that said estate be sold at public auction by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to the statute in such case made and provided

No 170 State of Ohio vs Moses Blake & Continued

No 171 State of Ohio vs Moses Blake & Continued

No 175 State of Ohio vs Richard Cody & Continued

No 178 State of Ohio vs Elliott Cartmell, William Cody & Richard Cody & Continued

179 State of Ohio vs Richard Cody & Continued

No 180 State of Ohio vs Chandler Brown & Continued

State of Ohio
vs
Elliott Cartmell. William Cody. Elon Rogers
Jeremiah Norris. Israel Nicholson Marion
Roberts Stephen Slack and Charles Bowen } Destroying vegetables

The defendants Elliott Cartmell William Cody, Elon Rogers, Jeremiah Norris. Israel Nicholson. Marion Roberts Stephen Slack, Charles Bowen, this day were arraigned and the indictment

Friday February 21st 1873

being read to them were asked how of the premises they would acquit themselves for plea say, they are guilty in manner and form as they stand charged- whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court here, that the said defendants shall each pay a fine of one dollars and his proportion of the costs in the prosecution taxed to ff and that they the said defendants each be imprisoned in the jail in this County until said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid & they be otherwise discharged according to law-

No 1090

Elijah Witter exr &c
vs
David Watson & others

74-173
Eq H. No 1393

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion of the Partis to distribute the proceeds of the sale made herein and already confirmed at this term- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the purchaser Thomas Stillings by agreement with David Watson by consent of all Parties hath agreed to pay for the 15¼ acres adjoining the 398 acres sold by the Sheriff herein and the proceeds of the same to go into the common fund for distribution in this order. and the Court find the entire purchase money for the entire 413¼ acres to be ff 2646 25 and the Court further find that the Plaintiff has received the sum of ff 35.80- in full of the amount due him and there has been paid to the Citizens National Bank of Urbana Fifteen Hundred dollars in full satisfaction of the amount due said Bank. also that there remain in the hands of Thomas Stillings for the benefit of W. T. Watson, D. B. Watson, K. T. Watson, Eliza Watson and Richard Watson the sum of Two Thousand and thirty dollars on which said Stillings has agreed to pay eight per cent interest from the first day of April 1872, to be in satisfaction of the Mortgage they held on said land- when paid- the said children to draw their share as they become of age- and Stillings pay the interest as it accrues annually to David Watson their Guardian- Total already paid out of seventy one Hundred and ten dollars. ~~paid~~ all of which is approved being prior liens to all others- The Court further find that Matilda Park hath an annuity of three Hundred dollars per Year during her life secured by Mortgage on said lands for her dower right- which she released in another farm and by consent of all Parties by agreement of David Watson and Emily A. Watson with Thomas Stillings ~~in which~~ in consideration of a releare on their part of all contingent right ~~and~~ or dower and Homestead claim in all of said lands and releasing all right- in said 15¼ acres- the sum of three thousand dollars are to be left- in the hands of said Stillings and he is to pay said annuity to said Matilda Parks annually from the first day of April 1872, and the principal of said three thousand dollars to be the Property of said David and Emily A. Watson at the death of said Matilda Parks all of which is approved by the Court- said Stillings is to give his note for said ff 3000, payable at the death of said Parks- and by like agreement said Stillings is to pay into the fund for distribution the sum of Eight Hundred and six dollars and twenty cents as interest since the sale made as aforesaid which is to be added to said ff 2646 25 from which said sums paid and ff 3000, leaves ff 1715.45 to be distributed as follows and which the Court order to be paid out of said ff 1715.45 as follows- First- the costs of this proceeding- Second- the taxes on said land including the payment due June 20th 1872 and including the Pike assessment due June 20 1872, and tax on said 15¼ acres-
Third- the tax claimed as in the answer of W. H. Woods but excepting the

Friday February 21st 1873

charge of penalty amounting to $ Fourth the amount of the ~~account~~ annuity due to Matilda Park on the first day of April 1872. being $ 773.25 Fifth the Mortgage claim on said lands of Jacob Leonard amounting to $ 4660.24 sixth- that there be paid to the Champaign National Bank of Urbana the sum of Twenty six Hundred and forty five dollars in full satisfaction of the claim of said Bank against said Watson on the judgment levied on said lands and which by agreement is to be a full release of said Watson on account of said judgment- seventh- that there be paid to Henry Weaver in satisfaction of his claim and judgment levied on said lands the sum of $ 3401.50 and $ 16.34/100 costs on said judgment- eighth- that there be paid to J.W. Robinson the sum of $ 75.25 dollars balance of a judgment & costs levied on said lands-
Ninth- that there be paid to J.W. Robinson the sum of $ 440.32/100 in satisfaction of the judgment & costs of N.A. Gilbert owned by said Robinson levied on said lands Tenth- that there be paid to W.W. Morris and Charles Hullinger the sum of $ 1400- and $ 51.57/100 costs in satisfaction of the judgment set up in their answer- eleventh- that there be $ paid to the Farmers Bank of Marysville being the amount yet due thereon deducting all excep over eight per cent interest since the money was borrowed, and deducting the payments already made thereon to be in full satisfaction of their judgment against said Watson and Williams- Twelfth that there be $ 2005.50 paid to Hullinger Phelps and Woods in full satisfaction of their judgment and $ 3.50 costs in said Judgment-
And it is ordered that said claims be paid in the order as above numbered that being the order in which the liens attached upon said lands, and it is ordered further that if any balance remains after paying the above named claims then such balance is to be paid to said Watson

Andrew H. Freeman
vs
Charles E. Freeman Et al.

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to redocket this cause, whereupon the Court order to same docketed and thereupon leave was granted to the defendants Freeman to file their crop Petition which they did in statter, thereupon it is ordered that new summons issue to the defendants occupying the lands described in the Plaintiffs Petition notifying them of the filing of said crop Petition. and the sale hereinbefore made is set aside and cause continued-

John W. Howard
vs
John H. Thickey Admr &c
} no 1294

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard, and the defendant asked leave of the Court to withdraw his demurer and file his answer- whereupon the Plaintiff took leave to amend his Petition within thirty days from this 4th day of February 1873,

William Gabriel
vs
D. J. Marsh & company
} continued at defendants costs at the request of defendants- leave to Pft to answer in 40 days

William Robertson
vs
Christopher Stultz
} continued at defendants request at his costs

Friday February 21st 1873,

P. B. Cole afsignee of Williams and Bennett
vs
H. T. Raymond and Levi Kirk

On motion to the Court and by consent of Parties this cause is referred to M. C. Lawrence Esq. to take evidence and report as to the value of the Property described in the Petition, and find and report upon the law and facts, and report his proceedings to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued

Philander B. Cole afsignee of Williams and Bennett
vs
Trueman M. Kimball

Eq H. No 1398
Aug 29-1873-Sept-11-1873

This day came the Plaintiff and by consent of Parties and leave of the Court as to all of the articles described in his Petition except the cattle, dismiped his suit without prejudice to another action therefor. and thereupon came the Parties by their Attorneys and by consent as well of the Parties as of the Court, this cause was submitted to the Court both parties waiving a Jury. and the Court having heard the evidence and argument of counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises finds as follows.
First. That the cattle in the Petition of the Plaintiff described were at the commencement of this action the Property of the Plaintiff in manner and form as alleged in his Petition in this cause. second. That the defendant Trueman M. Kimball did convert said cattle to his own use as the Plaintiff claimed in his said Petition. and the Court by reason of the premises do assess the damages of the said Plaintiff to the sum of Two thousand Two Hundred and eighty two Dollars. therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff as afsignee of David A. Williams and George F. Bennett do recover of the said defendant Trueman M. Kimball the said sum of Two thousand Two Hundred and eighty two dollars for his damages as aforesaid afsessed and also his costs herein expended taxed to ff—

Ebenezer Healy vs William H. Wilson Dwlt. Continued by agreement

John S. Dillon vs Simeon J. Sturlin Dwlt. Continued

Sarah J. Cartmell vs Fullington. Phillis & Woods Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

William C. Piper Dwlt. vs James Gabriel Dwlt. This day this cause was submitted on demurer and by the consent of all parties interested it is agreed that Judge Mackenzie may return his decission in writing by the first day of the next Term of this Court.

James Mackenzie
Judge

Saturday February 22nd 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment Hon. P. B. Cole. Presiding. balance of officers same as on yesterday

George W. Gill
vs
C. H. Bliss

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff the defendant still failing to answer or demurer to Plaintiffs Petition. and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due Plaintiff from said defendant the sum of Four Hundred and thirty four & 00/100 dollars as the Plaintiff hath in his Petition demanded.
It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of Four Hundred and thirty four & 00/100 dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Alford H. Reed and
Adelia J. Reed
vs
Sarah P. Lee et al.

In Partition

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the report of the commissioners heretofore appointed - whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said proceedings to be in all respects regular and lawful and the Court do order the same to be confirmed and that Elizabeth Gabriel stand endowed of the Lot No 10. of the Plat as her dower in all of said lands to hold during her lifetime.
It is further ordered that William Gabriel stand seized in fee simple and hold in severalty as his share of said lands the Lots set off to him in said report to wit. No 6. No 8. No 1. No 7. and No 3. - it is further ordered that the said Sarah P. Lee Adlia J. Reed. and Ada M. Reed stand seized in fee and hold in severalty the said Lots No 5. No 4. No. 9. and No 2. unincumbered of dower. and also of Lot No 10 subject to said dower - and it appearing that said Lots so set off to said Sarah P. Lee. Adelia J. Reed and Ada M. Reed cannot be divided between them without injury as reported by said commissioners. and have made their valuation as set forth in said report. the Court approve said valuation and confirm the same - and said Sarah P. Lee electing to take said Lot No 9. at its valuation and the half of said Lot No 2 at its valuation and no one objecting the Court order that she stand seized of said premises in fee simple and that she execute her Mortgage to Ada M. Reed for the sum of $183 75/100 the amount due her out of the value of said Lots taken by said Sarah P. Lee And the said Adelia J. Reed electing to take said Lots No 5. and No 4. and the half of Lot No 2. at the appraised value thereof and no one objecting it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Adelia J. Reed stand seized in fee simple of said Lots so taken by her she giving her Mortgage to said Ada M. Reed for the sum of $3843 00/100 being the balance due her of the lands so Partitioned - and as to said Lot No 10. covered by said dower there being no valuation of said Lot it is left without division between them - It is further ordered that William Gabriel pay within ten days the one half of the costs of these proceedings including an attorney fee of $150 00/100 to Ayers and Woodburn who appeared for him and it is further ordered that the said Elizabeth Gabriel, Adelia J. Reed. Sarah P Lee and Ada M. Reed within ten days pay the other half of said costs including an attorney fee of $150 00/100 to Robinson and Piper

Edmund D. Smith vs Pittsburg
Cincinnati & St Louis Railway Company

This day came

Saturday February 22. 1873

the said Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Ezra Linsley. L. C. Bodkin, Alvin Downer, George Turner, David Conklin Samuel McAdow John Gibson Regular Jurors. Joseph Powers, Robert Graham, Orlando Stewart. Thomas Wharton, Isaac P. Summons tales Jurors. who were duly empannneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the Parties. And after hearing the testimony of witnesses and arguments of counsel. And charge of the Court. the Jury do find the following verdict. we the Jury agree to disagree. Whereupon by consent of Parties they were discharged from further consideration of said case.

Edmund D. Smith
vs
Pittsburg, Cincinnati & St Louis Railway Company } This day the Plaintiff asked leave to amend his Petition in the above action in thirty days which leave was granted by the Court And cause continued

Caroline Sherwood
vs
B. F. Beck & Caroline M. Beck } on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said Caroline Sherwood by Robinson and Ritter her Attorneys. and the said defendants still failing to answer or demurer to said Petition. It is considered that the said Plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due her in the premises. and the Court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to said Plaintiff from the said defendants. B. F. Beck and Caroline M. Beck in said Petition described the sum of Five Hundred four and 50/100 dollars— It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendants. the said sum of Five Hundred four and 50/100 dollars so as aforesaid found due and also her costs herein taxed to $—
and it is further ordered. adjudged and decreed that in case the said defendants B. F. Beck and Caroline M. Beck fail for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of $504 50/100 dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County for the time being as Special master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit. and that execution be awarded for the balance—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9. oclock Monday Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

No 172.

Monday February 24th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

Thompson Cole
vs
Samuel McCampbell

And now comes the said Parties by their Attorneys and thereupon the motion of the said Thompson Cole Plaintiff, came on to be heard and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof the Court find that the said defendant has so indefinitely stated the second cause of defense that it is difficult for the Court to understand its precise meaning and extent- it is therefore ordered that the said defendant correct his second cause of defense by more definitely and clearly stating the facts constituting his said second cause of defense- therefore the defendant took leave to amend his answer in 30 days and this cause was then continued-

Felix Elliott
vs
Johnson Jewell

No 1310

This day came the said Plaintiff by his Attorney and the said defendant still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition of Plaintiff and being in default this cause was submitted to the Court upon the Petition of said Plaintiff- exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel- on consideration whereof and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the several facts stated and allegations and averments made in said Petition are true and that said Plaintiff is entitled to relief which he claims by reason thereof- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the temporary injunction heretofore granted in this action be and the same is hereby made perpetual- and that the said defendant is hereby perpetually enjoined from moveing or interfering with the said buildings and Slaughter House mentioned in the Petition and from doing any of the acts in said temporary injunction forbidden- and it is further considered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff recover against the said defendant his costs in this suit- in this behalf expended taxed to $

Henry Muhr and Son
vs
A. H. McFarland

No 1303

And now comes the said Henry Muhr and Son and the said A. H. McFarland having failed to demurer or answer to the petition of the said Henry Muhr and Son it is considered that the said Henry Muhr and Son ought to recover the said sum of Three Hundred fifty six and 26/100 so demanded in his petition together with the sum of ten and 45/100 dollars which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition- It is therefore considered that the said Henry Muhr and Son recover against the said A. H. McFarland the sum of $366 71/100 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 172.

State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Robbins

Indictment for selling intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated
Forfeiture of recognizance-

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and upon his motion the said defendant was three times solemnly called to answer unto an indictment found against him for selling intoxicating Liquors to a person intoxicated- and came not but made default- and thereupon

Monday February 24th 1873

R. T. McAllister the security of the said Joseph Robbins being three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with him the body of the said Joseph Robbins to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do or that the same would be forfeited- and the said R. T. McAllister still failing to appear according to the condition of his said recognizance with the body of the said Joseph Robbins- It is therfore ordered by the Court that the same is hereby forfeited with a respite until next term-

No 182 State of Ohio vs William Cody } Complaint to Keep Peace-

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and upon his motion the said defendant was three times solemnly called to answer unto a complaint to keep the peace upon which said defendant was recognized to this Court- and came not but made default- and thereupon John Cody the security of the said William Cody being three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with him the body of the said William Cody to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do or that the same would be forfeited- and the said John Cody still failing to appear according to the condition of his said recognizance with the said William Cody- it is ordered by the Court that the same be and is hereby forfeited- with respite until next term-

State of Ohio vs John H. Coleman } Indictment for Grand Larceny

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and upon his motion the said defendant was three times solemnly called to answer unto an Indictment found against him for grand Larceny- and came not but made default- and thereupon Mary C. Coleman and William N. Coleman the security of the said John H Coleman being three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with them the body of the said John H Coleman to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do or that the same would be forfeited- and the said Mary C Coleman and William N. Coleman still failing to appear according to the condition of their said recognizance with the body of the said John H Coleman- it is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be and is hereby forfeited with respite until the next term of this court-

P. 146 No 1289 George Lindair vs Joseph A. Culbertson } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff, the answer of defendant and the reply of Plaintiff and the evidence introduced in the Case and was argued by Counsel- And the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that this action was heretofore to wit- on the day of December 1872 fully settled and adjusted between the Parties hereto- and therfore the Court by consent of Plaintiff do dismiss said action in accordance with the terms of said settlement at the costs of defendant- It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover of defendant his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Albert Galloway vs David Mulford } This day came on this cause to be

Monday February 24, 1873

heard on the motion of the defendant David Mulford to set aside judgment and decree rendered in this cause at this term- whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule the same. to which ruling and judgment of the Court the defendant David Mulford excepts-

1173 James Gumph vs Levi & Haser } Ex H. No 1396 aug 30-1875-

Now came on the motion of the defendant to set aside the verdict rendered in this cause at this term for reasons on file- whereupon the Court find the said verdict- should have been for a sum of not exceeding $100 25 whereupon the Plaintiff came and remitted all of the amount found due him by said verdict except- $100 25 whereupon the Court accept- the said remittur and overrule the motion for new trial- whereupon it- is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that Plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of one Hundred dollars and twenty five cents and his costs herein expended taxed to ff

R. T. McAllister vs The Commissioners of Union County Ind Ter } This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney J. B. Cole and the said defendants thereby duly notified and summoned came not but made default, this cause thereupon came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff the exhibits and testimony- on consideration whereof the Court do find that the Plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought- the injunction heretofore granted by the Probate Court of said County is made perpetual- and the defendants are hereby perpetually enjoined from any and all acts for the collection of the assessment so made on the Plaintiff for making the ditch referred to in the Petition- and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff do recover of the defendants his costs in and about this suit in this behalf expended taxed to ff

Frederick Melching vs Adam Sherwood } No 1246 This day came on this cause to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff there being no answer filed. and service being made by Publication the Court heard the evidence in the case and after which counsel argued- and submitted the case- whereupon the Court dismiped the case upon the grounds. that no legal service had been made. to which ruling counsel for the Plaintiff excepted

James Magahey vs J. C. Sidle and W. E. Tanner } No 1290 And now comes as well the Plaintiff as the said defendant. and thereupon this action came on for trial before the Court upon the issue joined between the Parties. on consideration whereof the Court do find that the said defendants W. E. Tanner Constable had the Authority to sell the said Property as spoke of in Plaintiffs Petition- It is therefore considered that the Injunction heretofore granted in this action be and the same is hereby dissolved. and it is further considered that the said defendants recover against the said Plaintiff his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to ff- To which ruling

Monday February 24. 1873

of the Court the Plaintiff by his Attorneys note an appeal and the bond is fixed at $ 100.00

Joseph A. Culbertson) No 1320
vs
George Sinclair)

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the Petition in error and record of Plaintiff. and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court upon consideration whereof the Court does find error in the proceedings and record of the said Justice of the Peace in the Court below. It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the said judgment rendered by Wm M. Wright Justice of the Peace as aforesaid be and the same is hereby reversed and set aside. and that the Plaintiff recover the costs that have accrued in the above action up to the time of reversal of said judgment. It is further ordered and adjudged that if said costs be not paid in thirty days from the rising of this Court that execution issue therefor. And that the said cause be retained by this Court for trial and final judgment. to all of which rulings and decissions of the Court the defendant excepted. and pray the Court to allow of his exceptions and make a part of the record of this case which is accordingly done.

Norton Scott) Injunction
vs
George Carey et al.)

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendants to dismiss the temporary injunction heretofore allowed in this case and was argued by counsel. In consideration whereof the Court overrule said motion. And thereupon the Plaintiff asked and obtained leave to amend his Petition in thirty days and cause continued.

No 1228 Job Fitzpatrick et al. Plaintiff
vs
Allen Haines. Ralph Minter & Delford Sharp. Defendants) P 154

Proceedings for relief under the occupying claimant law. This day came the said Parties by their Attorneys and the Jury having made return of their Appraisment and Valuation in the premises. And no good cause being shown against the same the said Appraisment and Valuation are on motion of the said Plaintiffs confirmed by the Court. And the said Jury having reported a sum in favor of the said defendants respectively on the lastings and valuable improvements after deducting therefrom all net rents &c the said Plaintiffs now have in Court elect to take the value of said lands without the improvements Appraised by said Jury as follows viz.. 75 Acres occupied by said Allen Haines at $ 1125.00
25 Acres occupied by said Ralph Minter at $ 350.00 and 3/4 of an acre occupied by said Delford Sharp at $ 8.00 and to surrender said land to the said defendants respectively. and to make deeds of General warranty to them It is further ordered that day be given to the Plaintiffs until the 7th day of September next to pay to the said Plaintiffs the said several sums of money with interest from the 7th day of March 1873. And upon default of full payment by said defendants respectively the said Plaintiffs upon Precipe filed for that purpose shall have their execution against said defendants respectively upon the judgment and proceedings in this action &c. and cause continued.

Mary M. Yearsley vs H. T. Knox. Margaret Knox et al. Settled.

Monday February 24, 1873

This day it was ordered by the Court that the sum of $118 be paid to John C. Price Sheriff for the purpose of paying his Deputies this February Term 1873 W. M. Liggett 18 Days $36.00 Robert Sharp 18 Days $36.00 Sol Hearley 18 Days $36.00 D. S. Johnson 5 Days $10.00 - John C. Price Sheriff appeared - P. B. Cole. Judge

✓ No 1111	Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams et al. ? Continued by agreement
✓ No 1292	Rebecca Howard et al. vs John Shirkey et al. ? Continued
✓ No 1283	Francis M. Gandy vs Abijah Gandy et al. ? settled
✓ No 1315	Benjamin Hartman vs N. W. Converse et al. ? settled in vacation
✓	Mathew Lingel and Martha Lingel his wife vs Elisha Hardy and John C. Price } In vacation Petition for an Injunction pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio

Bellefontaine Ohio April 8th 1873, In pursuance of a notice duly served according to law upon the plaintiffs herein that on this day at Bellefontaine aforesaid, the defendant Elisha Hardy made application to the Honorable P. B. Cole one of the Judges of said Court to dissolve said injunction heretofore granted in this case by the Probate Judge of Union County whereupon said motion came on before the said Hon. P. B. Cole and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof and the Court being fully advised in the premises does hereby dispolve said injunction, and it is further ordered that this order herein dispolving said injunction be entered by the Clerk of Union Common Pleas Court upon the journal thereof, and thereupon plaintiffs gave notice of their intention to appeal this cause to the District Court of Union County and the Court fixes the amount of the undertaking at $300 - The Clerk will enter the above order, P. B. Cole Judge

✓	Reuben W. Weisz vs James Megahey et al. ? Continued
✓	Jane O. N. Jones et al. vs Selina M. Hodge et al. ? Argued and submitted -
✓	John Wood Assignee &c vs Phineas Bartlette et al. ? Continued
✓ 1249	Paulina Smith vs Henry Smith ? Continued
✓	M. F. Stinates vs James R. Russell ? Argued and submitted
✓	Selina Spain vs Robert T. Spain ? Continued
✓	John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood ? submitted
✓	~~John~~ Susan Adams vs John Adams ? Continued
✓	Richard H. White vs Darius McIntire and Thomas Eubanks ? Continued -

Ira Belville and Rachel Belville
vs
Francis Draper and Ira Belville her Guardian } continued

John Nesbet Plaintiff
vs
Christina Nesbet Defendant } This day it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff had failed to pay the Alimony pendente lite heretofore ordered by the Court to be paid and that said plaintiff had failed to prosecute the suit - It is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that this cause be dismissed without prejudice at the costs of the plaintiff - and that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the sum of ($50.00) Fifty dollars heretofore ordered to be paid as Alimony pendente lite - and that execution be awarded therefor and for the costs of the case taxed to the sum of $

Jacob C. Sidle vs John Ramey } continued

Mary Haines Admx vs Henry Barth Admr } continued

ordered that all matters, causes and motions now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

A Sidle Judge

to 1358
to 1324
to 1365
to 1341
to 1335

May Term A.D. 1873

Monday May 5th A.D. 1873- This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 10 o'clock A.M. in pursuance of law- Present P.B. Cole Presiding Judge- Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney- John C. Price Sheriff and H.S. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand being called, some of them came to wit:- Henry Morse Joshua M. Keller, S.W.A. Durborow, Andrew Parker, M.W. Hill, W.A. Conkright, W.A. Plotner, J.E. Harriman, J.M. Hoskins, J.B. Hyde, Samuel Robinson, Samuel Myers And because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court, elected anew to wit:- S.A. Cherry, J.H. Clement, and Joseph Powers, good and lawful men whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided, the Court appoint the said W.A. Conkright Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room to deliberate: attended by a sworn constable-

✓ No 1356 Isaac Broderick vs Abram Baker & Richard Wells ? This cause is settled and costs paid- No record

✓ No 1324 R. Powell & Son vs Ralph Minter ? This cause is settled- No record

✓ No 1366 Miller, Spence & Joyce vs Howard S. Shaffer et al ? This cause is settled and costs paid- No record-

✓ No 1361 English, Miller & Co. vs Howard S. Shaffer et al ? This cause is settled and costs paid- No record

✓ No 1339 Moses Cooledge vs Francis Cooledge et al ? In Partition

On motion to the Court by Randall and Pudney Attorneys for plaintiff, it is ordered that by the oaths of A.S. Mowrey, Thomas Stillings and Nathan Howard- Partition be made of the said lands in said Petition described in the following proportions to wit:- To the Plaintiff Moses Cooledge one equal one seventh part- To the said Francis Cooledge one equal one seventh part, To the said Sarah Lincoln wife of Oliver Lincoln one equal one seventh part- To the said Mary Cranson wife of Louis Cranson one equal one seventh part- To the said Amanda Cooledge one equal one seventh part- To the said William Gabriel one equal one seventh part- To the said Dafney Webb wife of Dwight R. Webb one equal one seventh part- And it is further ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County Commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P.B. Cole Judge

Thursday May 6, 1873

This morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday -

No 1286

George N. Tickey by Samuel Grow his Guardian
vs
John Tickey et al. } In Partition

See Case Page 210

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling attorneys for the Petitioner, and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff, and the sale of the said premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of this court; and the same being examined and found by the Court in all respects in due form of law. It is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed. and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the said lands and tenements by him so sold as aforesaid -
and it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit, including an attorney fee to Porter and Sterling of $ be paid out of the said moneys in the hands of the Sheriff in the following proportions to wit, the said George N. Tickey one fourth part the said John Tickey one fourth part, the said Oliver C. Tickey one fourth part, and the said Rebecca Howard one fourth part, and that the said sheriff distribute the residue of said moneys between the said parties and in the aforesaid proportions - except $200 to be retained in the hands of the court until the further order of the court -

No 1356

Rupell and Company
vs
Eli Ward and Newton Case } ?

This day came the said Rupell and Company, and the said defendants, Eli Ward and Newton Case having failed to answer or demurer to the petition of plaintiffs, it is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover of said defendants the sum of Three hundred and forty 8/100 dollars as the plaintiffs in their petition have demanded - It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover of said defendants said sum of Three hundred and forty 8/100 dollars together with their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

John Sterling
vs
C. W. Rosette and Allen Lister } ?

This day comes the said John Sterling, and the said defendants C. W. Rosette and Allen Lister still failing to answer or demurer to the petition of the plaintiff. It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover of the said defendants the sum of Three hundred and thirty four dollars and fifty three cents, as the plaintiff hath in his petition demanded -
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of Three hundred and thirty four $ 53/100 dollars together with his costs in this behalf expended and taxed at $ -

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati & St Louis Railway Company } ?

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant to require the statement in said petition - immediately following the close of the 6th cause of action, and between that and the prayer of said petition and number 7 therein to be stricken from said petition - or to have the plaintiff elect upon

whether he would go to trial upon his first five causes of action for the killing of said stock, or said statement for the same thing; and that the other be stricken from said petition which motion was sustained by the Court, and the plaintiff was ordered to elect which he would go to trial upon to wit, the said five first causes, or said statement—
And thereupon the plaintiff moved the Court for leave to amend, and reform his petition instanter so as to include said statement in his said causes of action - which motion of plaintiff was sustained by the Court, and the plaintiff had leave to so amend and reform his said petition, to which last ruling and decision of the Court in favor of said plaintiff, the defendant then and there excepted—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Tomorrow Morning

Wednesday May 7, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their two several Bills of Indictment against Robert D. Finley for selling intoxicating Liquors contrary to law— Indorsed - a true Bill. W. H. Courtright. Foreman of Grand Jury - and not having finished the business before them, they retired again to deliberate—

X Wm C. Piper and wife
vs
James Gabriel et al.

This day this cause came on for entry of Judgment upon the written opinion of Judge, James Mackenzie - which it appears was filed with the Clerk of this Court prior to the first day of this term - the same having been argued by Counsel and submitted to the Court at the last term thereof, upon consideration whereof the Court do overrule said demurrer to which ruling of the Court the defendants hereby excepted - and leave upon motion of defendants is hereby given to the said defendants to answer in thirty days from this date and cause continued—

✓ Amanda Hoge
vs
Thomas Hoge
} Divorce

This day came the Plaintiff and made satisfactory proof of due notice of the pendency of this petition, and thereupon the Court being satisfied from the evidence that the allegations of the petition are true - do order and decree that the marriage relation between said parties be and the same is dissolved and a divorce granted to plaintiff, and she be restored to her former name of Amanda Haynes - and it is ordered that defendant pay the costs of this proceeding in ten days, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

✓ G. W. Miles
vs
Nelson Collum and John R. Collum
}

This day came the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit,

Wednesday May 7, 1873

J. P. Wythe, Israel Slack, W. W. Woodburn, I. C. Danforth, Isaac Willet, Lony Ford John H. P. Bouie, Samuel Gamble, Addison Bidwell, Regular Jurors- H. Harrington, A. D. Chapman, and William Thompson tales jurors- who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the evidence according to law, do on their oaths aforesaid find there is due the plaintiff from the defendants on the Note sued on forty two and 88/100 dollars- and the jury further find that the plaintiff did warrant the said mare to be sound, as defendants have alleged in their answer, and that said mare was not sound when so sold and warranted, and the jury do aspe the damages of the defendants by reason thereof at forty four dollars- A. D. Chapman, Foreman and thereupon plaintiff gave notice of their motion for new trial-

No 1292.

No 1252

Wm Gabriel
vs
D. J. Marsh et al

This day came the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit, Isaac Willet, I. C. Danforth, Regular Jurors, J. M. Dunn, C. Houston, Lon Turner Henry Harrington, Robert Graham, Hugh McAdow, A. J. Whitney, Gregory Hawley Thomas Stillings and George P. Croft tales Jurors, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give on the issues joined between the parties according to the evidence do upon their oaths aforesaid find for the plaintiff and aspe his damages at sixty one dollars and fifty cents-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of sixty one & 50/100 dollars with his costs herein expended taxed to &

Benjamin Chance
vs
Orrin Beem

This cause is dismissed by plaintiff at his costs- It is therefore considered that defendant recover of plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to &

Susan Humphreis Pltf
vs
Jacob Hoffman Pltf

On motion of plaintiffs this cause is continued on profes sional statements and at plaintiffs costs- It is therefore ordered that plaintiffs pay the costs of this term-

William Hofferline Pltf
vs
John Powers Pltf

On motion of plaintiffs this cause is continued on profesional statements and at plaintiffs costs- It is therefore ordered that plaintiffs pay the costs of this term

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Black Judge

Thursday May 8, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Joshua Penn
vs
A. B. Butler } This cause is continued with leave to file amended petition in thirty days

No 1292. Rebecca Howard Dual vs. John Trickey Dual? In Partition
This cause is dismissed by agreement of parties - costs of same to be taxed in case of George M. Trickey by &c. vs John Trickey Dual. in this court - no record

No 1252 Susan Adams vs John Adams?
This cause is dismissed at the costs of the defendant -
~~is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding in this days, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor &c record~~

Henry C. Riddle Plaintiff
vs
Benjamin H. Montgomery Dual. Defendants } In Partition

And now comes Henry C. Riddle by Robinsons and Piper his Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this Court and the Court do further find that the said Henry C. Riddle has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of one undivided fourth part of the said premises, and the Court do further find that the said Estelle Campbell, Ora Hoge and Casius Hoge, Millon H. Montgomery William Montgomery and James Riddle are tenants in common in said premises with the said Henry C. Riddle in the following proportions to wit,
That the said Estelle Campbell is seized of one undivided twelfth part thereof, the said Ora Hoge is seized of one undivided twelfth part thereof, the said Casius Hoge is seized of one undivided twelfth part thereof, the said Millon H. Montgomery and William Montgomery are seized of one undivided eighth part thereof, each subject to the curtesy of their father Benjamin H. Montgomery and the said James Riddle one undivided fourth part thereof -
It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him by the oaths of French Garwood Hiram Stokes and William M. Wright partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit, To the said Henry C. Riddle one equal fourth part to the said Estelle Campbell one equal twelfth part, To the said Ora Hoge one equal twelfth part - To the said Casius Hoge one equal twelfth part - To the said Millon H. Montgomery one equal eighth part. To the said William Montgomery one equal eighth part and to the said James Riddle one equal fourth fourth part, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Riddle Judge

Friday May 9. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday.

No 1309

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St. Louis Railway Company } This day this cause came on to be heard on the motion of the defendant to the petition of plaintiff marked the 2d amended petition and filed May 8, 1873. The same being argued by counsel, and being fully considered by the Court, it is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the several statements in said motion marked severally, 1, 4, & 6, were overruled and those marked in the said motion, 2, 3, 5 & 7, were sustained — and the plaintiff and the defendant excepted to the ruling of the Court, in the overruling that portions of said motion marked 1, 4, & 6, to amend his petition — And thereupon the defendant moved the Court for a continuance of the cause and the Court refused to do so — And the plaintiff moved the Court for leave to file his amended petition — which motion the Court refused to grant — Term bill ordered filed —

No 1308

Ira Belville and Rachel Belville
vs
Briance Draper et. al. } In Dower.

On motion to the Court by Robinson and Piper attorneys for petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff the appraisement of the commissioners and the assignment of dower to the petitioner made in pursuance of a former order of the Court and the same being examined, it is ordered that said proceedings, appraisement and assignment of dower be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and that the said Rachel Belville stand endowed of so much of said real estate as is contained within said assignment and bounded as follows, situate in Union County Ohio bounded as follows to wit, Beginning at a stake near a Lynn, sugar tree and two Ironwoods, Northwest original corner of survey No 5138, and corner of John Pridas survey No, 5136, thence with said Pridas line crossing the course N. 79° 30' E. to the center of the road leading up Millcreek thence with said road and with the west original line of said survey S. 10° 10' E 80 far that a line N. 79° 30' E to the center of said road will make forty acres of land — also another tract of land in survey No 4074, Beginning at the N. W. corner of a lot of 64 acres of land owned by Nelson Draper in his lifetime at 2 Beeches and an ash sone, in a road, thence with the center of said road N. 83° E 21 Poles to a stake, thence S. 7° E, 76½ Poles to a Black ash, in the north line of said lot, thence in said line S. 83° W. 21 poles to the southwest corner of said lot, thence with the west line thereof N. 7° W. 76½ poles to the beginning containing ten acres and it is further ordered that a writ of seizin issue to said Sheriff, commanding him to deliver to said Rachel Belville full possession of the premises assigned to her as aforesaid, and it is further ordered that the said Rachel Belville pay one third of the costs, and the said Briance Draper pay the two thirds of said costs in this suit taxed to the sum of $ — within ten days and in default thereof that an execution issue therefor as upon Judgments —

No 1229

Wm H. Robertson
vs
Christopher Stultz } P 96
Ex H. No 1436 May 8. 1876

This day came the parties and by agreement of the parties this cause is dismissed at the costs of the defendant
It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ — in ten days from the rising of this Court — or in default thereof that execution issue therefor —

Friday May 9. 1873

No 1309 Margaret Payne
vs
C. W. Rosette et al.

This day came the plaintiff, but the defendant C. W. Rosette remained in default; and thereupon this cause was submitted to the Court on the pleadings and evidence whereupon the Court find there is due plaintiff on the Note and Mortgage described in her petition the sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars,
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff recover of said Rosette said sum of $133.00 and costs herein expended taxed to $
and it is therefore ordered that if said Rosette shall not within ten days pay said judgment and costs and interest, the Clerk issue an order of sale of the premises in said petition described to the Sheriff — who is appointed special commissioner for that purpose, and he is ordered to appraise, advertise and sell said premises according to law, and as to all the rights of other lien holders this cause is continued —

No 1308 Maggie Payne
vs
C. W. Rosette and S. Walker

This day came the plaintiff, but the defendants remain in default, and thereupon this cause was submitted to the Court, neither party demanding a jury, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find there is yet due on said Note the sum of one hundred and eighty 75/100 dollars $100. having been paid since the commencement of this action
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff recover of defendants said sum of one hundred and eighty and 75/100 dollars and her costs herein expended taxed to $ — C. W. Rosette is principal and S. Walker surety —

This day it was ordered by the Court that the Sheriff procure a water cooler for the use of the Court Room and that the same be paid for out of the County Treasury — May 9 1873 — P. B. Cole Judge —

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Helen Artz for Murder Indorsed a true Bill. W. H. Conkright Foreman of Grand Jury — also their other Bill of Indictment against John Leartmell for selling intoxicating Liquors to a person in the habit of getting intoxicated Indorsed a true Bill. W. H. Conkright Foreman of Grand Jury — also their other Bill of indictment against Leonard Geer and Tobias Bartholomai for selling intoxicating liquors to one in the habit of getting intoxicated — Indorsed, a true Bill W. H. Conkright — Foreman of Grand Jury — also their other Bill of Indictment against William Weber for selling intoxicating liquors to one in the habit of getting intoxicated — Indorsed a true Bill, W. H. Conkright — Foreman of Grand Jury — also their other Bill of indictment against Peter Rausch for selling intoxicating liquors to a person in the habit of getting intoxicated — Indorsed — a true Bill — W. H. Conkright — Foreman of Grand Jury, also their other Bill of indictment against Samuel Rees — for selling intoxicating liquors to one in the habit of getting intoxicated, Indorsed a true Bill, W. H. Conkright — Foreman of Grand Jury — also their other Bill of indictment against Henry Evans for selling intoxicating liquors to a Minor contrary to law Indorsed A true Bill, W. H. Conkright Foreman of Grand Jury — also their ~~other~~ Bill of Indictment against Isaac Gray for ~~Seduction~~ living in State of adultery — Indorsed A true Bill. W. H. Conkright — Foreman of Grand Jury also their other Bill of indictment against Reuben Hill and Warren Smith for betting on a Horse race, Indorsed a true Bill. W. H. Conkright — Foreman of Grand Jury, also their other Bill of Indictment against Thomas Hinton for furnishing intoxicating liquors to a minor, Indorsed a true Bill —

W. H. Conkright = Foreman of Grand Jury - also their other Bill of indictment against Lewis Mayo for Petit larceny - Indorsed, a true Bill. W. H Conkright - Foreman of Grand Jury - also their other Bill of indictment against George Reuhlen for selling intoxicating liquors to a minor - Indorsed a true Bill W. H. Conkright - Foreman of Grand Jury also their other Bill of indictment against William Weber for selling intoxicating liquors to one intoxicated - Indorsed a true Bill, W. H. Conkright - Foreman of Grand Jury - also their two other Bills of indictment against Henry Marshall, one for selling intoxicating liquors to a minor - contrary to law and one for selling intoxicating liquors to one in the habit of getting intoxicated - each severally Indorsed - a true Bill W. H. Conkright - Foreman of Grand Jury - also their three other Bills of indictment against Uriah Alden - each for selling intoxicating liquors to one intoxicated each severally Indorsed - a true Bill - W. H Conkright - Foreman of Grand Jury and having finished the business before them they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bloole Judge

Saturday May 10 - 1873 -

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

John Solen } No 1333
vs
Uriah D. Alden }

This day came the parties by their attorneys, upon the petition of plaintiff and answer of defendant, and reply of plaintiff. Issue was joined and also came a Jury to wit. J. P. Wythe, Israel Slack, W. W. Woodburn, T. C. Danforth, Isaac Willet, Addison Bidwell, Jory Ford, R. Barnett, John A. P. Bouic Samuel Gamble Regular Jurors. William Thompson, and H. Harrington tales Jurors - who were duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the issues joined between the parties, do upon their oaths say they find for the said plaintiff and assess his damages by reason of the premises at Thirty five dollars - It is therefore considered by the Court that the said plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty five dollars so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff as his damages in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $\frac{00}{100}$
Second trial demanded and allowed and bond fixed at $100.00

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday Morning 9 oclock

P Bloole Judge

No 194

Monday May 12. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on ~~yesterday~~ Saturday

The State of Ohio } to 197
vs
Thomas Hinton }

The defendant Thomas Hinton this day was arraigned, and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged, whereupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine into the State of Ohio in the sum of ten dollars and also the costs of prosecution and that the said Thomas Hinton be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law—

John L. Ell, Plaintiff } Order of Partition
vs
George Ell et. al. }

This day the Court on motion of the plaintiff - appointed John L. Porter an Attorney of this Court Guardian ad litem for Jacob Ell Conrad Wm Ell, Mary L. Ell, Eva Margaretta Ell, John P. Ell, Frederick Ell, Adam Ell and Charles Ell, who are minor defendants - and thereupon the said John L. Porter appeared, accepted said appointment and filed his answer as such Guardian ad litem

John L. Ell, Plaintiff } Order of Partition
vs
George Ell et. al. }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the widow and Guardian ad litem and was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff, on consideration whereof, it is ordered by the Court that by the oaths of Martin J. Eirich, John M. Sheiderer and Andrew L. Mowry one full and Equal third part of the lands in said petition described be assigned and set off to the said Margaretta Barbara Ell, as her dower estate, and that by the like oaths of the said Martin J. Eirich, John M. Sheiderer, and Andrew L. Mowry, Partition be made of the lands in the petition mentioned and described subject to the dower estate in the following proportions to wit,
To John L. Ell, one equal tenth part. To George Ell one equal tenth part To Catharine Mulser one equal tenth part. To Michall Ell one equal Tenth part To Jacob Ell, one equal tenth part - To Conrad Wm Ell, one equal tenth part To Mary L. Ell, one equal tenth part, To Eva Margaretta Ell, one equal tenth part To John Paul Ell, one equal tenth part - To said Frederick Ell, Adam Ell and Charles Ell, children of Sebastian Ell deceased one equal thirtieth part each - And it is further ordered that a survey be made of the premises described in the petition and that in case partition cannot be made of said lands without manifest injury, that the said Appraisers or commissioners appraise the same and make return without assignment of dower - and in such case that the appraisment be free from dower — It is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said survey to be made and said dower to be assigned and partition made in accordance with the foregoing order —

No 194 The State of Ohio vs Samuel Brees } This case is settled by defendants paying a fine of five dollars and costs of this proceeding

Monday May 12, 1873

No 170 The State of Ohio vs Moses Blake

The defendant Moses Blake this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged- Whereupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of prosecution, and that the said Moses Blake be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law-

No 171 The State of Ohio vs Moses Blake

The defendant Moses Blake this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged- whereupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of prosecution- and that the said Moses Blake be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law-

No 183 The State of Ohio vs Robert D. Finley

The defendant Robert D. Finley this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged wherupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of Twenty five dollars and also the costs of prosecution- and that the said Robert D. Finley be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law-

No 196 The State of Ohio vs Reuben Hill

The defendant Reuben Hill this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged- whereupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of prosecution- and that the said Reuben Hill be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law-

No 179 The State of Ohio vs Richard Body Gambling

The defendant Richard Body this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged- whereupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of prosecution- and that the said Richard Body be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be

No 175

No 182.

No 184

No 187

No 192

No 198

No 172

Monday May 12. 1873

paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

/ The State of Ohio
No 175 vs
Richard Cody } Destroying vegetables

The defendant Richard Cody this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged - whereupon it is considered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar and also the costs of prosecution - and that the said Richard Cody be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law -

/ The State of Ohio
No 182. vs
William Cody } on complaint to keep the peace -

This day appeared before the Court the said William Cody defendant and the Court having examined the witnesses herein produced by said parties respectively, it is ordered, adjudged and considered, that the State of Ohio recover of the said William Cody the costs of this prosecution taxed to $
And that the said William Cody be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until said costs shall be paid - or secured to be paid - or he be otherwise discharged according to law -

X The State of Ohio
No 184 vs
Robert D. Fuller } This cause is continued by agreement of all parties interested -

X The State of Ohio
No 187 vs
Peter Rausch } The defendant Peter Rausch this day was arraigned ~~before~~ and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged - and thereupon this cause is continued -

X The State of Ohio
No 192 vs
George Reuhlen } The defendand George Reuhlen this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged and thereupon this cause is continued -

X The State of Ohio
No 198 vs
Isaac Gray } Continued for arrest -

X No 172 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins & Continued for sentence

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P J Blowe Judge

Tuesday May 13, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X A. J. Blake &c Pl
vs
O. D. Bowring Deft } On motion to the Court leave is granted to W. G. Airs to file answer herein which is accordingly filed

X A. Sabrie
vs
L. J. Marshall } Appeal
On motion to the Court by J. C. Johnston Attorney for plaintiff leave is granted to the plaintiff to file a petition in this cause within ten days from this date

X Mathew Lingel
vs
James Blaylock } This day came on this cause to be heard on the demurer to the petition whereupon the Court overrule the demurer, to which ruling the defendant excepts= whereupon the defendant asked and obtained leave to file answer by wednesday Morning of this week

X George Mast
vs
Reuben Cook Wife } This day came on this cause to be heard on the defendants demurer to the petition, whereupon the Court overrule said demurer, to which ruling defendants excepts=

Thomas Lavender
vs
Charles Baldwin, Hylas Sabrie and the Bank of Richwood } On Note and Mortgage

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney J. C. Johnston and the said Hylas Sabrie and Charles Baldwin having failed to answer or demurer, upon motion of the plaintiff this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendant The Bank of Richwood and the evidence and was argued by counsel, upon consideration of which the Court do find that there is now due to the plaintiff from the said Charles Baldwin upon the Note first mentioned in the petition the sum of Eleven hundred and Nine dollars and thirty cents ($1109.30)
and the Court does further find that there will become due to the plaintiff from the said Charles Baldwin upon the second Note in the petition mentioned on the 1st day of April 1874, the further sum of one thousand dollars with interest upon the same from July 17- 1871- and the Court does further find that there will become due to the plaintiff from the said Charles Baldwin upon April 1st 1875, upon the Note thirdly mentioned in the petition the further sum of Nine hundred and fifty six dollars and twenty five cents with interest from the 17th day of July 1871, and the Court does find that by reason of the Mortgage in the petition mentioned the amount so found due to the said plaintiff and the amount to become due are a lien upon the premises mentioned in the petition prior to all other claims= The Court does further find that there is due to the defendant the Bank of Richwood upon the Judgment in the answer mentioned the sum of Four hundred and forty three dollars and twenty eight- cents with interest upon the same from the 15th day of February 1873 and that the same is a lien upon said premises next after that of the plaintiff= The

X No 202

X No 201

X No 200

Tuesday May 13, 1873

Court does further find that the said Charles Baldwin and the said Angeline Baldwin have disposed of and transfered all of their interest in the premises in the petition described to the defendant Hylas Sabine, and that the said Hylas Sabine is the owner of said premises subject to the payment of the liens herein before found.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that if the said Charles Baldwin shall fail for ten days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the sum of eleven hundred and Nine dollars and thirty cents with interest upon it from this date, and if he shall fail to pay to the said Richwood Bank the sum of four hundred and forty three dollars and 28/100 with interest from February 15, 1873, and to the Clerk of this Court the costs in this case that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio, commanding him to cause said land in the petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold and that he bring the proceeds of such sale into this Court to abide its further order thereon, and this case stand continued

Henry C. Riddle
vs
Benjamin F. Montgomery Exr. et al

And now comes the petitioner Henry C. Riddle and the Court being satisfied that due notice hath been served upon the several defendants of the pendency of this proceeding, and thereupon this cause is submitted to the Court, and the Court find that by the will of James Riddle now deceased the land in said petition described was at the death of his widow to be divided as follows to wit, the release of one hundred dollars to Cyrus Hoge and the balance to be divided equally between the heirs of Elizabeth Hoge deceased and Edith Montgomery deceased, and his two sons Henry C. Riddle and James Riddle. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that partition be made of said premises as follows, there be assigned to said Cyrus Hoge of said premises one hundred dollars in value and the one twelfth of the balance. Ora Hoge one twelfth of the said balance and Estelle Campbell one twelfth of the said balance. Milton H. Montgomery and William Montgomery each one eighth of said balance. Henry C. Riddle and James Riddle each one fourth of said balance. It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him by the oaths of French Garwood, Hiram Stokes and Wm M. Wright set partition be made of said premises in the proportions before named, and that in case the same cannot be divided in such proportions without manifest injury the said Commissioners are ordered to return their appraisement of said premises at this term of the Court.

No 202 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden

The defendant Uriah Alden this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged.
And thereupon this cause is continued.

No 201 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden

The defendant Uriah Alden this day was arraigned, and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged. And thereupon this cause is continued.

No 200 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden

The defendant Uriah Alden this day was arraigned

Tuesday May 13, 1873

And the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged
And thereupon this cause is continued

The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden Recognizance—
This day appeared in Open Court Uriah Alden and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Two hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit. that the said Uriah Alden be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling intoxicating liquors contrary to law and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law—

No 199 The State of Ohio vs Lewis Mayo Continued for arrest—

No 191 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall
The defendant Henry Marshall this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged—
And thereupon this cause is continued—

No 190 The State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall
The defendant Henry Marshall this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged— And thereupon this cause is continued

No 189 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell
The defendant John Cartmell this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged
And thereupon this cause is continued—

No 188 The State of Ohio vs Leonard Yeer and Tobias Bartholomai
The defendants Leonard Yeer and Tobias Bartholomai was this day was arraigned— and the indictment being read to them was asked how of the premises they would acquit themselves for plea says they are not guilty in manner and form as they stand charged— and thereupon this cause is continued—

No 186 The State of Ohio vs William Weber
The defendant William Weber was this day arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged
And thereupon this cause is continued—

No 185 The State of Ohio vs William Weber
The defendant William Weber this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit— himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged
And thereupon this cause is continued by consent of all parties interested

No 195 The State of Ohio vs Henry Evans This cause is settled— state to pay half and defendant to the other half the costs in this case

X No 193

X No 1339

X No 1

X No 3

X No 4

X No 5

X No 6

✓ No 2

✓ No 180

Tuesday May 13. 1873

The State of Ohio vs John H Coleman } Indictment for Grand Larceny
This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi upon the indictment in the above cause.

The State of Ohio vs John H Coleman } Indictment for Grand Larceny. Ex A. No 4919
This day the Court examined the account of W. P. Reid for defending the said Coleman according to his appointment heretofore in this cause, and the Court allow the same, and order paid to said Reid the sum of one hundred dollars for said services.

No 193 The State of Ohio vs Helen Artz } Indictment for murder.
The defendant Helen Artz this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to her was asked how of the premises she would acquit herself for plea made no answer but stood mute, and thereupon the plea of not guilty was entered upon said indictment, and the Court assigned the seventh day of the next term of this Court for the trial of this cause, it being the 27th day of October A.D. 1873, to which time this cause is continued as under the statute in such case made and provided, this cause cannot be tried at the present term of this Court, and defendant by the order of this Court is remanded to Jail.

No 1339 Moses Cooledge vs Francis Cooledge et al. } In Partition

On motion to the Court by Randall and Pudney counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law. it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, as according to the report of the surveyor, who was one of the commissioners aforesaid, and thereupon neither of the said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union, according to law, and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued.

No 1 The State of Ohio vs James Green } Continued for sentence

No 3 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for sentence

No 4 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for sentence

No 5 The State of Ohio vs Uriah Alden } Continued for sentence

No 6 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Continued for sentence

No 2 The State of Ohio vs Ellen Long } Laid away by order of Court

No 180 The State of Ohio vs Chandler Brown } Left off Docket by order Prosecuting Attorney

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P B Reed Judge

Wednesday May 14- 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Albert Galloway vs David Mulford } confirmation P 177

The Court this day on motion of said Albert Galloway in person having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale by him made upon the order of sale issued in this cause- and overrule the motion to set aside the sale and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided, do the order the Clerk to make an entry on the journal that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale; and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchasers a deed for the land so sold- and it is ordered by the Court that of the proceeds of said sale the costs of this proceeding be paid together with any taxes that may be a lien on said lands at the time of said sale and that the amount of the plaintiffs decree with interest to May 10th 1873, be also paid and any balance if any be paid to the defendant David Mulford

No 9 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 12 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 13 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 14 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 15 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Continued for sentence

No 39 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Price & Continued for sentence

No 102 The State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole & Continued

No 1354 A. J. Blake & Co vs Margaret Smiley Exrx } This cause is settled and costs paid

Mary Gallagher vs William Gallagher } In Divorce

This day came the said Mary Gallagher by her Attorney J. C. Johnston and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the defendant according to law- It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relations heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the parties wholly released therefrom- and it is further ordered that the custody nurture care and education of the said child James G. Gallagher and the same is hereby decreed to the said Mary Gallagher-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow morning

A Black Judge

Thursday May 15, 1873

This morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X George Beecher vs David Conklin et al } This day this cause came on to be heard and by consent of parties plaintiff took leave to amend his petition instanter

✓ No 1352 James Blaylock vs Mathew Linsel } This cause settled - each party to pay half the costs

✓ No 1349 Mathew Linsel vs James Blaylock } This cause is settled at the plaintiffs costs

✓ 1291 J. C. Miller and E. D. Armstrong partners doing business in the State of Ohio under the firm name of Miller and Armstrong, Plaintiffs against Abraham Morey, A. J. Whitney and Andrew Keyes, Defendants } P. 157

On motion of the said plaintiffs by their Attorney and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him, on the 26th day of April A.D. 1873, to A. J. Whitney on an order of sale granted in this case and dated the 10th day of February A.D. 1873, and the Court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, It is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold in fee simple, and It is further ordered that the proceeds of said sale be distributed as follows, first to the payment of costs in this action the sum of $47.05 second the taxes now due and payable in the sum of $23.28 Third - to the defendant A. J. Whitney on his decree and including the Note which fell due April 1st 1873, the sum of ($965.33) Nine hundred and sixty five & 33/100 dollars - Fourth to the plaintiffs Miller and Armstrong the sum of one hundred and forty eight ($148.92) on the decree in their favor in this case together with the sum of $1[illegible]5.58 costs upon the original judgment in favor of Miller and Armstrong against A. Morory - Fifth to the defendant Andrew Keyes upon his Mortgage the sum of one hundred and three dollars and sixty four cents ($103.64) and that the residue if any be paid to the defendant A Morory

Hudson Haines and others Partners in name of the Bank of North Lewisburg vs David Asher, Isaac M Cleary Jr. and Silas Dyon } on Cognovit

And now comes the said Hudson Haines James Carter, John S. Calender and E. S. Calender by their Attorneys Robison and Piper and file their petition against the said David Asher, Isaac M Cleary Jr, and Silas Dyon: and thereupon Delbert W. Ayers one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in Open Court in behalf of the said defendants David Asher, Isaac M Cleary and Silas Dyon and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved and waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said David Asher as principal and Isaac M Cleary Jr and Silas Dyon as sureties do owe the said Plaintiffs the said sum of $243.97 as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition set forth -
It is therefore considered that the said Hudson Haines James Carter, John S. Calender

Thursday May 15, 1873

And E. D. Calender do recover of the said David Asher as principal and Isaac M Cleary Jr and Silas Igow as sureties the said sum of $243.97 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ — and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error and writ of error is released and all right of appeal waived by the said David Asher Isaac M Cleary Jr & Silas Igow

Hudson Haines and others, Partners
in the name of Bank of North Lewisburg
vs
David Asher Henry Bishop and Joseph Miles
} on cognovit

And now comes the said Hudson Haines James Carter, John D. Calender and E. D. Calender by their Attorneys Robinson and Piper and file their petition against the said David Asher Henry Bishop and Joseph Miles and thereupon Delbert W. Ayers one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants David Asher Henry Bishop and Joseph Miles and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved and waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said David Asher as principal and Henry Bishop and Joseph Miles as sureties do owe the said plaintiffs the said sum of $210.56 as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition set forth —
It is therefore considered that the said Hudson Haines James Carter John D. Calender and E. D. Calender do recover of the said David Asher as principal and Henry Bishop and Joseph Miles as sureties the said sum of $210.56 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ —
and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error and writ of error is released and all right of appeal waived by the said David Asher, Henry Bishop and Joseph Miles

Providence Dynes, Plaintiff
vs
W. W. Woods Defendant
}

This day came the Plaintiff by her Attorney and the defendant came not but made default, and thereupon the plaintiff submitted this cause to the Court upon the petition and exhibits without the intervention of a jury — on consideration whereof the Court do find for the plaintiff and ajes her damages at the sum of $949.84
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff Providence Dynes recover of the defendant W. W. Woods the said sum of ($949.84) Nine hundred forty Nine 84/100 dollars so found due and also her costs herein expended taxed to $

No 119 The State of Ohio vs Wallace Linder and Samuel Stout & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Atty.
No 145 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Atty.
No 178 The State of Ohio vs Elliott Cartmell, Wm Cody & Richard Cody & Left off Docket by order of Pros. Atty
No 155 The State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers & Continued
No 156 The State of Ohio vs James McNeal & Continued
No 157 The State of Ohio vs Asa Clark & James Clark & continued by agreement
No 166 The State of Ohio vs John Sidle & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning
P Bolds Judge

Friday May 16. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Malchus F. Stinnates, Plaintiff
vs
James R. Rupell- Defendant

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff- and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do sustain said demurer, and the plaintiff not desiring to amend his petition, this cause is dismissed by the Court- It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant go hence without day, and recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to ff- To all of which decissions and rulings of the Court the plaintiff by his Attorney excepts-

Mary J. Hosack, Plaintiff
vs
William Hosack, Defendant
In Divorce

And now comes the said Mary J. Hosack by Cameron and Kennedy her Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by Counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been willfully absent from the said petitioner more than three years prior to the filing of said petition, and has been guilty of grob neglect of duty-
It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and it is further ordered that the said complainant be restored to her maiden name of Mary J It is further adjudged and decreed that the said defendant do pay to the said plaintiff, for her reasonable Alimony the sum of five hundred dollars and the costs of this suit, to be paid within ten days from the rising of this Court and in default thereof that execution do issue therefor as upon judgments at law

Martha J. Griffis, Plaintiff
vs
William J. Griffis Defendant
In Divorce

This day came the said Martha J. Griffis by Cameron and Kennedy her Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been willfully absent from said petitioner as charged in said petition, and of grob neglect of duty and extreme cruelty as therein stated- It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same- Pff to pay costs-

W. W. Woods and Darius Brexton
Partners under the firm name of Woods and Brexton
vs
A. Bronson and Elias Hathaway

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorney, and

Friday May 16. 1873

the defendants A. Bronson and Elias Hathaway came not but made default. and neither party requiring a jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiffs on consideration whereof the Court does with the plaintiffs consent find that there is due to the plaintiffs from the defendant A. Bronson as principal. and Elias Hathaway as his surety (after deducting $95.00 paid April 15. 1873) the sum of forty six and 75/100 dollars which sum the Court finds bears interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of the said A. Bronson as Principal and Elias Hathaway as security the sum of ($46.75) forty six and 75/100 dollars - as found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ - and that said judgment bear interest at eight per cent per annum

Frederick L Spring
vs
Daniel Bird Jesse Bird and John Crahood

And now comes the said Frederick L Spring by his attorneys, Robinson and Piper and the said Daniel Bird. Jesse Bird and John Crahood defendants having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Frederick L Spring. it is considered that the said Frederick L Spring ought to recover the said sum of one hundred twenty seven and 66/100 dollars so demanded in his petition together with the sum of $2.87 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition
It is therefore considered that the said Frederick L Spring recover against the said Daniel Bird. Jesse Bird and John Crahood the said sum of $130.53 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

Robson L. Broom
vs
George L Snodgrass } Ca. Sa. non est

This day came into court Robson L. Broom by Porter and Sterling his counsel and filed his declaration against the said George L Snodgrass. and thereupon L. Piper one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said George L Snodgrass and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed by the said George L Snodgrass and now produced in open Court and duly proved. waived the issuing and service of process and acknowledged that the said George L Snodgrass did assume and promise in manner and form as the said Robson L. Broom hath in his said declaration alleged against him. and confessed that the said Robson L Broom ought to recover by reason of the premises the sum of $243.15
Therefore it is considered that the said Robson L. Broom recover of the said George L Snodgrass the said sum of $243.15 so as aforesaid found due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ - And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right and benefit of appeal waived by the said George L Snodgrass

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P B Leake Judge

Saturday May 17. 1873

This morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

George R. Trickey by Samuel Gow his Guardian
vs
John Trickey et al. } In Partition

This day came the petitioner and moved the Court to set aside the sale of the premises heretofore made in this case and also the order made at this term of Court confirming said sale, and thereupon the purchaser Moses Laird appearing in open Court and consenting thereto, the Court order that said sale and the said confirmation thereof be and the same are hereby set aside.

Samuel Little
vs
William W. Welch } 2d trial

This day came Samuel Little plaintiff by his attorneys and William W Welch by his Attorneys, and thereupon came a jury to wit, J. P. Wythe, Israel Slack, W. W Woodburn, T. C. Danforth, Isaac Willet Addison Bidwell, Tory Ford, R. Barnett, John H P. Bowie Samuel Gamble Regular Jurors, William Thompson, and Henry Harrington Tales Jurors, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the issue Joined between the parties according to law do upon their oaths say, that they find for the plaintiff Samuel Little upon the issue Joined and assess his damages at Fifty six dollars and fifty cents - less Twenty dollars and fifty cents - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff Samuel Little recover against the said defendants William W. Welch the sum of Thirty six dollars his damages so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff. - and that each party pay his own costs -

George R. Rhodes } No 1341
vs
David Blue

This day came the defendant, the plaintiff still failing to appear to prosecute this his action - and moved the Court to dismiss this cause for want of prosecution - Whereupon the Court sustain said motion and it is ordered that this cause be and is dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution - and without record - It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ -

Dennis Clark
vs
L. B. Dennis }

This day there being no sufficient time to try this cause at this term the same is taxed to the adjourned term to be held in July, with leave to plaintiff to file amended petition in ten days and with leave to defendant to answer by the tenth day of June next -

Euphemia Dysert } No 1282
vs
John Dysert et al.

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm sale made herein on the former order of the Court made herein whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said sale to be in all respects in conformity with law, and do confirm the same and order the Sheriff

Saturday May 17. 1873

to execute to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands so sold to him and the Court do order that out of the proceeds of said sale the costs herein be paid and the tax claim for which decree was rendered in this cause at the last term and the tax of 1872 be also paid. And as to the reinvestment of the balance of said proceeds this cause is continued until the adjourned term of this Court to be held in July next—

William P. Hopkins. Plaintiff
vs
John Cranston. Administrator of the estate of Elizabeth Hopkins deceased

This day this cause came on to be heard and thereupon came a jury to wit, J. P. Wythe Foreman Slack. W. W. Woodbury J. C. Danforth Isaac Willett. Addison Bidwell. Levy Ford. R. Barnett. John H. Bowie Samuel Gamble. Regular Jurors, William Thompson and Henry Harrington tales Jurors who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say, that the said Elizabeth Hopkins in her lifetime did assume and promise in manner and form as the said plaintiff William P Hopkins hath in that behalf alleged- and they find for the plaintiff in the sum of $1980- Nineteen hundred and eighty dollars- therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant as such Administrator said sum of $1980- Nineteen hundred and eighty- dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to $- thereupon the defendants as Administrator demanded second trial under the statute which was allowed by the Court and it appearing that said Administrator hath given bond as such Administrator no second trial bond is required—

E. E. Shedd & Company
vs
Howard D. Shaffer & Brother

This day came the parties and it appearing that there is not sufficient time at this term of Court to try the issues joind by and between the parties, the plaintiff moved the Court to render judgment for plaintiff against the said defendants for such part of the plaintiffs claim as is not put in issue by the answer, and thereupon defendant asked leave to file amended answer putting in issue other items of the account to the amount of one hundred dollars, leaving the sum of four hundred and eighty two and 50/100 dollars of the plaintiffs claims not ~~found~~ put in issue— whereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiffs recover of the defendants on the part of their said claim not put in issue the sum of four hundred and eighty two & 50/100 dollars— and as to the claim put in issue and the part which defendants asks leave to put in issue as aforesaid this cause is passed to the adjourned session of this term of this Court commencing July 21. 1873, with leave to defendants to file amended answer in twenty days—

George Mast
vs
Reuben Cook Sen'r, } On Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said George Mast by Robinson and Piper his Attorneys and the said defendants still failing to answer but demurred to said petition which said demurer the Court overruled— it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to on account of the money due him in the premises and after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to said plaintiff on the Notes and Mortgage in said petition

X No 76.
X No 232.
X No 608.
X No 1044
X No 1193
X No 1194

Saturday May 17- 1873-

described the sum of $68 and 38 cents. the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $- and It is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $68 38 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of the said County of Union for the time being as Special Master Commissioner of this Court for that purpose appointed, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit and as to the Note not due and all other matters this cause is continued-

X Mary E. Lawry vs William D. Lawry } This day came this cause on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant to strike from the files the petition in this case and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do overrule said motion

✓ Mary E. Lawry vs William D. Lawry } This day came the plaintiff Mary E. Lawry by Cameron and Kennedy her Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law and the Court do further find that the facts stated and allegations made in the said petition are true- that said defendant has been guilty of gross neglect of duty and extreme cruelty as stated in said petition-
It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Marriage relation heretofore existing between said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and both parties wholly released from the Obligations of the same, And it is further ordered and decreed that the guardianship of said Minor child Thomas Lawry be decreed to the petitioner- and that she pay the costs of this suit taxed to $

This day it was ordered by the Court that the sum of $60 00 be paid to John A Price Sheriff for the purpose of paying deputies for this May term of Court- 1873-
P. B. Cole Judge-

Union County to Robert Sharp Sheriff &c- for services during the years of 1871 and 1872. To fees in State of Ohio vs John H Coleman $186 ½
I hereby certify the above to be just and unpaid - Robert Sharp Sheriff
Approved- P B. Cole Judge-

X No 76. Hullington Garwood & Co. vs Michael Davis } Continued

X No 232. J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown vs William Wharry C. H. Cady & P. Safford } Continued

X No 608. John Swan &c. al's vs Henry Williams &c. al's } Continued-

X No 1044 Andrew A. Spicer Adm'r &c vs William Sprague &c. al's } Continued for service

X No 1193 Rebecca J. Burkam vs Belotes C. Poder } Continued

X No 1194 Rebecca J. Burkam vs Newton Case } Continued-

Saturday May 17, 1873

No 1261 John Adams vs The Incorporated Village of Richwood }
This day came the plaintiff in error and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the said John Adams and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Mayor be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs to all of which ruling the plaintiff by his Attorneys excepted.

A. Clay Culbertson, Plaintiff }
No 1321 vs
A. Bronson Defendant }
This day this cause came on to be heard, the defendant being in default for answer or demurrer, and thereupon the plaintiff waived the intervention of a Jury. And no one demanding a Jury this cause was submitted by the plaintiff to the Court upon the evidence, on consideration whereof the Court finds that at the commencement of this action, the right of possession of, and the right of property in and to the goods and chattels in the petition mentioned were in the plaintiff, and the Court with the like consent of the plaintiff, doth assess his damages by reason of the premises to $1.00 It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant A. Bronson the said sum of $1.00 his damages so as aforesaid assessed and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

James Hullington, Charles Phellis, and W. W. Woods
Partners under the firm name of Hullington, Phellis and Woods }
vs
Benoni P. Hildreth, Marquies Hildreth and John Elliott }
This day came into Court the plaintiffs, and filed their petition against the said Benoni P. Hildreth, Marquies Hildreth and John Elliott, defendants, and thereupon A. J. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court, in behalf of the said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Benoni P. Hildreth, Marquies Hildreth and John Elliott, and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon the said defendants, and confessed that the said Benoni P. Hildreth, Marquies Hildreth and John Elliott defendants do owe the said plaintiffs, as alleged in the petition the sum of $ 32 94/100 with interest from the 24th day of April 1873 at eight per cent per annum, making at this date the sum of $ 331 15/100 Three hundred thirty one and 15/100 dollars and he consented that judgment be entered for that sum and for costs against the defendants.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants, Benoni P. Hildreth, Marquies Hildreth and John Elliott the said sum of $ 331 15/100 so confessed to be due and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ which judgment in favor of plaintiffs is to bear interest at eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are released by the said defendants

Hullington, Phellis and Woods vs William Morvatt } Settled

No 1345 Jacob Higley vs Jonas Higley } Settled

John S. Ell, Plaintiff }
vs } In Partition
George Ell, et al, Defendants }
This day on motion to the Court by M. C. Lawrence, attorney

Saturday May 17, 1873

for the plaintiff. and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined, and found correct It is ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and in all things confirmed. and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said real estate or any part or parcel thereof at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners. on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered that said real estate be sold at public auction on the premises according to the statute in such case made and provided, upon the following terms to wit. One third in hand one third in one year. and one third in two years from the day of sale, with interest from the day of sale. the deferred payments to be secured by Mortgage upon the premises sold. And it is further ordered by the Court that said land be advertised and offered for sale in parcels as described in the report of the commissioners, and the plat thereto attached. and that the same be sold free from dower of Margaretta Barbara Ellen by Solon Weaver who is hereby appointed special master commissioner for that purpose

Mary Haines. Washington Haines her Husband
John Shaw. Susannah Funk. Adaline Geesey
Fanny Shaw. William A. Shaw. Charles R. Shaw
Sarah Catharine Layman, George Layman her husband
Samuel R. Shaw a minor who sues by his Guardian
Elizabeth Shaw. Mary J. Carey, Elizabeth A. Carey, Rachel
E. Carey, John R. Carey, and James C. Carey minors who sue
by their next friend John T. A. Carey. Plaintiffs.

vs

Henry Barth, Charles Barth, Ephfa J. Moore, William T.
Moore. Sarah A. Moore, John J. Moore and Louisa A. Moore Defendants

This day this cause coming on to be heard and all parties having waived a jury, and no one demanding a jury the cause was submitted by the parties to the Court upon the pleadings evidence and exhibits. on consideration whereof the Court finds for the plaintiffs upon the issues joined between the parties, and that the said defendants do unlawfully keep the said plaintiffs out of the possession of the premises in the petition described as the plaintiffs have in their petition alleged
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover against the said Henry Barth. Charles Barth, Ephfa J. Moore, William T. Moore. Sarah A. Moore, John J. Moore, and Louisa A. Moore, the premises in the petition described, and also their costs in and about this suit expended taxed to $ and thereupon came the said Henry Barth. Charles Barth Ephfa J. Moore, William T. Moore, Sarah A. Moore, John J. Moore and Louisa A. Moore, the defendants by their Attorneys, Robinsons and Piper and made application to the Court for the valuation of improvements and appraisement of damages under the statute for the relief of occupying claimants. and the Court having considered the same, are of the opinion that the said defendants are entitled thereto; whereupon it is ordered by the Court that further proceedings may be had in the cause agreeably to the provisions of said statute

Norton Scott. Plaintiff
vs
George Carey et. al.
Trustees of Leesburg Township

This day this cause came on to be heard upon an agreed statement of facts. and the same having been argued by counsel and duly considered by the Court - it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the proceedings of said Trustees in locating and constructing said ditch are manifestly erroneous - it is therefore ordered by the Court that said

Saturday May 17 1873

proceedings be and the same are hereby set aside. at the costs of the defendants Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendants the costs in this suit expended taxed to $—

R. D. Hinley - Plaintiff
vs
The Atlantic & Great Western Railway Company } This day this cause came on to be heard on demurer to the petition of plaintiff. and the same having been argued by counsel and duly considered by the Court: said demurer is sustained by the Court and the plaintiff granted leave to amend petition in thirty days—

George W. Banister
vs
Thomas Moore and John Moore } This cause is dismissed for want of prosecution— at the costs of the plaintiff - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ no record

Charles and P. C. Adams
vs
William Gray } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurer of defendant, and was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court— upon consideration whereof, the said demurer was sustained and this cause was dismissed upon the ground that there was no cause of action - at the costs of the plaintiffs - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant recover of the plaintiffs his costs herein expended taxed to $—

J. C. Sidle
vs
John Ramey } In attachment - P 111 t 143.

On motion of the said J. C. Sidle by Robinsons and Piper his attorneys, and it appearing from the answer of the said Salathiel Carter garnishee, that he is indebted to the defendant in the sum of $200 12/100 and that the said sum is now due and payable and liable to be applied under said attachment, it is therefore ordered that the said Salathiel Carter as said garnishee do pay into the hands of the clerk of this Court the said sum of $200 12/100 within 30 days from this time, and in default thereof it is further ordered that an execution be ipued against the said Salathiel Carter to collect the said sum of $200 12/100 as upon judgment—

Oziel Lapham vs Hayden, Hutchinson & Co. Def'ts } Continued

Oziel Lapham vs Fullington - Phillis and Woods } Continued -

Mathew Lingrel Pl'ff vs Elisha Hardy Def't } Left off Docket—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday Morning 10 o'clock July 21st

P Bleeds Judge

No 862
X No 699
X No 703
X No 708
X No 964
X No 1067
X No 1088
X No 1089
X No 1111
X No 1139
X No 1142
X No 1153

Monday July 21st, 1873.

This Morning the Court met at ten oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Saturday May 17th

Job S. Dillon
vs
Simeon J. Sturlin } settled and costs paid

Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
Louisa A. Moore et al } on confirmation P 150

The Court this day on motion of Porter and Sterling Attorneys for plaintiff having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff, and the sale by him made upon the order of sale issued in this cause, and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided, do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal, that the Court are satisfied of the legality of the sale; and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold- all which is entered accordingly-

No 862 Alonzo Teeters
vs
Ralph Moffitt and Elizabeth Moffitt } Left off Docket by order of Court

X No 699 Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman Philip Roush and Hart Monser ? Continued

X No 703 Samud R. Harbert vs James H. Neal and Henry Neal ? Continued

X No 708 Reuben W. Weisz vs James Magahey et al. ? Continued

X No 964 Ebenezer Healey vs William H. Wilson et al. ? Continued

X No 1067 P. B. Cole. Apsinwall
vs
A. T. Raymond and Levi Kirk } Continued under former order of reference

X No 1088 Jacob C. Sidle vs D. F. Parsons et al. ? Continued

X No 1089 John Jolliff vs D. F. Parsons et al. ? Continued-

X No 1111 Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams et al. ? Continued

X No 1139 Kellon Bancroft & Co. vs E. Hammond ? Continued

X No 1142 John Wood Apsinwall & Co vs Phineas Bartlette et al. ? Continued

X No 1153 Thos. O. Timmons Admr. & Co vs Rhoda Haines et al. ? Continued

John A. Price
vs
Jonathan Harris ? This day this cause came on to be heard, the defendant Jonathan Harris being in default and neither party requiring a Jury, the cause was by the plaintiff submitted to the Court upon the petition and evidence- upon consideration whereof the Court do find the allegations of the

Monday July 21st 1873

Petition to be true, and that there is due the plaintiff from the defendant as alleged in the petition at this date the sum of one hundred and three and 20/100 dollars ($103.20) It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff John A. Price recover of the defendant Jonathan Harris the sum of $103.20 so found due and also his costs herein expended taxed 15.00

Alf. Scott plaintiff
vs
Benoni P. Hildreth- Charles Worley and Minerva M. Worley

This day came the said Alf Scott by his Attorney, and this cause came on to be heard the defendants all being in default- and neither party requiring a jury, this cause was by the plaintiff submitted to the Court upon the petition and testimony on consideration whereof the Court does with the consent of the plaintiff find the allegations of the petition to be true- and that there is due from the defendant Benoni P. Hildreth as maker- and the said Charles Worley and Minerva M. Worley as indorsers to the plaintiff on the promisory note in the petition mentioned the sum of Three hundred and eleven dollars and eleven cents-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff Alf Scott recover of the defendant Benoni P. Hildreth as maker and of the defendants Charles Worley and Minerva M. Worley as indorsers the said sum of $311.20 so found due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered by the Court that this judgment shall bind the lands of the several defendants only from this date-

M. C. Lawrence Admr. with the will annexed of Israel Carter deceased- plaintiff
vs
Job S. Dillon and Charles Carter defendants

This day this cause came on to be heard- the defendants being in default, and neither party requiring a jury, this cause was by the plaintiff submitted to the Court upon the petition exhibits and testimony - on consideration whereof the Court does find the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the defendant Job S. Dillon as principal and the defendant Charles Carter as his surety, to the plaintiff as such Administrator the sum of one hundred thirty four and 35/100 dollars as the said plaintiff hath alleged-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff as such Administrator recover of the defendant Job S. Dillon as principal and the defendant Charles Carter as his surety the said sum of $134.35 so found due, and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered by the Court that this judgment shall bind the lands of the defendants only from this day-

No 1225 Thompson Cole vs Samuel McCampbell

This cause is settled and the costs are paid

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Wednesday Morning 9 o'clock

P B Cole Judge

Wednesday July 23d 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Monday

T. J. McCarty and H. M. Cryer late partners in busines under the firm name of T. J. McCarty & Co. Plaintiffs. vs C. H. Blis and H. J. King Defendants } Judgt. $170.22 at 8 per cent interest Blis Principal King surety

This day came into Court the Plaintiffs by their Attorney M. C. Lawrence and filed their petition against the said C. H. Blis and H. J. King defendants and thereupon L. Piper one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said C. H. Blis and H. J. King defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said C. H. Blis and H. J. King defendants and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of proces upon the said defendants and acknowledged that the said C. H. Blis and H. J. King were indebted to the plaintiffs in the sum of one hundred and seventy dollars and Ninety two cents in manner and form as the plaintiffs have alleged against the said defendants and consented that Judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiffs against said defendants for said sum of money and for costs.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants C. H. Blis and H. J. King the said sum of $170.22 and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $.

and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this Judgment are released by the said defendants and the Court with the consent of the plaintiffs finds that C. H. Blis is the principal upon the Note sued on and that H. J. King is his surety and that this Judgment should bear interest at eight per cent per annum and orders that execution issue accordingly

J. and A. Simpkinson & Co. plaintiffs vs Isaac F. Gales and Seth Gales Defendants } Judgt. $133.17 interest 8 per cent Isaac F. principal Seth surety

This day came into Court the plaintiffs by their Attorney M. C. Lawrence and filed their petition against the said Isaac F. Gales and Seth Gales defendants and thereupon L. Piper one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Isaac F. Gales and Seth Gales defendants and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of proces upon the said defendants and acknowledged that the said defendants Isaac F. Gales and Seth Gales were indebted to the plaintiffs in the sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and seventeen cents in manner and form as the plaintiffs have alleged against them and consented that Judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiffs against said defendants for said sum of money and for costs.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants Isaac F. Gales and Seth Gales the said sum of $133.17 and also their costs herein expended taxed $

And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this Judgment are released by the said defendants and the Court with the consent of the plaintiffs finds that Isaac F. Gales is the principal and that Seth Gales is his surety and that this Judgment should bear interest at eight per cent per annum and orders that execution issue accordingly

Wednesday July 23. 1873.

Robson L. Broom
vs
Festus Edwards. J. O. Lockwood and David Sager. } Cognovit

This day came into Court Robson L. Broom by Porter and Sterling his counsel and filed his petition against the said Festus Edwards. J. O. Lockwood. and David Sager and thereupon M. C. Lawrence one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said Festus Edwards. J. O. Lockwood and David Sager and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Festus Edward. J. O. Lockwood and David Sager. and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process and acknowledged that the said Festus Edwards. J. O. Lockwood and David Sager were indebted to the said Robson L. Broom as he hath in his petition alleged. and confessed that the said Robson L. Broom ought to recover of the said Festus Edwards. J. O. Lockwood and David Sager by reason of the premises the sum of $125.00 It is therfore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the said Robson L. Broom. plaintiff recover of the said Festus Edwards. J. O. Lockwood and David Sager defendants the said sum of $125.00 so found due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are released by the said defendants

David Smith
vs
Louisa Smith } Petition for Divorce

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard. and it appearing to the Court that the cause could not be tried at this term of the Court. it is therfore adjudged and decreed by the Court here that the said plaintiff pay the said defendant the sum of Twenty five dollars as alimony pendente lite within ten days from this date or that execution ipsue therefor. and this cause is continued

No 1381 A. T. Carpenter vs J. M. Hubbard Admr. } Continued

No 1157 Farmers Bank of Union County vs B. D. Evans } Continued

No D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight } Continued

James O. Powers Admr. &c vs James O. Lawrence } Continued

Thomas O. Bowen Admr. &c vs J. G. Miller Admr. } Continued

J. W. Robinson vs James Cricket and Samuel Welsheimer } Continued

Job E. Fitzpatrick Admr. vs Allen Haines Admr. } Continued

Richard H. White vs Darius McPhire and Thomas Eubanks } Continued

M. Doyle & McDonald vs J. E. Safford J. C. & M. L. Elliott } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Saturday Morning 9 o'clock

P. B. Cobb Judge

Saturday July 26. 1873

This morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Wednesday

Hudson Haines, James Carter, J. P. Calender and E. S. Calender, Partners under the firm name of The Bank of North Lewisburg
vs
John McClain, N. W. Converse, & B. Glasco

Judgment $160.66
Cognovit

This day came into Court The Bank of North Lewisburg by Porter and Sterling its Counsel and filed its petition against the said John McClain, N. W. Converse and B. Glasco. And thereupon M. C. Lawrence, one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said, John McClain N. W. Converse, and B. Glasco, defendants, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendants and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process and acknowledged that the said defendants were indebted to the said Bank of North Lewisburg as it hath in its petition alleged and confessed. That the said Bank of North Lewisburg ought to recover of the said, John McClain, N. W. Converse, and B. Glasco defendants by reason of the premises the sum of $160.66
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Bank of North Lewisburg plaintiff recover of the said, John McClain, N. W. Converse and B. Glasco defendants the said sum of $160.66 so found due and also its costs herein expended taxed to $— and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are released by the said defendants

John S. Jones
vs
Holmes Hutchinson et al.

This day came John Connell by his Attorney and asked leave of the Court to be made a party defendant which was accordingly granted and he filed his answer and crop petition

John S. Jones
vs
Holmes Hutchinson and Frances E. Hutchinson his wife
John Hubbard Jr, and John Connell

And now come the parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the answer and crop petition of John Connell, and the other defendants having failed to answer or demurer herein and the parties having waived their right to a trial by Jury it is considered by the Court that the said John S. Jones recover the said sum of Three hundred and fifty dollars so demanded in his petition together with the sum of $33.25 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition
It is therefore considered that the said John S. Jones recover against the said Holmes Hutchinson as principal and the said John Hubbard Jr. as endorser the said sum of Three hundred and eighty three dollars and twenty five cents ($383.25) together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $— and the Court further finds that the facts and allegations contained in the answer and crop petition of the defendant John Connell are true and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said defendants Holmes Hutchinson principal and John Hubbard Jr. endorser. pay to said defendant John Connell the said sum of $300.00 as principal and Twenty Eight and 50/100 interest so as

Saturday July 26. 1873-

aforesaid found due with costs of suit, and in default thereof an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in the petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law- and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction- first of the costs herein- and second the claim of the plaintiff herein and third the amount due on the note of the defendant John Connell counting interest as claimed in his answer and crop petition up to date of payment- and the balance of the proceeds if any be bring into Court to abide the further order of the Court herein-

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg Cincinnati and St Louis Rail way Company } This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to make more specific and certain the amended petition filed June 11- 1873. and the Court being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said amended petition complies with the ~~provisions~~ orders of the Court in this case. showing the farm cropping named in said petition to be a private cropping. and therefore overrules said motion, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts- and thereupon this cause is continued with leave to defendant to file answer in thirty days from this date-

James A. Meyers and Samuel Meyers
vs
William H. Duvall Exr. } Partition
This day on motion of plaintiffs, they obtained leave to amend their petition so as to make new parties defendants &c in 30 days from the rising of this Court and cause continued-

Jane A. N. Jones Exr.
vs
Ketura M. Hodge Exr. } This day came the defendants Ketura M. Hodge George B. Hodge Susan Timberlake, Henry C. Timberlake, Josephine Golding, Walter S. Golding and John W. Tibbatts by their Attorneys and moved the Court for leave to answer to the petition of plaintiff, which motion was sustained, and said defendant granted leave to file an answer instanter to said petition which is accordingly done

Jane A. N. Jones Exr.
vs
Ketura M. Hodge Exr. } This day came the parties to this action, and thereupon the plaintiffs dismissed so much of this cause without prejudice as seeks to partition the lands in said petition described- and thereupon this cause came on to be heard on the issues between the plaintiff and the defendants John Mitchell, James McCloud, Malinda McCloud, Elisdas R. Bigelow, Thomas Colwell, George Colwell, Charles Baker and Jane Baker, as well as upon the Answer of Ketura M. Hodge, George B. Hodge, Susan Timberlake, Henry C. Timberlake, Josephine Golding, Walter S. Golding and John W. Tibbatts, and the testimony introduced by the parties, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendants, John Mitchell, James McCloud- Malinda McCloud and Elisdas R. Bigelow as to the Quit claim deed mentioned in said petition, made by the said Jane A. N. Jones and her said husband, and the said Ketura M. Hodge and her

Saturday July 26. 1873

husband. and the Court further find that the plaintiff Jane C. M. Jones is seized in fee simple. and is entitled to the posepion of an undivided one thirtieth of said 116½ acre tract. described in plaintiffs petition. and of one undivided one fifth part of said 87 acre tract therein described. and the Court further find that the said Yelura M. Hodge is seized in fee simple. and is entitled to the posepion of one undivided thirtieth part of said 116½ acre tract. and of one undivided one fifth part of said 87 acre tract- and that the said Susan Timberlake Josephine Golding and John W. Sibbetts are each seized in fee simple and are entitled to the posepion each of one undivided one fifth part of said tract of 116½ acres and of said 87 acre tract- It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Jane C. M. Jones and the said Yelura M. Hodge each recover of the said John Mitchell. Eliphas R. Bigelow James McCleloud and Malinda McCleloud one undivided one thirtieth part of said 116½ acre tract and that they recover of the said Thomas Colwell, George Colwell Jane Baker and Charley Baker one undivided one fifth part of said 87 acre tract. and that the said Susan Timberlake, Josephine Golding and John W. Sibbetts each recover of said John Mitchell Elephas R. Bigelow, James McCleloud and Malinda McCleloud one undivided one fifth part of said 116½, acre tract. and of the said Thomas Colwell George Colwell, Jane Baker, and Charles Baker one undivided fifth part each of said 87 acre tract- and it is further adjudged that the plaintiffs pay such of the costs as were made herein seeking to partition said premises. and that the remainder of said costs herein be paid by the said defendants John Mitchell Alpheus R. Bigelow
James and Malinda McCleloud. and the said Jane and Charles Baker and George and Thomas Colwell- Thereupon came the said John Mitchell Alpheus Bigelow- James and Malinda McCleloud and moved the Court to allow to them the benefit of the occupying claimant law, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said motion and find that said parties are entitled thereto- whereupon it is ordered that further proceedings may be had in the premises agreeably to the provisions of said Statute demand of second trial made by defendants John Mitchell James McCleloud Malinda McCleloud Alpheus R. Bigelow and Jane and Charles Baker- Thomas and George Colwell and allowed and bond fixed at $200 —

X Elizabeth Walden and James H. Walden, her husband
vs
~~George~~ Joseph A. Culbertson and George Sinclair

This day came on to be heard on the demurer to the petition, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said demurer, to which ruling and decision of the Court the said Joseph A Culbertson by his attorneys then and there excepted- and thereupon the said defendant Joseph A. Culbertson asked to file an answer in thirty days which was granted and cause continued-

X Elizabeth Walden by her next friend
James W. Walden, Plaintiff
vs
Joseph A. Culbertson, defendant

This day came this cause on to be heard on the demurer to the petition, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said demurer, to which ruling and decision of the Court the said Joseph A. Culbertson by his attorneys then and there excepted- And thereupon the defendant Joseph A. Culbertson asked leave to file An answer in thirty days which was granted ~~and cause~~ continued —

David Mulford
vs
Albert Galloway } This day on motion of plaintiff by his Attorneys he obtained leave to file petition in this case in thirty days from the rising of this Court and cause continued

George Beecher
vs
David Conklin, Israel Slack, John Wells as Trustees of Millcreek Township Union Co. O. } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the issues joined between the parties, the record and proceedings of said Trustees referred to in plaintiffs petition being introduced in the case as testimony, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that in said record and proceedings of said Trustees, there is manifest error and thereupon the Court order that the said proceedings of said Trustees be set aside at the costs of the defendant. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs in this suit expended taxed to $

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Wednesday Morning August 6th

P Bladeburn Judge

Wednesday August 6th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on July 26th

No 1398

Sylas Sabine
vs
L. J. Marshall } This day came the said defendant L. J. Marshall by his Attorneys and moved the Court for leave to file an answer to the petition in this case instanter which leave was granted by the Court and answer filed accordingly

No 1286

Robert Graham
vs
Wm Bancroft and Wm Richter } In contempt for violating an order of Injunction by Wm Bancroft

This day came on the motion of plaintiff against Wm Bancroft for contempt of the Court in violating the injunction of the Court heretofore allowed in this cause wherupon the defendant admitted that he was guilty but claimed that he was misled by others in the premises wherupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do order that the said Wm Bancroft make restitution to the plaintiff by removing the fence and obstruction by him made in the street and it is further ordered that the defendant Wm Bancroft pay the costs of this proceeding in attachment and that he stand in the custody of the Sheriff until this order is complied with and for further order this cause is continued until August 23 1873

Robson L. Broom
vs
John Burris } Judgment on confession

This day personally appeared in open Court John

Wednesday August 6- 1873

Burris the defendant- and voains the issuing and service of process and confesses that he owes and stands indebted to the plaintiff Robson L. Broom in the sum of Five hundred and thirteen dollars- and with the assent of said Broom and confesses judgment for said sum in favor of said Broom-
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendant the said sum of Five hundred and thirteen dollars- so confessed to be plaintiffs due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $-
The following agreement made by the above named parties is entered upon the Journal of the Court by their agreement- Article of agreement entered into this day between Robson L. Broom and John Burris- witnesseth- That whereas John Burris has this day confessed judgment in Union Common Pleas in favor of Robson L. Broom for the sum of Five hundred and thirteen dollars, upon an agreed settlement between them of all indebtedness it is agreed between the parties that on the conditions following, said Broom is to let said judgment stand, and not proceed to collect the same for the period of ten years from this date- that is to say- if Burris shall pay to said Broom interest on said judgment at the rate of 8 per cent and premium at the rate of 2 per cent annually, the first payment to be due and payable on said interest and premium on November 1st 1874- and each and every year thereafter on November 1st during said period of ten years, or within 30 days after said payment becomes due- then on such payment of interest and premium being made annually at said dates, or within said 30 days of said dates- the principal on said judgment is not collectable as aforesaid for the term of ten years from this date- and it is further agreed that in case of nonpayment of said interest and premium- or from the neglect of said Burris to attend to the same- so that said Broom is compeled to enforce his Mortgage liens on the land of said Burris- said Burris agrees to pay the costs of said foreclosure including a reasonable attorney fee to Brooms attorney in such foreclosure- witness our hands and seals this 6th day of August 1873
R. L. Broom (Seal) John Burris (Seal)

No 1398 James Shillington vs Hiram Kent & al settled-

No 1286 George N. Tricker by Samuel Prov. his Guardian vs John Tricker et al } Confirmation

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling attorneys for the petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale of the said premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of this Court and the same being examined and found by the Court in all respects in due form of law- It is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the said lands and tenements by him so sold as aforesaid And it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit including an Attorney fee to Porter and Sterling of $- be paid out of the said moneys in the hands of the Sheriff in the following proportions to wit- To George N. Tricker one fourth part To John Tricker one fourth part, To Oliver C. Tricker one fourth part and To Rebecca Howard one fourth part, and that the said Sheriff distribute the residue of said moneys between the said parties and in the aforesaid proportions-

Caroline Sherwood vs Benjamin F. Beck et al } This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion of Caroline M. Beck to set aside the decree and order of sale made in

this cause. whereupon the plaintiff in order to remove the doubt of title raised by defendants obtained the Quit claim deed of Adam Sherwood. Zebediah Sherwood and Lucy Drake to the plaintiff. and the said plaintiff executed to the defendant Benjamin F. Beck her additional deed for said premises executed in her own behalf and as executrix of the Will of Samuel Sherwood — Whereupon the defendant withdrew her said motion

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Saturday Morning August 23d 1873. 9 oclock

P B Cole Judge

Saturday August 23. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on August 6th 1873.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday August 25. 1 oclock P M

Monday August 25. 1873

This day the Court met at one oclock pursuant to adjournment Hon. James Mackenzie Presiding — Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney. John C. Price Sheriff and F. T. Arthur clerk

Andrew F. Freeman
vs
Charles E. Freeman Et. al.

This day came on this cause to be heard on the crop petition of Charles E. Freeman. Kate Freeman. Carry Ann Freeman. George H. Freeman and Edward R. Freeman filed by P. B. Cole their Attorney and it appearing that Charles Edward Freeman is insane. on motion P. B. Cole is hereby appointed Trustee of his interest in this claim. and fully authorized and empowered to act in his behalf. and receive his share due on the Mortgage as hereinafter found and decreed. and his receipt therefor and his cancellation of the Mortgage and decree shall operate as a satisfaction thereof. he the said P. B. Cole first giving his bond in the sum of Twenty five hundred dollars. with security satisfactory to the Clerk of this Court. conditioned that he will faithfully discharge his duty as such Trustee and pay over such funds as may come into his hands according to law —
and the Court also find that there is due to said Charles E. Freeman Kate Freeman. Carry Ann Freeman. George H. Freeman and Edward R. Freeman on said Mortgage the sum of Twenty six hundred and fourteen and 77/100 dollars of which the one half belongs to the said Charles Edward Freeman and the other

half belongs to the remainder of said Freemans in equal shares-
It is therefore ordered and decreed that unless the said defendants owning said lands shall pay said Twenty six hundred and fourteen and 7/100 dollars to said defendants filing said cross petition- also pay to Andrew F. Freeman the sum which was hereinbefore found due Andrew F. Freeman with interest and costs herein accrued taxed to ff within ten days that an order of sale issue for the same directed to the Sheriff of this County, commanding him to advertise and sell said lands according to law to satisfy the same and report his proceedings to this Court- by consent of parties no farther record of this case

And thereupon the Court adjourned until tomorrow Morning 9 o'clock-

James Mackenzie
Judge

Tuesday August 26- 1873.

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Peter L. Mayo
vs
John Mayo et al.

This day came on this cause to be heard on motion to redocket this cause. and it appearing to the Court proper that the same be done. and no one objecting thereto, it is ordered that said cause be redocketed and it appearing that an order of sale hath been made herein and yet no sale- it is ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff commanding him to advertise and sell according to law the premises described in said plaintiffs petition and report his proceedings to the next term of this Court- S. F. P. 446

X C. M. Robinson vs J. D. Alexander and Bank of Marysville & Continued
X Lewis W. Green vs Wm M. Robinson et al & Continued
X 1245 Paulina Smith vs Henry Smith & Continued
X James Taylor exr &c vs Adam Blue & Continued
X Selina Spain vs Robert T. Spain & Continued
X James P. Loop vs Herriott and Storie & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until tomorrow Morning 9 o'clock

James Mackenzie
Judge

Wednesday August 27- 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment Hon. P. B. Cole Presiding balance of Officers same as on yesterday

x Benjamin Clark vs Jennie Clark & Continued

x Thadeus Wood vs Robert Gibson and Hiram Benton & Continued

x John Long vs James W. Robinson Admr &c & Continued

x Ellis A. Perry Admr &c vs Samuel Turner and Nancy Turner & Continued

x Rebecca Pyers and Martin Pyers vs George Habsper Exr. & Continued

x No 1375 John H. Tickey Admr &c vs Rebecca Howard Exr. & Continued

x William Smith vs Eugene Williams & Continued

x A. B. Witter vs The P. C. & St Louis R. W. company & Continued

x Lucinda Johnson vs Joseph Johnson & Continued

x John Weidman vs Lewis Jenkins & Continued

x Elijah Witter vs Joseph K. Richey Exr. & al. & Continued also order of Injunction heretofore granted continued

x No 1392 John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood & Continued

x Commissioners Union County vs M. A. Henry & Continued

x State of Ohio vs William M. Oliver } Transcript from Taber Randall Justice of the Peace on charge of Forgery

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the said William M. Oliver defendant being in his own proper person before the Court and this cause came on to be heard upon the amount of the recognizance which the said defendant should give for his appearance at the next term of this Court (Grand Jury for this term having been discharged) the Court being fully advised in the premises do fix the amount of the recognizance of the said defendant William M. Oliver at the sum of Three hundred dollars, and the said William M. Oliver is required by the Court here to enter into a recognizance in the said sum for his appearance before this Court on the first day of the next term thereof, and in default thereof it is ordered adjudged and required by the Court here that the said William M. Oliver be remanded to the Jail of Union County Ohio there to be safely kept and confined so that his body be had before the said Court at the next term thereof to be holden and from day to day to answer such charge, and not depart the Court without leave-

State of Ohio vs Charles Sherman } On Transcript from Hylas Sabine Mayor of the Incorporated Village of Richwood Union County Ohio. on charge of an Apault with intent to Kill-

This day came the parties by their attorneys. and the said Charles Sherman defendant being in his own proper person before the Court and this cause came on to be heard upon the amount of the recognizance which the

x No 1320

Wednesday August 27- 1873

said defendant should give for his appearance at the next term of this Court (Grand Jury for this term having been discharged) the Court being fully advised in the premises do fix the amount of the recognizance of the said defendant Charles Sherman at the sum of Three hundred dollars, and the said Charles Sherman is required by the Court here to enter into a recognizance in the said sum for his appearance before this Court on the first day of the next term thereof and in default thereof it is ordered adjudged and required by the Court here that the said Charles Sherman be remanded to the Jail of Union County Ohio, there to be safely Kept and confined so that his body be had before the said Court at the next term thereof to be holden and from day to day to answer such charge and not depart the Court without leave —

✓ Sarah J. Bartnell
vs
Hullington. Phellis and Woods } This cause is dismissed by agreement of parties at the costs of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that ~~that~~ the plaintiff pay the costs in this action herein taxed to $ within ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law— No record—

X John W. Howard vs John A. Tricker Admr? &c? Continued

X to 1320 Joseph A. Culbertson vs George Sinclair? Leave to file petition in thirty days and continued—

X Martha J. Griffis vs Alice D. Kelsey Admx? Continued

X H. M. Merrell & Co. vs W. L. Piper? Continued

✓ J. C. Evans
vs
Charles Veny. John Powers and William Hall } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff- the defendants still failing to answer or demurer to plaintiffs petition, and the Court being advised in the premises do find that on July 1st 1873. Sixty five dollars had been paid, and that there is still due plaintiff the sum of Eighty and 67/100 dollars- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of said defendant the sum of eighty and 67/100 dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that Robert Welsh be and he is hereby appointed auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of Three dollars and giving bond according to law—

✓ John Wood- Assignee &c } Court of Com Pleas Union County Ohio
vs } On attachment
Bartlett- Berry & Co. Admrs. } This cause is dismissed at plaintiffs costs-
and costs paid- Geo. A. Sluggett & Watson & Layman Pltffs Attys

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

D. Bowlesley Clk

Court Record for 1874

Times fixed for holding Courts in the Third Judicial District of the State of Ohio, for the Year 1874

Be It remembered, That at a meeting of Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, of the Third Common Pleas Judicial District of the State of Ohio, at the Court House in the Town of Ligna County of Allen Ohio, on the day of the date hereof, for the purpose of fixing the times of holding the terms of the Courts of Common Pleas and the District Courts in said District, for the Year 1874, we the undersigned, Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, within and for said District, do hereby fix the times of holding said Courts for the Year 1874, in each and every County in said District as follows.

Common Pleas Court

The several terms of the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the several Counties of said District, in and for said Year, shall commence in the several Counties thereof as follows

In	Union	County	February 2,	May 18,	October 15
In	Hardin	County	February 24,	June 2	November 3
In	Logan	County	April 7	June 16	November 24
In	Shelby	County	January 13	May 5	September 23
In	Auglaize	County	March 2,	May 23	October 13
In	Allen	County	February 16	May 11	October 19
In	Mercer	County	February 16	April 27	November 9
In	Vanwert	County	January 12,	May 10	October 26
In	Putnam	County	January 26,	April 20	September 6
In	Paulding	County	February 3	June 2	September 22
In	Defiance	County	January 19	April 27	September 28
In	Henry	County	February 9	May 4	October 5
In	Fulton	County	February 24,	May 12	October 20
In	Williams	County	March 10	May 19	November 3
In	Wood	County	January 6,	May 5	September 1
In	Marion	County	February 17	June 9,	November 3
In	Seneca	County	February 16,	June 4	November 2
In	Hancock	County	January 26,	May 18,	October 5
In	Wyandot	County	February 2,	May 26,	October 5
In	Crawford	County	January 5	May 4	September 1

District Court

The several terms of the District Court, in and for the year 1874 shall commence in the several Counties thereof as follows

On	Williams	County	March 24
On	Fulton	County	March 25
On	Wood	County	March 26
On	Henry	County	March 30
On	Defiance	County	March 31
On	Paulding	County	April 1
On	Vanwert	County	April 2
On	Allen	County	April 3
On	Putnam	County	March 30
On	Auglaize	County	March 26
On	Mercer	County	March 27
On	Shelby	County	March 25
On	Logan	County	March 19
On	Marion	County	April 7
On	Union	County	March 17
On	Crawford	County	April 4
On	Wyandot	County	April 2
On	Hardin	County	March 23
On	Hancock	County	April 10
On	Seneca	County	April 13

And we do further order and direct that the several terms of said Courts shall commence on the first day of each of said terms at eight oclock A. M.
In testimony whereof, we ipue this, our order, for the purpose aforesaid, at said Court House, on this the 17th day of September A.D. 1873.

James Pillars
Abner M. Jackson
Philander D. Cole
James Mackenzie
Edward M. Phelps
} Judges

To the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio, who is hereby directed to publish this order for 4 consecutive weeks in each of the several newspapers published in said County

A true copy of the original order now remaining on file in my office
H. L. Arthur Clerk

October Term A. D. 1873.

October 19th A.D. 1873.

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 10 oclock A.M. in pursuance of Law. Present. P. B. Cole Presiding Judge. Leonidas Piper Prosecuting Attorney John S. Price Sheriff and F. L. Arthur clerk.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 10 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Monday October 20th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 10 oclock A.M. pursuant to Adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit: D. C. Lane, J. G. Beardsley, Rinaldo Moses, George Reed, T. M. Bodiker, Jacon Rogers, S. S. Jewell, J. B. Norris, Elisha Wells, Garrett Harris W. M. Wright, S. V. R. Young, D. A. Henderson, and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court elected anew, to wit. William M. Robinson and Edward Powers good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. The Court appoint the said William M. Robinson, Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room to deliberate; attended by a sworn constable

John S. Jones
vs
Holmes Hutchinson and Frances E. Hutchinson his wife and John Hubbard Jr, } P 220

The Court this day on motion of W. D. Pudney attorney for plaintiff, having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale by him made upon the order of sale issued in this case, and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such cases provided, do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold, and a distribution of the money arising from said sale after the costs are paid to the parties as prayed for in their petition and exhibits- All of which is entered accordingly

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Tuesday October 21. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Caroline Sherwood
vs
Benjamin F. Beck and Caroline M. Beck his wife } confirmation of sale

P. 183 + 224

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the sale made in this cause to the plaintiff, and it appearing to the Court that the proceedings and sale have been in all respects regular and lawful, the Court do confirm and approve the same and order the Sheriff to execute and deliver to such purchaser a deed in fee simple for said premises, and the Court order that out of the said purchase money the Sheriff pay the plaintiff the sum found due her by the former decree of the Court in this cause and interest and costs, and that he pay the taxes now a lien on said premises, and the sum of eight seven and $^{20}/_{100}$ dollars to Moses Thompson in satisfaction of his judgment rendered against said Benjamin F. Beck and which is a lien on said premises, and the balance he pay to said Benjamin F. Beck who is required to surrender possession on the execution of said deed

A. W. Torrence, Auctioneer

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that A. W. Torrence be and he is hereby appointed auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of Three dollars and giving bond according to law

X

B. C. Higgins
vs
John A. Hope }

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys but the defendant came not but made default, and said defendant being in default for demurer or answer to the petition, this cause was submitted to the Court upon the petition, exhibits and testimony, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to plaintiff B. C. Higgins from the said defendant John A. Hope upon the note mentioned in the petition the sum of Fifty four and $^{75}/_{100}$ dollars, and the Court do further find that the same is a lien upon the real estate mentioned in said petition and for the purchase money thereof. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant the said sum of Fifty four and $^{75}/_{100}$ dollars, the sum so as aforesaid found due together with his costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered and adjudged that if said defendant fail for five days from the rising of this Court to pay said sum of money and costs then that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to duly appraise advertise and sell said real estate according to law, and out of the proceeds of said sale to pay said judgment and costs, rendering the overplus if any to said defendant.

X

Isaac Shirk
vs
Aaron Shirk and others } In Partition

And now comes the said Isaac Shirk by Cameron and Kennedy his attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, answer and testimony and was argued by counsel; on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action

Tuesday October 21. 1873

has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this Court, and the Court do further find that the said Isaac Shirk has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of the 13th part of the said premises, and the Court do further find that the said Aaron Shirk, Henson Shirk, Silas Shirk, Sarah Miller, Lucinda Johnson Jennie Davis, John Shirk, Elizabeth Clements, Nancy Johnson, Sarah Carter, Jonas Shirk, Oliver Shirk, Sarah E. Shaw, Mary Louisa Shirk John E. Shirk, Hiram B. Shirk and other persons unknown but who are the heirs at law of Alfred Shirk and Benjamin Shirk, are tenants in common in said premises with the said Isaac Shirk in the following proportions to wit: That the said Aaron Shirk is seized of the 13th part thereof, the said Henson Shirk of the 13th part thereof, the said Silas Shirk of the 13th part thereof the said Sarah Miller of the 13th part thereof, the said Lucinda Johnson of the 13th part thereof, the said Jennie Davis of the 13th part thereof, the said John Shirk of the 13th part thereof, the said Elizabeth Clements of the 13th part thereof the said Nancy Johnson of the 13th part thereof, the said Sarah Carter of the 39th part thereof, the said Jonas Shirk of the 39th part thereof the unknown Heirs of Benjamin Shirk deceased of the 39th part thereof, the unknown Heirs of Alfred Shirk of the 13th part thereof, the said Oliver Shirk the 65th part thereof, the said Sarah E. Shaw of the 65th part thereof, the said Mary Louisa Shirk of the 65th part thereof, the said John Edward Shirk of the 65th part thereof, the said Hiram B. Shirk of the 65th part thereof—

It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union, commanding him, that by the oaths of Andrew S. Mowry Thomas Skidmore and Thomas Garwood, partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit. To the said Isaac Shirk one 13th part thereof, To the said Henson Shirk one 13th part thereof, To Aaron Shirk one 13th part, To said Silas Shirk one 13th part, To said Sarah Miller one 13th part, To said Lucinda Johnson one 13th part, To said Jennie Davis one 13th part, To said John Shirk one 13th part, To Elizabeth Clements one 13th part To Nancy Johnson one 13th part, To said Sarah Carter one 39th part thereof To said Jonas Shirk one 39th part thereof, To said Heirs of Benjamin Shirk one 39th part thereof, To the said unknown Heirs of Alfred Shirk one 13th part thereof, To said Oliver Shirk one 65th part thereof, To said Sarah E. Shaw one 65th part, To said Mary Louisa Shirk one 65th part, To said John Edward Shirk one 65th part, To said Hiram B. Shirk one 65th part and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

X J. W. Robinson vs James W. Cricket and others & Continued

X Hullingsten-Garwood & Co vs Michael Davis & Continued

X J. C. Hanover and S. W. Brown vs William Wharry Deakn & Continued

X Thos. O. Timmons Admr. vs Rhoda Harnes Deakn. & Continued

X John Swan Deakn vs Henry Williams Deakn. & Continued

X Lewis W. Green vs Wm M. Robinson Deakn & Continued

X Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman Deakn. & Continued

X Samuel R. Harbert vs James H. Neal and Henry Neal & Continued

Tuesday October 21. 1873

/ No 1397 James M. Southard vs Elizabeth Marks } Injunction

This day came the plaintiff and dismiped his action without prejudice to a new action at his own costs. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of plaintiff her costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

X Joshua Penn vs A. B. Butler }

On application of plaintiff leave is given him to file his amended petition at once, which he does, and leave is granted to defendant to file answer in thirty days. And cause is continued at plaintiffs costs, and the costs since the original petition was filed.

/ Rebecca J. Burkam vs Zelotes C. Pooler }

This day came the plaintiff and dismiped this action without prejudice to a new action at her own costs. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

/ Rebecca J. Burkam vs Newton Case }

This day came the plaintiff and dismiped this action without prejudice to a new action at her own costs. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

X James A. Meyers and Samuel Meyers vs William A. Duvall Jr. et al. } In Partition

On motion to the Court M. C. Lawrence an attorney of record is appointed Guardian ad litem of William A. Duvall Lucy A. Duvall, Sarah R. Duvall and Mary J. Duvall infant defendants in this case, and thereupon M. C. Lawrence appeared in open Court and accepted said appointment

X James A. Meyers and Samuel Meyers vs William A. Duvall Jr. et al. } In partition

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling counsel for the plaintiffs, it is ordered that by the oaths of H. J. Lazer, Levi Longbrake and Jefferson L. Richey one equal third part of the lands in the said petition described be assigned and set off to the said Ann Black as her dower estate, and that by the like oaths of the said H. J. Lazer, Levi Longbrake and Jefferson L. Richey partition of said lands be made subject to said dower estate in the following proportions to wit: To the said William A. Duvall one equal fifth part, To the said Lucy A. Duvall one equal fifth part To the said Sarah E. Duvall one equal fifth part, To the said Mary J. Duvall one equal fifth part, To the said James A. Myers and Samuel Myers together twelve acres and 9/20 of an acre out of the remaining one fifth part and to the said Elsina Griffith

Tuesday October 21. 1873.

the balance of said remaining one fifth part of said premises, and it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said dower to be assigned, and said partition to be made accordingly, and that he report his proceedings in the premises to this court forthwith

William H. Conkright
John E. Cahill and Byron L. Talmadge
Partners doing business under the firm
name of The Bank of Richwood
vs
Henry Evans and Charles C. Vansant } This day came the plaintiffs

and the said defendants still failing to demurer or answer to the petition of plaintiffs. It is considered that said plaintiffs ought to recover of the said defendants the sum of Twelve hundred and ninety four dollars ($ 1294-) as they in their petition have demanded - and the Court further find that the said Henry Evans is maker of said promissory note and that said Charles C. Vansant is an endorser on the same - It is therefore considered that said plaintiffs recover of said Henry Evans as maker and of the said Charles C. Vansant as endorser of said note the said sum of Twelve hundred and ninety four dollars and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Beriah Wilkins
vs
John Means and L. H. Ferguson } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came D. T. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 212 ⁹⁹/₁₀₀ It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $ 212 ⁹⁹/₁₀₀ so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

Farmers Bank of Union County vs B. D. Evans } Continued
Jacob C. Sidle vs John Ramey } Continued
Jacob C. Sidle vs D. H. Parsons et al. } Continued
John Foliff vs D. H. Parsons et al. } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

D. J. Cook Judge

Wednesday October 22, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

x Jane O. K. Jones Pet. vs Ketura M. Hodge Def. & Continued

x Andrew A. Spiker Admr. vs William Sprague Def. & Continued

B. W. Keyes
vs
O. Wells

This day came the plaintiff and the said defendant still failing to answer or demurer to the petition of plaintiff, and neither party requiring a Jury the Court do find that the said B. W. Keyes ought to recover of the said O. Wells the sum of one hundred and twenty four and 60/100 dollars as said plaintiff in his petition has demanded.
It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of one hundred and twenty four and 60/100 dollars ($124.60) and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

x Elizabeth Gill - plaintiff
vs
John S. Gill Pet. defendants

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff, the defendants being in default and the cause was submitted to the Court, upon consideration whereof and it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff has a life estate in the premises sought to be sold, subject to the dower interest of Harriet T. Gill, and it further appearing to the Court that a sale of the real estate devised to the plaintiff by her father would be for the benefit of the said plaintiff Elizabeth Gill and that no substantial injury would be done to the defendants herein who have the estate in succession or expectancy.
It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said lands be sold in the same manner as sales are made upon judgments at law, and for that purpose A. T. Carpenter Esq is hereby appointed special master commissioner with authority to have the same appraised subject to the dower estate of Harriet T. Gill, advertised and sold upon the following terms to wit, one third in hand, one third in one year, and one third in two years from the day of sale, with interest from the day of sale, The deferred payments to be secured by a Mortgage upon the premises.

x Ebenezer Healey vs William H. Wilson Def. & Continued

x Oziel Lapham vs Hayden Hutchinson & al Def. & Continued

x Oziel Lapham vs Hullington Phellis and Woods & Continued

x P. B. Cole Assignee & al vs A. T. Raymond & Levi Kirk & Continued under former order

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cowell Jr Judge

Thursday October 23, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Rebecca Ayers and Martin Ayers
vs
George Harper and others } In Partition

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition and on motion to the Court by Robinson and Piper counsel for plaintiffs, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this Court, it is ordered that by the oaths of J. M. Andrews, Michael Sager and ~~James C. Robinson~~ Andrew J. Mawry partition be made of said lands and tenements in the following proportions to wit, To the said Rebecca Ayers one equal fourteenth part To the said George Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Amanda Savage one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Emma Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Harrison Harper one Equal fourteenth part To the said Jackson Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Culver Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said William Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Dyer Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Mary Ann Davis one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Leyton Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Miranda Marshall one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Lewis Harper one Equal fourteenth part, To the said Bartley Harper one Equal fourteenth part, and it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said Union County commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

J. Greenleaf & Co.
vs
Samuel Brees } This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the said defendant still failing to answer or demurrer to the petition of plaintiffs, and neither party requiring a Jury, this cause is submitted to the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiffs ought to recover against said defendant the sum of one hundred and thirty Nine dollars as the plaintiffs have in their petition demanded. It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover of said defendant said sum of one hundred and thirty Nine ($139.00) dollars, and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Hyles Sabine
vs
L. J. Marshall } This cause is continued on the showing of defendant and at his costs. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant pay the costs of this term taxed at $-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

No 1416

Friday October 24. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Susan Humphreys Et al. }
vs
Jacob Hoffman Et al. }

And now come the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit. John Miller, C. W. Smith. Thomas Stillings. Andrew Noggle W. O. Wood. Thomas Yearsley. Aaron Richardson. B. J. Lamme. Robert Belt Regular Jurors. John Weaver sr. J. A. Clement, and W. P. Anderson tales Jurors who being duly impannled and sworn the thruth to speak upon the ipues joined between the parties, do upon their oaths aforesaid say, that the said plaintiffs are seized in fee simple of the following described premises viz.. beginning at a large rock and two small Hickories from one root at a point in the east line of Starlings partition of said survey No 3749. 70 poles S. 36. E. from the corner of said partition in the west line of said survey, thence S. 36° E. 144 poles to a stake in said partition line, thence N. 54° E 200 poles to a Dogwood, Ironwood and small beech in the line of William Carters survey No 3154, thence with Carters line N. 21½° W. 153 poles to a beech, two Dogwoods, ~~from~~ from one root and a stone, thence W. 54° W 222½ poles to the place of beginning, containing one hundred and Ninety acres of land, being parcel and part of the premises in the petition described and so as aforesaid demanded in manner and form as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition set forth. and the said Jurors upon their oaths aforesaid do say that said defendants Joab Hoffman. Thomas Donahoe John Donahoe Patrick Monon. Isaac Vanvy. James Marks, William Jenkins. and Eddy Spain do unlawfully Keep the said plaintiffs out of the posepion of the premises so described as a part and parcel of the premises in the petition of the plaintiffs set forth and in the manner and form as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition described. And the said Jurors upon their oaths aforesaid say that said defendants do not nor do any other of the defendants in said action unlawfully keep the said plaintiffs out of the posepion of any other part or parcel of the premises in the petition described, in manner and form as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition declared.

It is therefore considered that the said Susan Humphreys. Edwin Abbott Elizabeth Beazley, Walter Beazley, Joseph P. Philips. Samuel A. Ruggles Rebecca Harlan. Marquis Harlan. Ransom. Harlan. Virgiles Harlan Marion Harlan. Lloyd R. Coleman and N. D. Coleman do recover against the said Joab Hoffman. Thomas Donahoe. John Donahoe. Patrick Monon Isaac Vanvy. James Marks. William Jenkins and Eddy Spain. the premises so described as a parcel and part of the premises in the said petition described. and containing one hundred and Ninety acres of land. and also their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $ And it is further considered that the said Reuben Huffman Mary Stratton John Holycrop. Jacob Holycrop. Robert Wilson. Levi Spain Allen Borroughs. William Epps. William T. Epps. Eliza Reams and Nathan Poling go hence and recover against the said plaintiffs their costs in and about their defence in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1416

A. A. Woodworth }
vs
Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company } On motion of

Friday October 24, 1873

plaintiff. leave is granted to him to file his petition in this case within forty days from the rising of this term of Court. and cause continued

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Charles C. Vansant for Fraud. Indorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against Charles Kent for Apault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against John Hubbard for selling Intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated. Indorsed. a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against Frank White for selling intoxicating Liquors to be drank on the premises. Indorsed. a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against Lewis Mayo for Grand Larceny. Indorsed. a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against William Weber for selling intoxicating Liquors to one intoxicated. Indorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against John Reed for Murder in the first degree. Indorsed. a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against William M. Oliver for uttering and publishing a forged instrument. Indorsed. a true Bill William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against William M. Oliver for uttering and publishing a forged instrument. Indorsed. a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. And not having finished the business before them, they retired again to deliberate

William Hofferline &c. Pl'ff.
vs
John Powers &c. Def'ts.

This day came the said parties by their Attorneys and in open Court waive a trial by Jury in this action and submit the same to the Court upon the issues joined between the parties. And the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel do find that the said plaintiffs are seized in fee simple of the premises so as aforesaid demanded. in manner and form as the said plaintiffs in their said petition have set forth, and that the said defendants do unlawfully keep the said plaintiffs out of the possession of the said premises in manner and form as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition declared. It is therefore considered that the said William Hofferline, John Hofferline and Mary Hofferline do recover against the said John Powers, Edward Carey the premises in their said petition described and also their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $-
And thereupon came the said John Powers and Edward Carey and enter notice of their intention to demand and have a second trial in the action and the Court being of the opinion that this is an action in which the parties are entitled to a trial by Jury, do allow such second trial. and do fix the amount of the undertaking at $100.00
And thereupon came the said John Powers and Edward Carey by their Attorneys Robinson and Piper and made application to the Court here for the valuation of improvements and apeasements of damages under the Statute for the relief of the occupying claimants. And the Court having considered of the same are of opinion that the said John Powers and Edward Carey are entitled thereto, whereupon it is ordered that further

proceedings may be had in the premises agreeably to the provisions of said statute—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Black Judge

Saturday October 25. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Paulina Smith
vs
Henry Smith } Divorce

and now come the said Paulina Smith by Randall and Stevenson her Attorneys. and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was submitted to the Court, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been guilty of grop neglect of duty. It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same and it is further ordered that the said complainant be restored to her maiden name of Paulina Moses, and that the said Henry Smith pay the costs of this suit in ten days or that execution ipsue therefor—

Samuel Myers
vs
John Carter and Thomas P. Gratty } This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and the defendant Thomas P. Gratty by J. C. Johnston his Attorney. The defendant John Carter still failing to answer or demur, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the demur of the said Thomas P. Gratty to the petition of the plaintiff herein, and was argued by counsel upon consideration of which the Court are of opinion that said petition does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against the said Thomas P. Gratty and does sustain said demurrer— and thereupon the plaintiff asked leave to amend his petition herein within 30 days from this date which leave is given by the Court and this cause stands continued—

William P. Hopkins
vs
John Branston Administrator
of Elizabeth Hopkins deceased } No 1353 P 211.

This day came the parties to this action and with the approval of the Court settle this cause as follows. all matters and things involved in the pleadings, including any questions of interest that is or might be claimed to be due from plaintiff to said Estate are hereby settled and adjusted, this cause is to be dismissed at the

Saturday October 25, 1873

costs of said estate, and said Administrator is to pay to plaintiff one half of said estate subject to distribution, after all costs and expenses are paid in full satisfaction of his claim so made by him against said Estate, and the other one half of said Estate subject to distribution according to law is to be divided between all the heirs at law of said Elizabeth Hopkins deceased including the plaintiff.
It is therefore in pursuance of said agreement, considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of defendant as such Administrator his costs in this behalf expended taxed to ff

Benjamin Clark vs Jennie Clark } Divorce

This day came on this cause to be heard on the petition and evidence, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant has been duly notified according to law of the filing and pendency of said petition, and the Court do further find that the averments of said petition are all true.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the marriage relation between the said plaintiff and defendant be and the same is hereby dissolved and annulled and said parties divorce, and that the plaintiff pay the costs in five days or that execution issue therefor

Chauncey B. Linsley vs Amoretta Linsley } Divorce

This day came on this cause to be heard on the petition and evidence, whereupon the Court do find that the notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been guilty of gross neglect and cruelty as charged in said petition
It is therefore considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said plaintiff and defendant be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties divorced and that plaintiff pay the costs in five days or that execution issue therefor as upon judgments.

Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams et al. & Continued

Richard H. White vs Darius Mc Intire & Thomas Eubanks & Continued

Selina Spain vs Robert T. Spain & Continued

do 1309 Margaret Payne vs C. W. Rosette et al. & Continued under former order

James P. Loop vs Herriott and Stone & Continued

Commissioners of Union County Ohio vs M. A. Henry & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday Morning 9 o'clock

P. B. Cook for Ugo

Monday October 27. 1873.

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

X No 224 The State of Ohio vs Anthony Snodgrap } Recognizance

This day appeared in open court Anthony Snodgrap and P. M. Andrews and severally acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands and tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit:, that the said Anthony Snodgrap be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas within and for the County of Union and State of Ohio on the first day of the next term thereof that being the 2d. day of February A.D. 1874 to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling intoxicating liquors to one in the habit of getting intoxicated, then and there abide the order and sentence of the and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law

✓ John Long vs James W. Robinson Admr. &c }

This day came the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit: John Miller. C. W. Smith. Thomas Stillings. Andrew Noggle. Albert Witter. W. C. Wood. Thomas Yearsley, Aaron Richardson, B. J. Lamme, Robert Belt. Orson Benton. Regular Jurors, W. P. Anderson Tales Juror, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give on the issues joined between the parties. do upon their oaths find for the defendant on the claim of the the plaintiff. against the defendant and for the plaintiff on the claim of twenty dollars set up as an offset by the defendant. Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his cost herein expended taxed to $

✓ Thadeus Wood vs Robert Gibson and Hiram Benton }

This day came the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit: John Miller, C. W. Smith. Thomas Stillings. Andrew Noggle, Albert Witter, W. C. Wood. Thomas Yearsley, Aaron Richardson B. J. Lamme. Robert Belt Regular Jurors, W. P. Anderson, and P. M. Clement tales jurors who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give on the issues joined between Thadeus Wood plaintiff and Robert Gibson defendant, found for the plaintiff upon the issues joined and assessed his damages at Three hundred and three and 50 dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant Robert Gibson the said sum of Three hundred and three and 50 dollars, found due the plaintiff from said defendant as aforesaid. together with his costs herein expended taxed to $

✓ Henry C. Riddle vs Benjamin F. Montgomery &c. al. } Partition

P202

This day came on this cause to be heard on the report of the sheriff upon the order of sale herein before issued, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said proceedings and sale were mad in pursuance of law and do hereby

Monday October 27, 1873

confirm the same, and order the Sheriff to execute and deliver to the said purchaser thereof a deed in fee simple according to law, and the Court order that out of the purchase money paid to the Sheriff he pay the costs of this proceeding, including an attorney fee to Robinson and Piper of $32.50 taxes to $18.05 and that he pay the taxes which are a lien on said property, and the balance be divide according to the order made hereinbefore, between the heirs of James Riddle deceased, and that the defered payments be secured to said heirs by Notes and Mortgage in the same proportion viz. after paying Capius Hose one hundred dollars as his legacy the balance be paid as follows viz. one fourth to Henry C. Riddle, James Riddle one fourth, Milton H. and William Montgomery together one fourth and Capius Hose, Estelle Campbell and Ora Hose together one fourth

John Bartram
vs
Henton K. Finley, Margaret Finley and Edward Norris }

This day came the defendant Edward Norris by J. C. Johnston his attorney, and on his motion, and the consent of plaintiff, the default herein entered at the present term of this Court as to said Norris is set aside and said defendant has leave to file answer instanter which is accordingly done and the said Henton K. Finley and Margaret Finley still failing to answer the statements in the petition are taken to be true, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard before the Court upon the petition so taken to be true, the answer of said defendant Norris and the proofs and allegations of said plaintiff and defendant Norris, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court finds that there is owing from the said Henton K. Finley and Margaret Finley to the said defendant Edward Norris upon the Notes mentioned in his said answer the sum of Two hundred and Ninety two dollars and seventy one cents ($292.71) to become due as stated in the answer, and bearing interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum, which is by reason of the Mortgage mentioned in said answer a lien upon said Lot (303) mentioned in the pleadings, prior to that of plaintiff, and prior to all others - and the Court further finds that there is due to the plaintiff upon his said judgment described in the petition the sum of Two hundred forty two dollars and thirty six cents ($242.36) which is a lien upon said lot and appurtenances thereto, after the lien of said Norris, and that said premises ought to be sold for the payment of said liens and the payment of costs herein.
It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the said Lot and appurtenances be sold as upon executions at law, and that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County to sell the same, and it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of such sale, the said Sheriff pay first the costs herein to be taxed of record, that he pay to the said Edward Norris, or bring into this Court for his use the said sum of Two hundred Ninety two dollars and seventy one cents, with interest at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and third that he pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of Two hundred forty two dollars and thirty six cents with interest thereon, and the balance of said proceeds if any he pay to the said defendants, H. K. and Margaret Finley

No 1373 Rebecca Pyers and Martin Pyers vs George Harper and others } In Partition

Monday October 27. 1873

This day came on this cause to be heard on the report of the Sheriff and Commissioners in partition, and it appearing that said report and proceedings are regular, the same are confirmed, and neither party consenting to take said premises at their appraisement. It is ordered by the Court, that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County directing him to advertise and sell said premises according to law, and report his proceedings to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued

1282 Euphemia Dysert vs John Dysert et al. } P 171 & 210

This day came the parties to this proceeding and submitted this cause on the motion to invest the proceeds of the sale of the farm in the petition described, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do order that the sum arising from the sale of the premises after deducting the amount hereinbefore found due as a tax claim, and the costs of this proceeding be loaned to the defendant John Dysert if he secures the same by a Mortgage duly executed by him on the 160 acres of land in the County of Mercer in the State of Ohio and being the S. East quarter of section 33 in Dublin Township of said County, The said Mortgage to provide that said John Dysert shall pay to said Euphemia Dysert the interest on said sum of money annually as long as she lives, and at her death he pay to the heirs and legatees of Stephen Dysert the principal in the proportions and amounts provided in his last will duly admitted to probate in this County of Union

Lucinda Johnson vs Joseph Johnson } Divorce

This day came the parties and submitted this cause to the Court, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true, and that the petitioner is entitled to a decree of Divorce as prayed for

It is therefore considered ordered and decreed that the marriage relation between said parties be and the same is hereby dissolved and the plaintiff divorced from the defendant, and that she have the custody of her child named in her petition, and that the Alimony heretofore allowed to petitioner be paid her

No 1392 John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood } Continued by consent

No 1261 John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood } Continued by consent

No 1427 Commissioners Union Co. vs William Gabriel } Continued

No 1415 A. A. Woodworth vs The Cleveland, Columbus, Cin., and Ind. R. R. Company } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Beebe Judge

Tuesday October 28. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

State of Ohio
vs
Helen Artz

The Court appoint E. F. Pofpleton of Delaware Ohio counsel for defendant in this case.

The State of Ohio vs Helen Artz } On motion of the Prosecuting on behalf of the State the Court appoint as apistant counsel for the State Porter and Sterling

Ellis A Key, Administrator
of John Conner deceased
vs
Samuel Turner and Nancy Turner his wife } p 227

This day this cause came on to be heard, and thereupon came the plaintiff by his Attorneys, West, Walker and Kennedy, and the defendants Samuel Turner and Nancy Turner having been served with due procefs, and having failed to answer or demur, are adjudged to be in default, and thereupon the cause being submitted to the Court upon the petition and pleadings, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds there is due from the said defendants to said plaintiff, as said executor upon the note and Mortgage in the petition described the sum of $ 1579[00] Fifteen hundred and seventy Nine dollars.
It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed that said plaintiff as said executor do recover of said defendant said sum of $ 1579[00] so as afore said found due, with interest at the rate of 10 per cent per annum together with his costs in this behalf taxed at $
And it is further ordered and decreed that in case said defendant fails for ten days from the rising of this Court to pay said sum of $ 1579[00] so as aforesaid found due together with the costs of this case so taxed, then that an order ifsue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio, commanding him to Appraise advertise and sell said premises in the petition described to satisfy said debt and costs, and to bring the proceeds of said sale into this Court, and report his proceedings herein to the next term of this Court, and it is further ordered that said Nancy Turner wife of the said Samuel Turner be forever barred of all right and expectancy of dower in said premises.

No 225

The State of Ohio
vs
William Stimmel } Recognizance

This day came in open Court William Stimmel and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit: that the said William Stimmel be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas on the first day of the next term thereof that being the 2d day of February 1874, to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling intoxicating liquors to one in the habit of getting intoxicated and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not-

Tuesday October 28, 1873

depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law—

Union Building and Loan Association of Union County Ohio
vs
John T. Moore Et al.

This day came the parties and this cause was submitted to the Court neither party demanding a jury, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the plaintiff, and that there is due to it from the defendant John T. Moore on the Mortgage described in the plaintiffs petition the sum of one thousand and ~~three~~ seven and 77/100 dollars as alleged in said petition
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said John T. Moore said sum of one thousand and thirty seven and 77/100 dollars and the costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered that if default be made for twenty days in the payment of said debt and costs, that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who is appointed special master commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to appraise, advertise and sell said premises to satisfy said claim, and report his proceedings to this Court. Also at the same time came on this cause to be heard on the crop petition of the defendant James W. Brown, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for said defendant and that there is due to said James W. Brown the sum of Two hundred and ~~thirty~~ ~~seven~~ dollars as alleged in his crop petition and that said claim is a Mortgage lien on said premises prior to the said lien of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that if default be made of the payment of said sum for twenty days that an order issue to said Sheriff commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said premises according to law to satisfy said claim due said James W. Brown and report his proceedings to this Court

Susan Humphries Et al.
vs
Jacob Hoffman Et al.

This day came Joab Hoffman, Thomas Donahoe, John Donahoe, Patrick Monon, Isaac Vanpy, James Marks William Jenkins and Eddy Spain and gave notice of their demand of a second trial of said cause as to the 190 acres of land recovered against them in this cause at this term of the Court, and the Court finding that this action is one in which said parties are entitled to a trial by Jury do allow said second trial and fix the bond of said parties so demanding the second trial at $200.00

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Wednesday October 29. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

John Epps
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Rail Road company

This day came on this cause on the motion of defendant to require the plaintiff to make his petition more certain. whereupon the Court do sustain said motion. whereupon this cause is continued with leave to plaintiff to file amended petition in 30 days

1339 Moses Cooledge
vs
Francis Cooledge. Sarah Lincoln. her husband Oliver Lincoln. Mary Cranson. and her husband Louis Cranson. Amanda Cooledge. William Gabriel Dafney Webb and her husband Dwight Webb

confirmation of sale

and now comes the said Moses Cooledge by W. D. Pudney his Attorney, and on his motion and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law. It is ordered that the said proceedings. and sale be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed. and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple. and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the moneys in his hands pay first the costs in this case taxed to $113 44 and an Attorney fee to W. D. Pudney of $51 00 and the taxes amounting to $9 62 and that of the residue he pay to Moses Cooledge one equal seventh part. To Francis Cooledge one equal seventh part. To Sarah Lincoln one equal seventh part. To Mary Cranson one equal seventh part. To Amanda Cooledge one equal seventh part. To William Gabriel one equal seventh part. To Dafney Webb one equal seventh part. and that the said Sheriff distribute the moneys aforesaid to the above named persons and in the following proportions

Isaac Zane
vs
Holmes A. Hutchinson. George Lockhart and Thomas Burroughs

No 187

No 168

Now comes the said Isaac Zane and the said Holmes A. Hutchinson. George Lockhart and Thomas Burroughs having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Isaac Zane it is considered that the said Isaac Zane ought to recover the sum of Three hundred dollars ($300 00) so demanded in his petition. together with the sum of $7 00 dollars which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition.
It is therefore considered that the said Isaac Zane recover against the said Holmes A. Hutchinson. George Lockhart. and Thomas Burroughs the said sum of $307 00 dollars together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1451 Charles D. Chapman and Albert D. Chapman doing business under the firm name of

Wednesday October 29. 1873.

D. L. Chapman & Co. vs O. Wells and H. C. Partridge }

This day came the plaintiff by Robinson and Piper attorneys, and thereupon came A. J. Sterling one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $112.05; It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants the said sum of $112.05 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

Thomas Lavender }
vs
Charles Baldwin et al } P 201 Foreclosure

This Note on motion to the Court by J. C. Johnston Attorney for the plaintiff, and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale made by him in pursuance of the former order of this Court upon the order issued to him for that purpose, and the Court having carefully examined said proceedings are satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided.

It is now ordered by the Court that the said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and it is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff make to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for said premises. It is further ordered by the Court that the Sheriff out of the proceeds of said sale pay first the costs of this proceeding taxed at $ And the Court does further find that there is now due to the plaintiff upon the Judgment heretofore rendered in this case with interest to this date the sum of $1139.24 And the Court does find that upon the two other Notes in the petition mentioned there is due the sum of $2222.85 including interest to this date, and that the proceeds of said sale are insufficient to pay the said plaintiffs claim and the costs of this proceeding. It is therefore further ordered by the Court that the said Sheriff after paying the costs of this proceeding pay the residue of the proceeds of said sale to the plaintiff herein -

No 167 The State of Ohio vs Peter Rausch }
settled by agreement -

No 168 The State of Ohio vs Leonard Geer and Tobias Bartholami }
settled by agreement

And thereupon the court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow morning

P Z Cole Judge

Thursday October 30, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Abby B. Morey and A. Morey her husband } vs John F. Kinney et al. } This day on motion to the Court and it appearing to the Court that Louis English and William English defendants are minors, the Court appointed John L. Porter an Attorney of this Court Guardian ad Litem for said infants defendants and the said John L. Porter appeared, accepted said appointment and filed his answer as such Guardian ad Litem

No 205 The State of Ohio } vs Charles Kent } Recognizance This day came into Court Charles Kent and Hiram Kent and acknowledged themselves severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit: that the said Charles Kent be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Union and State of Ohio on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for Assault and Battery, then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law

Abby B. Mory and A. Morey her husband } vs John F. Kinney et al. } Order of Partition This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of Abby B. Morey and her husband, and the answer of the widow, Roxana Kinney and of the Guardian ad Litem and the Court being satisfied that due notice of the filing of the petition and pendency of this action was given by publication in the Marysville Tribune, for more than forty days prior to this date, this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiffs, on consideration whereof it is ordered by the Court that by the oaths of Cristopher Houston Philip Snider and Isaac C. Bothin one full and equal third part of the lands in said petition described be assigned and set off to the said Roxana Kinney, as her dower estate; and that by the like oaths of the said Cristopher Houston, Philip Snider and Isaac C. Bothin, three disinterested freeholders of the vicinity, Partition be made of the lands in the petition mentioned and described, subject to the dower estate of Roxana Kinney in the following proportions to wit: First. To Abby B. Morey one equal eighth part, 2d To John F. Kinney one equal eighth part, 3d To Laura Wilkinson one equal eighth part- 4th To Elisha Kinney one equal eighth part, 5th To Lathrop B. Kinney one equal eighth part, 6th To Mrs. Eliza Jewett one equal eighth part, 7th To Emry H. Malin, Maria Jones and Mary Jennette Knowels each one Twenty fourth part, 8th To Elizabeth English William English and Louis English each one Twenty fourth part- And it is further ordered that in case partition cannot be made of said lands without manifest injury, that the said appraisers

No 6
No 185
No 186
No 208
No 212
No 213

or commissioners appraise the same, and make return without dispinment of dower- and in such case that the appraisement be made free from dower of Roxana Kinney widow- It is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said dower to be dispined and partition made in accordance with the foregoing order, which writ of partition to be returnable forthwith

No 6 The State of Ohio vs William Weber } Settled by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 185 The State of Ohio vs William Weber & Settled by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 186 The State of Ohio vs William Weber & Settled by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 208 The State of Ohio vs William Weber & Settled by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 212 The State of Ohio vs William Weber & Settled by order of Prosecuting Attorney

No 213 The State of Ohio vs William Weber & Settled by order of Prosecuting Attorney

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bloom Judge

Friday October 31, 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

R. G. Savage vs W. A. Caldwell, Wm A. Cartmell his wife and D. S. Clement } This day came the said R. G. Savage plaintiff by his Attorney, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendants Wm A. Cartmell and Sarah J. Cartmell together with the exhibits and testimony the other defendants being in default, and it appearing to the Court that due notice of the filing of the petition in this case and of the pendency of this action has been given to the defendants W. A. Caldwell by publication in the Marysville Tribune, this cause was submitted to the Court, on consideration whereof the Court do find the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the the defendant W. A. Caldwell to the plaintiff R. G. Savage, upon the Notes in the petition mentioned at this date the sum of Three hundred sixty Nine and 25/100 dollars ($369.25) and that the same is a lien upon the premises in the petition mentioned by virtue of the Mortgage therein referred to- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant W. A. Caldwell within ten days from this date pay to the said R. G. Savage the said sum of Three hundred sixty Nine and 25/100 dollars, with interest from this date, and also the Costs of suit taxed to $. And in default thereof that the Sheriff

of this County for the time being proceed to sell the lands and tenements in the petition described as upon Judgments at law, and report his proceedings in the premises at the next term of this Court, to which time this cause is continued and all questions or matters not herein before decided in this case are continued

1381

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Blake Judge

Saturday November 1st 1873

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday

Tuscarawas Valley Bank
vs
John Stull, Michael Stull
and John A. Rounlaugh
} Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling attorneys, and thereupon came W. D. Pudney one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $338.74

It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $338.74 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of - per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

Leonora Martin
vs
Brayton A. Martin
} In Divorce

And now comes the said Leonora Martin by her Attorneys Porter and Sterling, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law- and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been guilty of wrongful neglect of duty toward the plaintiff -

It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same -

And it is further ordered that the defendant pay the costs of this suit taxed to $ in ten days or that execution issue therefor

Saturday November 1st 1873

1381 A. T. Carpenter
vs
Jasper M. Hubbard. Louisa M. Hubbard and Jonathan G. Miller

And now comes the said plaintiff by his Attorney. and the said defendants still failing to answer or demurer to said petition. it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises. and the Court after consideration do find that there is now due to the said plaintiff on the Notes and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of $106 75/100 with interest from July 22d 1873. It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant Jasper M. Hubbard the said sum of $106 75/100 with interest from July 22d 1873, the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $.
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant Jasper M. Hubbard fail for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $106 75/100 with interest so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to wit, situate in said County of Union in the State of Ohio and in the Military survey No 3234, and bounded and described as follows, Beginning at a stake in the center of Bokes creek and S.W. corner to land owned by Thomas Davis Heirs. Thence with their west line N. 7½° E 168 Poles to two beeches and a sycamore tree in the original line of said survey and N.W. corner to said Davis land. Thence N. 82½° West with said original N. line 44½ poles to a stake and N.E. corner to a lot formerly owned by A. T. Knox. Thence S 7½° W. 138 poles to a stake in the center of the county road from Knox's Mill on Bokes creek. Thence S 78½° W. 5 3/10 poles to a stake in the center of said road. Thence S 9¾° E 65 poles to a stake in the center of Bokes creek, Thence down said creek with the meanderings thereof to the beginning, containing fifty acres more or less. to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said sum so found due with interest and costs of suit, and that the balance of the proceeds of said sale be brought into Court subject to the further order of the Court

E. E. Sheldon & Co.
vs
Howard D. Shaffer & Bro.

P. 211

This day came the parties and agreed upon the amount for which Judgment is to be rendered to wit. the plaintiff strikes out his item of $18 69 for interest due when the petition was filed and the defendant withdraws his defence to other matters. Therefore this cause came on to be heard by the Court, and the Court find there is now due plaintiff from defendants after making said deduction and the $482 50 for which judgment was rendered at May term the sum of one hundred and forty six and 59/100 dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of one hundred and forty six and 59/100 dollars and their costs herein expended taxed to $

X Kellar Bancroft & Co.
vs
E. Hammond & Bro.

It appearing that a mistake was made in the description of the premises and that the sale made is unsatisfactory to all the

Saturday November 1st 1873

parties and there being no objection thereto the sale and all the proceedings had thereunder are set aside and held for naught
Thereupon the plaintiffs obtained leave to file an amendment to the petition which he now files by interlineation of his petition, and the Court further order J. W. Robinson be appointed Receiver to take charge of said premises and receive the rents therefor. and this cause is passed to the 15th of December 1873.-

Wm Ivan
vs
Wm Goff

This day came the parties and by consent of the parties this cause is referred to M. C. Lawrence Esqr to take testimony. state an account between the parties and report his findings upon the law and testimony and the amount which may be due to the plaintiff or defendant as he may find the law and the facts, and report at the next term of this court to which time this cause is continued

The State of Ohio
vs
Helen Arbz
} Indictment for Murder

On motion the Court allow to Porter and Sterling the sum of one hundred dollars for their services in aspisting the Prosecution in this case under their appointment for that purpose hereinbefore made

The State of Ohio
vs
Helen Arbz
} Indictment for Murder.

On motion the Court allow to E. F. Poppleton and D. W. Ayers the sum of Two hundred and twenty five dollars for their services in defending the defendant in this case under their appointment for that purpose hereinbefore made.

Isaac Shirk
vs
Aaron Shirk et. al.
} In Partition

On motion to the Court by Cameron and Kennedy Counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed. and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law. it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed. and thereupon came the said Isaac Shirk. John Shirk and Henson Shirk and elected to take the said premises at said valuation. and it appearing to the Court that the parties had not agreed otherwise. and there being no good cause to order otherwise, the Court order the terms of payment in said premises to be one third in hand. one third in one year and the balance in two years with interest upon the deferred payments. and it is further ordered that upon payment to each of the other parties of the one third of their respective shares of said valuation of said premises and securing to each of them the remaining two thirds of their respective shares of said valuation to the satisfaction of the Court. the Sheriff execute a deed in fee simple to the said Isaac Shirk. John Shirk and Henson Shirk for said premises. and it is further ordered that the costs of this action including a counsel fee of one hundred and twenty one and 75/100 dollars

Saturday November 1st 1873

to the said Cameron and Kennedy be paid by the said parties in proportion to their respective shares as mentioned in said writ of partition, and that the same be paid in full out of the first payment of said premises, and order that the taxes which are now a lien on said premises be also paid under default thereof that execution issue therefor-

W. C. Malin Auctioneer

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that W. C. Malin be and he is hereby appointed auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of Three dollars and giving bond according to law-

John S. Ell vs George Ell. et al } Confirmation of sales and order of distribution

This day on motion to the Court by M. C. Lawrence, Attorney for the plaintiff, and upon producing the proceedings of John Weaver, Special Master Commissioner, and the sales of the lands and tenements by him made in pursuance of a former order of the Court and the same being examined and found by the Court to be in all respects in due form of law- It is ordered by the Court that said proceedings and sales be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed in all things, and that the said John Weaver as such Special Master Commissioner, execute and deliver to each of the said purchasers deeds in fee simple for the lands and tenements by him so sold to them respectively as aforesaid, which deeds are to convey the lands free from the dower interest of Margaretta Barbara Ell, widow of John Frederick Ell, deceased- and on motion for an order of distribution of the proceeds, and securities arising from said sale, it is ordered by the Court that the Special Master Commissioner pay out of the moneys in his hands, as follows, 1st, The costs and expenses of this suit including an attorney fee to M. C. Lawrence in the sum of $158 75/100 and a fee to John Weaver as Special Master in the sum of $100 00/100 making in all the sum of $326 99/100- 2d, The taxes and assessments for the year 1873 and now a lien upon said lands in the sum of $158 16/100 3d, and that the residue of the moneys in his hands be distribute as follows-
1st, To Margaretta Barbara Ell the widow the sum of $784 77/100 2d, To John S. Ell one equal tenth part of the residue amounting to $ 3d, To George Ell one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 4th, To Catharine Mulser wife of Harmon Mulser one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 5th, To Michael Ell one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 6th, To Jacob Ell one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 7th, To Conrad Wm Ell one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 8th, To Mary S. Ell one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 9th, To Eva Margaretta one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 10th, To John Paul Ell one equal tenth part amounting to $235 57/100 11th, To Frederick Ell, Adam Ell, and Charles Ell, children and heirs of Sebastian Ell deceased the equal one thirtieth part each, amounting to the sum of $78 52/100 each.
4th, That the securities for the deferred payments be distributed as follows, 1st, To Margaretta Barbara Ell, the widow the sum of $784 77/100 due in one year, and $784 25/100 in two years, with interest- 2d, To John S. Ell, George Ell, Catharine Mulser Michael Ell, Jacob Ell, Conrad Wm Ell, Mary S. Ell, Eva Margaretta Ell, and John Paul Ell, each one tenth of the residue amounting to the sum of $284 25/100 each due in one year and $284 25/100 each in two years with interest
3d, To Frederick Ell, Adam Ell, and Charles Ell each one thirtieth of

Saturday November 1st 1873

the residue amounting to the sum of $94.20 each in one year and $94.20 each in two years with interest

October 25th 1873
This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their two several Bills of Indictment against William Weber for selling Intoxicating liquors contrary to law, each severally endorsed a true Bill William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their two other Bills of Indictment against Noah Poling for Furnishing Intoxicating liquors to a minor contrary to law. each severally endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury, also their other Bill of Indictment against Charles Smith for furnishing Intoxicating liquors to a minor contrary to law endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against Charles Wilmoth for Keeping Brothel contrary to law, endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their four several other Bills of Indictment against Desdemona Hubbard for selling intoxicating liquors contrary to law. Each severally endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. also their two several other Bills of Indictment against John Hubbard and Desdemona Hubbard for selling intoxicating contrary to law Each severall endorsed a true Bill William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other bill of Indictment against Anthony Snodgrass for selling intoxicating liquors contrary to law. endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against William Stimmel for selling intoxicating liquors. contrary to law endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against Frederick Goodstep for Keeping Brothel. endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against. Frank Lytell George Cisco and Elijah Cisco. for unlawful assembly. endorsed a true Bill. William M. Robinson. Foreman of Grand Jury and having finished the business before them they were discharged from further attendance as Grand jurors at the present term of this Court.

Henry Harrington vs Peter Moore } No 1445.

This day personally appeared at the Bar of the Court W. W. Woods and R. L. Woodburn who being first duly sworn as garnishees in the above action depose and say as follows- Question. state whether you are indebted to the defendant Peter Moore and if so. state in what sum and to what manner. and whether the same is due, Answer, we are, in the sum of one hundred and forty six dollars for loan association stock bought of him. the same is merely an account and now over due- R. L. Woodburn W. W. Woods- sworn to before me and subscribed by R. L. Woodburn and W. W. Wood in my presence this 24th day of October 1873. F. T. Arthur clerk Thereupon said garnishees by order of the Court paid said money $130.00 into Court and were ordered to be discharged from their liability to said defendant

Henry Harrington vs Peter Moore } No 1445.

This day personally appeared at the Bar of the

Saturday November 1st 1873.

Court Coroden McCleloud who being first duly sworn as Garnishee in the above action deposes and says as follows.
Question- state whether you are indebted to the defendant Peter Moore and if so state in what manner and in what sum and whether the same is due
Answer- I am indebted in the sum of thirty five dollars for a tombstone set up by said defendant in pursuance of a contract entered into between myself and said Peter Moore. Coroden McCleloud
sworn to before me and subscribed by Coroden McCleloud in my presence October 25th 1873. H. T. Arthur clerk
Thereupon said garnishee was ordered to pay said money into court and upon payment thereof that he be discharged

E. D. Smith
vs
P. C. and St Louis R. W. Company

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit:: John Miller. C. W. Smith. Thomas Stillings. Andrew Noggle. W. C. Wood. Thomas Pearsley. Aaron Richardson. P. F. Lamme. Robert Belt Regular. Jurors, John Weaver sr. J. H. Clement. and W. P. Anderson Tales Jurors, who being empannelled and sworn to well and truly try the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say. that the said Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St. Louis R. W. Company doth owe to the said E. D. Smith the sum of one hundred dollars. in manner and form as the said E. D. Smith hath complained against said Company. and they assess the damages by reason of the premises as set forth in said plaintiffs petition to one hundred dollars.
Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of one hundred dollars.
Thereupon said defendant moved the Court for second trial and the Court being of the opinion that this is a case wherein a second trial is allowable it is granted accordingly, and do fix the bond therefor in the sum of $200 00
Counsel for plaintiff moved the court in consideration of the premises aforesaid to render Judgment in favor of plaintiff for his costs herein made. the Court overrule the motion. to which ruling plaintiff excepts

James H. Meyers
And Samuel Meyers
vs
William H. Duvall Jr. ads

In Partition

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling Attorneys for the plaintiffs. and upon producing the return of the Sheriff. and the report of said Commissioners. heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court, and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law; it is hereby ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed. and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at said valuation. it is ordered that said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union (subject to the dower estate of said Ann Black) according to law; and that he return his doings in the premises to this Court after such sale is made

A. J. Blake & Co.
vs
A. D. Browning and others

This day came the plaintiff by their attorney

Saturday November 1st 1873

and the said Wm Airs by his attorney, and the said O. D. Browning having failed to answer or demurer he is adjudged to be in default, and this cause was submitted to the Court upon the petition of the plaintiffs and the answer of the said Wm G. Airs, upon consideration of which and being fully advised in the premises, the Court do find that since the commencement of this action the said O. D. Browning has paid off and discharged the claim of plaintiffs as well, all other of the claims in the various answers mentioned, except the claim of the said defendant Wm G. Airs, and the Court do find that there is due to the said Wm G. Airs upon the claim mentioned and set forth in his said answer the sum of seventeen dollars and fifty cents, and the Court does further find that the same is a lien upon the premises in the said petition and answer described, It is therefore ordered by the Court that the defendant O. D. Browning pay to the said W. G. Airs within ten days from the rising of this Court the said sum of $17.50 together with the costs in this suit expended, and in default of such payment, that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to appraise, advertise and sell the said premises in the petition and answer described and that he apply the proceeds of said sale, first to the payment of the costs herein. 2d, that he pay to the said Wm G. Airs his said claim as found by the Court, with interest from this date, and that he pay the residue to the said O. D. Browning

Daniel Harman
vs
Robert Snodgrass and J. C. McAdow

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, the said defendants still failing to answer or demurer to the petition of plaintiff, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that since the commencement of this action the defendants have paid to plaintiff on said Note the sum of one hundred and five and 33/100 dollars, and that there is still due to plaintiff on the same and unpaid by defendants the sum of eighty two and 12/100 dollars as plaintiff hath set forth in his petition. It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover of said defendants said sum of eighty two and 12/100 dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

J. Greenleaf & Co
vs
Samuel Brees and Benjamin Wood as partners under the firm name of Brees and Wood

This day came the plaintiffs, the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that since the commencement of this action the defendants have paid to plaintiffs on said account the sum of forty dollars, and that there is yet remaining unpaid from defendants to plaintiffs on said account the sum of Ninety seven and 3/100 dollars as the plaintiffs have demanded.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover of said defendants said sum of Ninety seven and 3/100 dollars and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1404

Saturday November 1st 1873

The Farmers Bank of Union County
vs
John Adams and Lorenzo Cheney as Administrator of Henry Baldwin deceased

This day came the plaintiffs. The said defendants still failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiffs, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiffs ought to recover of the said John Adams, and against the said Lorenzo Cheney as Administrator on the estate of Henry Baldwin deceased the sum of Three hundred and seventy eight and 45/100 dollars as the plaintiffs have in their petition demanded. It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover of the said John Adams and Lorenzo Cheney as Administrator of Henry Baldwin deceased, the said sum of Three hundred and seventy eight and 45/100 dollars and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $-

X
No 1404

Truxton Ford
vs
Isaac Gray and Samuel C. Cook

And now comes the said Truxton Ford by Robinson and Piper his attorneys and the said Isaac Gray and Samuel C. Cook still failing to demur or answer to the said petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant Isaac Gray to the said plaintiff on the Note in the said petition set forth the sum of Two hundred twenty four and 50/100 dollars.
It is therefore considered by the Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of Two hundred and twenty four dollars and fifty cents so as aforesaid found due and also his costs taxed to $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for three days from this, ~~date close of this term of the Court~~ to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $224 50/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio for the time being as Special Master Commissioner of this Court, commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

✓

E. M. Truman by
R. B. Truman her next friend
vs
George Sinclair and Charles Erb

And now comes E. M. Truman by R. B. Truman her next friend by their attorneys and the said George Sinclair and Charles Erb having failed to demur or answer to the petition of E. M. Truman by her next friend R. B. Truman, it is considered that the said E. M. Truman and R. B. Truman her next friend ought to recover the sum of one Thousand dollars so demanded in their petition, together with the sum of Twenty six and 66/100 dollars which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition -
It is therefore considered that the said E. M. Truman and R. B. Truman recover against the said George Sinclair and Charles Erb the said sum of one Thousand and Twenty six and 66/100 dollars, together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf
Expended taxed to $-

Saturday November 1st 1873

Lester A. Dockum, vs M. M. Stimmel and Anna Stimmel } No 1437

And now comes the said Lester A Dockum by Robinson and Piper his Attorneys, and the said M. M. Stimmel and Anna Stimmel still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that said plaintiff Lester A. Dockum ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff finds that there is due from the said defendant M. M. Stimmel to the said plaintiff on the Note due in said petition set forth the sum of $166 66/100 for the principal of said Note together with $15 83/100 the sum found due as interest It is therefore considered by the Court here that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant M. M. Stimmel the said sum of one hundred and eighty two dollars and forty nine cents ($182 49/100) the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $— And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant M. M. Stimmel fails for five days from ~~the close of this term of the Court~~ this date to pay the said plaintiff the said sum of $182 49/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union for the time being as Special Master commissioner of this Court for that purpose appointed by this Court commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered.

No 1403

Truxton Ford vs Isaac Gray }

And now comes the said Truxton Ford by Robinson and Piper his Attorneys, and the said Isaac Gray failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on said indebtedness in the said petition set forth the sum of Eleven hundred thirty three and 33/100 dollars. It is therefore considered by this Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $1133 33/100 the sum so as aforesaid found due and his costs taxed to $— And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for five days from this date to pay the said plaintiff the said sum of $1133 33/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union for the time being as Special Master commissioner of this court, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered.

No 203

The State of Ohio vs William M. Oliver } Indictment for uttering and publishing a forged instrument

The defendant William M. Oliver was this day arraigned, a copy of the

No 210

No 1376

Saturday November 1st 1873

indictment having been delivered to J. L. Cameron and J. M. Kennedy counsel for the said William M. Oliver defendant on the 25th day of October A.D. 1873 by H. T. Arthur Clerk of this Court, and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged, whereupon it is considered by the Court that the cause cannot be tried before the fifteenth day of December A.D. 1873, to which time the Court this day adjourns, The Court therefore ordered that the defendant make his recognizance to the State of Ohio in the sum of Five hundred dollars, in default of which it is further ordered by the Court that the said William M. Oliver be remanded to the Jail of the said County of Union, there to await the further order of this Court or until he be discharged by due course of law

X
No 210 The State of Ohio
vs
John Reid

Indictment for Murder in the first degree

The defendant John Reid was this day arraigned, a copy of the indictment having been delivered to J. L. Cameron and J. M. Kennedy his counsel for the said defendant John Reid on the 25th day of October A.D. 1873 by H. T. Arthur clerk of this Court in accordance with the statute in such case made and provided, and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged, whereupon the Court assign the tenth day of February A.D. 1874, as the trial day for said cause, and the Court further ordered that the said John Reid be remanded to the Jail of the said County of Union, into the care, custody and keeping of the Jailor thereof, there to be safely kept so that his body be had before this Court on the said tenth day of February A.D. 1874, then to answer unto said charge from day to day and abide the further order of the Court, to which time this cause is continued

✓
No 1376 William Smith
vs
Eugene Williams } Settled

✓
Amos D. Leib
vs
George H. Rhodes and David Blue }

This day this cause came on to be heard the defendants being in default, and neither party requiring a jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due to the plaintiff on the note mentioned from the defendant George H. Rhodes as principal, and David Blue as endorser at this date, the sum of seven hundred and eleven and 75/100 dollars as well as the sum of $1.25 charges of protest.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant George H. Rhodes as principal and the defendant David Blue as endorser the sum of seven hundred and thirteen dollars so found due and also his costs herein expended &

✓
State of Ohio
vs
Helen Artz } On Indictment for Murder in first degree

Saturday November 1st 1873.

This day came the State of Ohio by the Prosecuting Attorney Leonidas Piper and also the defendant Helen Artz being in her own proper person before the Court and also represented by counsel by this Court heretofore appointed- Thereupon came the Sheriff of Union County and made his return of the Special venire for thirty-six jurors heretofore issued by the order of this Court and upon the calling of said jurors by the Clerk of said Court all answered to their names except George B. Hamilton; whereupon the Court ordered the Sheriff to fill the panel from the bystanders, and Andrew Keyes a good and lawful person as Talesman- The said jurors were then called in their order one at a time, and by the said Court put upon their oaths and Questioned by the Court, Attorneys for plaintiff, and by Attorneys for the defence touching the matter before the Court and the following persons were passed into the jury Box to wit:

D. A. Woodworth, James G. Sewers, J. L. Richey, E. B. Taylor, John Chapman, Luther Liggett, William Howard, George D. McClain, J. M. Andrews, John K. Dodge, David M. Campbell, and William H. Bennett. which said persons were duly sworn by the Court as jurors to faithfully and impartially try and true deliverance make between the parties in the cause at bar, wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and Helen Artz defendant, in accordance with the law and the evidence, plaintiff then stated the case to the jury and the Counsel for defendant made statement of the defense to the jury, witnesses were then examined for the State and crop examined by defendants counsel and rested, then the witnesses for the defence were introduced and examined, defendants counsel and crop examined by plaintiffs counsel- case was then fully argued by counsel and the jury charged the Court- then retired and after deliberating for 40 minutes ~~the~~ returned the following verdict

We the jury empannelled and sworn to well and truly try, and true deliverance make between the State of Ohio and the prisoner at the bar do find the defendant Helen Artz not Guilty

D. A. Woodworth Foreman

Whereupon the Court ordered that the defendant Helen Artz be released and discharged from custody and from further answering to the Charge in said indictment set forth-

E. M. Freeman by &c vs Edward D. Spain } Settled costs paid

Abby B. Morey and
A. Morey her husband
vs
John F. Kinney et al }

This day upon motion to the court and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff, and also the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined, it is ordered by the Court that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said real estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners on motion of the plaintiffs it is ordered by the court that said real estate be sold by the Sheriff of Union County at public auction according to the statute in such case made and provided, free

Saturday November 1st 1873

from the dower interest of Roxana Kinney upon the following terms to wit: one third in hand, one third in one year and one third in two years from the day of sale, with interest from the day of sale, the payments to be secured by Mortgage on the premises sold.

Amos D. Leib
vs
David Blue and Sarah Blue his wife

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff the exhibits and testimony, and was submitted to the Court, on consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant David Blue on this 1st day of November 1873 upon the 1st note in the Mortgage mentioned the sum of Nine hundred seven and 65/100 dollars which sum is a lien upon the premises in the petition mentioned by virtue of the Mortgage therein referred to;

It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant David Blue within ten days from this date pay to said plaintiff said sum of $907.65 with interest from this day until paid, and also the costs of this suit taxed to $ and in default thereof that the Sheriff of this County for the time being proceed to sell the lands and tenements in the petition mentioned as upon Judgments at law, and report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court, as to all other matters in the petition mentioned & referred to this cause is continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday December 15th 1873. at 10 oclock.

C Beale Judge

Monday December 15th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 10 oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment & the same officers present as on Saturday November 1st

No 189 — The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Indictment for Retailing

This day came L. Piper Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi on the above indictment

No 200 — The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Indictment for Retailing

This day came L. Piper Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi on the above indictment

No 201 — The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Indictment for retailing

This day came L. Piper Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi on the above Indictment

No 202 — The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Indictment for retailing

This day came L. Piper Prosecuting Attorney and entered a Nolle prosequi on the above indictment

No 3 — The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Indictment for retailing

The defendant Uriah S. Alden was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him— It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of ten dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said Uriah S. Alden be imprisoned in the jail of this county until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

No 4 — The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Indictment for retailing

~~This day~~ The defendant Uriah S. Alden was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him— It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of ten dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said Uriah S. Alden be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

No 5 — The State of Ohio vs Uriah S. Alden } Indictment for Retailing

No 9 ✓

No 12 ✓

No 13 ✓

No 14 ✓

Monday December 15th 1873

The defendant Uriah S. Alden was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of Twenty dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said Uriah S. Alden be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

✓
No 9 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Indictment for retailing

The defendant John Cartmell was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him
It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said John Cartmell be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

✓
No 12 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Indictment for retailing

The defendant John Cartmell was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him
It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said John Cartmell be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

✓
No 13 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Indictment for retailing

The defendant John Cartmell was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him
It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said John Cartmell be imprisoned in the jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

✓
No 14 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Indictment for retailing

The defendant John Cartmell was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him
It is thereupon considered by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said John Cartmell be imprisoned in

the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law

No 15 The State of Ohio vs John Cartmell } Indictment for retailing

The defendant John Cartmell was this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars, and also the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and that the said John Cartmell be imprisoned in the Jail of this County until the said fine and costs be paid or secured to be paid or he be otherwise discharged according to law.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Tuesday December 16th 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Walter Beecher vs David Conklin Dec'd, Trustees of Millcreek Township } Injunction

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and agree, that said proceedings of said defendants as such Trustees relative to the location and construction of said ditch mentioned in plaintiffs petition be set aside. It is thereupon in pursuance of said agreement ordered that said proceedings of said Trustees be and the same are hereby set aside at the costs of said defendants. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendants his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

No 204 State of Ohio vs William M. Oliver } Indictment for forgery

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney L. Piper and entered a Nolle Prosequi in this case

No 203 State of Ohio vs William M. Oliver } Indictment for forgery

The defendant William M. Oliver was this day again brought before the Court in his own proper person and also represented by Counsel and by leave of the Court withdrew his former plea of not guilty, and for plea says he is guilty in

Tuesday December 16. 1873

manner and form as he stands charged, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him.
It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that he be imprisoned in the Penetentiary of this State and kept at hard labor for the term of three years. No part of which time is to be kept in solitary confinement in the cells of the Penetentiary without labor, and that he pay the costs of prosecution taxed at $
The Court allow one Guard in conducting the said convict to the Penetentiary, and the said William M. Oliver is ordered into the custody of the Sheriff until he be legally discharged

D. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander and Bank of Marysville } Continued

× Peter L. Mayo vs John Mayo Admr. } Continued

× Reuben W. Weisz vs James Megahey Admr. } Continued

× S. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight } Continued

× James C. Powers Admr. &c vs James C. Lawrence } Continued

× Thomas C. Bowen Admr. &c vs J. G. Miller Admr. } Continued former order

× Job Fitzpatrick Admr. vs Allen Haynes Admr. } Continued

× William C. Piper Admr. vs James Gabriel Admr. } Continued

× Mary Haines Admx. vs Henry Barth Admr. } submitted Dec. 21. 1873

× John Chapman vs George Terrell }

And now comes the said John Chapman by his Attorneys, and the said George Terrell still failing to demur or answer to the petition, It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the Note in the said petition set forth the sum of Five hundred and Eighty Nine dollars and Ninety six cents.
It is therefore considered by the said Court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the sum of Five hundred and Eighty Nine dollars and Ninety six cents, the sum so found due as aforesaid, and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of Five hundred and Eighty Nine dollars and Ninety six cents so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County as Special Master Commissioner of this Court, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale

Tuesday December 16, 1873

in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Joshua Penn
vs
A. B. Butler

Continuance opened by consent and leave to defendant to plead to petition by January 1st. 1874 and continued

D. Torrens & Co.
vs
Sloms and Davis

And now come the said plaintiffs by Weaver and Martin their attorneys, and the said defendants having failed to answer or demurer to the petition of the said plaintiffs are adjudged in default, whereupon on the motion of the said plaintiffs this cause came on to be heard on the petition, exhibits and testimony, and it is considered by the Court here that the said D. Torrens & Co. ought to recover from the said Sloms and Davis the said sum of $341.11 so demanded in their petition with the sum of $2.66 which the Court finds to be due as the interest thereon as prayed for in the said petition. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said D. Torrens & Co. do recover of the said Sloms and Davis the said sum of $343.77 less the sum of $100.00 which is hereby credited on said judgment together with their costs in and about this suit expended taxed to $

John Woodruff
vs
Elijah Harris

Continued with leave to plaintiff to file petition in 30 days

A. N. Bell
vs
John Drury

This day came said defendant John Drury by his attorneys and the said plaintiff came not but made default, and it appearing to the Court that judgment in this action was rendered against said plaintiff in favor of said defendant for the sum of Thirty dollars and costs before Ahniel Jewett J.P. Leesburg Township Union County Ohio, and the said plaintiff appealed thereon and filed his Appeal Transcript herein on the 15th day of September 1873, and has ever since neglected and failed to prosecute his said action, and has failed to file petition so as to be in default and become non suit. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court here that the said defendant recover of the said plaintiff the sum of thirty dollars his damages so found and agreed and judgment so rendered by and before said Justice and also the costs of this action before said Justice and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

Robert Graham
vs
William Richter et al.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of

Tuesday December 16. 1873

defendants to dipolve the injunction heretofore granted in this action and dismiss the petition, and was argued by counsel and submitted on consideration whereof the Court do sustain said motion and order and adjudge the said injunction be dissolved and said petition dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendant go hence without day and recover of plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $ - to which ruling of the Court plaintiff excepts, and thereupon plaintiff gave notice of his appeal which was allowed by the Court and bond fixed at $100—

Ellen Jenkins vs Josiah Jenkins } In Divorce

And now come the said Ellen Jenkins by her attorneys Cameron and Kennedy and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel- in consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given by publication to the said defendant according to law and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been wilfully absent from the said petitioner for more than three years last past prior to the filing of said petition, and also guilty of grop neglect of duty to the said petitioner-

It is therefore ordered- adjudged and decreed that the Marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and it is further ordered that the complainant be restored to the name of Ellen Wolley that being her name by a former marriage, and that she pay the costs of this suit in ten days or that execution issue therefor

James H. Myers and Samuel Myers. Plaintiffs vs William H. Duvall. Lucy A. Duvall, Sarah E. Duvall Mary J. Duvall. Elsnia Griffith. Ebenezer Griffith Defendants }

And now come the the plaintiffs and on his motion, and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this court, and the Court being satisfied on examination, that said sale has been made according to law; it is ordered that the said proceedings and sale be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple, and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the money in his hands pay first the costs of this case including a counsel fee of 5.8^{00} to Porter and Sterling and also the taxes due on said land, and that he pay the residue as follows, To William H. Duvall one fifth part. To Sarah E. Duvall one fifth part To Lucy A. Duvall one fifth part. To Mary J. Duvall one fifth part and to James H. Myers and Samuel Myers together the value or proportion of twelve and $\frac{1}{2}$0 acres of the remaining one fifth and to Elsina Griffith the balance of said one fifth

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Saturday Morning 9 oclock Fee- 20

P Bowle Judge

Saturday December 20. 1873

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Tuesday December 16.

Tuscarawas Valley Bank
vs
Wm. A. Pittinger John A. Campbell
Philip Getzman and Wm Campbell } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling attorneys and thereupon came Jas. B. Cole one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven waived the issuing and service of proces, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $476.75.
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $476.75 so as aforesaid confesed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 6 per cent per annum. And by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Samuel Spencer
vs
Mary Spencer } By consent of parties the petition in this case is withdrawn.

Mary Spencer vs Samuel Spencer

And now came the said Mary Spencer and the defendant Samuel Spencer having waived the issuing of summons and copy of the petition and service of proces and having entered his appearance and waived time and consented to the hearing by the Court of said cause of action on said petition, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony, and on consideration whereof, the Court do find that the said defendant was guilty of extreme cruelty to the plaintiff and also gross neglect of duty as set forth in her said petition.
It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between said parties be, and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same. And it is further ordered and decreed that said plaintiff have the custody and control of the children of said parties, and it is further ordered that said defendant be allowed to visit his said children at all reasonable and proper times, and it is further ordered that the defendant pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ within thirty days or that an execution issue therefor.

No 1404

Martha J. Griffis
vs
Alice D. Kelsey et al. } This day came the parties and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, answer, exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel and submitted to Court, on consideration whereof and the Court having carefully examined said petition, answer, exhibits

Saturday December 20th 1873

and testimony and being fully advised in the premises do find that the facts stated in said petition are true, and that the purchase money for the premises stated therein was paid in full by said plaintiff, and that she is entitled to the fee simple thereof, and that the same should be decreed to her as an estate in fee simple. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that an estate in fee simple be and the same is hereby declared to be in said plaintiff, and that she is hereby authorized and empowered to control, dispose of and sell the same as if said title and deed had been originally made to her for said premises. It is further ordered that said defendants be and they are hereby excluded from having or claiming any estate or interest in said premises by reason of the deed for said premises having been made to said George P. Kelsey in place of plaintiff, and it is further ordered that plaintiff pay the costs of this proceeding, which with her consent is accordingly done.

A. M. Merrell Adm'r
vs
W. L. Piper

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant to dismiss this action and discharge the attachment, together with the exhibits and evidence, and was argued by counsel. Whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises it is considered that the case is properly before this Court, and the grounds of the attachment fully made out, therefore the said motion of defendant is overruled to which ruling defendant excepted.

Wood and Witter
vs
Lockhart and Hutchinson.
Isaac Zane and James R. May

This day came the said Wood and Witter by their attorney, and the said Lockhart and the said Isaac Zane though duly served with procep came not but made default. Whereupon the said Hutchinson and the said May not having been served with procep, it is considered, the Court being fully advised in the premises that said Wood and Witter ought to recover of said Lockhart and Isaac Zane the amount claimed in the petition with interest and costs. Therefore it is considered that the said Wood and Witter recover of the said Lockhart and Isaac Zane the sum of $233 36/100 Dollars found to be due them from said Lockhart and Isaac Zane also their costs in this behalf expended.

No 1404

Truxton Ford
vs
Isaac Gray and Samuel C. Cook

P 258

This day came the parties and this cause thereupon came on to be heard upon the report of the Master on file herein, on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Master, and the sale by him made of the tenements in the petition mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law. Therefore it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale of said Master be and the same are hereby in all things confirmed, and that said Master execute and deliver to the said purchaser a deed of the tenements aforesaid in fee simple, pursuant

Saturday December 20. 1873

to the statute in such case made and provided. and it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of said sale the said Master pay the costs in this suit taxed to $ also all the taxes which may be a lien on said premises at this date. and it is further ordered that the defendant who may be in possession of said premises or any other person who has come in under them or either of them pending this suit deliver up the possession thereof to the said purchaser on demand and the production of said Masters deed.

Rebecca Pyers and Martin Pyers vs George Harper and others } In partition P 237

And now came the said Rebecca Pyers and Martin Pyers by Robinsons and Piper their counsel and on their motion and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under former order of this Court and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law it is ordered that the said proceedings and sale be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed. and said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchasers in fee simple. and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the money in his hands pay first the costs of this case including a Counsel fee of $25.00 to Robinson and Piper taxed to $ second all the taxes which are now a lien on said premises amounting to $ and that of the residue he pay to the said Rebecca Pyers one equal fourteenth part. To the said George Harper one equal fourteenth part. To the said Amanda Savage one equal fourteenth part. To the said Emma Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Harrison Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Jackson Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Culver Harper one equal fourteenth part. To the said William Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Dyer Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Mary Ann Davis one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Leyton Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Miranda Harper one Equal fourteenth part. To the said Lewis Harper one Equal fourteenth part To the said Bartley Harper one Equal fourteenth part.

State of Ohio vs Isaac Gray } Indictment for living in a state of Adultery

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and upon his motion the said defendant was three times solemnly called to answer unto an Indictment found against him for living in a State of Adultery, and came not but made default and thereupon Sylvester Ford the security of the said Isaac Gray being three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with him the body of the said Isaac Gray to answer unto said charge as by his Recognizance he was bound to do, or that the same would be forfeited and the said Sylvester Ford still failing to appear according to the condition of his said recognizance with the body of the said Isaac Gray. It is ordered by the Court that the same be and is hereby forfeited.

No 1 No 39 No 102 No 165 No 156 No 157 No 166 No 172 No 154 No 211

Saturday December 20th 1873

Laura E. Randall
vs
W. M. Randall

This cause came on to be heard upon the motion to dismiss the attachment, and was argued by counsel, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the proceedings in attachment were illegally obtained, and it is therefore ordered and adjudged that the proceedings in attachment be dismissed and the same are dismissed and held for naught at the costs of plaintiff, and thereupon the plaintiff asked to amend his petition which was granted to be amended in 30 days from the rising of this Court, and this cause is continued.

Perry Douglas
vs
John Clark
Injunction

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff, and motion of defendant to dissolve the injunction, and was argued by counsel, and the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises overrules said motion, and thereupon the defendant asked and obtained leave to file his answer in 30 days from the rising of this Court, which is granted, and this cause is continued.

John Reid
vs
Cameron and Kennedy

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and motion of defendants, whereupon the plaintiff took leave to withdraw his petition from the files and substitute another petition in its stead

No 1 State of Ohio vs James Green } Continued for sentence

No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price } Cont'd for sentence

No 102 State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole } Continued

No 165 State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers } Continued

No 156 State of Ohio vs James McNeal } Continued

No 157 State of Ohio vs Asa Clark and James Clark }
This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above Indictment

No 166 State of Ohio vs John Sidle } Continued

No 172 State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins } Continued

No 154 State of Ohio vs Robert D. Finley } Left off Docket by order of Pros. attorney

No 211 State of Ohio
vs
Charles C. Vansant
Indictment for obtaining money under false pretenses

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon

Saturday December 20, 1873

This cause came on to be heard upon demurer to the said indictment and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises overruled the said demurer, to which ruling the defendant excepts- and this cause is then continued

No 190 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall } Continued
This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment.

No 191 State of Ohio vs Henry Marshall }
This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment

No 192 State of Ohio vs George Reuhlen }
This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment

No 198 State of Ohio vs Isaac Gray } Continued

No 199 State of Ohio Lewis Mayo }
This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment

No 205 State of Ohio vs Charles Kent } Continued

No 206 State of Ohio vs John Hubbard } Continued

No 207 State of Ohio vs Frank White } Left off Docket by order of Pros. atty-

No 209 State of Ohio vs Lewis Mayo } Left off Docket by order of Prosecuting attorney

No 214 State of Ohio vs Noah Poling } Continued

No 215 State of Ohio vs Noah Poling } Continued

Mary Haines Pltf } See P 214 & 308
vs
Henry Barth Deft }

No 1438

No 1294

No 1386

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion to confirm the report of the Sheriff and the Jury impannelled according to law under the occupying claimant law, whereupon there being no objection the said report and proceedings and verdict of said jury is hereby confirmed, and thereupon came on the cause to be heard on the motion of the plaintiffs to convey the land to the defendants upon their paying the value of said lands as ~~appeared~~ appraised in a state of nature, and upon the motion of the defendants to surrender the possession of the lands in their possession upon payment to them by plaintiffs of the value of the improvements as made by said jury, after deducting rents and waste as valued by said jury and demanding that if the Court should require them to take the land in a state of nature as valued as aforesaid the amount of taxes duly assessed and paid by them on said land, should be deducted from said

valuation of the said land in a state of nature, whereupon the Court find that said Henry Barth and Charles Barth hold the 285 acres in their posepion under a tax sale made December 12, 1831, of said entire survey No 9749 which the Court find was so far irregular as to be inoperative to convey title to the land, and that the amount for which said land, sold at tax sale December 12, 1831, together with the taxes since duly apeped thereon and paid by said Henry and Charles Barth who hold under said tax sale amount to seven hundred and six by seven and 28/100 dollars without any interest thereon, That said land when so sold for taxes was in a state of nature without any improvement made thereon, That no patent was ispued for said lands until June 5th 1871, Thereupon the Court find that the said plaintiffs Mary Haines and others, have the right to elect to take the value of said land as appraised by said jury in a state of nature without any reduction for taxes paid by the defendants under said tax title and that the defendants have no right of election to surrender their posepion in and receive the pay for the improvements made thereon after deducting said rents and waste and do therefore overrule the motion aforesaid of Charles and Henry Barth and do sustain the motion of the said plaintiffs Mary Haines and others to all of which rulings the said Henry and Charles Barth do except.

Thereupon the Court do order and adjudge that the said plaintiffs convey the said land to the defendants by good and sufficient deeds of general warranty and that on the delivery of such deeds the said Henry Barth and the said Charles Barth, and the said Ephafa G. Moore, widow and, William Frederick Moore, Sarah H. Moore, John G. Moore, and Louisa A. Moore the heirs of Frederick Moore deceased pay to said plaintiffs the one third of the several amounts for which said lands were appraised in a state of nature and that at 12 Months after this date they pay said plaintiffs another third of said sums and at 24 Months after date they pay plaintiffs the remaining part thereof all upon interest from the 20th day of October 1873, that said payments be and remain a lien on their respective portions of said lands until paid. And it is further ordered that the costs of the proceedings under the occupying claimant law taxed to $ be paid by said plaintiffs Mary Haines and others

No 1438 James Kinkade Admrs &c vs S. E. Williams } settled

No 1294 John W. Howard vs John H. Tickey Administrator of the estate of Caroline Spow deceased } And now come the said John H. Tickey Administrator of the estate of Caroline Spow deceased by his Attorneys, and John W. Howard failing to appear, it is ordered that this action be and is hereby dismissed, and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the said defendant recover of the said plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

No 1386 John Weidman vs Lewis Jenkins } settled

Saturday December 20. 1873

No 1320	Joseph A. Culbertson vs George Sinclair	Left off to abide decission in District Court on error
No 1262	James Taylor &c vs Adam Blue	Continued
No 1334	Elizabeth Walden by her next friend James W. Walden against Joseph A. Culbertson	Continued
No 1335	Elizabeth Walden by her next friend James W. Walden vs Joseph A. Culbertson and George Sinclair	Continued
	George Mast vs Reuben Cook Jr. et al.	This day the motion heretofore made in this case for setting aside the sale had herein as well as the motion to confirm said sale, came on to be heard, on consideration whereof and by consent, it is ordered that the said sale be and it is hereby set aside. Question of costs reserved. Robinson & Cole
No 1382	A. B. Witter vs The P. C and St Louis R. W. Company	Continued
No 1312	David Mulford vs Albert Galloway et al.	Continued
No 216	State of Ohio vs Charles Smith	settled
No 217	State of Ohio vs Charles Wilmoth	Continued
No 218	State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
No 219	State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
No 220	State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
No 221	State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
No 222	State of Ohio vs John Hubbard & Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
No 223	State of Ohio vs John Hubbard & Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
No 224	State of Ohio vs Anthony Snodgrass	Continued
No 225	State of Ohio vs William Stimmel	Continued
No 226	State of Ohio vs Frederick Goodstep	
No 227	State of Ohio vs Frank Lytell, George Cisco & Elijah Cisco	Continued
	Dennis Clark vs L. B. Dennis	Continued
No 1344	David Smith vs Louisa Smith	Continued

No 1406
No 1407
No 1375
No 1492
No 1490
No 1476

Saturday December 20th 1873

✓ No1406 John Adams vs Henry H. Carpenter Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ No1407 Sarah J. Cartmell Jr. adm. vs Thomas Page } Continued

✓ W. T. Wood vs H. C. Hamilton } Continued

✓ Louisa Snowden and Edgar Snowden vs } Thomas W. Kezertee Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ George L. Converse vs Louisa Pedrazzoli Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ Henry Harrington vs Peter Moore } Continued

✓ Ezekiel Hammond vs James Miller and Harvy M. Haines } Continued

✓ The Bellefontaine National Bank vs T. W. Miller and Joseph L. Darling } Continued

✓ Jason Case vs Asbury Gardner } settled

✓ J. B. Wilcox vs Orlando Wells } Continued

✓ Abram C. Dorey vs Tillman G. Goff Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ Jennie Bunch vs Reuben Bunch } Continued for service

✓ David B. May vs Mary L. May } Continued for service

✓ William Keller & Co vs Frederick Weisz Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ R. D. Finley, plaintiff
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Rail Road company, Defendants } Entry

Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio

This day came the parties by their attorneys and by agreement the above entitled case is compromised and settled, the defendant paying to plaintiff the sum of eighty dollars and paying the costs taxed to $11.00 It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of eighty dollars and the sum of eleven dollars his costs herein taxed, which sums of money being now paid to the plaintiff and the costs of this suit being paid, this judgment is satisfied and paid The above entry is approved and directed to be made, Dec 13, 1873

Durbin Ward atty for A. & G. W. Railroad Co. James B. Cole atty for R. D. Finley

✓ No 1375 John D. Trickey Admr. & C vs Rebecca Howard Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ No 1492 H. J. King Jr. adm. vs W. M. Randall Jr. adm. } Continued

✓ No1490 English, Miller & Co vs William Worrell & Son } settled- January 28, 1874

✓ No 1476 Ephriam Stuart vs John Anthony Jr. adm. } settled January 30-1874

This day it was ordered by the Court that the Clerk purchase a Bookcase for the use of the County the cost of which not to exceed Twenty five dollars-

Saturday December 20. 1873

Elijah Witter plaintiff
vs
Joseph K. Richey. and others
Commissioners of Union County
Ohio and H. J. Sager engineer. Defendants

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition. answer. exhibits and proofs and was argued by counsel. on consideration whereof the Court do find that no error has been committed by the said County Commissioners or by the County Auditor or by the engineer or surveyor or by any other person or persons in the proceedings to lay out and construct the road in the petition mentioned affecting the rights of plaintiff And that there is no error. informality or defect appearing in the record of such proceeding. whereby the plaintiff in this action is affected. and thereupon it is ordered by the Court that the injunction heretofore granted in this case, be and the same is hereby dissolved. To which ruling. order and decision of the Court the plaintiff by his Attorney then and there excepted.
and it was further considered ordered by the Court that the defendants go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $
The plaintiff gave notice of his intention to appeal this cause and the Court fixed the bond in the sum of $100.

ordered that all matters. causes and motions now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

P B Bode Judge

February Term A. D. 1874

Monday February 2d A.D. 1874
This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio, met at 10 oclock A.M. in purruance of law
Present. P. B. Cole Presiding Judge, John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney John C. Price Sheriff and H. S. Arthur clerk

Eli Nowille, Auctioneer
On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that Eli Nowille be and he is hereby appointed auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year, upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of three Dollars and giving bond according to law

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit, John M. Combs, John Carter, David Morse, Jacob Houser, John Branson Wm Robert Parish, John F. Sabine, Edward Powers, Wm Goff, C. W. Lyon John Newhouse, A. E. Knox, T. P. Bratty and because the the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court elected anew, to wit, D C. Botkin and W. H. H. Titus good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the Pannel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided.
The Court appoint the said John F. Sabine, Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empannelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room, to deliberate; attended by a sworn constable.

Joshua Penn }
vs
A. B. Butler }

This day came the defendant but the plaintiff came not and failed to appear and prosecute his said action Thereupon defendant moved the Court to dismiss this cause for want of prosecution, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said motion, and order said action to be dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff without prejudice.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs in this action expended taxed to ff.

And Thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Tuesday Febreary 3. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

William Tway }
vs
William Goff }

This day came this cause on to be heard upon the motion of plaintiff to confirm the report of the referee here tofore made in this case by M. C. Lawrence Referee and for Judgment upon the same; and the Court being fully advised in the premises do approve and confirm said report and find that Judgment should be entered thereon

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant the said sum of one hundred and ~~sixty six~~ seventy six and 76/100 dollars so reported in favor of the plaintiff by the referee and also the costs of this action taxed at $ and it is further ordered and adjudged that a compensation of $30.00 be paid by said parties, plaintiff and defendant to said Referee in equal parts, and in default of such payment that execution issue therefor

John Woodruff }
vs
Elijah Harris }

Petition of plaintiff filed instanter by leave of the Court and continued with leave to defendant to answer in forty days from the rising of this term of Court

James Gabriel, executor
of Richard Gabriel deceased }
vs
Leonidas Piper and William L. Piper }

This day came on this cause to be heard upon motion to strike pleadings from the files and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises overruled said motion, whereupon the Court upon the application of plaintiffs counsel permitted the plaintiff to amend said verification instanter; which was accordingly done

William Keller & Co }
vs
Frederick Weis et al }

This day this cause come on to be heard upon motion of defendants, whereupon the motion was argued by counsel and overruled by the Court. Counsel for plaintiff took leave and amended their petition by adding in line 31, after the words estate the following "belonging to the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis to wit,

James Fullington, Charles Rhellis
and W. W. Woods, Partners doing business
under the firm name of Fullington Rhellis and Woods }
vs
William Richter }

This day came the

Plaintiffs and filed their petition against the said William Richter and thereupon A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said William Richter and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said William Richter and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendant and acknowledged that the said William Richter does owe the said plaintiffs the sum of Two thousand five hundred and six and 67/100 dollars ($2506.67) as the plaintiffs have in their petition alleged, and consented that judgment be entered in favor of plaintiffs against the defendant for that sum and for costs.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said sum of $2506.67 so confessed to be due and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $- And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are hereby released and waived by the said defendant. This judgment is to bear interest at eight per cent per annum until paid.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P Black Judge

Wednesday February 4. A.D. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday

David Thompson
Partius Wheeler and
H. M. Wheeler Partners doing
business under the firm name
of Bank of exchange and deposit
vs
E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry

And now comes the said David Thompson, Partius Wheeler and H. M. Wheeler partners doing business under the firm name of Bank of Exchange and deposit and file their petition against E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry, and thereupon M. C. Lawrence one of the attorneys of record of this court appeared in open court in behalf of said E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for the purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and entered the appearance of the said E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry and confessed that said E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry owe to said plaintiffs the sum of Four thousand two hundred and Nine dollars and Ninety cents as said plaintiffs have in their said petition claimed and set forth, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney confess costs in favor of said plaintiffs, and against said defendants

Wednesday February 4, 1874

It is therefore considered by the Court that said plaintiffs recover of said E. M. Flint and T. E. Hay said sum of Four thousand two hundred and Nine dollars and Ninety cents. So confessed to be due, and also their costs herein to be taxed by the Clerk and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right of appeal waived by the said E. M. Flint and T. E. Hay

David Smith
vs
Louisa Smith } Divorce

And now comes the said David Smith by Cameron and Kennedy his Attorneys, and defendant having withdrawn her answer heretofore by leave of the Court and plaintiffs having amended his petition by striking out certain parts thereof - and thereupon this cause came on to be heard on the petition as amended, and testimony and was argued by counsel on the consideration whereof the Court do find that personal service and notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been guilty of abandonment and wrongful neglect of duty - It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and that the plaintiff pay the costs of this suit within ten days from this date or that execution issue therefor

No 1417

Ezekiel Hammond
vs
James Miller and Harvy M. Haines } And now comes the said Ezekiel Hammond, and the said James Miller and the said Harvy M. Haines having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Ezekiel Hammond, It is considered that the said Ezekiel Hammond ought to recover the said sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars (125^{00}) so demanded in his petition, together with the sum of 2^{19} which the Court finds due as the interest thereon since the filing of said petition as prayed for in said petition -
It is therefore considered that the said Ezekiel Hammond recover against the said Harvy M. Haines the said sum of 127^{19} together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against William B. Dunfee for selling intoxicating liquors to a minor Indorsed a true Bill. John H. Sabin Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of Indictment against George Scheiderer for selling intoxicating liquors to a minor - Indorsed a true Bill John H. Sabin Foreman of Grand Jury and having finished the business before them, they were

discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Thursday February 5. A. D. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

No 1417 W. T. Wood vs A C Hamilton } settled as per agreement and costs paid. 4 D. P. R. 149

X James Roberts vs Isaac Miller }

And now comes the said James Roberts by Carpenter and Pudney his Attorneys, and the said defendant still failing to answer or demur to said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, and the Court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said plaintiff, on the Note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of one hundred dollars (100^{00}) and one dollar interest. It is therefore considered by the Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of (101^{00}) one hundred and one dollars the sum so as aforesaid found due and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of 101^{00} so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described, to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit

✓ The Lawrenceburg Furniture Manufacturing Co vs Linder and Fisher } Settled & costs paid. D. D. P. 149.

✓ Hylas Sabine vs L. J. Marshall } An Appeal

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit: J. A. Morrow, G. P. Hartshorn, James Adams, John Price, Martin Scheiderer, Stanley Bellues, Luther Liggett Hamilton Blue, Edward Spain, G. L. Sellers, Wesley Donelly, Wm Howard good and lawful men who were duly empannelled and sworn to well and truly to try the issues joined between the parties and after hearing the evidence of the parties the argument of counsel and the charge of the Court, upon their oaths do say that we the jury

find for the plaintiff and apes his damage at 37^{1}_{00} and there upon the defendant filed his motion to set aside said verdict and for a new trial for reasons in said motion stated —
and thereupon said motion came on to be heard and was argued by counsel, upon consideration of which the Court does overrule said motion, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts —
It is therefore considered by the Court, that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of 37^{1}_{00} the sum so found due by the jury and the costs by him herein expended. $

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow morning

P Bleob Judge

Friday February 6th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Samuel Stout
vs
D. M. McMenis and John A. Wood

This day came on this cause on to be heard, and neither party requiring a jury this cause was submitted to the Court upon the pleadings and testimony on consideration whereof the Court finds in favor of the plaintiff and apeses his damages at the sum of 129^{67}_{100} —
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of one hundred twenty Nine and $^{67}_{100}$ dollars — so found due, and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ —
The defendant D. M. McMenis demanded a second trial under the statute, which was allowed by the Court and the bond fixed at the sum of 260^{00}

H. B. Wilcox
vs
Orlando Wells

This day came the parties in person and by their Attorneys, and the parties being at issue upon the petition and answer, thereupon came a jury to wit:
H. D. Morrow, James Adams, John Price, Martin Schneider, Stanley Bellus, Wesley Donelly, Luther Lysett, Hamilton Blue, Edward Spain, H. L. Sellars, William Howard Regular Jurors, E. L. Price Tales person who the truth to speak upon the issues joined being duly empanneled and sworn after hearing the testimony, arguments of Counsel and charge of the Court do upon their oaths say they find for the plaintiff and apes the amount which he ought to recover by reason of the premises at 120^{83}_{100} one hundred and twenty & $^{83}_{100}$ dollars, thereupon defendant gave notice of his motion for a new trial

Friday February 6th A.D. 1874

A. A. Wilkins, Administrator of the estate of R. L. Broom
vs
G. J. Hill and R. H. Hill

And now come the parties by their attorneys and it appearing to the Court that said Note was drawn at ten per cent to be paid annually, it is considered ordered, adjudged by the Court here that all interest paid, over and above the legal rate shall be abated and applied to the payment of the principal of said Note; it is further considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of money due him in the premises, and the Court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said plaintiff, on the Note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of $116.20

It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $116.20 found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from this date to pay to said plaintiff the said sum of $116.20 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County for the time being as Special Master Commissioner of this Court, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said sum so found due with costs of suit.

B. W. Miller & Bro. & Co.
vs
Daniel Longacre

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the ~~petition~~ pleadings and the proofs and allegations of the parties and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the remedy of the said plaintiffs if any is at law, and that this Court has no power or right to grant the relief sought in said cause, It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Injunction allowed in this case be and the same is hereby dissolved, with costs, and that this cause be dismissed with costs.

It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff all his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

And that plaintiffs pay their own costs, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor, and thereupon the plaintiffs gave notice of their intention to appeal this case to the District Court and the Court being of the opinion that said action is one in which neither party is entitled to a trial by jury fixes the amount of the undertaking to be given for such appeal at $15.00

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning.

D. D. Veale Judge

Saturday February 7. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

Farmers and Merchants Bank vs E. G. Helwig and H. Helwig } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $111.30

It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $111.30 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum. and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived.

Farmers and Merchants Bank vs E. G. Helwig and H. Helwig } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition, the sum of $ 861.35
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants the said sum of $ 861.35 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum. and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived.

Sarah E. Bosart vs Leander Bosart }

This day came the petitioner Sarah E. Bosart and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the application of petitioner for alimony pending this suit and for an injunction against the defendants until the final hearing hereof, and was argued by counsel and submitted, on consideration whereof the Court grant to said petitioner as alimony pending this suit for her support and her children in the sum of Two hundred dollars, and the Court further order that the property of said defendant that has been disposed of by petitioner be allowed to her as alimony to said sum of Two hundred dollars including the property

Saturday February 7. A.D. 1874

She has already sold- The Court further order and decree that said defendants be enjoined as prayed for in the petition, and that the petitioner be not required to give bond it is further ordered and adjudged that if said defendant Leander Bosart fail for three days from the date of this decree to pay said sum of $200 so as aforesaid granted to petitioner as alimony then that execution ipue therefor as upon judgments at law-

Henry Harrington
vs
Peter Moore

No 1445

This day came the parties and this cause came on to be heard, and neither party requiring or demanding a jury, a jury was waived by the parties, and this cause was submitted to the Court upon the pleadings and testimony, on consideration whereof the Court finds in favor of the plaintiff and apons the amount which he ought to recover by reason of the premises at $333 50
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of $333 50 so as aforesaid found due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $
The defendant demanded a second trial under the statute which was allowed by the Court and the bond fixed at the sum of $66 70
April 21st 1874 by agreement of counsel no record made in this case of Harrington vs Moore

M. Doyle & McDonald
vs
J. E. Safford, J. C. & M. S. Elliott

This day came on this cause for trial and the plaintiff offering no testimony the defendant moved for judgment, and the Court being fully advised in the premises and after an examination of the papers filed in this case, do adjudge that the defendant recover of the plaintiffs his costs in this case taxed at $ and that the plaintiffs pay his own costs taxed to $ and judgment is rendered against said plaintiffs for the same

William Keller & Co
vs
Frederick Weis

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the demur of the defendant to the amended petition of plaintiffs and was argued by counsel and submitted, to the Court: whereupon after due consideration the Court does overrule said demur to all of which ruling the defendant Frederick Wise and Rosina Wise excepts-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

P Bledsoe Judge

Monday February 9. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

1443 Amos D. Leib vs David Blue and Sarah Blue his wife } P 262 Ex F. No 1647

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney no one appearing for the defendants, and this cause came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff of Union County Ohio of a sale by him made; on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff and the sale by him made of the lands and tenements in the petition and order of sale mentioned, to be in all respects in due form of law;
It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale made by said Sheriff be and the same are hereby in all things approved and affirmed; and it is further ordered that said Sheriff execute and deliver to Amos D. Leib the Assignee of the purchaser a deed of the lands and tenements aforesaid in fee simple, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided; and it is further ordered by the Court that the proceeds arising from said sale be applied as follows—
1st To the payment of the costs of this proceeding amounting to $
2d to the payment of the tax title held by Amos D. Leib the sum of $82.16 3d That the residue of the purchase money arising from said sale be credited upon the Notes described in the Mortgage, in favor of Amos D. Leib; and it is further ordered that said Amos D. Leib have award of execution in his favor for the balance due him in the sum of $
And it is further ordered by the Court that the defendants who may be in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under them or either of them, pending this suit deliver up the possession thereof to the said Amos D. Leib on demand, and production of the said Sheriffs deed for said premises—

McCune. Mithoff & Co vs Lewis Bland and Charles Erb, } And now comes the said McCune. Mithoff & Co, and the said Lewis Bland and Charles Erb, having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said McCune Mithoff & Co it is considered that the said McCune. Mithoff & Co ought to recover the said sum of $260.00 so demanded in their petition together with the sum of $3.25 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition It is therefore considered that the said McCune. Mithoff & Co, recover against the said Lewis Bland as maker and the said Charles Erb as indorser on said Note the said sum of $263.25 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

English. Miller & Co vs William H Thomas } And now comes the said

Monday February 9, 1874

English. Miller & co and the said William H. Storms having failed to answer or demur to the petition of the said English Miller & co. it is considered that the said English Miller & co. ought to recover the sum of $527 28/100 so demanded in their petition together with the sum of $5.22 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition - It is therefore considered that the said, English. Miller & co. recover against the said William H. Storms the said sum of $532 50/100 with interest at 6 per cent together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

Henry Fox & co
vs
H. M. Haines

And now comes the said Henry Fox & co. and the said H. M. Haines still failing to demur or answer to the petition of the said Henry Fox & co it is considered that the said Henry Fox & co ought to recover the said sum of $133 65 so demanded in his petition, together with the sum of $2 81 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition - It is therefore considered that the said Henry Fox & co recover against the said H. M. Haines the said sum of $136 47/100 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

John W. Howard
vs
John H. Thicker Admr. } No 1478

This day came on this cause for trial upon the issue joined herein, and the defendant came not but made default - counsel for defendant being present and neither party demanding a jury the same was waived, and this cause submitted to the Court upon the pleadings and proof, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find and adjudge that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum Two hundred and sixteen and 76/100 dollars and the costs taxed at $

Thereupon counsel for defendant moved for second trial herein, and the Court being of opinion that it is a case wherein second trial is allowed by law do grant the same fixing no bond the defendant being an Administrator -

E. B. Wilcox
vs
Orlando Wells }

This day came the parties, and the defendant having failed to file his motion for a new trial of this cause the plaintiff in open Court demanded judgment upon the verdict of the jury heretofore rendered herein, which demand was allowed by the Court - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of $120 83 so as aforesaid found due by the verdict of the jury and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Tuesday February 10, 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

No 1475 A. F. Wilkins vs E. F. Curl & Cyrus Curl R settled—

No 1375 John H. Tickey Admr. vs Rebecca Howard et. al. } Eq H. Dec 1530

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony, whereupon the court do find that the personal property of the estate of Caroline Grow deceased, does not satisfy the indebtedness of said estate to the amount of Two hundred dollars That on or about the 19th day of April A.D. 1873, the Sheriff of said County by virtue of an order of Sale in partition directed to said Sheriff the real estate of the said Caroline Grow deceased, was sold whereupon the Court do order that the sum prayed for in said petition (Two hundred dollars) be paid over to John H. Tickey, administrator out of the money of the sale of said real estate to be applied to the payment of debts of the deceased Caroline Grow—

No 210 The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder

This day the defendant John Reid came in to Court in his own proper person, and a copy of the said indictment having heretofore been served upon him, and a copy of the pannel of the jury returned by the Sheriff in this case, being delivered to him more than three days prior to this date; and said defendant being without counsel; and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that he is unable to employ the same the Court dpign to him W. P. Reid and D. W. Ayers as his counsel—

No 210 The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder—

No 224

This day came the defendant John Reid in person and by counsel and in open Court moved the Court to continue this case until the next term of this court and filed his affidavit, setting forth the facts relied upon by him for such continuance, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do order this case to stand continued until the next term of this Court, and said case is fixed for trial on the fourth day of said term to wit, May 21st 1874 at 9 oclock A. M.

No 210 The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder,

No 1416

On Motion by the Prosecuting Attorney, A. James Sterling Esq. is appointed by the Court to dpist the prosecution in said case—

No 210 The State of Ohio vs John Reid R— Indictment for Murder—

Tuesday February 10, 1874,

Recognizance of Witnesses-

This day came into open Court. Henry Snell, William Sloms, Thomas Winters G. W. Winters, J. D. Winters, G. W. Sigler, Charles Seran, Lewis G. Wright, A. E. Knox, Thomas Dickerson, E. L. Cory, A. J. Griffin, Robert Gibson, Lawrence Barlow sr, Frank Cahill, D. J. D. Southard, Dr Samuel Brees, R. Hubbard S. W. Atkinson, A. A. Hutchinson, G. W. McElroy, Peles Cranston, G. A. Brooks, J. W. Lockwood, John Hartshorn, R. D. Finley, John Stickney A. W. Bayley, Elisha Wells, L. Griffin W. T. Stickney, David Bowersmith Samuel Spencer, Robert Bault, John Cody, Amaziah Judy, William Dawson, Daniel Phelps, L. D. Wright Winfield S. Winters John Morrow, James T. Mehaffey, David Harris, and severally acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars each, to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands, and tenements, if default be made in the condition following to wit; that the said- Henry Snell, William Sloms, Thomas Winters, G. W. Winters, J. D. Winters, G. W. Sigler, Charles Seran, Lewis G. Wright, A. E. Knox, Thomas Dickerson, E. L. Cory A. J. Griffin, Robert Gibson, Lawrence Barlow sr, Frank Cahill, Dr. J. D. Southard, Dr. Samuel Brees, R. Hubbard, S. W. Atkinson, A. A. Hutchinson, G. W. McElroy, Peles Cranston, G. A. Brooks, J. W. Lockwood, John Hartshorn, R. D. Finley, John Stickney A. W. Bayley, Elisha Wells, L. Griffin W. T. Stickney, David Bowersmith Samuel Spencer, Robert Bault, John Cody, Amaziah Judy, William Dawson, Daniel Phelps, L. D. Wright Winfield S. Winters John Morrow, James T. Mehaffey, David Harris, be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the fourth day of the next term, thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending, wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff, and the said John Reid is defendant, and not depart the Court without leave, then as to such of said persons as perform this condition, this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force

May 21st 1874

No 224 — The State of Ohio vs Anthony Snodgrap } Indictment for retailing

This day the defendant Anthony Snodgrap being arraigned in open Court and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says, he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment-

No 1416 — Asa A. Woodworth vs Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company }

On motion to the Court by the plaintiff leave is granted to him to file his petition in thirty days from the rising of this Court and cause continued-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Bleak Judge

Wednesday February 11. 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

David Mulford
vs
Albert Galloway

On motion of the defendant Albert Galloway leave is granted to him to file his answer in thirty days from the rising of this Court, and cause continued

David Thompson, Partius Wheeler and M. M. Wheeler Partners doing business under the firm name of, Bank of exchange and deposit
vs
E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry

This cause came on to be heard upon a motion made by E. M. Flint to vacate and modify the judgment in above case for reasons on file, and thereupon it is ordered by the Court, that said judgment remain in full force as to the full amount thereof with the interest thereon except as to the sum of one thousand dollars of said judgment, and as to the said sum of one thousand dollars, it is ordered that said sum of said judgment be reserved to await the future action of this court as to the claim of said defendant for usury in his said motion, and as to the residue of said judgment except said usury one thousand dollars as aforesaid the same is to be collected upon execution, and as to said sum of one thousand dollars so reserved the levy of the Sheriff of Hardin County is to remain a lien upon the real estate levied upon by him until the final ipsue as to said one thousand dollars, and the usury claimed by said defendant without sale of real estate, and said defendant has leave to answer herein, and this cause is continued for ipsue upon said usury and one thousand dollars until the next term of this Court

No 214
The State of Ohio
vs
Noah Poling

This day the defendant Noah Poling being arraigned in open Court and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

No 215
The State of Ohio
vs
Noah Poling

This day the defendant Noah Poling being arraigned in open Court and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

No 1
The State of Ohio vs James Green } Continued for sentence

No 39

Wednesday February 11, 1874

Thomas Stillings
vs
James B. Whelpley

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and this cause came on to be heard, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, find that the facts and allegations in the plaintiffs petition are not sustained by evidence and find for the defendant. In consideration whereof, it is ordered by the Court that the tax apepsment levied against the plaintiff remain on the duplicate for collection, and it is further considered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant recover of the said plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ and thereupon the plaintiff gave notice of his intention to appeal this cause to the District Court, and the Court being satisfied that this is a case in which neither party is entitled to a Jury doth allow said appeal upon the plaintiff filing his appeal bond herein in the sum of $100 in accordance with the statute in such case made and provided

A. F. Wilkins, Admr
vs
Benjamin Andrews et al

This day came the plaintiff and dismised this action at his own costs as such Administrator
It is therefore considered that the defendants recover of the plaintiff as such Administrator their costs herein taxed at $

Albert B. Witter
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St. Louis Railway Company

This day came the parties and there upon came a Jury to wit, E. A. Morrow, G. P. Hartshorn, James Adams, John Price, Martin Scheiderer, Stanley Bellus, Wesley Regular Donelly, Regular Juror John Weidman, C. L. Robinson, Leonidas Turner, Henry Crist, G. D. Baldwin tales Jurors, who being empannuled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths say, they find for the defendant.
And thereupon the plaintiff gave notice that he would move the Court for a new trial in this case.

No 39 The State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Price
} Continued for sentence

Hullington, Garwood & Co vs Michael Davis } Continued

C. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander and Bank of Marysville } Continued

J. C. Hanover & S. W. Brown
vs
William Wharry, C. H. Cady & P. Safford
} Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow morning.

P. Bleb Judge

Thursday Febmeary 12. 1874

This Morning the court met at 9oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 214 The State of Ohio vs Noah Poling } Indictment for furnishing Liquors to a Minor

This day came the parties by their attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the court, and thereupon came a jury to wit:, E. A. Morrow, Luther Liggett Hamilton Blue, G. P. Hartshorn, Edward Spain, James Adams, G. L. Sellars, Stanley Bellus, Wesley Donelly, William Howard, Regular Jurors, W. E. Baxter and Christian Smith tales Jurors, who being duly impaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the ipsue joined between the partees upon their oaths say, that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment.

No 214 The State of Ohio vs Noah Poling }

The defendant Noah Poling was this day again brought before the court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him: It is thereupon considered that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of ten dollars and also the costs of this prosecution and that he stand committed until such fine and costs are paid.

No 215 The State of Ohio vs Noah Poling } Left off docket by order of Prosecuting attorney

No 225 The State of Ohio vs William Stimmel } Indictment for retailing

This day came the Prosecuting attorney and upon his motion, the defendant was three times solemnly called to come into Court and answer to an indictment found against him for retailing intoxicating liquors in violation of law, as by his recognizance he was bound to do, or that the same would be forfeited, and the said William Stimmel still failing to appear, according to the conditions of his said recognizance, It is ordered that the same be, and the same is hereby forfeited, and thereupon by order of the Court said forfeiture is respited until the next term of this Court

No 224

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleak Judge

Friday February 13. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

A. F. Wilkins, Administrator of the Estate of Robson L. Broom, deceased
vs
Margaret Childs and F. L. Childs

This day this cause came on for trial upon the ipsue joined between the parties herein, upon the pleadings, exhibits and testimony, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due said plaintiff the sum of Two hundred dollars, and that said sum of Two hundred dollars was by the defendants tendered to plaintiffs on the 26th. day of January 1874 It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said plaintiff recover of said defendants the said sum of Two hundred dollars and costs made herein before said 26th. day of January 1874 and that plaintiff pay the costs herein since said day

The Bellefontaine National Bank
vs
T. W. Miller and Joseph L. Darling

This day came the plaintiff and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiff it is considered by the court, that the plaintiff ought to recover of the said T. W. Miller as maker, and said Joseph L. Darling as indorser of said promisory Note the sum of one hundred and Ninety and 57/100 dollars as plaintiff has alleged and claimed in his petition - It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the said T. W. Miller as maker and said Joseph L. Darling as indorser of said Note said sum of one hundred and Ninety and 57/100 dollars so found to due and also its costs taxed to H

No 224
The State of Ohio
vs
Anthony Snodgrap

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the court, and thereupon came a jury to wit: G. R. Morrow, Luther Liggett, Hamilton Blue, G. P. Hartshorn, Edward Spain, Martin Scheiderer, G. L. Sellars, Stanley Bellues, Wesley Donelly Regular Jurors, Samuel Scott, C. L. Long, Garrett Owen Tales Jurors, who being duly impaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the ipsue joined between the parties upon their oaths say, that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P. Bleeke Judge

Saturday February 14, 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday

No 224 The State of Ohio vs Anthony Snodgrass } Recognizance

This day came in Open Court Anthony Snodgrass and Alexander Snodgrass and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars, to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands and tenements; if default be made in the following condition to wit:, That the said Anthony Snodgrass be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union (now in session) from day to day to answer unto the State of Ohio for selling intoxicating liquors contrary to law, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

No 102 The State of Ohio vs Joshua Cole } On motion of the Prosecuting Attorney this case is left off the Docket

No 155 The State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers } Continued

No 156 The State of Ohio vs James McNeal } This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and by leave of the Court entered a Nolle prosequi on the indictment found in this case

No 166 The State of Ohio vs John Sidle } On motion of the Prosecuting Attorney this case is left off the docket

No 172 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins } Continued for sentence

No 198 The State of Ohio vs Isaac Gray & ~~Left off~~ Docket

No 205 The State of Ohio vs Charles Kent & Continued

No 206 The State of Ohio vs John Hubbard & Continued for arrest

No 211 The State of Ohio vs Charles C. Vansant } Continued by agreement

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

P Bloch Judge

Monday February 16. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

David Gregg, John R. Gregg and David G. Elliott, Partners doing busines in the name of Gregg, Son & Co.
vs
John G. Bambeck and Nicholas Houck

This day came the plaintiff by W.B. Thompson Attorney, and thereupon came A. J. Sterling one of the Attorneys of record of this court who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court, and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of proces and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiffs as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 245.15
It is therefore considered that said plaintiffs do recover of said defendants the said sum of $245.15 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and by virtue of the said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived.

James Gabriel, Executor of the estate of Richard Gabriel
vs
Leonidas Piper and William L. Piper

And now come the parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and answer of the said defendants, and it appearing to the Court that said Note in said petition described is made to draw an usurious rate of interest, and that the said L. Piper is surety on said Note and that the said William L. Piper is the owner in fee of the one equal undivided one half interest in said premises in said petition described.
It is considered that said interest above the legal rate be abated and that the plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises; and the Court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said plaintiff on the Note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of $1079.17/100
It is therefore considered by the said Court here that said plaintiff recover of the said William L. Piper as principal and Leonidas Piper as surety the sum of $1079.17/100 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $ and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants, William L. Piper as principal and L. Piper as surety fail for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum $1079.17 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County for the time being as a Special Master commissioner of this Court commanding him to cause the undivided ~~one half~~ equal interest of the said William L. Piper in the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Beall Judge

Tuesday February 17. 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

✗ No 217	The State of Ohio vs Charles Wilmoth	Continued for arrest
✗ No 218	The State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard &	Continued
✗ No 219	The State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard &	Continued
✗ No 220	The State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard &	Continued
✗ No 221	The State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard &	Continued
✗ No 222	The State of Ohio vs John Hubbard and Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
✗ No 223	The State of Ohio vs John Hubbard and Desdemona Hubbard	Continued
✓ No 224	The State of Ohio vs Anthony Snodgrap	The defendant Anthony Snodgrap was this day again brought before the Court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him It is therefore ordered by the Court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of forty dollars and also the costs of this prosecution, and that he stand committed until such fine and costs are paid.
✗ No 226	The State of Ohio vs Frederick Goldstep	Continued for arrest
✗ No 227	The State of Ohio vs Frank Lytell, Jerge Lisco and Elijah Lisco	Continued for arrest
✓ No 228	The State of Ohio vs Jerge Schniderer	~~Left off Docket~~ Ex A No 4884
✗ No 229	The State of Ohio vs William B. Dunfee &	Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P Bloch Judge

Wednesday February 18. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Frederick Weis
vs
William Richter

And now come the said parties, plaintiff and defendant by their Attorneys, and this action came on for trial upon the petition, Answer, reply and evidence, and thereupon came a Jury to wit: J. A. Morrow, Luther Liggett, Hamilton Blue, H. P. Hartshorn, Edward J. Spain James Adams, Stanley Bellus, Wesley Donelly Regular Jurors, C. L. Long, Samuel Scott, Warrett Owen, John Liggett Tales Jurors, who were duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, full evidence was produced on behalf of plaintiff and defendant and the Jury was charged by the Court upon the law in the case, and all things being regular, the Jury retired and after due consideration, returned a verdict for the plaintiff in the words and figures following, The State of Ohio Union County ss, February Term A.D. 1874, to wit February 12. 1874, Frederick Weis vs William Richter We the Jury in this case, being duly empannled and sworn that we do find and say that there is due the plaintiff, Eighteen hundred and fifty dollars Warrett Owen, Foreman
It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of eighteen hundred and fifty dollars $1850 &c so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff as his damages in form so as aforesaid assessed, and also his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $ record bill demanded and allowed Bond $25 &c

A. H. Wilkins, Administrator of Robson L. Broome deceased
vs
Francis M. Lansdown and Minerva Lansdown

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, the defendants still failing to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to plaintiff as such Administrator the sum of one hundred and six and 33/100 dollars as the plaintiff has claimed in his petition.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff as such administrator recover of defendants said sum of one hundred and six and 33/100 dollars so found his due and also his costs taxed at $

A. H. Wilkins, Administrator of the estate of Robson L. Broome
vs
W. A Plotner and Mary A. Plotner

Now comes the said A. H. Wilkins by his Attorneys, and the said W. A. Plotner and Mary A. Plotner still failing to answer or demur to said petition it is therefore considered that the plaintiff as such Administrator ought to recover of the defendants the sum of five hundred and forty one and 52/100 dollars the sum claimed by plaintiff in his petition. It is

Wednesday February 18, 1874

therefore considered by the court that the said plaintiff as such Administrator recover of the defendants the said sum of five hundred and forty one and 50/100 dollars, and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged, that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of five hundred and forty-one and 50/100 dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Almira Karns by &c
vs
David Cook, A. Harris and A. Ward

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of David Cook to make the petition of plaintiff and crop petition of the defendant Evaline Ward more specific and certain, which motion was sustained by the Court, whereupon the plaintiff Almira Karns and the said Evalin Ward took leave to amend their petition within thirty days from the rising of this court

E. J. Healey, Administrator with the will annexed of E. Healey deceased
vs
Jonathan Grandstaff, J. W. Hoskins and H. C. Hoskins

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, and the answer of the defendants J. W. Hoskins and H. C. Hoskins, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendants the sum of four hundred and sixty six and 47/100 dollars, as the plaintiff has claimed in his petition It is therefore considered that the plaintiff as such Administrator recover of said defendants said sum of four hundred and sixty six and 47/100 dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ and the Court further find that the said Jonathan Grandstaff is principal debtor and the said J. W. Hoskins and H. C. Hoskins are his sureties on said promissory note and the Court order execution to be issued accordingly

No 1644
Andrew R. Spicer Admr &c
vs
William Sprague Et. al.
Continued for service

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Bleale Judge

Thursday February 19. 1874

This morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Asa A. Woodworth
vs
The Cleveland. Columbus. Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company

No 1414

This day upon motion by defendant and upon his showing by affidavit this cause is continued at the costs of defendant. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of defendant the costs of this continuance

Asa A. Woodworth
vs
The Cleveland. Columbus Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company

No 1415

Feb 5. 1874

This day, this cause came on to be heard on the petition of the plaintiff and the demurer of the said defendant filed thereto. and after argument of counsel was submitted to the Court. On consideration whereof the Court doth find that the said demurer of the defendant to the plaintiffs petition is not well taken. and the same is hereby overruled. and on motion of the plaintiff leave is given him to amend his said petition forthwith so as to strike from the prayer of the same the words. "within that from & April 24, 1873" which is done accordingly. and it is by the court ordered that said defendant file its answer to said petition on or before February 17, 1874 and that this cause be assigned for trial on the 19. day of February 1874. To all which rulings. decissions and orders of the Court the said defendant by its counsel Excepts

Perry Douglas
vs
John Clark

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition. there being no demurer or answer filed. and was argued by Counsel. whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there was good and lawful Grounds for an injunction. on consideration whereof it is ordered and decided that the injunction in this case be made perpetual and the same is hereby made perpetual, and it is further ordered And decided that the defendant pay all costs of this action herein taxed to $ within ten days from the rising of the present term of Court, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

W Beebe Judge

Friday February 20. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

W. J. King Pltf }
vs
W. M. Randall }

This cause this day came on for hearing upon the motion of defendant Randall to discharge the attachment and for trial upon the merits, upon hearing the affidavits of defendant in support of the motion to discharge the attachment, and the counter affidavits of plaintiff and the arguments of counsel for all parties, the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the said proceedings in attachment were unlawfully obtained, whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the proceedings in attachment be and the same are hereby discharged and held for naught, and the plaintiff ordered and adjudged to pay all costs of said attachment Whereupon this cause came on for trial upon the merits and was withdrawn and dismissed by plaintiff at the plaintiffs costs, and it is further ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs pay all costs of this action and proceedings in attachment in default of which execution shall issue therefor

Jane O. Jones Pltf } P 221
vs
Helena M. Hodges Deft }

This day came the plaintiffs and the defendants James McCloud, Malinda McCloud, Elephas R. Bigelow and John Mitchell by their attorneys, and the Jury having made return of their Appisment and valuation as to said 116½ acre tract, and no good cause being shown against the same the said Appisment and valuation are hereby confirmed by the Court, and said Jury having reported a sum in favor of the said defendants above named on the lastings and valuable improvements after deductions therefrom all net rents &c. the said successful claimants now here in Court elect to take the value of said 116½ acre tract described in plaintiffs petition according to their respective interest in the same without the improvements as Appised by said Jury as follows to wit: 103 acres occupied by the said James McCloud, Malinda McCloud and Elephas R Bigelow at $24 94 and 20 acres occupied by John Mitchell at $400, and to surrender said land to the said defendants respectively, and to make deeds of general warranty to them. It is further ordered that the payments of said valuation be made by said defendants as follows, one third down, one third in nine Months and one third in eighteen Months, with interest on the deferred payments and secured by Mortgage on said premises, and in default of full payment by said defendants respectively, the said successful claimants shall have execution against said defendants respectfully, upon the Judgment and proceedings heretofore rendered and had in this ~~Cause~~ action
It is further ordered, adjudged that the said occupying claimants recover their costs in this proceeding under the occupying claimant law including the costs of said survey of the premises against the said successful claimants taxed to $

Friday February 20, 1874

and on failure of said succesful claimants to pay said costs that execution ipue therefor as in judgments at law and for this purpose this cause is continued

John Reid
vs
Cameron and Kennedy

This cause is settled at the costs of the plaintiff and by leave of the court the defendants are allowed to withdraw the papers from the files without record
It is therefore considered that the defendants recover of the plaintiff his costs taxed at $

Laura E. Randall
vs
William M. Randall

This cause is settled at the costs of the plaintiff and leave allowed by the Court to the defendant to withdraw the papers from the files. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs taxed to $

Samuel Myers
vs
John Carter and T. P. Beatty

This day this cause came on for hearing upon the ipue joined between the parties, pleadings, exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due the plaintiff from defendants the sum of $76 85
And the Court does further find that the plaintiffs claim was duly filed and attested as a mechanics lien against the dwelling house upon the real estate described in the petition and is a valid lien thereon. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover judgment against the defendants for the said sum of $76 85 and costs taxed to $ it is further ordered and decreed by the Court that said dwelling House without the land be sold and the proceeds thereof be applied to the payment of said judgment And execution awarded for the balance

Jacob C. Sidle vs D. F. Parsons et al } continued

John Jolliff vs D. F. Parsons et al } continued

Reuben W. Weisz vs James Megahey et al } continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Blood Judge

Saturday February 21. 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Abram C. Doney
Vs
Tilman G. Goff and his wife and Wm A. Dunnick

And now comes the said Abram C. Doney by Robinson and Piper his attorneys, and the said defendants still failing to demur or answer to said petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises. And the court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said plaintiff on the Notes and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of Twenty seven hundred Ninety one and 45/100 dollars ($2791 45/100) and that the same be a lien prior and better than the other Notes in said Mortgage described.

It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant Tilman G. Goff as principal and W. A. Dunnick as guarantor the said sum of $2791 45/100 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant Tilman G. Goff fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $2791 45/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union for the time being as a special master commissioner of this Court commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the sum so found due with costs of suit.
And it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court here that _____ be appointed a receiver for the purpose of receiving the rents, issues and profits of said premises pending suit, to be applied to the payment of said indebtedness.

This day it was ordered by the Court that the sum of $36.00 be paid to A. S. Johnson as Constable and the sum of $32.00 to Solomon Easley as Constable for this February term of Court 1874. Sheriff to pay out of Court.

A. B. Witter
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of plaintiff for a new trial in this case, and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said motion.
It is therefore considered that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff its costs herein expended taxed to $

Saturday February 21. 1874

William Richter vs George A. Fox } Petition for specific performance of contract

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the petition of said plaintiff, answer of said defendant, reply of the said plaintiff and the evidence, and was argued by counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the equity of the case to be with the defendant.
It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the said defendant go hence without day and recover his costs taxed to $.
And thereupon came the said William Richter plaintiff and gave notice of his intention to appeal this action to the District Court and on motion of the said William Richter appellant the Court fix the appeal bond at $300.00

William Richter vs George A. Fox }

Upon motion of defendant he has leave to amend his answer herein within fifteen days from the rising of this court, and leave to plaintiff to reply in five days thereafter

John Epps vs Atlantic and great Western Railroad Company }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and it appearing to the Court that no amended petition had been filed under former leave of this court to file the same and plaintiff asked leave to file his amended petition within forty days from the rising of the Court which was accordingly granted.

Thomas Martin, J. A. Helkner and R. S. Bonnett, Trustees of the Marysville Lodge of I.O.O.F. No 87 vs Wm M. Randall J. L. Cameron and H. J. King } Judgment $137.72

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant Wm M. Randall to strike the petition from the files, which motion was overruled, and this cause came on to be further heard upon the petition of the plaintiffs and the testimony, and neither party requiring a jury this cause was submitted to the Court, on consideration whereof the Court finds for the plaintiffs in the sum of one hundred thirty seven and 72/100 dollars $137.72
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs as Trustees &c recover of the defendant Wm M. Randall as principal and the defendants J. L. Cameron and H. J. King as his sureties the said sum of one hundred thirty seven and 72/100 dollars so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is ordered that execution issue against said Randall as principal and Cameron and King as his sureties. The defendant Wm M. Randall demands

Saturday February 21. 1874

a second trial under the statute, which was allowed by the Court and the bond or undertaking was fixed by the Court at the sum of $275.00

Jane O. M. Jones Pltf
vs
Ketura M. Hodge Pltf

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause again came on to be heard as to the tract of eighty seven acres of land claimed by the defendants Jane Baker Charles Baker, George Caldwell and Thomas Caldwell and neither party requiring a jury this cause is by consent submitted to the Court upon the pleadings of said parties and the evidence of the plaintiff and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said Jane O. M. Jones, Ketura M. Hodge, Susan Timberlake Josephine Golding and John W. Tibbatts ought to recover of the said Jane Baker, Charles Baker, George Caldwell and Thomas Caldwell said tract of eighty seven acres so described in plaintiffs petition.

It is therefore considered that the said Jane O. M. Jones, Ketura M. Hodge, Susan Timberlake, Josephine Golding and John W. Tibbatts do recover against the said Jane Baker, Charles Baker, George Caldwell and Thomas Caldwell said premises above herein mentioned and also their costs herein taxed at $

Jane O. M. Jones Pltf
vs
Ketura M. Hodge Pltf

P 221 + 301

No 1468

This day came the defendants Jane Baker Charles M. Baker, George Caldwell, and Thomas Caldwell by their attorneys, and moved the Court for leave to file their amended answer setting up an equitable defense in said cause and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said amended answer does not contain facts sufficient to constitute a defense, and thereupon overrule said motion to which said ruling the said defendants except.

Elizabeth Gill
vs
John S. Gill Deft

This day on motion to the Court the sale made in this case was set aside, and this cause was continued under former order of sale.

William Keller & Co
vs
Frederick Weis, Rosina Weis and Philip Hisel

No 1493

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the motion of plaintiff to strike out the second cause of defense in the separate answer of Rosina Weis which was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court and by the said Court sustained

to all of which ruling the defendant Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis except-

X Martha A. Culbertson vs Joseph A. Culbertson } Leave granted the defendant to file answer and crop petition in thirty days, and cause continued

X William B. Moore vs The Pittsburg Cincinnati and St Louis Railway company } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demur to the causes of action respectively numbered 1. 2. 3, 5, 12, 13, 14, 18, and 19. in plaintiffs petition and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said demur. to all of said causes of action except as to number 14, and as to said cause of action numbered 14, said demurer is overruled.

✓ Michael Gundeman vs William Richter } This day came the parties and settled this cause at the costs of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court here that the defendant recover of plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to ff

✓ No 1468 W. M. Randall vs Henry H. Kins } This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit, F. A. Morrow, Luther Liggett, Hamilton Blue, G. P. Hartshorn, Edward Spain, James Adams, John Price, Martin Scheiderer, G. L. Sellars, Stanley Bellus, Wesley Donelly, Wm Howard- who to speak the truth upon the ipues joined being duly empanneled and sworn do upon their oaths say they find for the plaintiff and apes the amount which he ought to recover by reason of the premises at $14.00 Thereupon defendant gave notice of his intention to move for a new trial- motion filed- Thereupon this cause came on to be further heard upon said motion for a new trial, which was argued by counsel and submitted, on consideration whereof the Court do overrule said motion, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant the sum of $14.00 so as aforesaid found due by the verdict of the jury and also his costs herein expended taxed to ff

X No 1493 Henry Knotts vs Moses Amrine et al } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, the answer of said Moses Amrine, and the reply by plaintiff and the evidence introduced by the parties, and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do order the plaintiff to proceed to sell the lands in the

Saturday February 21. 1874.

petition described. and it is ordered that said plaintiff give thirty days notice. consecutively of the terms and time. and place of sale. prior thereto in some newspaper printed and of general circulation in said County of Union. and that such sale be by public vendue at the door of the Court House in Marysville in said County. and it is further ordered that said sale shall be for one third cash in hand on the day of sale. one third in one. and one third in two years from date of sale the deferred payments to be secured by Mortgage executed by the purchaser on the said premises. and to bear interest at the rate of six per cent payable annually. from day of sale. and it is further ordered that plaintiff make return of his proceedings herein at the next term of this Court

William Keller & Co
vs
Frederick Weis Et al.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff. and the answers of the said Frederick Weisz and Rosina Weisz. and of the said Farmers Bank of Union County. and the replies by plaintiff and the evidence. introduced by the parties. and was argued by counsel. and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the sale of said premises in the petition described to the said Philip Diesel was made without consideration and for the purpose of defrauding the creditors of said Frederick and Rosina Weisz.

It is therefore considered and decreed that said sale be and the same is hereby set aside and said deed of conveyance cancelled and held for naught. and the Court find the equities of the case to be with the said plaintiff. and the said Farmers Bank of Union County and that the said separate property of said Rosina Weis is also charged with the payment of the said Notes so signed by her. It is therefore ordered and adjudged that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements of said Rosina Weis to be appraised Advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said liens and indebtedness. with costs of suit. and thereupon the defendants Frederick and Rosina Weisz gave notice of their intention to appeal this case to the District Court and the Court fix the amount of said Appeal Bond at $150 Cr

No 1361

John Swan Et al vs Henry Williams Et al } Continued

Lewis W. Speen vs William M. Robinson Et al } Continued

Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman. Philip Baausch & Fred Monser } Continued

Samuel R. Harbert vs James H. Neal and Henry Neal } Continued

Ebenezer Healey vs William H Wilson Et al } Continued

Ozid Lapham vs Hayden Hutchinson & Co Et al } Continued

Ozid Lapham vs Fillington. Phellis & Woods } Continued

No 1335

X	P. B. Cole Assignee &c vs A. T. Raymond and Levi Kirk	Continued
X	Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams Dec'd	Continued
X	Kellon Bancroft & Co vs E. Hammond	Continued
X	Thomas C. Timmons Admr &c vs Rhoda Haines Dec'd	Continued
X	Farmers Bank of Union County vs B. D. Evans	Continued
✓	Mary Haines Dec'd vs Henry Barth Dec'd	Left See P. 214 & 273
X	D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight	Continued
X	Jacob C. Sidle vs John Ramey	Continued
X	James C. Powers Dec'd by &c vs James C. Lawrence	Continued
X	Thomas C. Bowen Admr &c vs J. G. Miller et al	Continued
X	James W Robinson vs James Cricket and Samuel Welsheimer	Continued
X	Jos Fitzpatrick Dec'd vs Allen Haynes et al	Continued
X	Richard H White vs Darius McIntire and Thomas Eubanks	Continued
X	Susan Humphreis Dec'd vs Jacob Hoffman Dec'd	Continued
X	William Hofferline et al vs John Powers et al	Continued
X	William C. Piper Dec'd vs James Gabriel Dec'd	Continued
X No1361	John Adams vs Incorporated Village of Richwood	Continued
X	James Taylor Ex &c vs Adam Blue	Continued
X	Selina Spain vs Robert T. Spain	Continued
✓ No1335	Elizabeth Walden and James A. Walden her husband, plaintiffs vs Joseph D. Culbertson and George Sinclair	

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiffs, the answer of the defendant Joseph D. Culbertson, the exhibits and testimony, and neither party requiring a jury, this cause was submitted to the Court by the defendant J. D. Culbertson and the said plaintiff.
upon consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendant Joseph D. Culbertson to the plaintiffs on the note in the petition mentioned the sum of sixteen hundred and fifty four dollars, which bears interest at eight per cent per annum
and the Court further finds that there is due from the defendant George

Sinclair to the plaintiffs on the contract in the petition mentioned the sum of Five hundred and fifty two and 80/100 dollars
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of the defendant Joseph A. Culbertson said sum of sixteen hundred and forty four dollars, and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of the said George Sinclair the sum of Five hundred forty two and 80/100 dollars ($542 80) so found due.
and it is further ordered by the Court that upon payment of said sum of $542.80 by George Sinclair to the said plaintiffs the said sum of money, together with the interest which may accrue on the same shall be endored as a credit on the above judgment in favor of plaintiffs against Joseph A. Culbertson, and said judgment shall be satisfied to that extent.

No 1334

Elizabeth Walden and James H. Walden her Husband, plaintiffs
vs
Joseph A. Culbertson Defendant

This day came the plaintiffs and dismiped this action at their own costs. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of plaintiffs all costs of this action in ten days and in default of payment by plaintiff that execution issue therefor.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Monday March 16. 1874. at 2 oclock P.M.

P Bloods Judge

Monday March 16th A.D. 1874.

This day the Court met at 2 oclock P.M. pursuant to adjournment his Honor Judge Abner M. Jackson Presiding. balance of officers same as on February 21st

X

The State of Ohio
vs
Jacob C. Lee
Recognizance.

This day came in open court J. C. Lee and Eli Hildebrand and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit That the said J. C. Lee be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof that being the 18th day of May 1874, to answer unto the State of Ohio for unlawfully, wilfully and maliciously cut, wound, bruise and break the right hind leg of a horse. and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force.

Monday March 16th 1874

Isiah Brown
vs
John M. C. Brown, Silas Brown and Mary Brown } In Partition

This day came the said plaintiff by J. B. Cole his attorney, and produced to the Court here the report of F. F. Sager surveyor, of an amicable partition of the premises described in the petition in this case, by him made pursuant to the request and agreement of all the parties to this action. Thereupon and there being no writ of partition ipued in this case, the said defendant Silas Brown appeared by J. B. Cole his attorney, who produced to the court here a general warranty deed from the said John M. C. Brown defendant, to said Silas Brown for the entire interest of the said John M. C. Brown in the premises described in the petition, being one undivided one fourth part thereof, and said Mary Brown a minor, who appeared by her Guardian P. B. Cole and consented to and fully ratified and confirmed the amicable partition so made by said surveyor as aforesaid. and the same being agreeable to the facts set forth in said petition and the prayer thereof, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do approve and confirm said Amicable partition and order the same to be recorded, and said parties stand seized in fee simple in severally of the parts and parcels set off to each respectively as follows, To said Silas Brown one half described as follows, situate in the County of Union and State of Ohio, in Darby Township, and being part of Virginia Military survey No 7218, bounded and described as follows, Beginning at a stake witness a walnut and in the North east corner to said survey thence with the east line of said survey S. 55° W. 54 3/100 poles to a stone planted in said line, thence N. 36¼° W. 98 5/100 poles parallel with the north line of said survey to a stone, thence N. 2¼ E 13 9/100 poles to a stake corner to Martin Scheiderers land, thence with one of his lines North 55° E 42 52/100 poles to a stake in the original North line of said survey, thence S 36¼° E 106 poles with the North line of said survey to the place of beginning, containing 35 acres. 60 poles. To said Isiah Brown one fourth part described as follows to wit, situate in the County of Union and State of Ohio and Darby Township, being part of survey Virginia Military No 7218, and bounded and described as follows, Beginning at a stake in the original east line of said survey and in the North east corner of Casper Ruhls land, thence with said Ruhls line N. 33¾° W 56 poles to a stake and stone near a small hickory and near the bank of a run and another corner to said Ruhl, thence with another of said Ruhls lines N. 32½° E. 34 poles to a stake, thence N. 2¼° E. 11 8/100 poles to a stone, thence S 36¼° E 77 5/100 poles to a stake in the original survey line, thence S 55° W 45 40/100 poles to the place of beginning, containing 17 acres and 110 poles. To Mary Brown one fourth part as follows, situated in the County of Union and State of Ohio and Darby Township, and being part of Virginia Military survey No 7218, and bounded and described as follows, Beginning at a stone in the original east line of said survey, North east corner to Isaiah Browns land thence with said Browns North line N. 36¼° W 77 5/100 poles to a stone in the line of Martin Scheiderers land, thence with his line N. 2¼° E. 38 50/100 poles to a stone south west corner to Silas Browns land thence with his south line S. 36¼° E 98 5/100 poles to a stone in the original survey line, thence with said line S. 55° W. 32 50/100 poles

Monday March 16. 1874

to the place of beginning, containing 17 acres and 110 poles
all the growing timber on the whole tract of land is in that
part allotted to Silas Brown, and it is further agreed as a part of
the terms of this partition, that the said Isaiah Brown is to
have one fourth part of the timber, and the said Mary Brown
one fourth part with privilege of taking the same off at their
convenience as they may need it without injury to the land
or crops of said Silas Brown, and it is further ordered
and adjudged that the costs of this proceedings including an
attorney fee of $25.00 to J. B. Cole, be paid by said parties in
equal proportions to their respective interests and in default
of such payment for ten days, that execution issue as upon
judgments at law.

Peter L. Mayo
vs
John Mayo et al. } Partition Ex Fi. Nos 75-7

This day came the parties hereunto and submitted
this cause to the Court on the motion to confirm the sale made in this
cause, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said sale
and proceedings in all respects regular and lawful, and do confirm
the same, and order the Sheriff to execute to the purchaser of said lands a
deed in fee simple, conveying the same to him subject to the claim of
dower of Catharine Mayo as hereinafter mentioned.
and it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of said sale the costs
herein expended including an attorney fee of $20.00 to T. B. Cole Esq.
the costs adjudged against Peter Williams to be taken out of his share of the
purchase money so far as it will go, and the balance of the costs to
be paid out of the said purchase money.
also all taxes which may be a lien thereon, also the claim of twenty
eight dollars due Stephen Bringet set up in his answer be paid
and the balance divided between the parties as follows, viz,
Peter L. Mayo, John Mayo, Felix Day, Jr, Matilda Mayo, James
Mayo, and Peter Williams each one seventh, and Mary Jane Mayo
and Joseph A. Burns, each one fourteenth part thereof; and it is
ordered that the dower claim of six dollars and sixty six cents per
annum due to Catharine Mayo on said land be and remain a
lien on said land to be paid to her by said purchaser on the first day
of April 1875 and each first of April thereafter so long as she lives

Kelton Bancroft & Co
vs
E. Hammond }

This day came the parties to this action
and on motion the Court set aside the order of sale made herein
at the February term of 1872, thereupon this cause came on to be
heard, and the Court do find the allegations of the amended
petition to be true, and there is due to plaintiff from the defendant
E Hammond on the judgment described in plaintiffs amended
petition the sum of $210.40 with interest from February 11, 1868, and
$4.87 costs, amounting at this day to the sum of $
which is a lien on the premises in the amended petition described by virtue
of the judgment and levy set forth in said amended petition

It is therfore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that said plaintiff recover of said E. Hammond said sum of $ together with the costs of this action by plaintiff expended taxed to $
and in default of payment thereof for ten days that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to appraise, advertise and sell said premises according to law, to satisfy the said claim and the other liens thereon

And thereupon the court adjourned until Saturday Morning 10 o'clock - March 21st.

Wm. M. Jackson
Judge

Saturday March 21st 1874

This Morning the Court met at 10 o'clock A. M. pursuant to adjournment: his Honor. P. B. Cole Presiding Balance of Officers present same as on Monday.

Farmers Bank of Union County Ohio
vs
Thomas J. Mather } cognovit:

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling attorneys, and thereupon came John Stillings one of the attorneys of record of this Court who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven - waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney - confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $104.00
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $104.00 so aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of per cent per annum - and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

Hylington
Phelps and Woods
vs
Edward Spain } cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Ayers and Woodburn Attorneys, and thereupon came John L. Porter one of the Attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 633.45
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff, do recover of said defendant

Saturday March 21st 1874

the said sum of $633.45 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Tuscarawas Valley Bank
vs
W. D. Pittinger, J. A Campbell
Philip Fetzman, Wm Campbell
} cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came D. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $671.00 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, and by said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Sarah J. Cartmell Admr.
vs
Thomas Pagd
}

This cause is continued with leave to plaintiff to plead to the answer in 30 days from the risings of this court

Dolbear and Woods
vs
Levi Bricker
} cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterlings attorneys and thereupon came J. L. Cameron one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $2086.84
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $2086.84 so as aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived

do
1423

Isaac Zane
vs
Holmes A. Hutchinson Admr.

This day it was ordered by the Court that the Sheriff have the real estate levied on in this action reappraised advertised and sold

Bank of Richwood vs Charles Baldwin } On Motion to the Court

by counsel for the plaintiff. and it appearing to the court that the real Estate heretofore levied upon in this case has been duly appraised and twice offered for sale by the Sheriff under said execution and still remains unsold for want of bidders, it is ordered that said appraisement be and the same is hereby set aside, and the Court order that a new appraisement be made of said real estate according to law-

William B. Moore
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnatti and St Louis Railway Company

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party requiring a jury, this cause is submitted to the court, and the Court after hearing the testimony offered in the case, do find that plaintiff was overcharged at different times by defendant as set forth in causes of action as numbered respectively, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, and 17, and the Court finds that double the amount of each of said over charges was less than $25.00 and that at each of said overcharges the defendant forfeited to plaintiff the sum of $25.00 and that therefore the amount of forfeiture of defendant to plaintiff is $275.00

It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of defendant said sum of Two hundred and seventy five dollars, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $. To which ruling and decision of the Court the defendant then and there excepted, and thereupon the defendant demanded a second trial in said case, and the Court being of opinion that the case is one proper for a second trial do allow the same and fix the bond at $500 and thereupon, upon motion of defendant it has leave to amend its answer in thirty days from the rising of the Court and cause continued

✓ dro 1423

Abby B. Morey and A. Morey her Husband
vs
John F. Kinney Et al.

ex A. dro 1646 p261

This day on motion to the Court by M. C. Lawrence Attorney for the plaintiffs, and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the return of sale of the premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of the Court, and the same being examined and found by the Court, in all respects in due form of law. It is ordered by the Court, that said proceedings and sale be, and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to the said purchaser to wit; To School District No-one of Paris Township Union County Ohio- a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements by him so sold as aforesaid, and it is further ordered that the proceeds of sale be distributed as follows, 1st That the Sheriff pay the costs and expenses of this proceeding- amounting to $37.35 Thirty Nine 35/100 dollars 2d That he pay an attorney fee to M. C. Lawrence in the sum of Twenty dollars ($20.00) 3d That he pay the taxes, which were a lien on said land At the date of sale, amounting to $1.80 4th That the residue of the payment in, hands be distributed as follows 1st To the heirs and legal representatives of Roxana Kinney deceased the sum of $34.00 as follows, To Abby B. Morey $17.00 and to Elizabeth English, William English and Louis English each the sum

Saturday March 21st 1874.

$2 ($5.66 2/3) Five and 66 2/3 dollars, To Abby B. Morey, John H. Kinney Laura Wilkinson, Elisha Kinney, Lathrop S. Kinney, and Mrs Eliza Jewett the one eighth each of the residue, amounting to $4.81 1/2 each - To Emory H. Malin, Maria Jones and Mary Jewett Knowles, the one twenty fourth part each of the residue amounting to $1.60 1/2 each - To Elizabeth English, William English and Louis English each the sum of $1.60 1/2 being the one twenty fourth part each of the residue of first payment,
5th and that the securities for the 2d and 3d payments be distributed as follows, To Abby B. Morey, John H. Kinney, Laura Wilkinson Elisha Kinney, Lathrop S. Kinney and Mrs Eliza Jewett each the one eighth part, amounting to $16.71 each - on each payment with its interest - To E. H. Malin, Maria Jones, Mary Jewett Knowles, Elizabeth English, William English, and Louis English each the one twenty fourth part, amounting to $5.57 each on each payment with its interest -

No 1309 — Margaret Payne vs E. W. Rosette et al — Continued under former order

James P. Loop vs Harriott and Stone — Continued

Dennis Clark vs L. B. Dennis —

This cause is dismissed at the costs of the defendant -
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs in this case expended taxed to $

George Mast vs Ralbon Cook, Mary Cook and Robert Sharp — P 211 & 275 Dismissed Ex. A. No 1580

H. M. Merrill & Co vs W. P. Piper — Continued

Commissioners Union County Ohio vs M. L. Henry — Continued

No 1392 — John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood — Continued

John Adams vs Henry H. Carpenter et al. — Continued

Louisa Snowden and Edgar Snowden vs Thomas W. Kezarten et al. — Continued

Saturday March 21st 1874

× John Epps vs The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad Company } Continued

× Commissioners Union County Ohio vs William Gabriel & Continued

× George L. Converse vs Louisa Pedrazzoli et. al. & Continued

× Wench Garwood. Guardian vs R. B. Truman. Guardian & Continued

× Jennie Bunch vs Reuben Bunch & Continued

× David B. May vs Mary L. May & Continued

× John Weaver vs C. H. Robbins et. al. & Continued

× Michael Cody vs Moses Thompson & Continued

✓ Martha M Trout vs Abraham Trout } This cause is dismissed at the cost of the defendant It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs of this proceeding taxed to $ in ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

✓ A. H. Wilkins as Administrator of the estate of Robson L. Broom deceased, plaintiff vs Samuel Turner and Nancy Turner defendants } And now comes the said plaintiff A. H. Wilkins by his attorneys, and the said Samuel Turner and Nancy Turner defendants, having filed an answer to said petition alledging in said answer usury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises does find that on the notes stated in the second, third and fourth causes of action in said petition there was usury, the court does find there is due the plaintiff on the first cause of action set forth in his said petition the sum of $437 20/100 also on the second cause of action the sum of $260 [illegible] the Court does find there is due from the defendants Samuel Turner and Nancy Turner to the plaintiff as such Administrator on said Mortgages as set forth in his said petition the sum of six hundred and ninety eight and 00/100 dollars It is therefore considered by the said Court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of six hundred and ninety eight and 00/100 and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for ten days after the close of this term of court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of six hundred and ninety eight and 00/100 dollars so as aforesaid found to be his due an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered, the Court further finds that there is due the plaintiff as such administrater on two promisory Notes in his petition described

Saturday March 21st 1874

from the defendants the sum of Nine hundred and eighty two & 06/100 dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff as such Administrator recover of the defendants the sum of Nine hundred and eighty two and 06/100 dollars and his costs expended in this suit taxed to ft

× Charles C. Vansant vs Henry Evans } Continued

× Foster Thomas vs Alonzo A. Ballinger et al } Continued

× Sarah E. Bosart vs Leander Bosart } Continued

A. H. Wilkins, Administrator
of the estate of Robson L. Broom deceased, plaintiff
against
William D. Cameron and Sarah J. Cameron
Administrators of the estate of Joseph Cameron
deceased and J. L. Cameron defendants

This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendants having answered and plead usury, the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said Robson L. Broom had received usurious interest, the Court further finds that there is due and remaining unpaid to plaintiff as such Administrator from William D. Cameron and Sarah J. Cameron as such Administrators and J. L. Cameron as surety on said Note described in the petition of plaintiff the sum of $180 00

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiff as such Administrator recover of William D. Cameron and Sarah J. Cameron as such Administrators and J. L. Cameron as surety the said sum of $180 00 and his costs herein expended taxed to ft

No 205 The State of Ohio vs Charles Kent } ~~March 20th 1874. $10.00~~ Settled May 4th 1874 by order of Pros. attorney and costs paid-

Sophia Hemingway vs Ira D. Johnson and others } March 20th 1874, $20.95 on Mandate from District Court

This day this cause came on to be heard, the said Sophia Hemingway and Michael Davis being present by their attorneys the other parties not appearing, and was submitted to the Court upon the Mandate from the Supreme Court, the Petition of said Davis, the answer of Everette Messenger and said Hemingway, and the reply of said Davis to the answer of said Messenger, Exhibits and testimony, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the Judgment of the said Hemingway and the said Michael Davis specified in the petition are coequal liens upon the money arising from the sale of the lands mentioned in said petition and are prior and superior liens upon said money to that arising upon the Mortgage of said Messenger upon said lands designated in said petition as the Tyler farm and the Court further finds that Philip Snider as Sheriff, and Special Master commissioner did on the 30th of August 1861 by virtue of an order of sale specified in said petition sell the said Tyler farm for the sum of $3162 50 and the Court find that said Davis was not a party to the said Judgment on which said sale was made, and that before he filed his petition in this case said sale was made

No 946,

and the said Mesenger had received of said Sheriff of the money arising from said sale the sum of $1592.64 to wit: on the 22d of October 1861, and the court finds that as between the said Hominway and Davis and the said Mesenger the equity of the case is with said Hominway and Davis, and that said Mesenger is bound to pay said money with interest thereon from the time he received it to said Sheriff, to enable him to perform the order of this court and that he has not yet paid it. The Court further finds that of said money so arising from said sale the said Hominway has received at different times the aggregate amount of $1650 00 which was sufficient to pay her said judgment with its interest in full, and that the said Michael Davis received of said money on the 14th day of April 1868 the sum of $175 00 leaving a balance due said Davis on his said judgment and its interest to this day the sum of $209 5. The Court further finds that the said Davis by reason of his prior lien on said money should have been paid his judgment out of the money so received by the said Mesenger, it being more than sufficient to have paid said judgment and interest due thereon to said Davis at the time said Mesenger so received it. The Court also finds that said money so paid to said Mesenger was all the balance that remained subject to pay the said judgment liens which are prior to the lien of said Mesengers Mortgage. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court here as follows, 1st. That the said money received by the said Hominway as aforesaid be in full satisfaction of her said judgment and claim, 2d. That the said $175 00 so received by the said Davis be credited as a payment of its date and amount on his said judgment, and that the residue of his said judgment and interest being $209 5 be paid from the money so in the hands of the said Everette Mesenger,

3d. That the said Everette Mesenger pay to the Clerk of this court within thirty days from the close of the present term the said sum of $209 5 for the discharge of the said judgment and interest thereon, and to the said Michael Davis, and that he also pay the costs in and about this suit expended, and that in default of such payment that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law. And it is further ordered that if any money remains of the principal and interest on the said sum in the hands of said Mesenger after paying the said Davis judgment and the costs, that the same be applied on the said judgment in favor of said Mesenger, and it is further ordered that this cause be remanded to the Court of Common Pleas of this County to carry this order and judgment into execution. All questions between the said Sophia Hominway and the said Michael Davis growing out of the money paid in this case remain unsettled to be hereafter adjusted,

Judge Cole being of counsel did not sit in this case. The clerk will enter the foregoing on the journal of the District court of Union County Ohio.

James Mackenzie, E. M. Phelps, Judges District court

William McGinnis
vs
L. Webster
} May 5th 1874. In vacation settled and costs paid

✓ Foser Thomas
vs
Alonzo H Ballinger Et. al. } May 1st 1874. In vacation settled and costs paid

✓ John Adams
vs
The Incorporated Village Richwood } No 946 on Mandate from District court. In error.

✓ No 946.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the said John Adams

Saturday March 21st 1874

The Transcript of the Court of Common Pleas, as well the Transcript of the Mayor of Richwood, and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it appears to the Court that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid before the said Mayor or in the Court of Common Pleas, on consideration whereof it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that said judgment of the Court of Common Pleas as well as the judgment of the Mayor of Richwood be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs, and it is further ordered that a special mandate be sent to the said Court of Common Pleas to carry this judgment into execution, as if these proceedings in error had not been taken. Judge Cole being of counsel did not set in this case

No 934 — John Adams vs The Incorporated Village of Richwood } On Mandate from District Court. In error,

And now come the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon this action came on to be heard upon the petition of John Adams the Transcript as well of the Court of Common Pleas, as of the Mayor of the Village of Richwood, and was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the Transcript, judgments or proceedings aforesaid. On consideration whereof it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the said judgment of the Court of Common Pleas be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs, and it is further ordered that a special mandate be sent to the said Court of Common Pleas to carry this judgment into execution. Judge Cole being of counsel did not set in this case.

No 1548

No 225

Wm H. Conkright, Administrator &c vs Mary R. Haynes } On Mandate from District Court. In error,

This day came on this cause to be heard, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for defendant in error and that there is no error in said record.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court, that said judgment of the Court of Common Pleas be and the same is hereby affirmed, and it is considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant in error recover of the plaintiff in error her costs herein expended taxed to $ And this cause is remanded to the Court of Common Pleas of this County to be carried into effect

Mary R. Haynes vs Joshua S. Gill and others } On Mandate from District Court. Dower,

This day came the parties and submitted this cause to the Court upon the pleadings and evidence and the arguments of counsel, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that on the 15th of May 1868, one Wm Fisher duly made and delivered to said J. B. W. Haynes now deceased, then the husband of the plaintiff, a deed for said premises Lots Nos 75 and 76, mentioned in the plaintiffs petition, which said deed was accepted by said Haynes, and that by virtue of said deed a legal estate was vested in said J. B. W. Haynes, and the Court do further find that said deed did not operate as a trust in the hands of said J. B. W. Haynes for the benefit of the defendant Joshua S. Gill at that time so far as to affect the plaintiffs right of dower therein, that the Court make no further findings upon any

Saturday March 21st 1874

ipues Joined between the parties. Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff be endowed of one full third part of all the premises in said petition described, and that a writ of dower ipue to the Sheriff of this County, commanding him by the oaths of Morris Hill, W. W. Kile and T. P. Cratty three disinterested freeholders of the vicinity of said premises not of Kin to either party the set off to plaintiff the full third of said premises as her dower therein, and if the same cannot be done by metes and bounds that they make a valuation of the annual rents of said premises, over and above the necessary annual repairs and the taxes - but said Morris ~~the~~ Hill, W. W. Kile and T. P. Cratty are in making said assignment of dower or valuation of said rent to exclud all improvements made on said premises since the death of said J. B. C. Haynes, and this cause is remanded to the Court of Common Pleas of this County to be carried into effect
To which findings and order and judgment the defendants except

No 1548 — Samuel A. Miller Et. al. vs William W. Franklin — May 11th 1874. In vacation settled and costs paid

No 225 — State of Ohio vs William Stimmel — In vacation settled and costs paid

No 1485 — A. F. Wilkins, Administrator of the estate of Robson L. Broom deceased against William M. Robinson and Hannah A. Robinson

And now comes the said A. F. Wilkins plaintiff and the said defendants William M. Robinson and Hannah A. Robinson still failing to answer or demurer to the said petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court find there is due the plaintiff as such administrator the sum of $543 5-6 from the defendants as claimed by him in his said petition -
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the said plaintiff as such administrator recover of the said defendants the said sum of $543 5-6 so found due him and also his costs herein expended taxed to $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for three days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $543 5-6 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order ipue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Saturday March 21st 1874

A. F. Wilkins Administrator of the estate of Robinson L. Broom deceased plaintiff
against
William M. Robinson defendant
No 1494

This day this cause came on to be heard on the issues joined; and the parties waiving a jury and the cause was submitted to the court, the court being fully advised in the premises does find that the defendant had paid said Robson L Broom during his lifetime usureres interest - The court does therefore find that there is due the plaintiff as such administrator from the defendant the sum of $335.00

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff as such administrator recover of the defendant the sum of $335.00 and also his costs herein expended taxed to $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for five days from this date to pay to the plaintiff the sum of $335.00 ~~at ten per cent~~ interest until paid the same secured by the Mortgage. so found to be due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered
This judgment is to bear interest at ten per cent until paid

And thereupon the court adjourned without day

May Term A.D. 1874

Monday May 18th 1874.
This day the Court of common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 10 oclock A.M. in pursuance of law.
Present P. B. Cole. Presiding Judge, John L. Porter Prosecuting attorney John C. Price Sheriff and H. L. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called some of them came to wit: A. C. Ferris, C. E. Williams, L. D. Wright, P. J. Crummons, G. A. Brooks, Henry Archbargain, George D. Turner, L. Wright, jr. A. Henderson, W. F. Jackson, Henry Poling, J. M. Sanders, John Wells and R. W. Converse, and because the residue of said jurors, of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the court, elected anew to wit:, C. L. Robinson, good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. The Court appoint the said J. A. Henderson, Foreman and the said jurors being duly empannaled, sworn and charged, retired to their Room, to deliberate: attended by a sworn constable

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the court and presented their final report which reads to wit: To the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio May Term 1874. The Grand Jury for the Court and term before named. Report that they examined the jail and find it in good condition. J. A. Henderson Foreman and having finished the business before them they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court.

Samuel Myers
vs
John O. Carter and T. P. Cratty } Motion Docket.

This day came the defendant T. P. Cratty by his Attorney, and the plaintiff by his Attorneys, Myers and Woodburn, and this motion came on for hearing, and it appearing to the Court that due notice of the pendency of this motion has been given. It is by the consent of the plaintiff, and the defendant T. P. Cratty ordered that the judgment and order of the Court in this Court heretofore rendered at the February term thereof be and the same is hereby set aside and held for naught, and upon motion of the said defendant T. P. Cratty he has leave to withdraw his demurrer filed to said amended petition the same having been improvidently filed.

and thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Tuesday May 19th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 8½ o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Luther Lisett Guardian of Gideon M. Lisett vs Arthur Lisett. Leroy Lisett and Elizabeth Sade. } In Partition

And now comes the said Gideon M. Lisett by Andrew Stevenson his Attorney, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find that said defendants have waived the serving of notice and issuing of summons and entered their appearance herein. and the Court do further find that the said Gideon M. Lisett has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of one third part of said premises; and the Court do further find that the said Arthur Lisett. Leroy Lisett and Elizabeth Sade heirs of Gideon Lisett deceased are tenants in common in the said premises with the said Gideon M. Lisett in the following proportions to wit,, That the said Arthur Lisett is seized of one third part thereof. The said Leroy Lisett of one third part thereof and the said Elizabeth Sade is entitled to dower therein. It is therefore ordered that a writ of Partition and Dower issue to the Sheriff of the said County of Union. Commanding him that by the oaths of James Thompson, Warrett Owens and S. D. Andrews one full equal third part of said premises in said petition described be set off and assigned to the said Elizabeth Sade as her dower estate; and that by the like oaths of the said James Thompson, Warrett Owens, and S. D. Andrews partition be made of said lands subject to said dower estate in the following proportions to wit,, said Gideon M. Lisett one third part, said Leroy Lisett one third part and said Arthur Lisett one third part, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

No 1415 A. D. Woodworth vs The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company } Settled

No 1416 A. D. Woodworth vs The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company } Settled

Hullington Garwood & Co vs Michael Davis } Continued

C. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

A Black Judge

No 1370

Wednesday May 20th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Robert Kerr
vs
Thomas W. Johnson, David Kerr and Richard Wilson } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by J. H. McNeal Attorney, and thereupon came P. K. Kerr one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in Open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $205.06 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants the said sum of $205.06 so as aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Robert Kerr
vs
Elias Riley and William P. Fish } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by J. H. McNeal Attorney, and thereupon came P. K. Kerr one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 115.18 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants the said sum of $ 115.18 so as aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived –

X John Woodruff
vs
E. Harris } This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant to reform the petition of the plaintiff as to the matters and things recited in said motion and was argued by counsel, upon consideration of which the Court does sustain said motion and it is ordered that the several matters and portions of said petition recited in said motion be and the same are hereby stricken from said petition and leave is granted to the defendant to file answer herein which is accordingly filed and leave is granted to the plaintiff to reply in 30 days and this cause is continued –

No 1370 A. M. Merrill & Co vs W. L. Piper } settled at costs of plaintiffs –

Wednesday May 20th 1874

Somelia Stillings and
Thomas Stillings
vs
Polly A. Powers and others } In Partition

And now comes the said Somelia Stillings by her attorneys Porter and Sterling, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and the evidence before the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been duly given as required by law, and the Court does further find that the said Somelia Stillings has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of the undivided one fifth part of the said premises; and the Court does further find that the said Elizabeth Lee, Polly A. Powers, William Dynes and Eliza Hornbeck are tenants in common in said premises with the said Somelia Stillings in the following parts to wit: Each to the one undivided one fifth part. It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of H. J. Sager, George Wilber and Levi Longbrake partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit: To the said Somelia Stillings one fifth part, To the said Elizabeth Lee one fifth part To the said Polly A. Powers one fifth part. To William Dynes one fifth part To the said Eliza Hornbeck one fifth part; and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

Susan Humphreis &c. }
vs
Jacob Hoffman &c. }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to set aside the order of the Court made in this action allowing Joab Hoffman, Thomas Donahoe John Donahoe, Patrick Mannon, Isaac Vany, James Marks William Jenkins, and Edy Spain a second trial and was argued by Counsel, on consideration whereof the Court overrule said Motion To which opinion and judgment of the Court the plaintiffs except

Lemuel Myers }
vs
J. C. Carter and T. P. Beatty }

It is ordered that the plaintiff have leave to amend his petition in this case within 30 days by verifying the same, and the defendant has leave to answer within 30 days from that date, and this cause is reinstated upon the docket and continued

J. C. Hanover and S. W. Brown }
vs
William Wharry, C. H. Cady and P. Safford } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Bloods Judge

Thursday May 21st 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Scotland Robinson
vs
Benoni P. Hildreth and
Marquis M. Hildreth } Coprom't

This day came the plaintiffs by D. W. Ayers. Attorney, and thereupon came W. D. Rodney one of the Attorneys of record of this Court. who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of procep, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition, the sum of $162.20 It is therefore considered that said plaintiffs do recover of said defendants the said sum of $162.20 so as aforesaid confesed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

R. D. Hinley
vs
O. Wells } Debt

And now comes the said R. D. Hinley and the said O. Wells having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said R. D. Hinley it is considered that the said R. D. Hinley ought to recover the said sum of $141.69 so demanded in his petition together with the sum of $6.23 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition It is therefore considered that the said R. D. Hinley recover against the said O. Wells the said sum of $147.92 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

Emily P. Collier
vs
Frank Picket } Bastardy

This day came the complainant by her attorney and the defendant in person and by Attorney, and it appearing to the Court that the said Emily P. Collier has not yet been delivered of said bastard child - It is ordered by the Court that the said bond of recognizance heretofore given by the said defendant be and the same is hereby renewed to the effect that the said Frank Picket shall be forthcoming and personally be and appear at the next term thereof, of said Court to answer said charge and abide the order of the Court thereon. It further appearing to the Court that the said sureties of the said Frank Picket upon said bond of recognizance do not object this renewal of said bond of recognizance and the continuance thereof in full force and effect it is ordered by the Court, and this cause is continued until the next term of this Court -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Jas dpc

Friday May 22. 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1392 John Adams vs The Incorporated Village of Richwood } In error

This day came the said John Adams by his Attorneys, and the Incorporated Village Village of Richwood by its Attorney, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard as well upon the Transcript of the Judgment and other proceedings between the said parties before the Mayor of the said Incorporated Village and the pleadings and papers brought here by writ of error ipsued by this Court to the said Hylas Sabine Mayor of said Incorporated Village of Richwood as well as all matters by the said John Adams herein assigned for error and the same, been seen and by the Court fully understood, and after due consideration thereupon had. It appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the judgment aforesaid, Therefore it is considered by the Court that the judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect
And it is further ordered that Execution be awarded from this court to carry into effect the judgment of the said Mayor as if the same had been rendered in this Court together with the costs in and about this proceeding taxed at $
To all of which the plaintiff excepts and asks the Court to allow his exception and make the same a part of the record hereof which is done accordingly - we agree that this case need not be entered on the complete record book

No 1261 John Adams vs The Incorporated Village of Richwood } The papers in this case having been mislaid this cause is continued

James C. Powers et. al. vs James L. Lawrence } This day came the plaintiffs and dismiped this cause at their own costs, It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiffs his costs herein in ten days and in default of payment by plaintiffs that execution ipsue therefor -

John Swan et. al. vs Henry Williams et. al. } Continued

Lewis W. Green vs Wm M. Robinson et. al. } Continued

Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman. Philip Rauschand Hart Monser } Continued

The State of Ohio vs John Reid } The evidence not being closed in this case the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

A Hale Jas P

Saturday May 23. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 8 o clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

X William Hofferline et. al. } vs John Powers et. al. }

This day came the parties to this action and thereupon the plaintiffs suggest that since the commencement of this action Mary Hofferline one of said plaintiffs has been married to John W. Chrisman it is therefore ordered that the said John W. Chrisman be made a party plaintiff herein with his wife and the same is done accordingly.

X William Hofferline et. al. } vs John Powers et. al. }

And now came the said parties by their Attorneys and with the Asent of the Court waive a trial by Jury and submit this action to the Court upon the Ipues formed between the parties, and the Court after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel do find that the said plaintiffs are seized in fee simple of the possession so as aforesaid demanded in manner and form as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition set forth, and that the said defendants do unlawfully keep the said plaintiffs out of the possession of the said premises in manner and form as the said plaintiffs have in their said petition demanded.

It is therefore considered that the said William Hofferline John Hofferline and Mary (Chrisman late) Hofferline and her husband said John W. Chrisman do recover against the said John Powers and Edward Carey the premises in their petition described and also their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed at $

And thereupon came the said John Powers and Edward Carey by their Attorneys Robinson and Piper and mad application to the Court here for the valuation of ein provements and assessment of damages under the statute for the relief of the occupying claimants, and the Court having considered of the same are of opinion that the said John Powers and Edward Carey are entitled thereto, whereupon it is ordered that further proceedings may be had in the premises agreeably to the provisions of said statute.

X Samuel R. Harbert vs James A. Neal and Henry Neal } Continued

X Reuben W. Weis vs James Megahey et. al. } Continued

X Ebenezer Healey vs William H. Wilson et. al. Continued

X Oziel Lapham vs Hayden, Hutchinson & co et. al. } Continued

X Oziel Lapham vs Hellington, Phillis and Woods } Continued

X Andrew A. Spicer Admr. &c vs William Sprague et. al. } Continued former order

Thursday May 21st 1874

The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder

The panel of thirty six jurors summoned by the Sheriff in said cause appeared in open court, and thereupon came said defendant John Reid in person and was represented by counsel, and also came John L. Boxter the Prosecuting Attorney, and thereupon said defendant and said Prosecuting Attorney in open Court selected from said panel of thirty six jurors, the following persons to wit; J. S. Robertson, S. H. Grant, Robert Robinson, John Blair, Levi Roseberry, Athniel Jewett, Philip Snider Simpson Anderson, and John Hohensack, to serve as jurors in the trial of said cause, the remainder of said panel being set aside on challenge, and thereupon Robert Hill, Enos A. Bell, and Cordon Cameron were chosen and selected from the bystanders by said defendant and said Prosecuting attorney to make up said jury to the member of twelve to serve as jurors in the trial of said cause, and said jury so chosen and selected were immediately and duly sworn as jurors in said cause and the trial of the same commenced.

The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder

The evidence not being closed in this cause the court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning.

And thereupon the court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bloch Judge

Saturday May 23, 1874

The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder

The evidence this day being closed the Counsel proceeded to argue the same, Argument not being closed the Court thereupon adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

P Bloch Judge

Monday May 25th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

John Adams
vs
Henry H. Carpenter and
Cyrus H. Patton

This day came the plaintiff John Adams and the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition this cause was submitted to the Court upon the petition, exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency hereof has been given to said defendants according to law, and the Court do further find that the facts stated in said petition are true in manner and form as therein alleged, that said Henry H. Carpenter has received the full and complete consideration for said premises, and that he ought to execute and deliver to plaintiff a good and sufficient deed of conveyance therefor, and be enjoyned forever from interfering with plaintiff in his free possession and disposition of said premises. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed that said Henry H. Carpenter execute and deliver to plaintiff a good and sufficient deed of conveyance for said premises within ten days from the rising of this court, and in default thereof then that this decree operate as such conveyance, and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that both the said Henry H. Carpenter and Cyrus H. Patton be forever and perpetually enjoined from interfering with plaintiff or those claiming through or under him in the free possession, enjoyment and disposition of said premises, and that said plaintiff pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to ff

James Roberts
vs
Isaac Miller

P282

And now comes the said plaintiff by Carpenter and Pudney his attorneys, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of the real estate described in plaintiffs petition, made on the 16th day of May A.D. 1874 to James Roberts on an order of sale issued in this case on the 2d day of April A.D. 1874, and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law. It is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be, and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser James Roberts a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

James Gabriel, executor of the estate of Richard Gabriel deceased
vs
Leonidas Piper and William L. Piper

And now comes the said plaintiff by Carpenter and Pudney his attorneys and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of the

Monday May 25, 1874

undivided one half of the real estate described in plaintiffs petition, being the entire interest of the defendant William L. Piper in said premises, made on the 16. day of May A.D. 1874 to Leonidas Piper, on an order of sale issued in this cause on the 2d. day of April A.D. 1874, and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser Leonidas Piper a deed for the said lands and tenements so sold.

Samuel Stout
vs
D. M. McMorris and John A. Wood } settled P283

The State of Ohio
vs
John Reid } Indictment for murder

This day the argument in this case, being closed, the Jury were charged by the court and retired to deliberate at 9 oclock P. M.

Jacob C. Sidle
vs
D. F. Parsons et. al. } continued

John Sliff
vs
D. F. Parsons et. al. } continued

Jacob C. Sidle
vs
James Mulvaine } This cause is continued, and leave is given defendant to answer in sixty days from the rising of the Court

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleeke Judge

Tuesday May 26th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Ketura M. Hodge and
George B. Hodge her Husband
vs
Jane O. M. Jones, George W. Jones her husband
Josephine A. Golding and her husband Walter S. Golding
John W. Tibbatts and Susan Timberlake and her
husband Henry C. Timberlake
} In Partition

Now comes the said Ketura M. Hodge by her Attorneys Porter and Sterling and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence, and on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the making of this order, and the Court do further find that the said Ketura M. Hodge has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of one undivided one fifth part of the said premises and the Court do further find that the said Jane O. M. Jones, Josephine A. Golding, John W. Tibbatts and Susan Timberlake are tenants in common in said premises with the said Ketura M. Hodge in the following proportions to wit; each of said parties are entitled to the undivided one fifth part of said lands; It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of F. J. Sager, George Wilber and Levi Longbrake partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit; To the said Ketura M. Hodge one fifth part, Jane O. M. Jones one fifth part, Josephine A. Golding one fifth part, John W. Tibbatts one fifth part, and Susan Timberlake one fifth part, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams et al. } Continued

Kellon Bancroft & Co vs E. Hammond } Continued

Farmers Bank Union County vs R. D. Evans } Continued

D. W. Anderson vs John A. McCreight } Continued

Jacob C. Sidle vs John Ramey } Continued

The State of Ohio
vs
John Reid
} Indictment for Murder

This day it was ordered by the court that an Attorney fee of $30.00 be paid to W. P. Reid and D. W. Ayers for services in defending John Reid for Murder on

The State of Ohio
vs
John Reid
} Indictment for Murder

This day it was ordered by the Court that an Attorney fee of $10.00 be paid to A. J. Sterling for assisting the Prosecuting Attorney in Prosecuting John Reid for Murder

Tuesday May 26th 1874

Cornelia Stillings Admr. et al. vs Polly A. Powers et al. } Confirmation of commissioners report

On motion to the court by Porter and Sterling counsel for said petitioner, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said county of Union according to law, and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this court, to which time this cause is continued.

The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for Murder

This day came the parties by their attorneys the said defendant John Reid being in person before the Court and thereupon came said jury to wit:
G. S. Robertson, S. H. Grant, Robert Robinson, John Blair, Levi Roseberry, Othniel Jewett, Philip Snider, Simpson Anderson, John Rosenback, Robert Hill, Enos A. Bell, Gordon Cameron, who being duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths say, that the said defendant is not guilty of Murder in the first or in the second degree as he stands charged in said indictment; and the said Jurors further upon their oaths do say that the said defendant John Reid is guilty of manslaughter in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

Thomas C. Bowen Admr. &c vs J. G. Miller and others } Continued under former order

James W. Robinson vs James Cricket and Samuel Welsheimer } Continued under former order

Job Fitzpatrick et. al. vs Allen Haynes et. al. } Continued

Richard H. White vs Darius McIntire & Thomas Eubanks } Continued

William C. Piper et. al. vs James Gabriel et. al. } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow morning

D. Black Judge

No 206
No 218
No 219
No 220
No 221
No 222
No 223
No 1
No 39
No 155
No 172

Wednesday May 27th 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Gideon M. Liggett by Luther Liggett Guardian
vs
Arthur Liggett. Leroy Liggett and Elizabeth Sade
} In Partition

On motion to the Court by Andrew Stevenson counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law, and the said Elizabeth Sade widow of Gideon M. Liggett deceased, having filed her answer in Court waiving the assignment of dower and asking in lieu thereof her share of said estate in money, it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby confirmed and approved, and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to law, and that he return his doings in the premises to the adjournment of this Court to which time this action is continued.

Joseph A. Felkner Admr &c
vs
Solomon Buds
} This day came on this cause to be heard upon motion of defendant to strike petition from the files for want of verification, which motion was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court, whereupon said Court does overrule said motion to which ruling defendant excepts

No 206 State of Ohio vs John Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 218 State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 219 State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 220 State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 221 State of Ohio vs Desdemona Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 222 State of Ohio vs John Hubbard and Desdemona Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 223 State of Ohio vs John Hubbard and Desdemona Hubbard et Left off Docket

No 1 State of Ohio vs James Green et Continued for sentence

No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price et Continued for sentence

No 155 State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers et Continued

No 172 State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins et Continued for sentence

Wednesday May 27th 1874

Albert T. Hitt
vs
Lawson H. Pooler

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss the appeal for reasons set forth in said motion; and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said transcript and appeal bond were not filed in the time required by law, and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that ~~this action~~ appeal be dismissed, and it is further ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover his costs herein & defendant taxed at $ To which judgment and order of the Court the defendants excepts

× No 211 The State of Ohio vs Charles C. Vansant — Continued by agreement

× No 217 State of Ohio vs Charles Wilmoth — Continued for arrest

× No 226 State of Ohio vs Frederick Goldstep — Continued for arrest

× No 227 The State of Ohio vs Frank Lytle, George Cisco and Elijah Cisco — Continued for arrest

× No 229 The State of Ohio vs William B. Dunfee — Indictment for retailing

This day the defendant being arraigned in open Court and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says - he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment

× No 229 State of Ohio vs William B. Dunfee — Continued for sentence

William A. Young Executor of Joseph Riddle deceased
vs
Lemuel Myers, A. C. Hamilton and Isaac Lake — Note and Mortgage

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and the said Lemuel Myers, A. C. Hamilton and Isaac Lake still failing to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition it is considered that said plaintiff ought to recover against the said defendant Lemuel Myers the sum of Thirty three hundred and ten and 30/100 dollars as the plaintiff has claimed in his petition - It is therefore considered that the plaintiff as such ~~administrator~~ Executor recover of the said Lemuel Myers said sum of Thirty three hundred and ten and 30/100 dollars so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said Lemuel Myers fails for three days to pay to plaintiff said sum of Thirty three hundred and ten and 30/100 dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said

× No 1505

Wednesday May 27th 1874

County of Union, commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the judgment rendered as aforesaid. to which decission and to the overruling of the motion of defendant Fernel Myers excepts

Brooks and Houston
vs
L. W. Smith

This day this cause came on for trial and the defendant still failing to demur or answer but being in default, thereupon the Court hearing the evidence introduced in the case do find that there is due from the defendant to the plaintiffs the sum of seven hundred and thirty Nine & 68/100 dollars,
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the sum of seven hundred and thirty Nine and 68/100 dollars his debt so as aforesaid found to be his due and also his costs herein expended, taxed to $ and on failure of the defendant to pay said judgment and costs in five days that an execution issue therefor

J. Greenleaf & Co
vs
L. W. Smith

This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendant having failed to answer or demur to the petition of the plaintiff, and the Court being fully advised in the premises does find that there is due the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of $323 12/100 as claimed in his said petition
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Three hundred and twenty three and 12/100 dollars so as aforesaid found to be due him with interest at 8 percent per annum on this judgment and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ and that if the defendant fail for six days from the date hereof to pay said judgment then an execution issue therefor

Margaret Payne
vs
L. W. Rosette et. al. } Continued

James P. Loop vs Herriott and Stone Continued

John Epps
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad Company } Continued

Bank of exchange and deposit
vs
E. M. Flint and T. E. Fry } Continued
Do 1505

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleed Jud

Thursday May 28th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

No 1517 Henry Knotts, Guardian vs George W. Amrine } This day this cause is dismissed at the costs of the parties. Each party to pay his own costs—

No 1493 Henry Knotts Guardian of Moses Amrine and others vs Moses Amrine and others } P 306

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to confirm the sale made in obedience to the order heretofore made in this case; and the Court having carefully examined the proceedings of the petitioner upon said order of sale, and finding them in all matters correct and being satisfied that said sale was fairly and legally made, it is ordered that the same be, and is hereby approved and confirmed and it is further ordered that the said plaintiff, make a deed of said real estate to the said purchaser of all right, title and interest of the said wards, viz Moses Amrine, Caroline C. Amrine, Willis Amrine and Martha Amrine and Dora Amrine in and to said lands. Upon the said purchaser, George Serber executing to the said wards above named a Mortgage upon the premises, to secure the deferred payments of the purchase money, with interest at the rate of six per cent per annum payable annually, and it is further ordered, that the plaintiff pay the costs of these proceedings taxed to $ out of said money for which said land was sold, within ten days, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor against the property of the said wards above named as upon judgments at law

Farmers and Merchants Bank vs F. McCullough and J. W. Johnson } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by its attorneys and thereupon came ~~Potter and Herring~~ A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum $166.30 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $166.30 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of per cent per annum. and by virtue of said warrant of attorney all errors are released and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in Error are waived—

Farmers and Merchants Bank vs Jacob Crumblet, John Spray and John M. Crumblet } Cognovit

Thursday May 28th 1874

This day came the plaintiff by its attorneys, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of proces, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 532 67/100

It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $532 67/100 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of per cent per annum. and by virtue of said warrant of attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

John W. Howard
vs
John W. Tickey, Administrator
of Caroline Grow deceased.

This day the defendant obtained leave of the Court to withdraw his answer in this cause, and file a demurer to the petition of plaintiff instanter, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon said demurer, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do sustain said demurer, and thereupon the plaintiff moved the Court for leave to amend his petition in 40 days from the rising of this Court which motion was granted, and leave was given to plaintiff to so amend his petition, and it is further ordered that plaintiff give security for the costs in this action in 40 days from the rising of this court and this cause is continued

John Weaver
vs
C. H. Robbins

This day came the parties and by consent dismissed the said C. H. Robbins from any further defense herein and thereupon this cause came on to be heard, and neither party requiring or demanding a Jury, this cause was by consent of parties submitted to the Court upon the pleadings, exhibits and testimony and the Court on consideration thereof and being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due from the defendant C. H. Robbins to the plaintiff, upon the cause of action mentioned in the petition the sum of forty one & 55/100 dollars It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant C. H. Robbins the said sum of forty one & 55/100 dollars and also his cost herein expended taxed to $

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Biddle Judge

Friday May 29th 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Sarah E. Bosart
vs
Leander Bosart et.al. } No 1503

This day came the said Sarah E. Bosart petitioner by Cameron and Kennedy her attorneys and the said defendant Leander Bosart still failing to answer or demur to the petition. this cause was submitted to the court upon the petition exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel. on consideration whereof the court being fully advised in the premises do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of the petition has been given to the defendant according to law for more than six weeks prior to the commencement of this term of court. and the court do further find that the facts stated in said petition are true, that said defendant has been guilty of such neglect of duty toward petitioner and abandonment of her as alleged. It is therefore considered ordered and decreed by the court that the marriage relation heretofore existing between said parties be wholly annulled set aside and held for naught. and both parties wholly released from the obligations of the same. it is further ordered and decreed that the care, custody and guardianship of the children mentioned in the petition be and the same is decreed to petitioner. and the court decree to petitioner as her reasonable alimony the property mentioned in the petition including the entire interest of the said defendant in the land mentioned in the petition and all the rights of said defendant under the will of said John Bosart which are hereby set over to the petitioner, and it is further ordered that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to ff

Abram C. Doney
vs
Tillman H. Goff et.al. } No 303

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys. none appearing for defendant. and this cause came on to be further heard upon the report of the special master. on consideration whereof the court do find the proceedings of said Master and the sale by him made of the tenements in the petition described to be in all respects in due form of law.
It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale of said Master be in all things affirmed. and that the said master execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed of the tenements aforesaid in fee simple pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided. and that of the proceeds of said sale the said Master after discharging the costs pay to the plaintiff the said sum of $2791 45 with interest to be computed thereon from February 21st 1874 until paid and the residue if any to the defendant.
and it is further ordered that the defendants who may be in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under them or either of them pending this suit. deliver up the possession thereof to said purchaser on demand and production of said Masters deed.

Friday May 29th 1874

No 1433 Elizabeth Gill. plaintiff vs John S. Gill and others } confirmation of sale Ex. D. No 1637 P 236

This day came M. C. Lawrence attorney for the plaintiff. and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the report of A. T. Carpenter Esq. special master commissioner in this case of a sale made by him, on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of the said A. T. Carpenter special master. and the sale by him made, to be in all respects in due form of law. and the Court do further find that said sale has been fairly conducted and made and that the price obtained is the reasonable value of the estate sold.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court. that the proceedings and sale made by said special master commissioner be in all things approved and affirmed; and the said special Master commissioner is hereby authorized and directed to make and deliver to the purchaser a deed for said real estate so sold in fee simple. Thereupon the Court appointed James Martin a Trustee to invest the moneys arising from said sale. and the said James Martin then appeared and gave bond in the sum of $2700.00 with C. D. Chapman and A. F. Whitney as his sureties, which bond and sureties were approved by the Clerk of this Court. and on further consideration of this case it is ordered and directed by the Court that said James Martin invest the funds as they may become due, without unnecessary delay, at his discretion in the funded debt of this State, or of the United States, or in other real estate or in bonds secured by Mortgage on unincumbered real estate according to the Statute in such case made and provided. and that said Trustee report to this Court from time to time his proceedings and the condition of said fund for the approval of the Court. and it is further ordered by the Court that said Trustee pay the costs of this proceeding including a fee to the Master in the sum of $15.00 and retain the same out of the income arising from said fund.

X George L. Converse vs Louisa Pedrazzoli et al. } Continued

X French. Garwood. Guardian vs R. B. Truman Guardian } Continued

X Charles C. Vansant vs Henry Evans } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

A. Bleole Judge

Saturday May 30th 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

The State of Ohio vs John Reid } Indictment for murder

This day the defendant John Reid was again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he be imprisoned in the penitentiary of this State and Kept at hard labor for the term of three years, no part of which time is he to be Kept in solitary confinement in the cells of the penitentiary without labor, and that he pay the costs of prosecution taxed at $

The Court allow the Sheriff one guard in conducting the said convict to the penitentiary and the said John Reid is ordered into the custody of the Sheriff until he be legally discharged

Jeptha Hornbeck vs James D. Alexander {

On motion of the said plaintiff by Porter and Sterling his Attorneys and on producing the return of the Sheriff (Robert Sharp late) of this a sale of real estate made by him on the 4th day of October A.D. 1872 to J. D. Alexander of on an execution issued in this case and dated the 5th day of June A.D. 1872, and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that the sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and it is ordered, that John C. Price present Sheriff of said County make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

Joseph H. Helkner Admr &c vs Solomon Buts {

This day came on this cause to be heard upon demurrer to the petition of plaintiff, said demurrer was argued by counsel and submitted to the Court, whereupon the Court does overrule said demurrer to which ruling defendant excepts

Joseph H Helkner &c vs Solomon Buts {

This day this cause came on for hearing upon the demurrer of plaintiff to the answer of the defendant, and was argued by counsel, and after due consideration the Court sustains the demurrer to which ruling of the Court defendant excepts, On motion of defendant by his counsel leave was granted to the defendant ~~by his counsel~~ to file an amended answer to the petition by the 30th day of June 1874

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

P Bloch Judge

Monday June 1st 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

Farmers and Merchants Bank
vs
Thomas L. Slade, Thomas McCormick and W. E. Williams } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling's Attorneys and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition, the sum of $165.36 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $165.36 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Retura M. Hodge and her Husband George B. Hodge
vs
Jane A. M. Jones and her Husband George W. Jones and others } In Partition

On motion of the plaintiff to the Court by her Attorneys, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the Court here, and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be, and the same is, hereby approved and confirmed; and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union, according to law; and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued.

Joseph H. Felkner Administrator of Samuel Harriott deceased
vs
Solomon Pitts } [illegible]

This day came on this cause to be heard and neither party requiring a Jury. This cause was argued and submitted to the Court upon the pleadings, on consideration whereof the Court finds in favor of the plaintiff and that he as the Administrator of Samuel Harriott deceased is entitled to recover from the defendant on the Note described in plaintiffs petition the sum of Four hundred and seventy two dollars ($472.00) with interest from April 1st 1874. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of $472.00 so found due with the interest thereon from April 1st 1874 and also his cost

Monday June 1st 1874

herein expended taxed to $ Thereupon defendant demanded a second trial under the statute, which was allowed by the Court and the bond fixed at $ 800

Almira Harris by &c
vs
David Cook et al. } This day this cause came on to be heard upon motion of defendant David Cook to dismiss petition and cross petition and was argued by counsel, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is considered that the said motion be overruled and leave granted to plaintiff to amend petition and cross petition in 30 days from the adjournment of this Court and this cause is continued

James Fullington, Charles Phelps and W. W. Woods Partners under the firm name of Fullington, Phelps and Woods
vs
Geo. Fidler, A. H. Thompson and George Sinclair } Log note
Ind. June 1st 1874 $234.29 at 8 per cent interest

This day came into Court the plaintiffs and filed their petition against the said George Fidler, A. H. Thompson, and George Sinclair defendants, and thereupon A. T. Carpenter, one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said George Fidler, A. H. Thompson and George Sinclair and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendants, and entered their appearance herein, and acknowledged that the said defendants do owe and stand indebted to the plaintiffs in the sum of Two hundred thirty four and 29/100 dollars ($234.29) on the Note- a copy of which is attached to the petition, and confessed judgment against said defendants for said sum of money and costs. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants said sum of $234.29 so confessed as aforesaid, and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, all errors in the rendition of this judgment are hereby released by the said Attorney for the defendants. This judgment to bear interest at eight per cent per annum until paid-

John Guthrie
vs
Joseph Donley } And now comes the said John Guthrie by Robinson and Piper his Attorneys and the said Joseph Donley still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the notes in said petition set forth the sum of $267.02 It is therefore considered by the Court here that

Monday June 1st 1874

the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of Three hundred and sixty seven dollars and two cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $. And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $367.02 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said county for the time being as a special Master Commissioner of this court commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

T. P. Beatty
vs
Catharine A. Baker
} Settled and costs paid

Alf Scott and C. L. Robinson
vs
Robert Ferguson and A. J. Ferguson
}

And now comes the said Alf Scott and C. L. Robinson by D. W. Ayers their Attorney and the said Robert Ferguson and A. J. Ferguson still failing to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs, it is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due them by reason of the premises and the Court with the consent of said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendants to the said plaintiffs on the Note in said petition set forth the sum of $187.00 which amount includes interest on said Note to June 1st 1874 at 8 per cent
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court here that the plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the said sum of one hundred and eighty seven dollars the sum found due as afore said and also their costs taxed at $

No 1459

John Chapman
vs
George Terrell and others
} p 266 X
Ex F. No 1656

On motion of the said plaintiff by D. W. Ayers his Attorney, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 2nd day of May A.D. 1874 to one W. H. Conkright on an order of sale issued in this case and dated the 21st day of March A.D. 1874 and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law. It is ordered that said sale and proceedings be, and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold, and it is further ordered by the Court that the proceeds arising from the sale of said land be distributed as follows 1st That the costs be paid, 2nd That the taxes be paid if any were a lien at the date of sale, 3rd that the the plaintiff be paid $604.20 4th That the Bank of Richwood be paid the balance

David B. May
vs
Mary L. May
} This cause is dismissed at costs of Plaintiff pay
It is therefore considered that plaintiff pay the costs in five days or that execution issue therefor

Monday June 1st 1874

A. H. Wilkins, Administrator of the Estate of Robson L. Broom deceased
vs
W. L. Curry and Taber Randall

This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendants having answered and plead usury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said Robson L. Broom had received usurious interest; the Court further finds that there is due and remaining unpaid to plaintiff as such administrator from the defendants on the note described in the petition of plaintiff the sum of $61.20
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff as such administrator recover of the defendants W. L. Curry and Taber Randall the sum of sixty one and 20/100 ($61.20)
And that the plaintiff pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to ff

A. H. Wilkins Administrator of the Estate of Robson L. Broom deceased
vs
John Geisler and C. L. Ogden.

Now comes the said A. H. Wilkins and the said John Geisler having answered and plead usury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, after hearing the testimony adduced do find that there was usurious interest exacted; and the Court does further find that there is due the plaintiff as such administrator from the defendant John Geisler the sum of forty six and 80/100 dollars.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiff as such Administrator recover of the defendant John Geisler the sum of forty six and 80/100 dollars so as aforesaid found to be due him and his costs herein expended taxed to ff
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant John Geisler fails in three days from the date hereof to pay said plaintiff the said sum of forty six and 80/100 dollars so as aforesaid found to be due this plaintiff with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to cause the land and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and bring the residue of the proceeds arising from sale of said lands and tenements into Court subject to the future order of this Court

Isaac L. Axtens, Administrator of Isaac L. Daniels, deceased
vs
Margaret Daniels et al.

On motion to the Court by Cameron and Kennedy Counsel for the petitioner it is ordered that Lorenzo Cheney, John Chapman and Jesse A. White being first duly sworn do upon actual view of the premises in the petition described set off and assign unto Margaret Daniels widow of said Isaac L. Daniels deceased one full equal third part of the same as her dower estate therein, and that they make return of such assignment together with a just valuation of said real estate

subject to such bower to this court with all convenient speed

The State of Ohio vs Stephen Burkam } July 9, 1874 Recognizance te

This day A. M. Haines and Stephen Cranston Jr. sureties of Samud Spencer. witness in the above case. surrendered said Samuel Spencer to the Judge of the of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, Ohio, in the Court House. and thereupon the Judge discharged said sureties from their said recognizance, and thereupon came Samuel Spencer and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Two hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made upon the condition following to wit:, That the said Samuel Spencer be and appear before the Court of common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof. there to give evidence before the Grand Jury in the case of The State of Ohio, against Stephen Burkam for Assault with intent to kill, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Tuesday July 14, 1874 at 10 oclock A. M.

P Bloodgood Judge

Tuesday July 14th 1874

This Morning the court met at 10 oclock pursuant to adjournment. the same officers present as on June 1st.

David Wood
and Louisa Wood
Vs
John E. Bonham, Charles W. Bonham
Elam V. Bonham William H.
Bonham and Hannah Bonham
} Order of Partition

And now came the said David Wood and Louisa Wood. by Carpenter and Rudney their Attorneys. and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence and was submitted to the court. on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present adjourned term of this Court; and the Court do further find that the said David Wood and Louisa Wood each have a legal right to. and each is seized in fee simple of one undivided sixth part of said premises described in the petition of petitioners and the Court do further find that the said John E. Bonham. Charles W. Bonham. Elam V. Bonham and William H. Bonham are tenants in common in said premises with the said David Wood and Louisa Wood in the following proportions to wit;
That the said John E Bonham is seized of one undivided sixth part thereof; The said Charles W. Bonham of one undivided sixth part thereof. The said Elam V. Bonham of one undivided sixth part thereof; and the said William H. Bonham of one undivided sixth part thereof. and that the said Hannah Bonham has no interest in said premises but that she is the legal guardian of the said Elam V. Bonham. Charles W. Bonham. and William H. Bonham who are minors, and that she has had due and legal notice of the pendency object and prayer of said petition
It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union. commanding him that by the oaths of H. J. Sager. Athniel Jewett. and E. F. Taylor partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit;
To said David Wood one sixth part. To said Louisa Wood one sixth part. To said John E. Bonham one sixth part. To said Elam V. Bonham one sixth part. and to the said William H. Bonham one sixth part. and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

R Bleeks Judge

Wednesday July 15th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

David Wood and
Louisa Wood
vs
John E. Bonham, Charles W. Bonham
Elam V. Bonham, William H.
Bonham and Hannah Bonham
} In Partition

On motion to the Court by Carpenter and Pudney counsel for said petitioners, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the court here, and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be, and the same are hereby approved and confirmed; and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be resurveyed by the County Surveyor and that the same be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to law; and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this Court, to which time this action is continued.

George B. Hamilton
vs
J. W. Cunningham
} No 1563
$133 75

And now comes the said George B. Hamilton by Carpenter and Pudney his attorneys and the said defendant still failing to answer or demur to said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, and the Court after hearing the evidence, do find that there is due to the said plaintiff on the Notes and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of $125 00 with the interest thereon from the 15th day of May A.D. 1873,
It is therefore considered by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $125 00 together with the interest thereon from May 15th 1873, the sum so found due, and also his costs taxed to $. And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for three days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $125 00 with the interest thereon from May 15th 1873, so as aforesaid found due with cost of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County as Master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised, and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said sum so found due, with cost of suit; and to return the balance of the proceeds of said sale subject to distribution according to the future order of this Court

Union County Bank
vs
Ralph Moffitt
} Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by its attorney and

Wednesday July 15, 1874

thereupon came W. D. Pudney one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $108.30 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $108.30 so as aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of per cent per annum and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived.

Union County Bank
vs
E. H. Earl and Thomas Davis } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by its attorney, and thereupon came W. D. Pudney one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $150.50
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants to said plaintiff the said sum of $150.50 so as aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Gideon M. Liggett by &c
vs
Arthur Liggett et al. } In Partition

And now comes the said Gideon M. Liggett by Andrew Stevenson his Attorney, and on his motion, and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court, and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law, it is ordered that said proceedings and sale be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple, and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the moneys in his hands pay first the cost in this case including a counsel fee of $20.00 to Andrew Stevenson and that of the residue he pay to the said Elizabeth Slade widow of the said Gideon Liggett the sum of one thousand and three dollars and eighty six cents, and to the said Gideon M. Liggett one equal third part of the residue thereof, To the said Arthur Liggett one equal third part of the residue thereof, and to the said Leroy Liggett one equal third part thereof

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P Blake Judge

Thursday July 16th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment present his Honor Judge Jackson Presiding - balance of officers same as on Yesterday

Somelia Stillings and Thomas Stillings vs Polly A. Powers et. al. }

This day this cause came on to be heard on the motion of the infant defendants Ella Dynes. Lottie Dynes. Nannie Dynes and Dynes to have a guardian adlitem and be allowed to file an answer and the Court being fully advised in the premises sustains the motion and appointed A.S. Carpenter such guardian adlitem with leave to answer - and answer filed instanter

Charles A. Gump & Co vs James S. Winters and W. S. Winters }

This day came the said Charles A. Gump & Co by their Attorney and the said Winters and Brother though duly summoned came not but made default: whereupon it is considered that the said Charles A. Gump & Co ought to recover the sum of $101.22 and the sum of $6.37 interest thereon as prayed for in their petition - It is therefore considered that the said Charles A. Gump & Co recover of the said Winters and Brother the said sum of $108.59 and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

D. W. Henderson vs John A. McCreight } Civil action order for removal

The defendant having heretofore filed in this Court his petition under the act of congress of the United States praying for the removal of this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio. together with the affidavit such as is required by said act of Congress. this cause came on to be heard upon motion by said defendant, that the prayer of said petition be granted, and the Court here having considered the same. and finding that said defendant was not a citizen of Ohio at the commencement of this suit. and the said defendant having offered good and sufficient surety to the satisfaction of the Court for the said defendants entering in said Circuit Court on the first day of its next Sepion for said District copies of all proceedings. pleadings. depositions. testimony. and other proceedings in said suit now on file in this Court in the cause aforesaid. it is now by the Court ordered that the said surety be, and the same hereby is accepted and approved, and that no further proceedings be had or taken in this Court in the cause aforesaid

Victoria M. Hodge and George B. Hodge vs Jane A. M. Jones et. al. } In Partition

And now come the plaintiffs by their

Thursday July 16, 1874

Attorneys Porter and Sterling, and on their motion, and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court, and the Court being satisfied, on examination that said sale has been made according to law, it is ordered that the said proceedings and sale be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple, and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff, out of the moneys in his hands, pay first the costs of this case taxed to $, and a Counsel fee of $53.11 1/2 and the taxes next due and that the residue he pay to the said Jane A. N. Jones, Velura M. Hodge, Josephine A. Goldings, Susan Timberlake and John W. Tibbatts in equal shares

Amos M Jackson
Judge

Friday July 17. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9o'clock pursuant to adjournment Judge Cole Presiding. Balance of Officers present same as on Yesterday

Isaac L. Atkins Administrator of the estate of Isaac L. Daniels
vs
Margaret Daniels and others

Now comes Cameron and Kennedy Counsel for the petitioner and produces to the Court the report of an apignment of dower and appraisement herein made by John Chapman. Jonathan Cheney and Jesse A. White, in pursuance of a former order of this Court and it appearing upon examination that said report is in all respects regular and correct the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and it is ordered that said petitioner proceed according to law, to sell the real estate in the petition described subject to said dower estate upon the premises at not less than two thirds of such appraised value and upon deferred payments not exceeding two years.

A. F. Wilkins Administrater of the estate of Robson L. Broom deceased
vs
David R. White and Alice A. White

Now comes the said David R White A. F. Wilkins. and the said David R. White and Alice A. White having answered and plead issue, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, after hearing the testimony adduced, do find that there was usurious interest exacted, and the Court does further find that there is due the plaintiff as such Administrator from the defendants David R. White and Alice A. White the sum of $366 43
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiff as such Administrator recover of the defendants David R. White and Alice A. White the sum of $366 43 so as aforesaid found to be due him and his costs herein expended taxed to $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants David R. White and Alice A. White fails in three days from the date hereof to pay said plaintiff the said sum of $366 43 so as aforesaid found to be due this plaintiff with costs of suit, an order issue to the sheriff of this County commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and bring the residue of the proceeds arising from sale of said lands and tenements into Court subject to the future order of this Court

William Gabriel
vs
James B. Schelpley. Treasurer Union County Ohio

This day came on this cause to be heard upon motion to the Court by plaintiffs attorneys for temporary injunction. It is ordered by the Court here that temporary injunction be allowed as prayed for in the petition of plaintiff, to be continued until the further order of the Court, and that the plaintiff give bond and security to the defendant

Friday July 17. 1874

in the sum of five hundred dollars ($500.) conditioned according to law-

Susan Humphreis et. al.
vs
Jacob Hoffman et. al.

And now comes the said plaintiffs and presented their certain bill of exceptions taken on the trial of this cause and the same is allowed and signed and ordered to be placed on file with the pleadings and a part of the record in this case.

Susan Humphreis et. al.
vs
Jacob Hoffman et. al.

And now come said parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the said plaintiffs for a new trial, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof it is ordered that the same be and is hereby overruled to which opinion of the Court the plaintiffs except

Susan Humphreis et. al. P 238-246 325
vs
Jacob Hoffman et. al.

This day came the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit, Gordon Cameron, John Evans, Enos A. Bell Martin Mick, W. R. Webb, Samuel Turner, Henry Snell, Robert Hill John Penhorwood, Henry Knotts Regular Jurors, John Gibson and L. D. Wright tales jurors, who being duly empaneled and sworn the truth to speak and a true verdict give upon the issues joined between the parties do find for the defendants-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendants go hence and recover of the plaintiffs their costs herein expended taxed to ff

Susan Humphreis et. al.
vs
Jacob Hoffman et. al.

And now come the said Susan Humphreis et. al. plaintiffs and present to the court here their bill of exceptions to the overruling of their motion for a new trial and the same is signed, sealed and allowed and ordered to be placed on file and made a part of the record in this case

Cornelia Stillings and
Thomas Stillings
vs
William C. Dynes, Polly A. Powers, Edward Powers, Eliza Hornbeck, Elizabeth Lee Jacob Lee, Ella Dynes, Lottie Dynes Nannie Dynes and Dynes

Now the said Cornelia Stillings and Thomas Stillings come by their attorneys Porter and Sterling and on motion, and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court, and the Court being satisfied on examination, that said sale has been made according to law-

Friday July 17, 1874

it is ordered that the said proceedings and sale be, and the same is, hereby approved and confirmed, and the Sheriff is ordered, by deed duly executed, to convey said premises to the said purchasers, in fee simple, and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff, out of the moneys in his hands, pay first the costs of this case, including a Counsel fee of $28.25 and that Providence Dymes be paid $133.29 up the taxes due on said lands and then the case as to William C. Dymes and the infants Ella Dymes et.al. be continued, and the Sheriff is further ordered to pay to Cornelia Stillings, Polly A. Powers Eliza Hombeck, Elizabeth Lee, each one equal fifth part of the balance of the purchase of said sale of lands

Dennis Clark
vs
L. B. Dennis and J. H. Felkner } settled & costs paid

Orlando Wells
vs
Laurence Barlow } Appeal

This day the defendant moved the Court to dismiss the appeal in this case for reasons set forth in his motion, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said motion. and thereupon the plaintiff asked and obtained leave to file his petition in said case in forty day from the rising of the Court and cause continued.

P. B. Cole apignee &c
vs
A. T. Raymond & Levi Kirk } Continued

Thos. C. Timmons. Admr. &c
vs
Rhoda Haines et. al. } Continued

James Taylor exte vs Adam Blue { Continued

Selina Spain vs Robert T. Spain { Continued

David Mulford vs Albert Galloway & Bowen Lamme { Continued

Commissioners Union County Ohio vs M. A. Henry { Continued

Sarah E. Cartmell et. al. vs Thomas Page { Continued

Louisa Snowden & Edgar Snowden vs Thomas W. Kezartee et. al. { Continued

Commissioners Union County Ohio vs William Gabriel { Continued

James Hullington, Charles Phillis and W. W. Woods, Partners &c
vs
Edward Spain, A. P. Robinson and Robert Snodgrass } $473.97 Prt. 8 per cent cognovit

This day came into Court the plaintiffs and filed their petition against the said Edward Spain, A. P. Robinson and Robert Snodgrass defendants, and thereupon George C. Hamilton one of the attorneys of this Court appeared in open court in behalf of the said defendants, and by virtue

Friday July 17. 1874

of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said Edward Spain, A.B. Robinson and Robert S Hodgraff, and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendants and entered their appearance herein, and acknowledged that the said defendants do owe and stand indebted to the plaintiffs in the sum of Four hundred Seventy three and $\frac{57}{100}$ dollars ($473^{57}) on the Note a copy of which is attached to the petition, and confessed Judgment against said defendants for said sum of money and for costs.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the said defendants said sum of $473^{57} so confessed as afore said and also their costs herein expended taxed to $____ and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors in the rendition of this Judgment are hereby released by the said Attorney for the defendants. this Judgment to bear interest at eight per cent per annum until paid—
And the Court find that said A.B. Robinson and Robert S Hodgrass are sureties and order execution accordingly

James Fullington, Charles Chellis and W.A. Woods Partners &c
vs
George Davis, W.S. Davis, and John Hawley
{ $281^{41} Int. 8 per cent Confession

This day came into Court the plaintiffs and filed their petition against the said George Davis W.S. Davis and John Hawley defendants, and thereupon George A. Hamilton one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said George Davis, W.S. Davis and John Hawley and now produced in open Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process upon said defendants and entered their appearance herein, and acknowledged that the said defendants do owe and stand indebted to the plaintiffs in the sum of Two hundred eighty one and $\frac{41}{100}$ dollars ($281^{41}) on the Note a copy of which is attached to the petition, and confessed judgment against said defendants for said sum of money and for costs—
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the said defendants said sum of $281^{41} so confessed as aforesaid and also their costs herein expended taxed to $____
And by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all errors in the rendition of this judgment are hereby released by the said Attorney for the defendants, this judgment to bear interest at eight per cent per annum until paid

Nov 15-70

Mary A. Robertson
vs
Elias Robertson

This day came this cause on to be heard upon the motion of the petitioner for alimony pending this suit, and was argued by Counsel and submitted, on consideration whereof the Court do find that said petitioner is entitled to and ought to have alimony pending this suit, and the Court fix and assess the amount of such alimony at sixty dollars, to be paid, one half in ten days and the remaining one half in forty days—
It is therefore adjudged and decreed by the Court, that said petitioner recover of the said defendant the said sum of sixty dollars. Thirty dollars in ten

Friday July 17. 1874

days and thirty dollars in forty days from the date hereof, and in default of payment of either sum when due, then that execution ispue therefor as upon judgments at law

William Keller etc
vs
Frederick Weis, Rosina Weis and The Farmers Bank of Union county } P. 307

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of plaintiffs attorneys to confirm the sale made by the Sheriff in this case in pursuance of a former order of this Court in this case as well as upon the counter motion of the said Rosina Weis to set aside the sale as to said In Lot No 147. on the ground that she is entitled to a homestead in said In Lot No 147. exempt from execution and order of sale under the exemption laws of Ohio. And said motions were argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find: First. That said judgment in favor of said plaintiffs on which said levy was made was rendered against said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis on a Note executed by the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis, and that they were then and still are husband and wife 2d. The Court finds that said Note, described in plaintiffs petition as not being then due, was executed by the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis while they were husband and wife as aforesaid. 3d. The Court finds that judgment was taken on said Note against said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis, and execution ispued thereon and a levy also made on all the property described in plaintiffs petition. 4th The Court finds that the said Rosina Weis was at the date of the execution of said Notes and ever since has been the legal owner in her own right of said In Lot No 147. 5th The Court finds that said judgment in favor of the said Farmers bank of Union County was rendered against the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis upon a Note executed by the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis, and that they were at the date of the execution of said Note and at the date of said judgment husband and wife. 6th The Court finds that the debt for which said Note was given to the Farmers bank of Union County was the joint debt of the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis. 7th The Court finds that the said Rosina Weis duly demanded of the Sheriff of said County before said sale that said Sheriff allow and set off to her a homestead out of said In Lot No 147. as a homestead exempt under the laws of this State from said indebtedness. 8th The Court further finds that all of said judgments were taken against said parties by virtue of warrant of Attorneys attached to said Notes. 9th The Court finds that the three years last past the said Frederick Weis and Rosina Weis have been bonafide residents of said County of Union and State of Ohio living together as husband and wife, have two Minor children as their family. 10th The Court finds that said Sheriff refused to allow or set off to said Frederick Weis or Rosina Weis any homestead or exemption whatever. 11th The Court therefore finds, upon the facts above stated, that the said Rosina Weis is entitled to a homestead in said In Lot No 147. as a homestead exempt from said indebtedness. The Court therefore for that reason overrules said Motion of plaintiffs to confirm said sale of said In Lot No 147. and sustains the motion of said Rosina Weis and orders that said sale be and the same is hereby set aside. To all of which rulings and

Friday July 17. 1874

decission of the court the plaintiffs and said Farmers bank of Union excepted. and gave notice of appeal—

W. C. Malin Auctioneer

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that W. C. Malin be and he is hereby appointed Auctioneer for the County of Union for the term of one year, upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of three dollars and giving bond according to law.

No 15-72

Mary R. Haynes
vs
Joshua D. Gill et. al. } On mandate from District Court

This day came the said Mary R. Haynes by Robinsons and Piper her attorneys. and filed with the Clerk of this Court a Special mandate from the District Court of this County, dated April 20th A.D. 1874 commanding the Judge of this Court that he cause writ of Dower to be ipsued upon a certain Decree of this Court of the Term of October- December 3rd 1874 in favor of the said Mary R. Haynes, against the said Joshua D. Gill and others for Dower of one full equal one third part of the premises in said petition described and # costs and also for # costs adjudged by the said District Court to the said Mary R. Haynes upon a certain Appeal prosecuted in that court by the said Joshua D. Gill and others upon the decree aforesaid, and thereupon on motion of the said Mary R. Haynes, It is ordered that the said Mary R Haynes have her writ of Dower for possesion of one equal one third of said premises aforesaid pursuant to the said Mandate

James H. Jennings
vs
Harrison Hedges } Common Pleas Court of Union County Ohio

To clerk; This cause is settled upon the payment of costs by Wm H. Goff. without record - July 28 1874.

W. A. Burtlebaugh Attorney for plaintiff.

No 15-71

Bank of Richwood
vs
Charles Baldwin }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to have a reappraisement of the property described in an execution ipsued from this court being satisfied that said lands and tenements have been twice offered for sale by the Sheriff since it was Appraised. it is therefore ordered that the Sheriff of said County proceed to have the same reappraised according to law

Wm O'Harra
vs
John C. Price } Injunction

This day came on this cause for hearing, and the time having paped. as advertised by the Sheriff for sale, at the last Session of this Court, and there being now no cause existing for injunction against said Sheriff in the premises. said cause is dismissed

Friday July 17. 1874.

without prejudice at plaintiffs costs. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff pay the costs of this prosecution taxed to $ and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

No 1572 — C. W. Huffman vs W. H. Merriott Jr — August 3d 1874. Dismissed by Plaintiff.

Horatio C. Hamilton plaintiff vs Mary L. Stubert and William B. Stubert her Husband- Defendants

This day this cause come on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff, exhibits and testimony. the defendants being in default and this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff upon consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendants Mary L. Stubert and William B. Stubert her husband on the Note first set forth in the petition to Horatio C. Hamilton at this date the sum of one hundred and sixty one and 72/100 dollars which sum is a lien upon the lands in the petition described by virtue of a Mortgage therein set forth. It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Mary L. Stubert and William B. Stubert said sum of $ 161 72/100 so found due and also his costs herein expended taxed to $. It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that in the defendants fail for ten days from the rising of this court to pay the plaintiff said sum of money together with the costs that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County, for the time being, commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgage mentioned and described as upon judgments at law- and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court, and as to the Notes set forth in the petition and not yet due this cause is continued.

No 1571 — Levi Roseberry vs Margaret Wright — August 15, 1874. settled

A. C. Timberlake vs David Watson

This day came the plaintiff and the defendant still failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiff, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty six and 75/100 dollars as the plaintiff in his petition has claimed- It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of one hundred and fifty six and 75/100 dollars so found plaintiffs due and also his cost in this behalf expended taxed to $

Jennie Bunch vs Reuben Bunch — Continued

Friday July 17. 1874

Michael Cody vs Moses Thompson { Leave to file answer and continued

Martha Culbertson vs Joseph A. Culbertson } This day this cause came on for hearing and was dismissed by plaintiffs counsel at the costs of defendant by agreement. It is therefore considered and adjudged that said defendant pay the cost herein taxed to #

Wm B. Moore vs The P. C. and St Louis R. W. Company { Continued

Adolphus Brand vs John C. Price { Leave to file answer and continued

Ira Tanner vs H. W. Minugh } Continued

Susanah Robinson vs Samuel B. Robinson et. al. { Continued

William B. Dunfee vs John P. Bailey { Continued

Amaziah Phelps vs Michael Judy et. al. } Continued

Collier Smith & Co vs Benjamin Fisher et. al. } Continued

William Gray vs Philip C. Adams & Israel Carter } Continued

Amanda Custer vs Samuel Little } Continued

John M. Sanders vs Jennie Roseberry et. al. } Continued for service

Edmund S. Smith vs The Pittsburg Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company { Continued

Henry Safley vs Sarah E. Safley } Continued

Charles Adams vs James Hildreth } Continued

Samuel Little vs John Blair } Continued

David Pence vs Jonathan Bell et. al. { Continued for service

Charles C. Huffman vs Jacob Painter Guardian &c { Continued

Friday July 17. 1874

Jacob Leonard vs John Powers } And now comes the said Jacob Leonard by R. L. Woodburn his attorney and the said John Powers defendant still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, the said petition is taken to be true. It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; on consideration whereof the court find that there is due to the plaintiff from the said John Powers on the Note given and Mortgage in the petition mentioned and set forth, the sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and sixty six cents and that it is a lien upon said premises as described in plaintiffs petition. It is therefore considered by the court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and sixty six cents, the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $\frac{44}{100}$ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant John Powers fails for ten days from this date, to pay to the said plaintiff, the said sum of one hundred and thirty three dollars and sixty six cents so as aforesaid found due with cost of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to wit, Lands situate in Union County State of Ohio, and being in survey No 3598 bounded and described as follows, Being the North one half (running the line east and west) of the following described tract of one hundred acres more or less, beginning at a stone corner timber gone N. to corner of survey No 3752, and south west corner of survey No 2598, thence with the north line of survey 3752, N. 53° 160 poles to an ash and hickory (gone) thence N. 37½° W. 100 poles to a stake the place of a beech and ash in the S. line to a dogwood - survey N 7022, thence with his and their line S. 33° W. 100 poles to the center of the County road corner called for two sugar trees (gone) thence S. 37½° E 100 poles to the beginning, the part hereby conveyed one half of the above described tract contains fifty acres more or less. to be appraised advertised and sold, according to law, and apply the proceeds of sale, first in payment of costs, second, in payment of the judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and the residue, if any, be brought into Court to abide the further order of said Court.

Henton H. Finley et al } vs John Barbaan } Continued

Michael Doyle vs James Safford et al } Continued

Ratchel Collier vs John A. Wood et al } Continued

Levi Wells vs Rebecca Wells et al } In Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and exhibits and was by order of the plaintiff

Friday July 17, 1874

dismissed as cost of plaintiff.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that said Levi Wells pay said cost within ten days from the rising of this court. and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

Moses W. Wolford
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Railway company } Continued

Edward R. Thompson
vs
Rodney Randall and Edward L. Randall } Continued

Abel M. Lary
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Railway company } Continued

Sylvester Pruden and son
vs
John A. Wood et al. } Continued

L. Carter & co
vs
Eliza Swartz } Continued

Eliza M. Freman
vs
Mary R. Neal } From District Court

This day came the parties by their Attorneys. and this cause came on to be heard as well upon the transcript of the judgment and other proceedings between the said parties in the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, and the original files and pleadings brought here by writ of Appeal from this Court to the said Court of Common Pleas as also upon the matters by said Eliza M. Freman herein assigned for Appeal and the same being seen and by the Court now here fully understood, and mature deliberation being thereupon had, the Court find that the Mortgage in the petition described was signed by the defendant Mary R. Neal with full understanding of its contents and the defect in the acknowledgement thereof was occasioned by mistake. It is therefore found that the said defendant doth owe on said Mortgage to the plaintiff the sum of Twelve hundred one and seventy three $\frac{33}{100}$ It is therefore ordered and decreed that within ten days from the rising of this Court the said defendant pay said sum of $1273.$^{33} with Costs and in default of said payment that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who is hereby appointed special master commissioner for that purpose. commanding him to appraise advertise and sell according to law the premises in said Mortgage described, and bring the proceeds of the same into the Court of Common Pleas to which Court this cause is remanded for further proceedings

No 1631

ordered that all matters. causes and motions now pending in this court not disposed of do stand continued until the next Term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

P Reed Judge

October Term A.D. 1874

Thursday October 15th A.D. 1874.
This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 10 oclock A. M. in pursuance of law
Present P. B. Cole Presiding Judge, John L. Poler Prosecuting attorney John C. Price Sheriff and H. T. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called some of them came to wit. A. G. Brooks. James Doran. Alonzo Shidmore, John Bonnett. Z. C. Pooler P. E. Wythe A. Taylor. Michael Sager, John Liggett. Isaac Shirk. H. C. Hamilton John Shisler. William L. Robinson: and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court elected anew to wit. George W. Baxley and George Sinclair good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. The Court appoint the said H. C. Hamilton Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room, to deliberate; attended by a sworn Constable

Isaac L. Ayers Administrator of the estate of Isaac L. Daniels deceased
vs
Margaret Daniels and others
} Petition to sell land

Now comes said petitioner by Cameron and Kennedy his counsel and produces to the Court the report of the sale made by said petitioner in pursuance of an order hereinbefore made and it appearing upon examination that said sale has in all respects been legally made, the same is approved and confirmed, and said petitioner is ordered to execute and deliver to the purchaser at said sale a proper deed for the real estate so by him sold as aforesaid. and this Cause is paped for an order of distribution

Sarah A. Webster
vs
Lucius Webster
} Dismissed. settled cost paid

No 1631 Morgan Root & Co vs Isaac H. Gates & settled

W. W. Woods & J. W. Robinson vs Christian Kreidler & settled

Hullington, Phillis and Woods vs Christian Kunze & settled

Hullington, Phillis and Woods vs Jane A. Cherry et. al. & settled

Lewis W. Green vs Wm M. Robinson et. al. & continued

Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman Philip Rausch & Hart Monser & continued

John Swan et. al. vs Henry Williams et. al. & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Friday October 16th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Samuel Myers
vs
John C. Carter and T. P. Cratty

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and the defendant T. P. Cratty by his Attorney, and the said John C. Carter still failing to answer or demur to the petition of the plaintiff is adjudged to be in default. and this cause comm's on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendant T. P. Cratty, upon consideration of which the Court find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant J. C. Carter upon the account stated in the in the petition the sum of $ 76 85 It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant J. C. Carter the said sum of seventy six dollars and eighty five cents and the costs herein taxed at $ and the Court does find in favor of the said T. P. Cratty. it is considered that the said Cratty go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs taxed at $

T. C. Owen
vs
Benjamin Fisher and others

This day came the defendant B. Fisher by J. C. Johnston his attorney and the plaintiff by his attorneys, and this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant B. Fisher to strike from the petition the matter recited and stated in his said motion, and was argued by Counsel, upon consideration of which the court does sustain said motion. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the said several matters and things recited and stated in said motion be and the same is hereby stricken from said petition of the plaintiff, and thereupon upon motion of the said plaintiff he has leave to file an amended petition herein by Tuesday Morning next

Bank of Richwood
vs
Jacob Beem, Louis Beem and Bo Beem

cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling attorneys, and thereupon came L. Piper one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 330 70 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $ 330 70 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released

Friday October 16th 1874

And all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

X John Woodruff vs Elijah Harris } On motion of the plaintiff and upon his motion therefor this cause is continued upon the payment of the costs of this term It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff pay all the costs of this term and in default of payment that execution issue therefor

✓ Savage and Seigler vs W. T. Jones et. al. } Settled and cost paid

✓ Isaac L. Atkins Admr. of Isaac L. Daniels vs Margaret Daniels and others } And now come said parties by their attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing as to the marshaling of liens, and distribution of the purchase money of the land herein sold, and was argued by counsel and submitted and counsel for all parties agreeing and the court being fully advised in the premises. It is ordered and adjudged by the Court here that the said plaintiff, as such Administrator out of the first payment of such purchase money, pay first the costs of this proceeding and the taxes upon said premises prior to the apeprment for the year A.D 1874.-
2d That out of the residue of said first payment that he pay the same prorata upon the claims of said Granville S. Robertson John M. Blue and the amount of the allowance to the widow of said Isaac L. Daniels for her support according to their respective claims, and out of the second payment of said purchase money that the residue of the above named claims be paid in full, and the residee of said purchase money after paying the claims aforesaid be paid to creditors of said estate generally and otherwise distributed according to law

X Samuel R. Harbert vs James H. Neal and Henry Neal } Continued

X Thos. A. Simmons Admr &c vs Rhoda Harris et. al. & Continued

X Kellon Bancroft &c vs E. Hammond & Continued under former order

X Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams et. al. & Continued

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleckley Judge

Saturday October 19th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

Azial Lapham
vs
Hullington. Phillis and Woods

This cause is dismissed at cost of plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended. and in default of payment of the same by plaintiff in ten days that execution ipue therefor

Azial Lapham
vs
Hayden. Hutchinson & co et. al.

This cause is dismissed at cost of plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended. and in default of payment of the same by plaintiff in ten days that execution ipue therefor

John W. Howard
vs
John H. Tickey Administrator of Caroline Grow deceased

This day came the parties that were at ipue and declared themselves ready for trial- Thereupon came a jury to wit. Jno. W. McFarland. Eli Norville. Josiah Blue Benjamin Grubbs. Wm Benson. Andrew Brown. J. M. Dunn Aaron Turner. J. B. Norris Regular Jurors. John Bidwell. Samuel McCampbell. John Weaver sr tales Jurors. all good and lawful men of said County. and were sworn well and truly to try the ipue joined between the parties herein. and after hearing the testimony. exhibits and proofs. the arguments of counsel and the charge of the court. retired under charge of a sworn Officer to deliberate. and returned in open Court the following verdict to wit The State of Ohio Union County ss. October Term A.D. 1874. We the jury in this case, being duly impanneled and sworn do find and say that plaintiff is entitled to the sum of one hundred and fifty ($150.00) dollars. J. M. Dunn Foreman-

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover from the defendant as such Administrator the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars debt and the cost herein ~~the legacy of the personal chattels which were of the said Caroline Grow at the time of her death and in the hands of the said John H Tickey as admr as aforesaid yet to be administered~~ taxed ~~It is therefore further considered that execution~~ ~~issue therefor within ten days thereof~~ and that he pay the same within 10 days from the dy of judgment herein

On application to the Court by J. L. Porter esq an attorney of this Court and many causes to try. and it appearing to the Court that it is necessary to have additional Jurors to fill up the regular panel for the term. It is therefore ordered that a venire facias ipue for Eight Jurors names to be drawn from the box according to law- returnable October 19th

No 606 George J. Hill vs Harriett Hill and others defendants

Saturday October 17th 1874

In Partition- This cause came on to be heard upon the petition and on motion to the Court by Robinsons and Piper counsel for the plaintiff it is ordered that by the oaths of B. A. Hay, James T. Mchaffey and James D. Ford one full and equal one third of the undivided one half ½ of the lands in the said petition described be assigned and set off to the said Harriet Hill, widow of R. H. Hill as her dower estate and that by the oaths of the said B. A. Hay, James T. Mchaffey and James D. Ford partition of said lands be made subject to said dower estate in the following proportions to wit. To the said George H. Hill one equal half part- To the said Mary H. Norville one equal one sixteenth- To the said Amanda Hill one equal one sixteenth part- To the said Weldon Hill one equal one sixteenth part. To the said Ann Hill one equal one sixteenth part- To the said Henry Hill one equal one sixteenth part. To the said Clara Hill one equal one sixteenth part- To the said John Hill one equal one sixteenth part. To the said Hadda Hill one equal one sixteenth part. And it is further ordered that a writ of partition and dower issue to the Sheriff of Union County of said State of Ohio. commanding him to cause said dower to be assigned and said partition to be made accordingly

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Stephen Burkam for Assault with intent to Murder Samuel Spencer. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman. also their other Bill of Indictment against George W. Brown for Assault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman. also their other Bill of Indictment against William Weber for furnishing Intoxicating liquors to one Nick Ferreman he being at the time intoxicated, indorsed a true Bill Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman also their other Bill of Indictment against John Stokes for Assault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman, also their other Bill of Indictment against James Moffitt for Assault and Battery Indorsed a true Bill Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman- also their other Bill of Indictment against Samuel W. Hildreth for Assault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman- also their other Bill of Indictment against George Livingston for Horse stealing. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman- Also their other Bill of Indictment against James Johnson and Charles Morgan for House breaking. Indorsed a true Bill Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman, and not having finished the business before them, the Court permitted them to adjourne until Monday October 19th at 9 oclock

X Richard H. White vs Darius McEntire & Thomas Eubanks Continued

X Jos Fitzpatrick vs Allen Haynes et. al. Continued

X Thos C. Brown Admr &c vs J. G. Miller et. al. Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

D Beole judge

Monday October 19th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Saturday

David Wood et. al.
vs
John E. Bonham et. al. } In Partition

And now come the said plaintiffs by Carpenter and Pudney their Attorneys and on motion of plaintiffs and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this Court, and the Court being satisfied on examination that said sale has been made according to law. it is ordered that the said proceedings and sale be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered, by deed duly executed, to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple- And it is further ordered and adjudged that the said Sheriff out of the moneys in his hands pay first the costs in this case- including a counsel fee of $4.00 to Carpenter and Pudney- taxed to $136.24- and that of the residue he pay to David Wood one equal one sixth part. To Louisa Wood one equal one sixth part- To John E Bonham one equal one sixth part. To Elam V Bonham one equal one sixth part. To William H. Bonham one equal one sixth part. To Charles W. Bonham one equal one sixth part

Burnstine, Moses and Boyer
vs
Samuel W. Smith }

And now comes the said Burnstine Moses and Boyer by their attorneys Carpenter and Pudney, and the said Samuel Smith having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Burnstine Moses and Boyer. It is considered that the said Burnstine, Moses and Boyer ought to recover the said sum of $331.45 so demanded in their petition, together with the sum of $ 4.85 which the court finds due as the interest thereon As prayed for in said petition-
It is therefore considered that the said Burnstine, Moses and Boyer recover against the said Samuel W. Smith the said sum of ($336.30) Three hundred and thirty six dollars and thirty cents together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $- and interest at 6 per cent And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said Samuel W. Smith fails for ten days from the date of this judgment to pay to the said Burnstine, Moses and Boyer the said sum of $336.30 so as aforesaid found due with cost of suit that Execution issue therefor-

Steinbarger, Aldrich & co
vs
Samuel W. Smith }

And now comes the said Steinbarger Aldrich & co. by their attorneys Carpenter and Pudney, and the said Samuel W. Smith having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co. it is considered that the said Steinbarger Aldrich & co ought to recover the said sum of $138.00 so demanded in their petition, together with the sum of $3.23 which the court finds due as the interest thereon

Monday October 19th 1874

as prayed for in said petition
It is therefore considered that the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co recover against the said Samuel W. Smith the said sum of ($141 73) one hundred and forty one dollars and seventy three cents together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said Samuel W Smith fails for ten days from the date of this judgment to pay to the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co the said sum of $141 73 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit that execution issue therefor -

Steinbarger, Aldrich & co
vs
William H. Storms

And now comes the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co and the said William H Storms having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co. It is considered that the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co ought to recover the said sum of $302 29 so demanded in his petition, together with the sum of $4 53 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition
It is therefore considered that the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co recover against the said William H Storms the said sum of ($307 12) Three hundred and seven dollars and twelve cents together with the costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said William H Storms fails for ten days from the date of this judgment to pay to the said Steinbarger, Aldrich & co the said sum of $307 12 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit that execution issue therefor

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Joseph Burns and Peter May Jr. for Burglary and Larceny. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C Hamilton Foreman. Also their other Bill of Indictment against Calvin Bowen for Assault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C. Hamilton Foreman. Also their other Bill of Indictment against William Weber for Furnishing intoxicating liquors to a person intoxicated. Indorsed a true Bill. Horatio C Hamilton Foreman. And having finished the business before them, they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court

Selvia Spain
vs
Robert T. Spain

This cause is dismissed at cost of plaintiff.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff pay the costs of this action and in default of payment for ten days that Execution issue therefor -

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

R Bleeck Judge

Tuesday October 30th 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Rebecca J. Lissett and her Husband Templeton Lissett
vs
Alive L. McBride et. al.

This day this cause came on to be heard on the motion of the infant defendant Charles A. Patterson to have a guardian adlitem appointed and be allowed to file an answer, and the court being fully advised in the premises sustains the motion and appointed L. Piper such Guardian adlitem with leave to answer, and answer filed instanter

George B. Hamilton
vs
J.W. Cunningham

P 348
$133 75

On motion of the said plaintiff by Carpenter and Rudner his attorneys and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this county of a sale of real estate made by him on the 5th day of September A.D. 1874 to George B. Hamilton on an order of sale issued in this case and dated the 15th day of July A.D. 1874, and the court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be, and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

Mary R. Haynes
vs
Joshua D. Gill et. al.

In Dower Ex H. P. 1208.

This day this cause came on to be heard on the report of the Sheriff and commissioners for the dispersment of Dower, whereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do confirm and approve said report and dispersment of Dower, and it appearing that one hundred and sixty dollars are due said Mary R. Haynes for her Dower on said lot 61 from the filing of said petition for Dower to the 15th of October 1874 the commencement of this term, and that there is due her on said lots 75 and 76 the sum of one hundred and twenty three and 75/100 dollars from the time of the filing of said petition to the commencement of this term of court- It is therefore ordered that said Heirs of said J.B.D. Haynes within five days pay said sum of one hundred and sixty dollars and in default thereof that order of sale of said lot 61 issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to appraise advertise and sell according to law said premises, and it is further ordered that within five days said Joshua D. Gill pay said plaintiff said sum of one hundred and twenty three & 75/100 dollars and in default thereof that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said Lots 75 and 76 as upon judgments at law to satisfy said claim- and it is further ordered and adjudged

that the sum of thirty five dollars be paid by said Heirs yearly to said plaintiff so long as she lives, one half April 15th and October 15th of each year, and that the sum of Twenty seven dollars be paid yearly by said Joshua S. Gill to said plaintiff as her dower in said lots 75 and 76, one half to be paid April 15, and one half October 15, so long as she lives, and it is further ordered and adjudged that so much of said costs as relate to the assignment of dower in said Lot 61 be paid 1/3 by plaintiff and 2/3 by the Heirs of said F. B. W. Haynes and the remaining costs including all the costs arising from the trial of the issues between plaintiff and said Joshua S. Gill in this cause be paid by said Joshua S. Gill, and in default of the payment of said costs in twenty days or in default of payment of said dower claims as they become due, an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this county for the time being commanding him to appraise advertise and sell said premises to satisfy such of said claims as may remain due and unpaid

No 1641 Savage and Seigler vs Charles Baldwin, Thomas Baldwin & Orinzet Harraman } Settled

Rebecca J. Liggett and Husband Templeton Liggett vs Alive L. McBride and others }

And now come the said plaintiffs by Carpenter and Pudney their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the pleadings and evidence and was argued by counsel; on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given as required by law, and the Court do further find that after the determination of the value in money of the life estate of the said Mary Patterson, that the said Rebecca J. Liggett has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of an undivided one third part of the said premises, and the court do further find that the said Alive L. McBride, Jesse F. Andrews and Charles A. Patterson are tenants in common in said premises with the said Rebecca J. Liggett in the following proportions to wit, That the said Alive L. McBride is seized of one undivided one sixth part thereof the said Jesse F. Andrews of one undivided one sixth part thereof and the said Charles A. Patterson of one undivided one third part thereof. It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union, commanding him that by the oaths of Elijah K. Fox, S. W. H. Scarborough and Andrew J. Mowry partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit, To the said Rebecca J. Liggett one third part; To the said Alive L. McBride one sixth part, To the said Jesse F. Andrews one sixth part, and to the said Charles A. Patterson one third part, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Love Judge

Wednesday October 21. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

J. C. Johnston
vs
Elias Riley and W. P. Hish } cognovit

and now comes the said J C Johnston by his attorney and files his petition against the said Elias Riley and W. P. Hish, and thereupon L. Piper one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open court in behalf of the said Elias Riley and W. P. Hish, and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process, and confessed that the said Elias Riley and W. P. Hish does to the said plaintiff the said sum of $429.75 as the plaintiff hath in his said petition set forth. It is therefore considered that the said J. C. Johnston do recover of the said Elias Riley and W. P. Hish the said sum of $429.75 so confessed to be due, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, all error is released and all right of appeal waived by the said Elias Riley and W. P. Hish

A. W. Clapp & co
vs
Peter Hetter } Cognovit

And now comes the said A. W. Clapp & co by J. C. Johnston his attorney and files his petition against the said Peter Hetter, and thereupon A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of this court, appeared in open court in behalf of the said Peter Hetter, and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process, and confessed that the said Peter Hetter does owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of $251.03 as the plaintiff hath in his said petition set forth. It is therefore considered that the said A. W. Clapp & co do recover of the said Peter Hetter the said sum of $251.03 so confessed to be due, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ And by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by the said Peter Hetter

Scott & Robinson
vs
Benoni R. Hildreth and Marquis M. Hildreth } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorney, and thereupon came W. D. Pudney one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiffs as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $171.50 It is therefore considered that said plaintiffs do recover of said defendants the said sum of $171.50 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest

Wednesday October 21st 1874

to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Bank of Richwood
vs
D. F. Parsons and A. L. Smith & Co } Cognovit

And now comes the said Bank of Richwood by P. R. Kerr its Attorney and files its petition against the said D. F. Parsons and A. L. Smith & Co, and thereupon A. J. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of the said D. F. Parsons and A. L. Smith & Co, and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of process, and confessed that the said D. F. Parsons and A. L. Smith & Co does owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of $677.[illegible] as the plaintiff hath in his said petition set forth

It is therefore considered that the said Bank of Richwood do recover of the said D. F. Parsons and A. L. Smith & Co the said sum of $677.[illegible] so confessed to be due, and also its costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by the said defendants

David M. Pence
vs
Jonathan Bell and others }

This day this cause came on to be heard on the petition of plaintiff and the testimony, and was submitted to the Court, who being advised in the premises finds that plaintiff was, prior to the death of his father Jacob Pence and still is the owner in fee simple of the real estate described in said petition, and that said Jacob Pense held the legal title thereto in trust for said plaintiff. It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that said plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of said land and entitled to hold and have the legal title thereto in his own name. That the defendant Celia Pense execute and deliver to plaintiff a deed of Quit claim conveying to him all her interest, right and title in said land, and that plaintiff be quieted in his said title to and be given possession of said land and that defendants be, and they are hereby, forever enjoined from interfering with or disturbing said title or possession of plaintiff. And by consent of plaintiff and as per Agreement filed in this cause it is ordered and decreed that said Celia Pense shall have and hold, during the period of her natural life, so much of said real estate as is described as follows, Beginning at the south west corner of said land; thence east to a point on the south line of said lands one rod east of the dwelling House now situate on said land; thence North parallel with the west line of the land to a point whence running to the west line and thence to the beginning will include twelve acres; thence west parallel to the south line to the west line; thence to the beginning - to contain twelve acres - save and except that plaintiff is to have and hold one of the Houses now situate on said twelve acres (or one half of the double House) and to have the use of the well and Yard at said House or Houses together with free ingress and egress to and from the same

Wednesday October 21st 1874

and with full authority to use the same as a residence for himself and family, said Celia to pay the taxes on said 12 acres now or heretofore assessed - The fences Rails and firewood to be made kept up and furnished as per said contract on file, and it is ordered that plaintiff pay the costs herein taxed to $
and that said Jacob Rense had no estate or title in said land which he could or did pass or transfer by will, and that the devisees and legatees named in the will of said Jacob Rense mentioned in said petition, did not take and do not own by reason of said will any interest or estate in said land or any part thereof but that plaintiff is entitled to hold said land and the title thereto free and unaffected by said will

Margaret Finley and
Fenton F. Finley
vs
John Bartram, Edward Norris and J.C. Price } This day came the

plaintiffs by their attorney and upon motion of the defendant, Edward Norris and J. C. Price have leave to withdraw their demurers herein filed, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiffs, and the answer of the defendant John Bartram and the evidence, and was argued by counsel, upon consideration of which the court does find for the defendants - It is therefore considered by the court that the said defendants go hence without day and recover of the plaintiffs their costs herein taxed at $-

Bank of Richwood
vs
Jonathan Grant and S. H. Grant } cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by P. R. Kerr their attorney, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $370 cts It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants the said sum of $370 cts so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum And by virtue of said warrant of attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

Bank of exchange & Deposit
vs
T. E. Fry and E. M. Flint } Continued by agreement

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

R Bleole Judge

Thursday October 22. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Bank of Richwood
vs
Edward V. Stiles, Henry T. Stiles and J. J. Filey } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by P. R. Kerr their Attorney, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the ispuing and service of proces, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition, the sum of $183.65 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $183.65 so as aforesaid confesed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Bank of Richwood
vs
Samuel Welshimer } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by P. R. Kerr his Attorney, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the ispuing and service of proces, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition, the sum of $191.25 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $191.25 so as aforesaid confesed to be due, together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived—

Bank of Richwood
vs
Isaac Welshimer and Samuel Welshimer } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by P. R. Kerr their Attorney, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the ispuing and service of proces and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 2 5.8 oo
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant

Thursday October 22, 1874

the said sum of $258.50 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum. and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

No 1613

No 1594

Millers, Green and Joyce
vs
William H. Storms

And now comes the said Millers, Green and Joyce and the said William H. Storms having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Millers Green and Joyce, it is considered that the said Millers Green and Joyce ought to recover the said sum of four hundred fifty-seven and 96/100 dollars ($457.96) so demanded in their petition, together with the sum of fifteen and 27/100 dollars ($15.27) which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition— It is therefore considered that the said Millers, Green and Joyce recover against the said William H. Storms the said sum of $473.23/100 with interest at eight per cent until paid, together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

C. W. Rosette
vs
J. J. Thompson and Benjamin Fisher

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the issue joined between the plaintiff and the defendant Benjamin Fisher and thereupon came a jury to wit:
Eli Neville, Josiah Blue, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm. Benson, Andrew Brown, G. M. Dunn, Aaron Turner, J. B. Norris, Dacon Rogers, John Epps, T. W. Martin and Silas McFadden— who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue so joined upon their oaths do say, that we find for the defendant. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant Benjamin Fisher go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs in and about this cause expended taxed to $

Eliza M. Truman et. al.
vs
Mary R. Read et. al.

P 361

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and this cause, thereupon came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff, and the sale by him made of the tenaments in the Bill mentioned, to be in all respects in due form of law—
It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the proceedings and sale of said Sheriff be in all things affirmed, and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed of the tenements aforesaid in fee simple, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided, and that of the proceeds

of said sale the Sheriff after paying the costs of this suit and taxes pay the plaintiff the sum of eight hundred and twenty dollars-

No 1613

Sarah Vining
vs
John B. Vining } In Divorce

This day came the said Sarah Vining by her attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony, and was argued by counsel. In consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said John B. Vining according to law, and the Court further find that the said defendant has been willfully absent from said plaintiff for more than three years prior to the filing of said petition-
It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and it is further ordered and decreed that alimony in the sum of $250 be allowed plaintiff from said defendant, and that the same be, and the same is hereby decreed to be a lien (subject to all prior liens) upon two and one fourth acres more or lep of land with the appurtenances, situate in Dover Township Union County Ohio in survey No 4065- and being the same land described in a deed of conveyance from Samuel Gamble and wife to said John B. Vining, dated the 14th day of September 1869. and recorded in Volume No 33, and pages 498 and 499 in the Union County record of deeds-
and it is further ordered that plaintiff pay the costs of this action within ten days from the rising of this court, and in default thereof that execution ipue therefor

James Green
vs
Joshua Cox and J Hanby } Ipues

This day this cause came on for trial on the ipues joined, and the parties waiving a jury submitted the same to the court, and the court after hearing the testimony and being fully advised in the premises does find that there is due the plaintiff from the defendants the sum of $102 35-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of $102 35 and his costs herein taxed to $ and thereupon the defendants demanded a second trial which was allowed by the court and the bond fixed by the Court at Two hundred dollars

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Beole Judge

Friday October 23d 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

Steinbarger. Aldrich & Co
vs
Richard ~~Michael~~ Davis } Co[n]novit

This day came the plaintiffs by Carpenter and Pudney their Attorneys and thereupon came L. Piper one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiffs as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $130[00]
It is therefore considered that said plaintiffs do recover of said defendant the said sum of $130[00] so as aforesaid confessed to be due together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived—

D. T. Carpenter
vs
E. F. Earl and Thomas Davis } Co[n]novit

This day came the plaintiff by Carpenter and Pudney his Attorneys, and thereupon came L. Piper one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a Warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $ 152[34]
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $ 152[34] so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

John M. Sanders
vs
Jennie Roseberry and others } On partition

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition answers and evidence and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof the court do find that service by summons has been duly and legally had upon defendants, and the court do further find that the said John M. Sanders has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of the four fifths part of the said premises, and the Court do further find that the said Jennie Roseberry, Alice Roseberry and Wilkins Roseberry as the heirs of Ellen Roseberry deceased are seized in fee simple as coparceners

Friday October 23rd 1874

of the remaining one fifth of said premises, and are tenants in common with said petitioner in said premises, and that said Levi Roseberry is the legally appointed Guardian of said Jennie, Alice and Wilkins Roseberry, and that the said Peggy Price (widow of Stephen Samuel R. Sanders) is entitled to dower in said premises. It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition and dower issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him, that by the oaths of Lorenzo Cheney, Harvey Mather and Andrew D. Mowry one full and equal third part of said premises in said petition described be set off and apigned to the said Peggy Price as her dower estate, and that by the like oaths of the said Lorenzo Cheney Harvey Mather and Andrew D. Mowry partition be made of said lands subject to the said dower estate in the following proportions Viz. To the said John M. Sanders the 4/5th part thereof subject to said dower and to the said Jennie Roseberry, Alice Roseberry and Wilkins Roseberry the remaining 1/5 part thereof, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

James Hullington, Charles Phellis and W. W. Woods- Partners in busines under the firm name of, Hullington, Phellis and Woods
vs
Harvy D. McKitrick, Harriet McKitrick his wife and Andrew Sherwood-
} Judgment and order of sale

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, testimony and exhibits of the plaintiffs, the defendants being in default for answer or demurr and neither party requiring a Jury, this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiffs- on consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendant Harvy D. McKitrick to the plaintiffs on the note in the petition set forth, at this date the sum of seventeen hundred thirty five and 4/100 dollars, which sum the Court finds to be a lien upon the lands and tenements in the petition described, by virtue of a Mortgage in said petition set forth-
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant Harvy D. McKitrick said sum of $1735-4/100 so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $
It is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that in case the said defendant Harvy D. McKitrick fails for five days from this date to pay to the said plaintiffs the said sum of $1735-4/100 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court subject to its further order

Lory Ford
vs
The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad Company
}

This cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant filed herein October 8th 1874 to dismiss this cause and the service made therein, and was argued by counsel and thereupon the plaintiffs by his Attorneys moved the Court

Friday October 23d 1874

for leave to amend the petition and precipe filed herein by said plaintiff, and the summons issued herein by the clerk on said petition and precipe, on the ground that by mistake in said pleadings and proces & summons, said defendant was called by the name and designation of "The Atlantic and Great Western Railway company instead of its true and correct name of "The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad company, upon consideration whereof the court finds said mistake appears in said Petition, Precipe and summons, and that said plaintiff is entitled to have an amendment correcting mistake in the name of said defendant by striking out the words "Railway" where the same appears in said pleadings and proces, and by inserting in place thereof the word Railroad, thereupon the plaintiff appeared and made the amendments of said petition, precipe and summons as herein allowed
thereupon the court overrules the motion of said defendant above referred to, and this cause is continued with leave for the defendant to answer in forty days from the rising of this court—

No 1630

P. T. Lightner
vs
N. E. Patrick and others

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of P. T. Lightner, and upon the crop petitions of Godman Thornhill & co and the Richwood Building and saving Association, and was argued by counsel and submitted to the court whereupon it is considered by the court, that the said P. T. Lightner recover of the said N. E. Patrick the sum of $122.65 and that the said Godman Thornhill & co recover of the said N. E. Patrick the sum of $175.25 and that the said Richwood Building and saving Association recover of the said N. E. Patrick the sum of $148.50 and that unless the said N. E. Patrick shall pay the amounts so found due upon each of the above judgments and the costs of this action within ten days from this date, then it is ordered by the court that an order issue to the Sheriff of said county of Union commanding him to appraise, advertise and sell said premises, described in plaintiffs petition, being the west fourth of In Lot No 9 in the Village of Richwood of said county, as the same is known on the recorded plat of said Village, and that the proceeds of such sale be applied to the payment of said sums of money in the following order, 1st the costs in this case - 2d the sum of $148.50 to said Building and saving Association - 3d To the said P. T. Lightner the sum of $122.65 4th To the said Godman Thornhill & co the sum of $175.25 and the balance if any be paid to said N. E. Patrick, and the court further order that in case after paying the costs made and to be made and $148.50 to Building and saving Association, there is not sufficient funds left from said sale to fully pay the said sum of $122.65 to the said P. T. Lightner and the sum of $175.25 to the said Godman Thornhill & co then that said sums be paid pro rata out of the funds so remaining in the hands of the Sheriff

No 1615 S. Carter & co vs N. E. Patrick — Journal entry

Friday October 23d 1874

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the said S. Carter & Co. and was argued by counsel and submitted to the court, whereupon it is considered by the court that the said S. Carter & Co recover of the said N. E. Patrick the sum of $61.68 and the costs herein expended taxed to $
and unless the said N. E. Patrick shall pay said sum of money to said S. Carter & Co and the costs in this action within ten days from this date, then that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the premises described in plaintiffs petition being the east one fourth of the west one half of the Lot No 9 in the Village of Richwood in said County as the same is known on the Recorded plat of said Village, and that the proceeds of said sale be paid out in the following order. 1st the costs in this action made and to be made- 2d the sum of $61.68 to the said S. Carter & Co with six per cent interest, and the balance if any to the said N. E. Patrick

Orlando Wells
vs
Lawrence Barlow

This day to wit October 20th 1874, came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon came a jury to wit
Eli Neville- Josiah Blue, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm Benson, Andrew Brown, J. M. Dunn, Aaron Turner, J. B. Norris, Dacon Rogers John Epps, T. W. Martin and Silas McFadden, who being impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say, that they find for the plaintiff upon said amount for pasture for the years 1868 and 1869, and upon the amount due upon said account at the sum of $40.00 and that they also find for the plaintiff on said account for rent of ground the sum of $25.40 and that they find for the defendant upon his counter claim the sum of $33.62 leaving a balance found for the plaintiff of $32.66 and thereupon defendant gave notice that he would file a motion for a new trial in said cause

Michael Cody
vs
Moses Thompson

This day came the parties by their attorneys and agreed in open court that there is due from defendant to plaintiff the sum of one hundred and three dollars as plaintiff hath in his petition claimed- It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of one hundred and three dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $
By agreement no record to be made-

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

D Blake Judge

Saturday October 24th 1874

This Morning the court met at 9oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X
No 1615

Patrick O'Donnell
vs
Jacob Jenkins and Charlotte L. Jenkins his wife

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, evidence and exhibits of the plaintiff, the defendants being in default for answer or demurer and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff. On consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the defendant Jacob Jenkins to the plaintiff on the first and second causes of action in the petition set forth the sum of Three hundred eighty four and $\frac{22}{100}$ dollars at this date, which sum the Court finds to be a lien upon the lands and tenements in the petition described.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Jacob Jenkins said sum of $384^{22} so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $\$$ It is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that in case the said defendant Jacob Jenkins fails for five days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $384^{22} so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order ipue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being, commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law: and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court subject to its further order, and as to the Note not yet due this cause is continued.

No 1616

X

No 1631

√

No 1622

Bunstine Moses and Boyer
vs
Richard Davis and Wriget Harriman

And now comes the said Bunstine, Moses and Boyer by Carpenter and Rodney their Attorneys, and the said Richard Davis and Wriget Harriman having failed to demur or answer to the petition of plaintiffs it is considered that the said Bunstine, Moses and Boyer ought to recover the said sum of $286^{50} so demanded in their petition together with the sum of $2^{38} which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition.
It is therefore considered that the said Bunstine Moses and Boyer recover against the said Richard Davis and Wriget Harriman, the said sum of ($288^{88}) Two hundred and eighty eight & $\frac{88}{100}$ dollars together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $\$$ and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said Richard Davis and Wriget Harriman fails for ten days from the date of this Judgment to pay to the said Bunstine Moses and Boyer the said sum of $288^{88} so as aforesaid found with costs of suit that execution ipue therefor.

No 1605

√

No 1627

No 1623 Steinbarger Aldrich & co vs J. S. Winters & settled.

Saturday October 24th 1874

William B. Danfee
vs
John P. Bailey

No 1520

This day came on this cause for trial upon the ipsue joined herein, and counsel for defendant being present and neither party demanding a jury the same was waived and this cause submitted to the court upon the pleadings and proof, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find and adjudge that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $136.27 and costs
Thereupon counsel for defendant moved for second trial herein and the Court being of opinion that it is a cause wherein second trial is allowed by law do grant the same fixing the bond of defendant at $200.00

Monday Oct. 14th 1874

X
No 1531

Edmund D. Smith
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

(Oct-14th 1874)

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the ipsue joined between the parties, and thereupon came a jury to wit, Eli Norville, Josiah Blue, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm Benson, Andrew Brown, J. M. Dunn, Aaron Turner, J. B. Norris, Dacon Rogers, T. W. Martin, Silas McFadden Regular Jurors, Dyer Reed tales juror who being duly empanneled and sworn to well and truly try the ipsues joined between the said parties, upon their oaths do find and return the following verdict- We the jury do find and say that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment in the sum of one hundred and fifty eight and 75/100 dollars-
Thereupon the defendant gave notice that it would move the Court for a new trial in said cause-

No 1605

Edward Stillings
vs
Abraham R. Showalter

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the ipsues joined between the parties, thereupon came a jury to wit, Eli Norville, Josiah Blue, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm Benson, Andrew Brown, J. M. Dunn, Aaron Turner, J. B. Norris Dacon Rogers, John Epps, T. W. Martin and Silas McFadden, who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the ipsues joined between the parties upon their oaths do return in to Court the following verdict- We, the jury in this case being duly impanneled and sworn do find and say that we find for defendant, J. M. Dunn, Foreman.
It is therefore considered that the said defendant go hence without day and recover against the said plaintiff his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $-
Thereupon plaintiff gave notice of second trial under the statute and the court fix the amount of the bond at $200.00

No 1627

McKee, Weakley & Co
vs
William H. Storms

And now comes the said McKee Weakley and Company by their attorneys Robinsons and Piper, and the said William H. Storms having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said

Saturday October 24th 1874

McKee, Weakley and company. it is considered that the said McKee, Weakley and company ought to recover the said sum of one hundred ten and 93/100 dollars so demanded in their petition together with the sum of $2.66 which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition — It is therefore considered that the said McKee, Weakley and company recover against the said William H. Storms the said sum of $112.59 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1638

No 1626

McKee, Weakley & co
vs
Samuel W. Smith

And now comes the said McKee, Weakley and company by their Attorneys Robinsons and Piper, and the said Samuel W. Smith having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said McKee, Weakley and company it is considered that the said McKee, Weakley and company ought to recover the said sum of one hundred ninety six and 74/100 dollars so demanded in their petition together with their costs expended
It is therefore considered that the said McKee Weakley and company recover against the said Samuel W. Smith the said sum of one hundred ninety six 74/100 dollars together with his costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1610

Isaac Eberly & co
vs
L. W. Smith

And now comes the said plaintiffs by their Attorney R. L. Woodburn, and the said defendant having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover the said sum of one hundred and sixty one and 20/100 dollars.
It is therefore considered that the said plaintiffs recover against the said defendant the said sum of one hundred and sixty one and 20/100 dollars ($161.20) being the amount of both debt and interest together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 231

No 1611

Isaac Eberly & co
vs
William H. Storms

And now comes the said Isaac Eberly & co by their Attorney R. L. Woodburn, and the said William H. Storms having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover the said sum of one hundred and ninety three and 77/100 dollars so demanded in their petition
It is therefore considered that the said Isaac Eberly & co recover against the said William H. Storms the said sum of one hundred and ninety three and 77/100 dollars ($193.77) with eight per cent interest from this date, together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $
It is further ordered that no execution issue before the 25th day of Jany 1875

No 231

No 1638 Margaret Davis vs George Davis and Belle Davis } And now comes the said Margaret Davis by her attorney R. L. Woodburn, and the said George Davis and Bell Davis having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiff it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the said sum of one hundred and forty five and 23/100 dollars so demanded in her petition
It is therefore considered that the said Margaret Davis recover against the said George Davis the said sum of one hundred and forty five and 23/100 ($145 23/100) together with her costs in and about her suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

P Bloch Judge

Monday October 26th 1874

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

No 231 The State of Ohio vs Stephen Burkam } Recognizance

This day came into open court Stephen Burkam and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels, lands and tenements if default be made upon the condition following to wit. That the said Stephen Burkam be and appear before the court of common Pleas of the county of Union and State of Ohio on the seventh day of the next term thereof that being the 11th day of January A.D. 1875 to answer unto the State of Ohio for Apault with intent to kill and murder, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the court and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law.

No 231 The State of Ohio vs Stephen Burkam & Continued

The State of Ohio vs James C. Moffitt } Recognizance

This day came into open court James C. Moffitt and John Harriman and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made upon the

Monday October 26th 1874

condition following to wit. That the said James Moffitt be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Union and State of Ohio on the seventh day of the next term thereof that being the 11th day of January A.D. 1875 to answer unto the State of Ohio for Assault and Battery. then and there to abide the order and sentence of the court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law

The State of Ohio vs George W Brown } Recognizance

This day came into open court Wm Goff and Thomas Hughs and acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit- That the said Wm Goff and Thomas Hughs be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of the county of Union and State of Ohio on the seventh day of the next term thereof that being the 11th day of January A.D. 1875 to give evidence, in a case pending in said Court wherein The State of Ohio is plaintiff and George W. Brown is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law-

The State of Ohio vs George Livingston } Recognizance

This day came into open court George Livingston and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit. That the said George Livingston be and appear before the Court of common Pleas of the county of Union and State of Ohio on the seventh day of the next term thereof that being the 11th day of January A.D. 1875 to answer unto the State of Ohio for Horse Stealing- then and there to abide the order and sentence of the court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law—

Angus Clark et. al. vs Silas Igou } Injunction

This day came the parties before the Court and this being fully argued by counsel for plaintiffs and for the defendant the Court do find for the plaintiffs and authorize and decree that the injunction be allowed as prayed for in plaintiffs petition until further order of this Court and until defendant shall proceed to appropriate the gravel sought to be used for public uses according to law- Bond for $200 with security- satisfactory to the clerk of this court to be filed before this order shall be binding on the defendant.

Monday October 26th 1874

Bench Garwood, Guardian
vs
R. B. Truman, Guardian } This day came on this cause on the defendants motion to require amendments to petition whereupon the Court do overrule the defendants motion and leave is granted to defendant to answer within forty days from the close of this term of Court and this cause is continued-

The State of Ohio
vs
Samuel W. Hildreth } Indictment for Apault and Battery

The defendant Samuel W. Hildreth this day was arraigned, and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged, whereupon it is considered by the Court that the defendant Samuel W. Hildreth pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of $5 00 also the costs of this prosecution taxed and that he be imprisoned in the County Jail for three hours, and that he stand committed until said fine and costs are paid

The State of Ohio
vs
John Stokes } Indictment for Apault and Battery

The defendant John Stokes this day was arraigned, and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged- whereupon it is considered by the Court that the defendant John Stokes pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of $10 00 also the costs of this prosecution taxed and that he be imprisoned in the county Jail for five hours-

The State of Ohio
vs
George Livingston } Indictment for Horse stealing

The defendant George Livingston this day was arraigned, and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

A. Bledsoe Judge

Tuesday October 27th 1874

This morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1600

John M. Simon, Weis
vs
Henry Evans and others

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, testimony and exhibits of the plaintiff, the defendants being in default, for answer or demurrer, this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff, on consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendant Henry Evans to the plaintiff on the Notes and Mortgage in the petition set forth at this date, the sum of Two hundred Ninety four and $^{02}_{100}$ dollars, which sum the Court finds to be a lien upon the lands and tenements in the petition described by virtue of a Mortgage in said petition set forth— It is further considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that in case the said defendant Henry Evans fails for five days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of 294^{02} so as aforesaid found due together with the costs in this suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being, commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to Law, and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court subject to its further order—

No 1628

Joseph W. Mason, and Company
vs
C. W. Huffman and N. E. Patrick

And now comes the said Joseph W. Mason and Company, and the said C. W. Huffman and N. E. Patrick or either of them having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Joseph W. Mason and company, it is considered that the said Joseph W. Mason and company ought to recover the said sum of Two hundred three and $^{65}_{100}$ dollars (203^{65}) so demanded in his petition together with the sum of 11^{61} which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition
It is therefore considered that the said Joseph W. Mason and Company recover against the said C. W. Huffman and N. E. Patrick the said sum of 215^{26} together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

A. James Sterling
vs
John Adams, Jefe L. Cameron, and Joseph M. Kennedy

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff, and the defendants being in default for answer or demur, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that there is due the plaintiff from the defendants the sum of 128^{20} The Court does further find that said John Adams is the maker and said Jefe L. Cameron and Joseph M. Kennedy are endorsers on said promissory note described in the petition

of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the sum of $128.20 and his costs herein taxed to ff
and it is further adjudged by the court that execution ipue against John Adams and on failure to make the above sum of money and costs then that an execution ipue against said Jesse L. Cameron and Joseph M. Kennedy

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bloodgood Judge

Wednesday October 28th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Yesterday

Isaac Zane
vs
Holmes A. Hutchinson and others

Now comes the plaintiff and asks the Court to order a new appraisment of the real estate levied on in the above action, the same having been twice appraised and advertised and not sold for want of bidders

No 230 The State of Ohio
vs
William Weber
Indictment for furnishing intoxicating liquors &c

This day to wit. October 26th 1874. the defendant William Weber was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment, and thereupon by agreement of defendant and Prosecuting Attorney this cause was set down for trial tomorrow Morning at 9 oclock—

No 238 The State of Ohio
vs
William Weber
Indictment for furnishing intoxicating liquors &c

This day to wit. October 26th 1874. the defendant William Weber was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged—and thereupon by agreement of defendant and Prosecuting attorney this cause was set down for trial tomorrow October 27th

No 230 The State of Ohio
vs
William Weber
Indictment for furnishing intoxicating liquors &c

This day to wit. October 27. 1874. came the parties by their attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the Court, and thereupon came a jury to wit. Eli Nowlle, Josiah Blue, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm Benson, Andrew Brown, J. M. Dunn Dacon Rogers, John Epps, Samuel Marsh, J. D. Norris Regular Jurors,

Wednesday October 28th 1874

Gregory Hawley, and Isaac C. Botkin, tales jurors, who being duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment—

√ No 232 — The State of Ohio vs George W. Brown } Indictment for Assault and Battery $300 ea

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney, and upon his motion the said defendant was three times solemnly called to answer unto an indictment found against him for Assault and Battery and came not but made default. And thereupon Catharine Brown and Silas Brown the security of said George W. Brown being three times solemnly called to come into court and bring with them the body of the said George W. Brown to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do, or that the same would be forfeited, and the said ~~George W. Brown~~ Catharine Brown and Silas Brown still failing to appear according to the condition of their said recognizance with the body of the said George W. Brown; It is ordered by the Court, that the same be and is hereby forfeited— Thereupon on motion of counsel for defendant the same is respited until the next term of this court—

† No 1 — State of Ohio vs James Green } Continued for sentence

† No 39 — State of Ohio vs Joseph Price } Continued for sentence

† No 135 — State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers } Continued

† No 172 — State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins } Continued for sentence

† No 211 — State of Ohio vs Charles C. Vansant } Continued

† No 217 — State of Ohio vs Charles Wilmoth } Continued

† No 226 — State of Ohio vs Frederick Goldstep } Continued

† No 227 — State of Ohio vs Frank Lytle, Jesse Cisco and Elijah Cisco } Continued

† No 229 — State of Ohio vs William B. Dunfee } Continued for sentence

† No 237 — State of Ohio vs James Johnson and Charles Morgan } Continued for arrest

† No 239 — State of Ohio vs Calvin Bowen } Continued for arrest

† No 240 — State of Ohio vs Joseph Burns & Peter Mayo Jr. } Continued for arrest

√ — Stembarger, Aldrich & Co vs Richard Davis } Capias

This day came the plaintiff by Carpenter and Pudney attorneys— and thereupon came D. W. Ayers one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney

× No 1391

duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven waived the issuing and service of procep, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $130.15
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $130.15 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum-
And by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Thursday October 29th A.D. 1874.

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

E. S. Chapman, and D. S. Chapman under firm name of E. S. Chapman & Co
vs
John McAllister
} Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Carpenter and Palmer Attorneys, and thereupon came D. W. Ayers one of the Attorneys of Record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of procep, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $121.00
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $121.00 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived -

No 1391

Commissioners of Union County
vs
M. A. Henry
} Verdict of Jury

This day came the parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit, J. A. Henderson, Thomas Brown, Dyer Reed, Delmore Snodgrass, Robert Graham, A. P. Robinson, George P. Crop, George S. Baldwin, William H. Lovelep, Samuel M Campbell John Coder, George Snodgrass, who being duly empannled and sworn to appraise the value of gravel taken and the damages

Thursday October 29th A.D. 1874

done the defendant by reason of the premises according to law and the said jury by the order of the Court under the care of the Sheriff, made actual view of the premises, and after hearing the evidence, argument of Counsel and the charge of the Court- do render their verdict in favor of the defendant, and appraise the value of the gravel taken by the plaintiff at one hundred and forty three dollars, and assess the damages sustained by defendant to the remainder of his farm by reason of the removal of said gravel and injury to Spring and buildings at the sum of Ninety five dollars, and thereupon the defendant moved to set aside the said verdict and grant a new trial for reasons on file

X
No 238

The State of Ohio
vs
William Weber
} Indictment for furnishing intoxicating liquors &c

This day came the parties, by their attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the Court, and thereupon came a Jury to wit:, Eli Thorville, Josiah Blue, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm. Benson Andrew Brown, Dacon Rogers, John Epps, Samuel Marsh, T. W. Martin, Silas McFadden Regular Jurors, M. L. Prain and W. H. Crary tales Jurors, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment

1636

/
No 1542

Joseph H. Helkner Administrator of the estate of Samuel Herriott
vs
Solomon Butts
} on 2d trial

This day came on this cause to be heard, and neither party requiring a jury, this cause was argued and submitted to the Court upon the pleadings - on consideration whereof the Court finds in favor of the plaintiff. And that he as the Administrator of Samuel Herriott deceased is entitled to recover from the defendant on the Note described in plaintiffs petition the sum of Four hundred and sixty two dollars with interest from April 1st 1874.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of $462 00 as found due with the interest thereon from April 1st 1874 and also his costs herein expended taxed to $
To which findings and judgment of the Court the defendant excepts

1530

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P Bledsoe Judge

Friday October 30th 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1635 J. Greenleaf & Co vs William Harris

This day came the plaintiff, and the said William Harris still failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiff and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant the sum of Three hundred and seventy seven and 67/100 dollars, as the plaintiff has in his petition demanded- It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of $377 67/100 dollars, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to ff

1636 J. Greenleaf & company vs Alexander Snodgrap

This day came the plaintiff, and the defendant still failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiff, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that said plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant the sum of one hundred and one and 6/100 dollars, as the plaintiff has in his petition demanded-
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of one hundred and one and 6/100 dollars, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed as ff

Jacob Leonard vs David A. Williams and others

On motion to the Court by plaintiff Attorneys, Robinsons and Piper and it appearing to the Court that the premises upon which a levy has been made to satisfy the said Judgment has been twice offered for sale and not sold for want of bidders, the Court being fully advised in the premises it is ordered that said appraisement be set aside, and that said premises be reappraised and again offered for sale, and that the proceedings in the premises be reported to this Court under former order of sale-

1530 John M. Sanders vs Jennie Roseberry and others

In Partition

On motion to the Court by Cameron and Kennedy counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law- it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed and that said parties hold in severalty the parts and premises so set off and assigned to each respectively, and it is further ordered that the costs of this action including a counsel fee

Friday October 30th A.D. 1874

to the said Cameron and Kennedy of eighty six and 62/100 dollars be paid by the said parties in the following proportions to wit: The said John M. Sanders 4/5 thereof. The said Jennie Wilkins and Alice Roseberry the remaining 1/5 part thereof and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

X
No 1589

Rebecca A. Liggett and others
vs
Olive L. McBride and others

On motion to the Court by Carpenter and Pudney, counsel for said petitioners, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff, and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law, it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed; and thereupon, neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at the said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to law, and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this Court; to which time this action is continued

X
No 15-90

A. W. Rosette
vs
J. J. Thompson et al.

This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion of plaintiffs counsel to set aside the judgment rendered in this cause without the plaintiffs or his counsel understanding the same should be entered until the plaintiffs motion for a new trial made in this cause in due time should be disposed of. It is therefore considered and adjudged that said entry of judgment made in said cause during this term be set aside and said motion for new trial set for hearing at 10 oclock A. M. of tomorrow. entered. Cole Judge

X
No 1573

Edward R. Thompson
vs
Rodney Randall and others

This day the defendants made application for a continuance of this cause to the next term of the court on the ground of the absence of Jay Randall a witness for the defendants, whereupon said cause is continued.

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleeke Judge

Saturday October 31st 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

J. W. Robinson vs Reuben Cook and David McClung } Cognovit

And now comes the said J. W. Robinson and files his petition and thereupon D. W. Ayers one of the Attorneys of this Court, appeared in open Court in behalf of the said Reuben Cook and David McClung and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of proces and confessed that the said Reuben Cook and David McClung does owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of $179.62 as the said plaintiff hath in his said petition set forth-

It is therefore considered that the said J. W. Robinson do recover of the said Reuben Cook and David McClung the said sum of $179.62 so confessed to be due, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ with interest to be computed at the rate of 8 per cent per annum until paid and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all error is released and all right of Appeal waived by the said Reuben Cook and David McClung-

J. W. Robinson vs George Keckley } Cognovit

And now comes the said J. W. Robinson and files his petition against the said George Keckley, and thereupon D. W. Ayers one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said George Keckley and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the court and duly proved, waived the issuing and service of proces, and confessed that the said George Keckley does owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of $452.58 as the plaintiff hath in his said petition set forth

It is therefore considered that the said J. W. Robinson do recover of the said George Keckley the said sum of $452.58 so confessed to be due and also his costs herein to be taxed, and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum- and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all error is released, and all right of Appeal waived by the said George Keckley

C. W. Rosette vs J. J. Thompson et. al. } Motion to set aside verdict and allow new trial

This day came the parties and leave was given to plaintiff to amend his motion for new trial and thereupon the motion is continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock Monday Morning

A Bleake Judge

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

Thomas C. Owen
vs
Benjamin Fisher et. al.

This day came the parties and neither party requiring or demanding a jury this cause was submitted to the Court upon the pleadings and testimony and was argued by counsel, upon consideration whereof the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendant and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ thereupon defendant demanded a second trial under the statute which is allowed by the Court and the bond fixed at $100 Or

1391 The Commissioners of Union County Ohio
vs
M. A. Henry P 390

This day came the defendant and withdraws his motion for new trial, and thereupon it is considered that the said verdict be approved, and it is considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the amount found due him from the plaintiff by reason of the premises, amounting to Two hundred and thirty eight dollars together with all the costs of this proceedings including the fees of the jury empannelled in this cause-

No 1634 David Ward
vs
Frank A. Cheney and Martin Schneiderer, Guardian &c

This day came the parties in this action and were at Issue, and declared themselves ready for trial, and thereupon came a jury, of the Counts to wit. Eli Conville, Silas McFadden, Benjamin Grubbs, Wm. Benson Andrew Brown, J. M. Dunn, Aaron Turner, J. B. Norris, Samuel Marsh, Dacon Rogers, John Epps, and T. W. Martin, all good and lawful men, and were sworn well and truly to try the the issue joined between the parties, and after hearing the proofs exhibits and testimony, the arguments of counsel and the charge of the Court, retired under charge of a sworn Officer to deliberate, and afterward returned in open court the following verdict to wit: The State of Ohio Union county ss. October 30th 1874 We the jury in this case, being duly impannelled and sworn do find and say that we find for defendant, T. W. Martin Foreman. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendant recover of said plaintiffs the costs herein taxed to $ thereupon the plaintiff defenranded a second trial under the statute which is allowed by the Court and the Court fix the bond at $100 Or

1531 Edmund D. Smith P 382
vs
The Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway Company

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant for a new trial, for the reasons set forth in their motion on file, said motion was argued by counsel and submitted to the court, and upon due consideration whereof the court does overrule said motion—
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the said Edmund D. Smith plaintiff recover from the Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St Louis Railway company defendant the sum of one hundred and fifty eight 25/100 dollars ($158 25/100) as his damages aforesaid sustained, and his costs expended in and about his suit taxed to $. To all of which rulings and decisions of the court the defendant then and there excepted, and thereupon it was agreed between the parties that the defendant have forty days from November 1st 1874, to prepare his bill of exceptions as of this term

Jane Sparks
vs
Charles Sparks

And now come the said Jane Sparks by Cameron and Kennedy her attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the court do further find that the said defendant has been wilfully absent from the said petitioner more than three years prior to filing the petition and has been guilty of gross neglect of duty and adultery as charged in said petition— It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and held for naught and the said parties be wholly released from the obligations of the same And it is further ordered that the said complainant have the care, custody and maintainance of the minor children mentioned in the petition and that defendant pay the costs of this action in ten days and in default that execution issue therefor—

Trullinger, Phillis & Woods
vs
E. Mespenger, Newton Mespenger & William Wylie
} Co[illegible] court

This day came the plaintiff by J. B. Cole their attorney, and thereupon came D. W. Ayers one of the attorneys of record of this court, who by virtue of a warrant of attorney duly executed, and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $1200 &c
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $1200 &c as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum and by virtue of said warrant of attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

Fullington. Phillis and Woods
vs
E. Mepenger. Newton Mepenger
and William. Wylie

This day came the plaintiffs and by leave of the court withdraw the papers in this case and dismiss the action without prejudice, the Judgment rendered herein being entered without authority of the court by mistake is set aside.

George J. Hill
vs
Harriett Hill and others

In Partition

On motion to the Court by Robinsons and Piper counsel for petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the commissioners herein before appointed and the same being examined, it is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and thereupon the said George J. Hill electing to take said estate at the said valuation of said commissioners and having paid to the said Harriett Hill as guardian of the said Mary J. Rowlle, Amanda Hill, Weldon Hill, Ann Hill, Henry Hill, Clara Hill, John Hill and Hada Hill their respective proportions of the appraised value thereof, the said Estate is hereby adjudged to the said George J. Hill, and the said Sheriff is ordered to execute a deed in fee simple for the same to the said George J. Hill according to the statute in such case made and provided, and it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit including an attorney fee to Robinsons and Piper $ 5.00 paid within ten days by the parties in the following proportions, The said George J. Hill one half, and the said Mary J. Rowlle, Amanda Hill, Weldon Hill, Ann Hill, Henry Hill, Clara Hill, John Hill and Hadda Hill one equal sixteenth part each and in default thereof that execution ipsue therefor

James P. Soop
vs
Harriott and Stone

This day came the parties by their attorneys and submit this cause to the Court upon the pleadings and evidence of the defendants. In consideration whereof the court do find against the defendants on their said offsets, and the plaintiff failing to prosecute his cause in this Court, it is ordered and adjudged this his said action be dismissed at his costs
It is therefore considered that the defendants recover of plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

John Epps
vs
The American Spread Western Railroad company

This day came on this cause to be argued on the demurer to plaintiffs amended petition, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said demurer, as to all claims of damages set up

No 1629

Monday November 2nd A.D. 1874

as taken place less than four years prior to the commencement of this action, but as to all other items the said demurer is sustained, to which ruling of the Court defendant excepts, and thereupon plaintiff comes and withdraws his claim for damages for all the items of overflow alleged in said amended petition to have taken place more than four years prior to the commencement of this action, and thereupon leave is granted to defendant to answer within forty days and this cause is continued-

William Hofferline Pltff } vs John Powers Deft } No 239-328 +

This cause is settled by the parties- The defendants John Powers and others obtaining deed from the plaintiffs for the land and paying $300.00 which is to be secured by Mortgage on the land, defendants to pay all costs till judgment for the land was rendered, and the plaintiffs to pay all costs under the occupying law-

The State of Ohio } vs William Weber } No 230

This day the defendant William Weber again appeared in open Court and having nothing further to say, why sentence should not be pronounced against him- It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he make his fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars, and be imprisoned in the Jail of this County for ten days, and pay the costs of prosecution taxed to $ and thereupon said defendant William Weber pledged himself in open Court that he would fully and at once cease the traffick in intoxicating liquors in Union County, and in consideration of said pledge the Court with the consent of the Prosecuting Attorney remit the imprisonment part of said sentence, and thereupon defendant asked and obtained leave to withdraw his bill of exceptions in this case from the files in the same and no record of the same is to be made-

The State of Ohio } vs William Weber } No 238,

This day the defendant William Weber again appeared in open Court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him- It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he make his fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of seventy five dollars and pay the costs of prosecution taxed to $ and thereupon defendant asked and obtained leave to withdraw his bill of exceptions in this case from the files in the same and no record of the same is to be made-

Ralph Hunt } vs Allen Reed } No 1629,

And now come the said Ralph Hunt, and the said

Monday November 2nd A.D. 1874

Allen Reed having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Ralph Hunt it is considered by the Court here that the said Ralph Hunt ought to recover the said sum of one hundred dollars so demanded in his petition, together with the sum of $5.45 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition -
It is therefore considered that the said Ralph Hunt recover against the said Allen Reed the said sum of $105.45 with interest at eight per cent until paid, together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $
Thereupon the defendant made motion for second trial which the Court allowed and fixed the bond at $

B. C. Higgins
vs
John A. Hope
} P 232

And now come J. P. Drury by his attorneys of this Court, and thereupon the motion of the said J. P. Drury to vacate the Judgment entered in this action, at the October term of this Court A.D. 1873, came on to be heard and was argued by counsel and it appeared satisfactorily to the Court that said J. P. Drury was the real party in interest in said action and owner and holder of the promisory note whereon solely the said action was founded - That by mistake of his attorneys the action aforesaid was commenced in the name of B. C. Higgins the original payee of said note, whereas it ought to have been in the name of said J. P. Drury to whom the said B. C. Higgins had enclosed the same, and that the said action was in fact commenced by said J. P. Drury and for his benefit, though in the wrong name and it further appearing that a motion was filed in this Court at the same term at which said Judgment was rendered to vacate said Judgment and by the same attorneys who prosecuted the same, which motion has been continued over until this time - on consideration whereof the Court find the facts in the case as above; it is therefore ordered that the said Judgment entered at the October term A.D. 1873, of this Court in this action be and the same is hereby set aside and vacated, with costs and it is further ordered that this action stand dismissed for want of jurisdiction, all which is done accordingly
Enter P. B. Cole Judge -

Louisa Snowden and Edgar Snowden her Husband
vs
Thomas W. Kezartee, David Sherman and Daniel McNeal
}

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon neither party requiring a jury, this cause was submitted to the Court by the parties upon the petition of the plaintiffs, the answer of defendants, exhibits and testimony and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the petition to be true, and that the said Louisa Snowden is seized in fee simple of the premises in the petition described and demanded - It is therefore considered

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said Louisa Snowden having the fee simple estate, and the said Edgar Snowden having a tenancy by the curtesy recover against the said Thomas W. Kezartee David Shoneman and Daniel McNeal defendants the lands and tenements in the petition described, and also their costs herein expended taxed to &c

And thereupon the said defendants by their attorneys, made application to the Court for the valuation of improvements and assessment of damages under the statute for the relief of occupying claimants and the Court having considered the same is of the opinion that they are entitled thereto; whereupon it is ordered by the Court that further proceedings may be had in the cause, agreeably to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided and for the report of such proceedings this cause is continued

Charles A. Huffman
vs
Jacob Painter, Guardian of
Levi Wagner and Nancy Wagner
} $105.50

This day this cause came on to be heard, and neither party requiring a Jury, this cause was submitted to the Court by the parties upon the issues joined and the evidence upon consideration whereof the Court finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $105.50 And the court further finds that that of that sum the said Guardian should charge Nancy Wagner with the sum of $2.50 and that he should charge Levi Wagner with the sum of $103.00

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant as such Guardian the said sum of one hundred and five and 50/100 dollars so found due and also his costs herein expended taxed to &c The plaintiff by his attorney demanded a second trial under the statute which was allowed by the Court and the second trial bond fixed at $100.00

Orange Johnson, Francis
C. Jefferis and Joseph A. Jeffrey, Partners
under the firm name of Commercial
Bank of Columbus Ohio
vs
Perry Buck and Nancy Buck his wife
Caroline Buck and Whitney and Raymond a firm
doing business in the State of Ohio, and A. H.
Watkins, Administrator of the Estate of R. L. Broom, deceased.
} $1510.00

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiffs, their testimony and exhibits, the defendants Whitney and Raymond having filed answer and cross petition in the cause on the 29th day of October 1874, and the other defendants being in default for answer or demurrer, this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiffs, on consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due to the plaintiffs at this date from the defendant Perry Buck on the Note in the petition and Mortgage first set forth the sum of Fifteen hundred and ten dollars ($1510.00) which sum the Court finds is a lien upon the lands

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

and tenements in the petition and Mortgage described as therein set forth. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that if the defendant Perry Buck shall fail for five days from the close of this term of Court to pay to the plaintiffs said sum of $15.10 co so found due, with interest from this date, and also pay the costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ then and in that case an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being, commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgage described as upon judgments at law and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court. as to the Answer and cross petition of Whitney and Raymond and as to Questions of priority of liens and all other matters this cause is continued

Flanders and Son vs J. S. Fleck } settled

The State of Ohio vs Joseph Brooms and Peter Mayo } This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and moved the Court to open up the continuance for arrest heretofore made at this term in consequence of the arrest of said defendants since said continuance, and thereupon said defendants being arraigned in open court were asked how of the premises they would acquit themselves for pleas say they are not guilty in manner and form as they stand charged, and the said defendants being without counsel and unable to employ the same, thereupon the Court appoints D. W. Ayers Esq as their Counsel and thereupon D. W. Ayers accepted said appointment

Adolphus Brand vs John C. Price } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and answer of defendant, and neither party requiring a Jury, and said cause being thereupon submitted to the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the right of property and the right of possession in said property is in the plaintiff, and the Court do further assess the damages by reason of the detention of said property in the sum of five cents.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs herein expended taxed at $
A second trial was demanded by the defendant and allowed by the Court. The Court fixed the bond at $100 co

Emily P. Collier vs Frank Pickett } In Bastardy This day came the plaintiff by her attorney R. L. Woodburn, and upon his motion the said defendant was

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

three times solemnly called to answer unto a charge of bastardy against him, and came not, but made default, and thereupon P. A. Picket and S. A. Brake the security of said Frank Picket being three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with them the body of the said Frank Picket to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do or that the same would be forfeited, and the said P. A. Picket and S. A. Brake still failing to appear according to the condition of his said recognizance with the body of the said Frank Picket. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the same be and is hereby forfeited. Thereupon the defendant moved the Court by his attorney that a respite be granted till the next term of Common Pleas Court, and the same was so ordered by the Court.

David Mulford
vs
Albert Galloway

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, and the answer of defendant, and the demurrer to said answer, and the Court being fully advised do overrule said demurrer, and thereupon the plaintiff asked and obtained leave to file an amended petition in thirty days from the rising of the Court and cause continued

Peter G. Wynegar
vs
Samuel Little
No 1593

This day the plaintiff asked of the court and obtained leave to file his petition herein in thirty days from the rising of this court and cause continued.

Orlando Wells
vs
Lawrence Barlow
P 380

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant for a new trial in this case, and the Court after due consideration do overrule said motion.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $32.66 so found plaintiff due by said verdict and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

Levi Rea
vs
Manchester and Hewitt

This day came the plaintiff and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and the answer of defendants and upon the evidence, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due plaintiff as he has claimed in his petition the sum of eight Hundred and eighty Nine dollars and 16 cents.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the said defendants said sum of eight hundred and Eighty Nine & 16/100 dollars and also his costs taxed at $ and thereupon

Monday. November 2d A.D. 1874

moved the Court for a second trial in this case which motion was overruled and thereupon the Defts
the defendants save notice of appeal the bond fixed at $

Robert Graham
vs
A. Curle

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and the evidence introduced by him, the defendant being in default for answer or demurr, and neither party requiring a jury this cause is submitted to the Court, and thereupon the Court do find that the plaintiff is seized of the premises aforesaid and entitled to recover the possession of the same in manner and form as he hath claimed in his petition -
It is therefore considered that the said Robert Graham do recover of the said A. Curle the premises in said petition described And also his costs and expenses taxed at $

Hullington, Yarwood & Co vs Michael Davis } Continued

C. M. Robinson
vs
J. S. Alexander and Bank of Marysville } Continued

J. C. Hanover and S. W. Brown
vs
William Wharry, C. H. Leady and P. Safford } Continued

Reuben W. Weisz vs James Megahey and others } Continued

Ebenezer Healey vs William A. Wilson et. al. } Continued

Andrew A. Spicer Admr &c vs William Sprague et. al. } Continued

P. B. Cole Agent &c vs H. T. Raymond & Levi Kirk } Continued

Jacob C. Sidle vs D. H. Parsons et. al. } Continued

John Joliff vs D. H. Parsons et. al. } Continued

Farmers Bank of Union County vs B. D. Evans } Continued

Jacob C. Sidle vs John Raney } Continued

James W. Robinson vs James Cricket & Samuel Welsheimer } Continued

William C. Piper et. al. vs James Gabriel et. al. } Continued

No 1261 John Adams vs Incorporated Village Richwood } Continued

James Taylor ex &c vs Adam Blue } Continued

No 1309 Margaret Payne vs C. W. Rosette et. al. } Continued

Sarah J. Cartmell et. al. vs Thomas Page } Continued

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

x Commissioners Union County Ohio vs William Gabriel } Continued

x George L. Converse vs Louisa Pedrazzoli Et. al. } Continued

x Jennie Bunch vs Reuben Bunch & Continued

x Almira Kanns vs David Cook & Continued

x Charles E. Vansant vs Henry Evans & Continued

x Wm B. Moore vs The Pittsburg Cincinnati & St Louis Railway company } Continued

x Jacob L Sidle vs James Mulvaine } Continued

x Wm Tanner vs A. W. Minugh & Continued

✓ Susanah Robinson vs Samuel B. Robinson and others }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, and the answer of defendants, and the testimony introduced in the case, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the equity of the case to be with the plaintiff and that she is entitled to the one undivided four seventhes (4/7) part of the said two hundred and forty acres described in plaintiffs petition lying in Union County Ohio,
It is therefore ordered and decreed that said defendants within sixty days from the rising of this term of Court convey by a good and sufficient deed to said plaintiff said one undivided four seventh part of said tract of land in said County of Union, and that in default of such conveyance that this decree operate as such conveyance of title, and it is further adjudged that the defendants pay the costs of this action taxed to ff— Notice of Appeal by Defendants Bond ff200 00

x Amariah Phelps vs Michael Judy and others } Continued

x Amanda Custer vs Samuel Little & Continued

x Samuel Little vs John Blair & Continued

x George Caldwell vs Samuel Blake & Continued

x (Nov 1887) Susan P. Adams vs John Adams & Continued

Monday November 2nd A.D. 1874

Hullinston-Phellis and Woods vs Benjamin H. Miller and others } Continued

Frances Fields vs J. Smith Alexander and others } Continued

William Liggett vs S. W. H. Deberaw and others } Continued

No 1633 David Ward vs Frantz M. Cheney } Continued for service

W. A. Arnold vs Isaac H. Yates } Continued

Collier, Smith and Company vs Benjamin Fisher }

This day came the parties and settled this cause at the costs of the defendant Benjamin Fisher. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Benjamin Fisher pay the costs of this action within ten days or that execution issue therefor—

Bank of Richwood vs Mary A. Roberson & Elias Roberson }

This day this cause came on to be heard on motion to require a diminution of the record in the Court below, and the Justice be required to send up a correct Transcript, showing that said Elias Roberson one of the defendants was surety on the promissory Note on which this said judgment was rendered. The Court being fully advised in the premises does find that the record should show that said Elias Roberson was surety on said promisory Note and should have been so shown on the Justices Docket It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that said Justice of the Peace before whom this case was tried, make his record show that said Elias Roberson was surety on the said Note on which this judgment was obtained, and he is further ordered to send up a Transcript to the clerk of this Court correcting in accordance with this order—

William Gray vs Philip C. Adams and Israel Carter }

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and the parties being at issue upon the petition and answer thereupon came a jury to wit. Eli Norville, Josiah Blue, Benjamin Stubbs, Wm Benson, Andrew Brown, J. M. Dunn, Aaron Turner, J. B. Norris, Deacon Rogers, John Epps, T. W. Martin and Silas McFadden, who the truth to speak upon the issues joined being duly empannelled and sworn upon their oaths say, they find for the plaintiff and assess the amount which the plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises at Twenty four dollars— It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of Twenty four dollars so as aforesaid found due from the said defendants to the said plaintiff—

Monday November 2d A.D. 1874

X Charles Adams vs James Hildreth } This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the parties being at issue upon the petition, answer and reply, thereupon came a jury to wit; J. M. Dunn, J. B. Norris, Aaron Turner Regular Jurors, J. B. Scholfley, W. P. Anderson, Thomas Ford, Dwight Webb, W. M. Thompson, Josiah Moreloek, Hayes Speakman, A. B. Stricker and R. B. Thompson tales Jurors who to speak the truth upon the issues joined being duly empanneled and sworn do upon their oaths say, that the said defendant does not unlawfully keep the said plaintiff out of the possession of the said premises in manner and form as the said plaintiff hath in his said petition declared, and that they find upon the issues joined for the defendant
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the said plaintiff his costs in this behalf expended — second trial demanded by the plaintiff and allowed and bond fixed at $150.00

✓ Henry Safley vs Sarah E. Safley } This cause is dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff
It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff pay the costs of this action in ten days or that execution issue therefor

X No 1553 Michael Doyle vs James Safford and others } Continued

X No 1566 Vatchel Collier vs John A. Wood and others } Continued

X Horatio C. Hamilton vs Mary L. Stubert and others } Continued under former order

X Moses W. Wolford vs The Atlantic and Great Western Railway company } Continued

X Mary A. Robertson vs Elias Robertson } Continued

X Abel M. Farey vs The Atlantic and Great Western Railway company } Continued

✓ S. Carter and company vs Eliza Swartz } settled

✓ S. Carter & company vs Cornelius Livingston et al. } settled

✓ S. Carter & company vs Milton Dixon et al. } settled

✓ Goodman, Thornhill & company vs William Baker et al. } settled

X Sylvester, Pruden and son vs John A. Wood and others } And now came the said Collier

Monday November 2. A.D. 1874

Smith and company by Cameron and Kennedy their attorneys and the parties to this action still failing to demur or answer to the crop petition of these defendants and there being no objection to the same, this cause was submitted to the Court upon the said crop petition exhibits and testimony, on consideration whereof and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the facts stated in said crop petition are true, and that there is now justly due to the defendants Collier, Smith and company from the said John A. Wood the sum of one hundred and fourteen dollars by reason of the premises, and that the said sum of one hundred and fourteen dollars is a lien upon the premises in the petition described.

It is therefore considered ordered and decreed by the Court that the said Collier, Smith and Company recover of the said John A. Wood the said sum of one hundred and fourteen dollars so as aforesaid found due together with their costs herein expended taxed to $

And it is further ordered that if the said John A. Wood fail for five days from the rising of this Court to pay said sum and costs, then that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to duly appraise advertise and sell said real estate in the petition described according to law and bring the proceeds of said sale into this Court to await an order for distribution and as to liens and priorities of liens this cause is continued

No 1648 John L. Huber vs John C. Nicol } In vacation - settled

William Gabriel vs J.B. Wohlfley Treasurer } Continued

A. James Sterling vs Thomas Jamison } This day came on this cause to be heard upon Motion for injunction, the Court being fully advised in the premises, do sustain said motion, whereupon it is ordered by the Court that the the injunction be made perpetual. no record in the case

J.C. Kratline vs David A. Rudenour } Continued for service

Ordered that all matters, causes and motions now pending in this Court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

P. Black Judge

Court Record for 1875

Times fixed for holding Courts in the Third Judicial District of the State of Ohio for the year 1875

Be it remembered. That at a meeting of Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, of the Third Common Pleas Judicial District of the State of Ohio at the Town of Forest County of Hardin Ohio, on the day of the date hereof, for the purpose of fixing the times of holding the terms of the Courts of Common Pleas and the District Courts in said District, for the year 1875; we the undersigned Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, within and for said District, do hereby fix the times of holding said Courts for the year 1875; in each and every County in said District, as follows,

Common Pleas Court

The several terms of the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the several Counties of said District, in and for said year, shall commence in the several Counties thereof, as follows,

On	Union	County	January 4,	May 3,	September 27
On	Hardin	County	January 26,	May 24,	October 19
On	Logan	County	February 23,	June 14,	November 15
On	Shelby	County	January 25;	April 26,	October 13
On	Auglaize	County	January 4,	April 5,	September 20
On	Allen	County	February 15;	May 10,	November 1
On	Mercer	County	February 8,	May 10	November 8
On	Vanwert	County	January 18,	April 19,	October 13
On	Putnam	County	January 4,	April 12,	September 20
On	Paulding	County	January 26,	June 1	September 21
On	Defiance	County	January 18,	April 26,	September 27
On	Henry	County	February 1	May 3	October 4
On	Fulton	County	February 16,	May 11,	October 19
On	Williams	County	March 2,	May 18,	November 2
On	Wood	County	January 5;	May 4,	September 21
On	Marion	County	January 19	May 11	October 13
On	Seneca	County	February 8,	June 7	November 8
On	Hancock	County	January 25;	May 24,	October 13
On	Wyandot	County	January 4,	April 26,	September 21
On	Crawford	County	February 8,	June 1	November 8

see next Page

District Court

The several terms of the District Court, in and for the year 1875, shall commence in the several Counties thereof as follows,

On	Williams	County	March 16
On	Fulton	County	March 17
On	Wood	County	March 18
On	Henry	County	March 22
On	Defiance	County	March 23
On	Paulding	County	March 25
On	Vanwert	County	March 31
On	Allen	County	April 2
On	Putnam	County	March 29
On	Auglaize	County	March 25
On	Mercer	County	March 26
On	Shelby	County	March 23
On	Logan	County	September 9
On	Marion	County	March 31
On	Union	County	September 6
On	Crawford	County	March 29
On	Wyandot	County	March 27
On	Hardin	County	September 14
On	Hancock	County	April 3
On	Seneca	County	April 6

and we do further order and direct that the several terms of said Courts shall commence on the first day of each of said terms at eight oclock A. M.

In testimony whereof, we ispue this, our order for the purpose aforesaid at Forest on this the 12th day of October A. D. 1874

James Pillars
Thomas Beer
James Mackenzie
Philander B. Cole
} Judges

To the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, Ohio who is hereby directed to publish this order for three consecutive weeks in each of the several newspapers published in said County

P. B. Cole Judge

A true copy of the original order now remaining on file in my office

H. T. Arthur Clerk

January Term A.D. 1875

Monday January 4th A.D. 1875.
This day the Court of common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 9 o'clock A.M. in pursuance of law.
Present P. B. Cole Presiding Judge, John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney John C. Price Sheriff, and H. I. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit: George Cowgill, Asa Langstaff, E. Y. Severn, William Jordan, Hugh McAdow James McCloud, Henry Wheeler, Thomas Huffman, Ezra Hedges, George Rickard, Wm. B. Hubert, John McCullough, Edward Weller, Richard Hall; and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court, elected anew to wit: W. W. Woodburn & good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the panel, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. The Court appoint the said E. Y. Severn Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empanelled, sworn and charged, retired to their room, to deliberate; attended by a sworn constable

✓ Bank of North Lewisburg vs C. W. Wells ~~and Orlando Wells~~ } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came H. I. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition, the sum of $1107.25
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $1107.25 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

✓ Bank of North Lewisburg vs M. J. Brake, Michael Brake and E. O. Reames } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came H. I. Carpenter one of the Attorneys of Record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $118.60
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $118.60 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with

interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of Appeal, and all right to file a petition in Error are waived—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleake Judge

Tuesday January 5th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1632 V. A. Arnold vs Isaac F. Gates } This day came the plaintiff in the above entitled case by J. B. Cole his Attorney, and the defendant though duly summoned came not but made default whereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and exhibits, and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due from defendant to plaintiff upon the account in the petition set forth the sum of $134.25 together with his costs herein taxed to $. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that plaintiff do recover the said sum of $134.25 and costs

No 1574 Abel M. Lacey vs The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad Company } This day came the parties by their Attorneys and neither party requiring or demanding a Jury the same was waived by the parties, and by consent this cause was submitted to the Court upon the pleadings, exhibits and testimony, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the plaintiff, and the Court with the consent of said plaintiff, do assess the amount which said plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises at sixteen dollars. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that said plaintiff recover of said defendant the said sum of sixteen dollars the amount so as aforesaid found due together with his costs herein expended taxed to $

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P Bleake Judge

No 1703

No 1519

No 1768

No 1694

No 1573

Wednesday January 6th 1875

This morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1703 John D. Kins vs David Sager } This cause is settled and costs paid

No 1519 Emily P. Collier vs Frank Pickett } This cause is fully settled between the parties and the costs paid

1468 H. C. Hamilton vs Mary L. Stubert and Wm. B. Stubert } Lee P 358 Ex L- Nov 1775 — The Judgment in this case having been satisfied, and the costs having been paid, this cause is dismissed without prejudice as to the Notes not due, at the time this cause was commenced

No 1694 Charles Adams vs James Hildreth } P. 456 This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and it appearing to the Court, that no second trial bond has been put in, in this case as required by law, and that the same is improperly upon the Docket, on application of the defendant this cause is stricken from the Docket by the Court-

No 1573 Edward R. Thompson vs Rodney Randall and Edward L. Randall } This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the issues joined between the parties. Thereupon came a jury to wit, Jonathan Hedges, David Ashbaugh, L. K. Drake, Daniel Mitchell, John Mofs, George Graham, J. L. Gandy, John Bonnett, Valasco Case Isaac C. Rothrock, Regular Jurors, Orlando Stewart and Milton M. Shipley tales jurors, who being duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties, upon their oaths do return into Court the following verdict, we the jury in this case, being duly impannelled and sworn do find and say that: The plaintiff Edward R. Thompson recover of the defendant Edward L. Randall the sum of one hundred and forty seven dollars and sixty five cents ($147.65) and we find for the defendant Rodney Randall on the issues between him and the plaintiff.- John Bonnett Foreman- It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Edward L. Randall the sum of $147.65 so found plaintiff due by said verdict and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
Thereupon defendant gave notice of second trial under the statute and the Court fix the amount of the bond at $250.00
and thereupon on motion of defendant Edward L. Randall he has leave to file an amended answer herein in thirty days from the rising of this court-

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Jacob Deboldt for assault and

Battery. Indorsed. A true Bill. James Y. Severn. Foreman of Grand Jury, also their other Bill of Indictment against Joseph Bonham and Leroy Wyncoop for Assault and Battery. Indorsed. A true bill. James Y. Severn Foreman of Grand Jury, also their other Bill of Indictment against Ai Mayo for wounding with intent to wound and resisting Officer. Indorsed. A. true Bill. James Y. Severn. Foreman of Grand Jury, also their other Bill of Indictment against Lewis F. Blye for Embezzlement and Larceny Indorsed A true bill. James Y. Severn. Foreman of Grand Jury - And not having finished the busines before them, they retired again to deliberate

No 1688

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

R. B. Hole Judge

Thursday January 7th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

No 1575

Sylvester Pruden and Son
vs
John A. Wood and others

This day came the parties by their attorneys and submitted this cause to the Court upon the pleadings, exhibits and testimony for the purpose of finding and fixing the amounts and priority of the liens upon the premises in the petition described - and the Court with the consent of said parties do find the amount and priority of said liens as follows, That there is due the said Collier Smith and company from said John A. Wood the sum of one hundred and fifteen and 14/100 dollars ($115.14) and that the same is a lien upon said premises. That there is due to said Sylvester Pruden and Son from the said John A. Wood the sum of Ninety five dollars ($95.00) that is a lien upon said premises - That there is due to the Marion Machine company from said John A. Wood the sum of fourteen and 6/100 dollars and that the same is a lien upon said premises. That there is due to the said William Ferguson, Lafayette Talmadge, A. J. Blake, J. G. Beardsley, L. A. Hedges, W. H. Conkright, H. C. Hunt & T. Lenox upon their Mortgage from the said John A. Wood the sum of Sixteen hundred and forty six and 40/100 dollars ($1646.40), and that the same is a lien upon said premises. That there is due to the said Magdalen Moore from said John A. Wood upon her said Mortgage the sum of eighteen hundred and Twenty eight and 25/100 dollars ($1828.25) and that the same is a lien upon said premises -

No 1575

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court the said liens are entitled to priority in order above stated, and it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Sheriff out of the proceeds of said sale pay first the costs of this proceeding and the taxes upon said premises; That out of the residue of said purchase money he pay first the said Collier Smith and company the amount due them, and the said Sylvester Pruden and Son the amount

Thursday January 7th 1875

found due them, and the said Marion Machine company the amount due them, 2nd That he pay the said William Ferguson, Lafayette Talmadge, A. J. Blake, J. J. Beardsley, L. A. Hedges, W. A. Conkright, H. C. Hunt and John T. Lenox the amount found due them. 3rd That he apply the residue of the purchase money to the payment of the amount found due to said Magdalen Moore, and that said payments be made in the order above stated.

No 1688 Sarah J. Wright vs Anna Wright and Phoebe Wright } In Dower,

And now come the plaintiff by Robinson and Piper her attorneys, and the said defendants still failing to appear and answer plead or demur to said petition. It is therefore ordered that the said petition and matters therein contained be taken as confessed against said defendants and thereupon it is adjudged and decreed that the said plaintiff be endowed of one full equal third part of the premises in her said petition described and as for her dower therein as the widow of the said William Wright late of said County of Union deceased, and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union, commanding him that by the oaths of three judicious, disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of kin to either of said parties he cause such dower to be set off and assigned to the said Sarah J. Wright according to law and that he make return of his proceedings in the premises forthwith

No 1575 Sylvester Pruden and Son vs John A. Wood and others } confirmation of sale

Ex D. Dec 1849 P 406 & 413

On motion of the said Collier Smith and company who were duly made defendants to this action and who filed a cross petition herein and who obtained an order of sale upon said cross petition of the lands in said petition described by Cameron and Kennedy their attorneys and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of Real estate made by him on the first day of January 1875, to Magdalen Moore on and in pursuance of an order of sale made and issued in this case and dated on the 30th day of November A. D. 1874, and the Court on examination of said sale and proceedings being satisfied that the said sale and proceedings has been made in all respects in conformity to law. It is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

R. Bloodworth Judge

Friday January 8th 1875-

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1689 Clark and Farmer vs Harvey M. Hames and Worter H. Shaffer

And now comes the said Clark and Farmer plaintiffs by Carpenter and Pudney their attorneys, and the said Harvey M. Hames and Worter H. Shaffer having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered that the said Clark and Farmer ought to recover the said sum of $204.03 so demanded in their petition together with the sum of $3.32 which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition-
It is therefore considered that the said Clark and Farmer recover against the said Harvey M. Hames and Worter H. Shaffer the said sum of $207.35 together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1692 H. Wood vs Isaac White and Sarah E. White

And now comes the said H. Wood plaintiff by Carpenter and Pudney his attorneys, and the said Isaac White and Sarah E. White having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiff it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the said sum of $134.38 so demanded in his petition, together with the sum of $0.87 which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition-
It is therefore considered that the said H. Wood recover of the said Isaac White and Sarah E. White the said sum of $135.25 together with his costs in and about this suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1702

No 1604 James Fullington, Charles Shellis and W. W. Woods, Partners in business under the firm name of Fullington, Shellis and Woods, Plaintiffs vs Benjamin F. Filler, Sarah J. Filler his wife and Timothy Magill, Defendants — $619.44

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiffs, the answer of the defendant Timothy Magill, exhibits and testimony, The defendants Benjamin F. Filler and Sarah J. Filler his wife being in default for answer or demurrer and neither party requiring a jury, this cause was submitted to the court by the plaintiffs upon consideration whereof the court finds that there is due from the defendant Benjamin F. Filler as principal and Timothy Magill as indorser on the promissory note set forth in the petition the sum of six hundred and nineteen dollars and forty four cents-
It is therefore considered ordered, and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of the said Benjamin F. Filler as principal and the said Timothy Magill as indorser the said sum of $619.44 and the Court further finds that said sum is a lien upon the lands in the petition described by virtue of the mortgage therein set forth-

Friday January 8th 1875

And upon further consideration of said cause it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that if the said defendants Benjamin F. Feller and Sarah J. Feller his wife fail for five days from this date to pay to said plaintiffs the said sum of $619.44 debt and also to pay the Costs of this suit taxed to $, then that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being, commanding him to proceed to appraise, advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgage described as upon judgments at law, and that he report his proceedings therein to the next term of this Court, and as to all matters or Questions as to priority of liens this cause is continued

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Asbury Gardner for furnishing Intoxicating Liquors to a person in the habit of getting Intoxicated. Indorsed. A true Bill James Y. Severn Foreman of Grand Jury, also their three several other Bills of Indictment against Samuel Cook for furnishing intoxicating Liquors to a person in the habit of getting intoxicated. each severally indorsed A true Bill. James Y. Severn Foreman of Grand Jury- also their other Bill of Indictment against against Thomas Harris for Nuisance Indorsed A true Bill. James Y. Severn. Foreman of Grand Jury. also their three several other Bills of Indictment against Thomas Harris for furnishing Intoxicating Liquors to persons in the habit of getting intoxicated each severally indorsed A true Bill. James Y. Severn Foreman of Grand Jury. Also their other Bill of indictment against Thomas Harris for furnishing intoxicating Liquors to a minor, indorsed A true Bill. James Y. Severn Foreman of Grand Jury— and having finished the business before them, they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court

No 1702 Isaac Zane vs John M. Sanders Admr. &c } settled costs paid

The State of Ohio vs John Kent Jr } Recognizance

X This day came in open Court John Kent Jr Henry Kent and William Thompson and acknowledged themselves severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of Two hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, That the said John Kent Jr. be and appear before the Court of common Pleas of Union County and State of Ohio on the first day of the next term thereof that being the 3rd Monday in May 1875 to answer unto the State of Ohio on a charge of Assault with intent to commit a Rape and not depart the Court without leave, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the court. then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law—

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock to-morrow Morning

P. Reed Judge

Saturday January 9th 1875

This morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1644 — Lafayette Whetsel vs The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati and Indianapolis Railway Company — On motion of said defendant this cause is continued at the costs of said defendant

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of said defendant the costs of this continuance taxed at $

No 1685 — William Ferguson vs John Hamby and others

This day this cause came on to be heard on the motion of the defendant to dismiss this action, for the reason that the petition has been so changed as to entirely new parties, and thereby making a new action, and for the reason that no service has been made upon the defendants in this new action - was argued by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the matter, thereupon sustained said motion, and it is hereby ordered and adjudged that this action be, and the same is hereby dismissed at the costs of plaintiff.

No 252 — The State of Ohio vs Asbury Gardner — Recognizance

This day came in open court Asbury Gardner and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred Dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements if default be made upon the condition following to wit: That the said Asbury Gardner be and appear before the Court of common Pleas of Union County and State of Ohio on the 7th day of the next term thereof (that being the 10th day of May 1875) then and there to answer unto the State of Ohio for furnishing intoxicating liquors to a person in the habit of getting intoxicated then and there to abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force and virtue in law -

No 1669 — Wm R. Shults vs Daniel A White et al

This day came the parties and declared this case settled as follows, each party is to pay the costs which they have made in the case The defendants to pay the plaintiff $25.00 in money and receipt in full all claims they hold against plaintiff, and all matters in difference between said parties is fully settled including offsets in defendants answer, and no record of the case is to be made

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

A Beard Judge

No 1674 ✓ — No 1586 ✓ — No 241 ✓ — No 1645 X — No 242 X

Monday January 11th 1875

This Morning the court met at 9o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

No 1674 Wm B. Dunfee vs John P. Bailey } P 382

This case is settled at the costs of defendant. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover his costs expended taxed to ff

No 1586 George Caldwell vs Samuel A. Blake }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the parties being at issue upon the petition of plaintiff, answer of defendant and reply of plaintiff. Thereupon came a jury to wit, Jonathan Hedges, David Ashbaugh, L. K. Drake, Daniel Mitchell, John Mob, George Graham, J. D. Sandy, John Bennett, Valasco Case, Isaac C. Bothin Regular jurors, James A. Henderson, and Thomas Wharton tales jurors, who to speak the truth upon the issues joined being duly empannelled and sworn do upon their oaths say; they find for the plaintiff and assess the amount which said plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises to Thirty seven dollars and Ninety Nine cents. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that said plaintiff recover the said sum of Thirty seven dollars and Ninety Nine cents the amount so as aforesaid found due together with his costs herein expended taxed to ff

No 241 The State of Ohio vs Jacob Deboldt }

The defendant Jacob Deboldt this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged.
Whereupon it is considered by the court that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of Five dollars and that he be imprisoned in the Jail of this County for six hours. and that he stand committed until said fine and costs are paid

No 1645 J. F. Snider vs Alexander Snodgrass }

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit, Jonathan Hedges, David Ashbaugh, L. K. Drake, John Mob D. S. Sandy, John Bennett, Valasco Case, Isaac C. Bothin Regular jurors, John Miller, George Shipley, Samuel McAlister, O. C. Chase tales jurors, who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties, upon their oaths say, that they find for the plaintiff and that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant upon the promisory Note in the petition set forth the sum of $87.50
And thereupon the defendant gave notice that he would move the Court for a new trial in this case.

No 242 The State of Ohio vs Ai Mayo }

The defendant Ai Mayo this day was

Monday January 11th 1875

arraigned, and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged - and thereupon the defendant being without counsel and unable to employ the same, the Court appoint D. W. Ayers Esq his counsel

X No 245

The State of Ohio
vs
Thomas Harris

The defendant Thomas Harris this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged

X No 248

The State of Ohio
vs
Thomas Harris

The defendant Thomas Harris this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged -

X No 249

The State of Ohio
vs
Thomas Harris

The defendant Thomas Harris this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged

X No 250

The State of Ohio
vs
Thomas Harris

The defendant Thomas Harris this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged -

X No 253

The State of Ohio
vs
Thomas Harris

The defendant Thomas Harris this day was arraigned, and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged

✓ No 233

The State of Ohio vs James C. Moffitt

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Bleakley Judge

X No 245

X

X No 1

Tuesday January 12th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 245 The State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Indictment for furnishing Liquors to minor

This day came the parties by their attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the court, and thereupon came a jury, to wit, David Ashbaugh, L. K. Drake, J. S. Gandy, John Bonnett, Isaac C. Botkin, John A. Cook, Lory Ford, Israel Kinney, Daniel Mitchell, George Graham, Regular jurors, J. A. Ryan and William Goff tales jurors, who being duly impaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment.

The State of Ohio vs Joseph Burns } The defendant Joseph Burns was this day again brought before the Court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him; It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he be imprisoned in the Jail of this County for thirty days, and that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of ten dollars and costs of prosecution, and that he pay the full value of the goods by him stolen

The State of Ohio vs Peter Mayo } The defendant Peter Mayo was this day again brought before the Court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him; It is thereupon considered and adjudged, by the Court that he be imprisoned in the Jail of this County for ten days, and that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of ten dollars and costs of prosecution, and that he pay the full value of the Goods by him stolen

The State of Ohio vs Leroy Wyncgar } The defendant Leroy Wyncgar this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged-

The State of Ohio vs Joseph Bonham } The defendant Joseph Bonham this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged-

No 1 State of Ohio vs James Green } Continued for sentence

Tuesday January 12th 1875

No 217 State of Ohio vs Charles Wilmoth { Left off Docket

No 226 State of Ohio vs Frederick Goodstep { Left off Docket

No 236 State of Ohio vs George Livingston { Left off Docket

No 237 State of Ohio vs James Johnson & Charles Morgan { Left off Docket

No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price { Continued for sentence

The State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Bonham

The defendant Joseph Bonham was this day again brought before the Court; and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against them; It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the Court that he be imprisoned in the Jail of this County for one hour; and that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and the costs of prosecution

No 1643

Shannon Bailey
vs
J. T. Lenox

This day this cause came on to be heard and it appearing that the appelant has failed to file a Transcript, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiff is entitled to a judgment of $ 2 9 Es- It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that said plaintiff recover of said defendant the sum of $ 2 9 Es- debt and his costs taxed at $ no record

No 1696

William Gabriel
vs
George Davis and Joseph H. Davis

And now comes the said William Gabriel and the said George Davis and Joseph H. Davis having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said William Gabriel it is considered that the said William Gabriel ought to recover the said sum of $ 176 00 so demanded in his petition together with the sum of $ 20 22 which the Court finds due as interest thereon as prayed for in said petition. It is therefore considered that the said William Gabriel recover against the said George Davis and Joseph H. Davis the said sum of $ 196 22 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 76 Hallington, Garwood & Co vs Michael Davis ? Continued

No 209 E. M. Robinson vs J. S. Alexander & Bank of Marysville ? Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

H. Beebe Judge

No 1704

No 1654

No 1147

No 1630

No 1682

Wednesday January 13th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

✓ No1704 Jacob S. Hutchinson vs George Beecher } Injunction

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant to dipolve and vacate the temporary injunction heretofore allowed in this case, and was argued by counsel. In consideration whereof the Court sustain said motion. It is therefore ordered and decreed that said injunction be and the same is hereby dipolved and vacated at the costs of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover his costs taxed at $

X Dunn and Shipley vs Frederick Rief {

No1654 On motion of plaintiffs leave is granted them to file petition in this case in 30 days from the rising of this term and cause continued

/ No1147 Farmers Bank of Union county against B. D. Evans {

This cause is settled at the costs of plaintiff. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff pay the costs of this action taxed at $

X 1630 J. R. Lightner vs N. E. Patrick et. al. { confirmation of sale P 379 — B 14 P 204

On motion of the plaintiff J. R. Lightner who obtained a judgment against said N. E. Patrick for the sum of $ and who obtained an order of sale of the premises described in plaintiffs petition and producing the return of the Sheriff of said County of the sale of said premises to Solomon Walker, and the court being satisfied that said sale was in all respects regular and in accordance with the law said sale is hereby confirmed and the Sheriff is hereby ordered to make a deed of said premises to said Solomon Walker.

X No1682 John T. Fuller vs George Wollput and others {

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion hereinbefore filed and was argued by counsel and submitted to the court, and the court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said motion, and thereupon the said defendants George Wollput and Roda W. Wollput by their said counsel excepted to the rulings of the court

X John T. Fuller vs George Wollput and others { Appointment of Guardian ad litem

On motion of said plaintiff and it appearing to the court that the said defendants Hartwell Fuller and Flora Fuller are minors of the age of fourteen years respectively and have neglected to apply in person for the appointment of a guardian for the suit for more than twenty days after the return of the summons, and it

further appearing to the court that the said Ida M. Fuller and Harriett A. Fuller are under the age of fourteen years respectively; it is therefore ordered that L. Piper be and he hereby is appointed guardian for the suit for the said Hartwell Fuller, Flora Fuller, Ida M. Fuller and Harriett M. Fuller, and thereupon came the said L. Piper and accepted said appointment

John T. Fuller
vs
George Wollput and others

On motion leave is given the defendants Hartwell Fuller, Flora Fuller, Ida M. Fuller, and Harriett M. Fuller to file their answer and cross petition herein instanter, and thereupon their answer was filed

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. Black Judge

Thursday January 14th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1593

Peter G. Wynegar
vs
Samuel Lyttle

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit, Jonathan Hedges, David Ashbaugh L. N. Doake, Daniel Mitchell, John Mob, George Graham, J. S. Gandy, John Bennett, Valasco Case, Isaac C. Botkin, Regular Jurors, J. A. Clement, and A. W. Torrence tales jurors, who being empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say that they find a balance in favor of plaintiff in the sum of Twenty eight and 3/100 dollars after deducting the sum of $103.50 which we find due the defendant upon his offsets and counter claim.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of Twenty eight and 3/100 dollars and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

No 1686

The Bank of Richwood
vs
Allen Lister and Elijah Lister

This day came on this cause for hearing upon the petition of plaintiff, exhibits and testimony, and was argued by counsel. The defendants came not but made default and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find there is due the plaintiff herein the sum of $734.31
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Elijah Lister as principal and Allen Lister as surety the sum of $734.31 and the costs of this suit taxed at $

No 1446
No 245
No 232
No 608
No 670
No 699
No 145
No 172
No 211
No 229
No 231
No 227

Thursday January 14th 1875

No 446 John Woodruff vs Elijah Harris } This day came the parties by their attorneys and the parties being at issue thereupon came a jury to wit: Jonathan Hedges, David Ashbaugh L. K. Drake, Daniel Mitchel, George Graham, J. L. Gandy, John Bonnett Valasco Case, Isaac C. Botkin, Levi Longbrake, Alpheus Turner, John Newlove, who to speak the truth upon the issues joined being duly empaneled and sworn do upon their oaths say, they find for the plaintiff and assess the amount which the said plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises at Twenty eight dollars.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant Elijah Harris the said sum of Twenty eight dollars debt and his costs herein taxed to $

No 245 The State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Indictment for furnishing Liquor to minor
The defendant Thomas Harris was this day again brought before the court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him; It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that he be imprisoned in the jail of this county for ten days and that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars and the costs of prosecution. and that he stand committed until said fine and cost are paid

No 232 J. C. Hanover and S. W Brown vs William Wharry, C. A. Cady and P. Safford } Continued

No 608 John Swan and others vs Henry Williams and others } Continued

No 670 Lewis W. Green vs William M. Robinson and others } Continued

No 699 Robert Wolf vs Uriah Zimmerman Philip Rausch & Hart Monser } Continued

No 155 The State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angeline Simmers } Continued

No 172 The State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins } Continued for sentence

No 211 The State of Ohio vs Charles C. Vansant } Continued

No 227 The State of Ohio vs William B. Dunfee } Continued for sentence

No 231 The State of Ohio vs Stephen Burkam } Continued

No 227 The State of Ohio vs Frank Lytle, George Cisco and Elijah Cisco } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 1 o'clock P. M. tomorrow, January 15th 75

P. Bleakley Judge

Friday January 15th 1875

This day the Court met at 10 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1392 The Incorporated Village of Richwood vs John Adams

On motion of the said plaintiff by J. C. Johnston its attorney, and on producing the return of the sheriff of Union County Ohio of a sale of real estate made by him, on the first day of January A.D. 1875 to Susan Adams on an execution issued in this case and dated November 4th 1874. and the Court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said sheriff is ordered to pay out of the proceeds arising from said sale 1st the costs and taxes on said lands and then the liens on said lands, and the sheriff is ordered to make the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold.

No 1690 Thomas C. Owens vs Benjamin Fisher and Wallace Linder

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and the said parties being at issue, thereupon came a jury to wit: Jonathan Hedges, David Ashbaugh, L. K. Drake, Daniel Mitchell Alpheus Turner, J. S. Gandy, John Bonnett, Valasco Case, Isaac C. Watkins, Levi Longbrake, John Newlove Regular Jurors, John Weidman tales Juror, who the truth to speak upon the issues joined being duly empannelled and sworn do upon their oaths find and say; That the plaintiff recover of the defendants W. Linder and Benjamin Fisher the sum of Three hundred and Nine and 81/100 dollars.

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff Thomas C. Owens recover of the said defendants Wallace Linder and Benjamin Fisher the said sum of Three hundred and Nine and 81/100 dollars the sum so as aforesaid found by the jury which said plaintiff ought to recover from said defendants together with his costs herein expended taxed to $

No 703 Samuel R. Harbert vs James H. Neal and Henry Neal } Continued

No 708 Reuben W. Weisz vs James Megahey and others } Continued

No 964 Ebenezer Healey vs William H. Wilson and others } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

R. Blake Judge

No 245

No 1662

No 1691

No 1698

Saturday January 16th 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 245 The State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris

This day to wit January 14th 1875 this case came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant for a new trial of the ipues herein for the reasons on file with the clerk of said court. In consideration whereof the court do overrule said motion, to all of which rulings and decisions of the court the defendant then and there by his counsel excepted, and thereupon the defendant being again before the court and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him, it is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that he be imprisoned in the jail of this county for ten days and that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of Five hundred dollars and the costs of prosecution
And that he stand committed until said fine and costs are paid

No 1662 John Stickney vs William Herd

On motion of plaintiff leave is granted him to file petition in this case in 30 days from the rising of this court and cause continued.

No 1691 William Smith vs W. C. Barnett

This day came on this cause to be heard upon the affidavit of defendant for continuance, and was considered by the Court, and the court being satisfied in the premises granted a continuance of this case at the costs of defendant

No 1698 A. T. Carpenter vs Jasper M. Hubbard and Louisa Hubbard

Ex A. dro 1632

And now comes the said plaintiff by Carpenter and Pudney his Attorneys and the said defendants still failing to answer or demur to said petition it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, and the court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of $438.92
It is therefore considered by the court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $438.92 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for three days from the rendition of this judgment to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $438.92 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order ipsue to the Sheriff of said County, as Master commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised Advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found

Saturday January 16th 1875

due with costs of suit, and that the balance of the proceeds of said sale, after the satisfaction of plaintiffs claim be retained by said Sheriff, subject to the further order of this Court,

No 1589 Rebecca J. Liggett and Templeton Liggett vs Alice L. McBride and others } In Partition P 370 = Eq. Dk 1825

No 1678
No 1679

And now come the said Rebecca J. Liggett and Templeton Liggett by Carpenter and Pudney their attorneys, and on motion, and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under the former order of this court, and the Court being satisfied, on examination, that said sale has been made according to law; it is ordered that the said proceedings and said sale be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed; and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed to convey said premises to the said purchaser in fee simple. And it is further ordered that the said Sheriff, out of the moneys in his hands, pay first the costs in this case including a counsel fee of $5.00 to Carpenter and Pudney all taxed to $126.72 and of the residue he pay first to Mary Patterson the sum of $850.00 which sum the Court finds to be the value in money of her life estate in said premises, and to the said Rebecca J. Liggett the sum of $425.65 and to the said Charles A. Patterson the sum of $425.65 and to the said Alice L. McBride the sum of $212.82 and to the said Jesse F. Andrews the sum of $212.82 being the several amounts to which the court finds the said Heirs are respectively entitled from the proceeds of said sale

No 1645 J. F. Snider vs Alexander Snodgrass } P 418

This cause came on to be heard this day upon the Motion of the defendant for a new trial herein, and was submitted by Counsel; on consideration whereof it is ordered that said Motion be overruled, whereupon it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of ($87.22) eighty-seven and 22/100 dollars found and assessed by the Jury in this case to be due from said defendant to plaintiff herein and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
To all of which rulings and decisions of the court the defendant excepts

No 1044 Andrew A. Spicer, Admr &c vs William Sprague and others } Continued for service

No 1067 P. B. Cole Assignee &c vs H. T. Raymond & Levi Kirk } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

P B Cole Judge

No 232

Monday January 18th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

No 1678 Frederick B. Fleck vs Sarah A Clevenger and others
No 1679 John A. Fleck vs Frederick B. Fleck and others

This day these causes came on to be heard on motion to consolidate said suits; and the Court being fully advised in the premises; and with the consent of the parties to said suits; does order that the same be consolidated and made and prosecuted as one suit.

No 1679 John A. Fleck vs Frederick B. Fleck and others

This day came the plaintiff and made satisfactory proof of due notice of the filing and pendency of this cause as to Alpheus Fleck and Thadeus Fleck and Elizabeth and John McKim and the Heirs of James R. Oliver deceased, and thereupon W. D. Pudney was appointed Guardian ad litem of James P. Fleck and Ney Fleck the infant defendants who accepted said appointment and filed his answer according to law- Thereupon this cause came on to be heard and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that the heirs of John Fleck deceased are entitled to be quieted in their title to the 15 acres in the said petition described subject to the dower of the said Elizabeth McKim therein- It is therefore ordered and decreed that the said Heirs of James R. Oliver deceased within ten days convey by Quit claim deed their interest in said land or in default thereof that this decree shall operate as such conveyance. It is further ordered and decreed that the Sheriff of this County by the oaths of F. J. Dager, S. B. Woodburn and John K. Dodge three judicious and disinterested freeholders be cause said Elizabeth McKim to be endowed of her one third part during life of said 15 acres, also by the like oaths be cause the dower of said Margaret Fleck to be set off in all of said farm giving her the one third thereof during her life subject to said dower of said Elizabeth McKim And also by the like oaths of the said commissioners be set off and apsign to said plaintiff subject to said dower claims. the one seventh part of said farm in severalty- To Frederick B. Fleck a like part. To Alpheus Fleck a like part. To Wm H. H. Fleck a like part- To Thadeus Fleck a like part. To Sarah A. Clevenger a like part and to the heirs of David Fleck deceased a like part, to wit; James Fleck, Martha J. Fleck and Ney Fleck each one twenty first part of said farm, and further said commissioners are hereby ordered and decreed further to appraise said farm clear of the dower of the said Margaret Fleck as well as subject to her dower in case they shall decide the place incapable of division without manifest injury and report their proceedings during this term of this Court, and all questions in regard to Mortgages are reserved for further order in this cause

No 232 The State of Ohio vs George W. Brown — Assault and Battery

This day the said defendant George W. Brown being again three times solemnly called to answer an indictment against him for an assault and battery on William Goff, as by his recognizance

Monday January 18th 1875

he was bound to do, came not but made default, and thereupon Catharine Brown and Silas Brown his sureties were also three times solemnly called to come into Court and bring with them the body of the said George W. Brown to answer said indictment, failed to bring the body of the said George W. Brown into Court as by their recognizance they were bound to do, and thereupon it is ordered that said respite of said forfeiture be vacated and set aside-

No 1684

No 1681 James W. Robinson, Administrator of the estate of C. S. Hamilton deceased vs J. Smith Alexander survivor of Eaton and Alexander

And now comes the said J. W. Robinson as said Administrator, and the said J. Smith Alexander as survivor of Eaton and Alexander, having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said James W. Robinson it is considered that the said James W. Robinson as said Administrator ought to recover the said sum of $1415.80 demanded in his petition together with the sum of $172.24 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition - It is therefore considered that the said James W. Robinson recover against the said J. Smith Alexander as said survivor the said sum of $1587.24 in together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1569 Moses W. Wolford vs The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad Company

No 1668 This day by consent of the parties hereto the continuance of this case is opened up, and thereupon came the plaintiff and dismissed this case without prejudice to a new action at his costs It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of plaintiff its costs taxed at $

No 1088 Jacob C. Sidle vs D. F. Parsons and others } Continued by consent

No 1089 John Joliff vs D. F. Parsons and others } Continued by consent

No 1111 Marion Hopkins vs David A. Williams and others } Continued

No 1139 Felton Bancroft & Co vs E. Hammond } Continued

No 1154 Thos. A. Timmons Admr &c vs Rhoda Haines Admr. } Continued

No 1185 Jacob C. Sidle vs John Ramey } Continued

No 1216 Thos. C. Brown Admr &c vs J. G. Miller Admr. } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

Tuesday January 19th 1875

This morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

No 1684

W. W. Woods
vs
George Davis. Isabella Davis. Dunn and Shipley
R. L. Woodburn. and Margaret Davis

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, evidence and exhibits of the plaintiff. the defendants being in default for answer or demurrer, and neither party requiring a jury this cause was submitted to the court by the plaintiff.
On consideration whereof the court finds the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the defendant George Davis to the plaintiff on the first and second causes of action set forth in the petition, the sum of Thirteen hundred and eight dollars and fourteen cents at this date; which sum the court finds to be a lien upon the lands and tenements in the petition described. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court, that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant George Davis said sum of $1308 14 so found due and also his costs taxed at $
It is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that in case the said defendant George Davis fail for five days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $1308 14 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County for the time being commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the petition described lying in Union County, Ohio to be appraised advertised and sold according to law; and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into Court subject to its further order
and as to the notes not yet due this cause is continued

No 1668

John D. Hunt
vs
William Kightlinger

And now comes as well the said plaintiff as the said defendant, and thereupon this action came on for trial before the court upon the issues joined between the parties on consideration whereof the Court do find that the said facts set forth in plaintiffs petition are not sufficient in law to justify the same; it is therefore considered that the injunction heretofore granted in this action be and the same is hereby dissolved, and it is further considered that the said defendant recover against the said plaintiff his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed at $
Thereupon said plaintiff gave notice of his appeal and the court allowed of said appeal and fixed the bond at which said plaintiff should give by reason of the premises at $100 00

Isaac Bane
vs
Holmes A. Hutchinson and others

F 247

On motion of said plaintiff by H. E. Vining his attorney, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 19th day of December A. D. 1874 to D. D. T. Dodge on a Vendi Exponas issued in this case and dated November 14, 1874 and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in

Tuesday January 19th 1875-

all respects in conformity to law. it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

No 1261 John Adams vs Incorporated Village of Richwood } Left off

No 1250 William C. Piper et.al. vs James Gabriel et.al. & Continued

No 1242 Richard H. White vs Darius Mc Intire and Thomas Eubanks & Continued

No 1228 Jos Fitzpatrick et.al. vs Allen Haynes et.al. & Continued

No 1220 James W Robinson vs James Cricket and Samuel Welsheimer }

And now comes the said J. W. Robinson by Robinsons and Piper his attorneys and the said James Cricket and Samuel Welsheimer still failing to demur or answer to the said petition. it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of said plaintiff, find that there is due from the said defendants to the said plaintiff on the Notes in the said petition set forth the sum of $1408.00

It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of $1408.00 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $-

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay the said plaintiff the said sum of $1408.00 so as aforesaid found due with costs of such an order issue to the Sheriff of said County for the time being as Special Master Commissioner, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and as to all other matters this cause is continued

No 1262 James Taylor ex rel vs Adam Blue & Continued

No 1309 Margaret Payne vs C. W. Rosette et.al. & Left off P 196

No 1419 John Epps vs The Atlantic and Great Western Railroad company } Continued by consent

No 1481 Charles C. Vansant vs Henry Evans & Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

R B Cole Clerk

No 1505

No 239

No 243

No 242

Wednesday January 20th 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

D. S. Chapman and company vs Martin Myers and J. H. Helkner } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by R. L. Woodburn their Attorney, and thereupon came J. B. Cole one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $329.20 It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $329.20 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney, all errors are released, and all right of appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived

Rush King and company vs A. C. Pierson and Daniel D. Shearer } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by Robinson and Piper their Attorneys, and thereupon came R. L. Woodburn one of the Attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiffs as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $4613.14 It is therefore considered that said plaintiffs do recover of said defendants the said sum of $4613.14 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney, all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

No 1505 David Thompson et. al. vs T. E. Fry and E. M. Flint } On motion to the Court, M. S. Weaver Asignee in Bankruptcy of E. M. Flint and Son is made party defendant herein with leave to file answer and answer filed, and plaintiffs have leave to plead in forty days from this date And cause continued—

No 239 State of Ohio vs Calvin Brown } Continued for arrest

No 243 State of Ohio vs Leroy Wynegar } Continued by consent

No 242 The State of Ohio vs Ai. Mayo } This day came the parties by their attorneys. And said

Wednesday January 20th 1875-

defendant being in person before the court, and thereupon came a jury to wit; David Ashbaugh, David Mitchell, George Graham, Jr. S. Gandy, John Bonnett, Isaac C. Bothin, Levi Longbrake, John Newlove, D. D. Mather and Israel Kinney Regular jurors, J. H. Vaughn, and Templeton Liggett tales jurors, who being duly impanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say that the defendant is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

1679

No 244 State of Ohio vs Lewis F. Blue } Continued

No 246 State of Ohio vs Samuel Cook } Continued for arrest

No 247 State of Ohio vs Samuel Cook } Continued for arrest

No 248 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

No 249 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

No 250 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

No 251 State of Ohio vs Samuel Cook } Continued for arrest

No 253 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

No 252 State of Ohio vs Asbury Gardner } Recognized and continued

No 1697 Anna E. Erb executrix of the estate of Charles Erb deceased vs Louis F. Blue } And now comes the said Anna E. Erb and the said Louis F. Blue having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Anna E. Erb it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the sum of Two hundred and eighty four and 26/100 dollars so demanded in her petition-
It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said sum of $284-26/100 together with her cost in and about her suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

No 1701 Francis M. Cowgill vs Joseph Bonham and Leroy and Wm C. Urmeyer } Continued

No 1705 Collier, Smith and company vs James A. Hatcher } Continued for service

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

A B Cole Judge

Thursday January 21st 1875-

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X 1679 John S. Fleck vs Frederick B. Fleck and others } In Partition

On motion to the Court by counsel for said Petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners herein before appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law, It is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take said premises at the said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to law, and that he return his ~~fee~~ doings in the premises to the next Term of this Court, to which time this action is continued, and all matters as to distribution of proceeds &c are also continued to that time

X State of Ohio vs Joseph Burns and Peter Mayo }

On motion it was ordered this day by the Court that D. W. Ayers ~~and A. J. Sterling~~ attorneys be paid out of the County Treasury the sum of $30.00 for ~~their~~ services in defending the said Joseph Burns and Peter Mayo- as by appointment

X State of Ohio vs Ai Mayo }

On motion this day it was ordered by the Court that D. W. Ayers ~~and A. J. Sterling~~ be paid out of the County Treasury the sum of $50.00 for services in defending said Ai Mayo as by appointment-

✓ John T. Fuller vs George Wollput and others }

And now comes the said John T. Fuller by E. P Evans his attorney, and the said defendants George Wollput and Roda W. Wollput still failing to answer or demur to said petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, And the Court after hearing the evidence, do find that there is due to the said plaintiff on the Note and Mortgage in said petition described, the sum of $436.00 It is therefore considered by the Court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants George Wollput and Roda W. Wollput the said sum of Four hundred and thirty six dollars and Ninety cents the sum so found due as aforesaid on the first day of this Term and also his costs taxed to $
and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants George Wollput and Roda W. Wollput fail for five days from the date of this entry to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $436.00 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union, commanding him to cause

Thursday January 21st 1875

the said lands and tenements in said petition described to wit, situate in the County of Union in the State of Ohio and in the Township of Jerome and bounded and described as follows, to wit, Virginia Military Land, survey No 6261, beginning on the south west corner of A. F. Hubbard and in the line of Chester Fox, running North 90° 55' 80 rods to the south east corner of R. Grahams land thence with said Grahams line westerly 100 rods to a south west corner of R. Grahams an old line claimed by Galoway; thence with said line 90° 35' south to a corner in the line of Chester Fox; thence North 80° 30' East to the beginning, containing fifty two acres and one half more or less, to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and that he bring the proceeds of said sale in to Court here to abide the further order of the Court

Sarah J. Wright
vs
Anna Wright and Phoebe Wright

On motion to the court by Robinsons and Piper counsel for the petitioner and upon producing the proceeding of the Sheriff, the appraisement of the commissioners and the assignment of Dower to the petitioner made in pursuance of a former order of the court and the same being examined. It is ordered that said proceedings, appraisement and assignment of Dower be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and that the said Sarah J. Wright stand endowed of so much of said real estate as is contained within said assignment and bounds as follows, beginning at a stake in the center of the London road and in the upper line of John Blands land, thence with the center of said road N. 45-1/4° W. 17 3/5 poles to a stake in the center of the Bridgeport and Marysville gravel road thence with the center of said road N. 10° 82 7/10 poles to an angle in said road, thence with the center of said road N. 40° 8 10/100 poles to a stake in the center of said road, thence S 36 ½ 30 4/5 poles to a stone with pieces of brick under it, thence N. 54 3/4° 8 15/100 poles to a stake from which a red oak marked as a witness bears N. 36 W 5 links, thence S 36 8 31 16/100 poles to a stake in the line of said John Blands land, witness a Hickory N. 54 3/4° 8 30 links, thence S 54 3/4° W. and with the line of said Bland 2 70/100 poles to the beginning, containing sixty three and one half acres-

and it is further ordered that a writ of seizin issue to said Sheriff commanding him to deliver to said Sarah J. Wright full possession of the premises assigned to her as aforesaid, and it is further ordered that the said defendants in ten days from the rendition of this decree pay to said Sarah J. Wright the sum of $13 66/100 dollars the one third of the yearly value of said real estate from the 1st day of December A.D. 1874 to the 21st day of January A.D. 1875 and also two thirds of the costs of this suit and that the said Sarah J. Wright the petitioner pay the residue of said costs being taxed at $ and in default of said payments or either of them that execution issue therefor as upon judgments at law-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Saturday Morning

R. J. Cole Judge

1618

No 1707

No 1390

No 1407

No 1713

Saturday January 23rd 1875

This morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Thursday

1618 S. Carter and Company vs M. E. Patrick and others } P 379

This day the plaintiff by his attorney, none appearing for the defendant, and this cause came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff of Union County Ohio of a sale by him made; on examination whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff and the sale by him made of the lands and tenements in the petition and order of sale mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law; It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale made by said Sheriff be and the same are hereby in all things approved and affirmed; and it is further ordered that said Sheriff execute and deliver to Martha E. Merritt assignee of the purchaser a deed of the lands and tenements aforesaid in fee simple pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided

X No 1707 Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Company vs John A Wood and others } On motion of the defendants George Lockhart and A. D. Converse by Cameron and Kennedy their attorneys and for good cause shown. the pleadings of said defendants to be filed to the petition is extended for 60 days from the rising of this Court

X No 1380 David Mulford vs Albert Galloway and Bowen Lamme } Continued

✓ No 1407 Sarah J. Cartmell and W. A. Cartmell vs Thomas Page } This cause is settled as follows each party to pay one half the costs. Judgment accordingly

X Bank of Richwood vs Charles Baldwin } Confirmation of sale

This day this cause came on to be further heard upon the report of the Sheriff of a sale by him made; on examination whereof the Court do find the proceedings of said Sheriff and the sale by him made of the lands and tenements in the pendi mentioned to be in all respects in due form of law; It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the proceedings and sale made by said Sheriff be and the same are hereby in all things approved and confirmed; and it is further ordered that said Sheriff execute and deliver to said purchaser The Bank of Richwood a deed of the lands and tenements aforesaid pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided

✓ No 1713 Orlando Wells vs Bank of North Lewisburg } This cause is dismissed at the costs of the defendant. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs herein expended taxed at $

Saturday January 23rd 1875

No 1569 — Moses W. Wolford vs The Atlantic & Great Western Railway Company — Error - see Page 429 of this Book

No 1418 — Louisa Snowden and Edgar Snowden her husband vs Thomas W. Kezartee, David Shenneman and Daniel McNeal — Proceedings under the occupying claimant law - P 399 Ej. D. Oct 1841

This day came the parties to this cause by their Attorneys, and the Jury having made return of appraisements and valuation in the premises, and no good cause being shown against the same, the said appraisements and valuation are, on motion of M.C. Lawrence attorney for the plaintiffs, approved and confirmed by the Court.
And the said Jury having reported a sum in favor of the said Thomas W. Kezartee, David Shenneman and Daniel McNeal severally, on the lastings and valuable improvements, the said plaintiffs now here in open court elect to take the value of said land without the improvements, as appraised by said Jury as follows Viz:
The sixty five (65) acres of said land held and claimed by Daniel McNeal at one thousand one hundred and seventy dollars ($1170 00)
The thirty five (35) acres of said land held and claimed by David Shenneman at six hundred and thirty ($630 00) dollars
The thirty (30) acres of said land held and claimed by Thomas W. Kezartee at five hundred and forty dollars $540 00
and the plaintiffs further elect to surrender said lands to the said Thomas W. Kezartee, David Shenneman and Daniel McNeal as by them respectively held and claimed - and the Court on motion of the said plaintiffs do order the said Thomas W. Kezartee, to pay to the plaintiffs said sum of $540 00 within the time following Viz: $180 00 on or before the 23rd day of May 1875; $180 00 on or before the 23rd day of January 1876, $180 00 on or before the 23rd day of January 1877, with interest on each sum from this date at six per cent per annum -
and the Court further orders the said David Shenneman to pay to the plaintiffs said sum of $630 00 within the time following Viz: $210 00 on or before the 23rd day of May 1875, $210 00 on or before the 23rd day of January 1876, and $210 00 on or before the 23rd day of January 1877, with interest on each sum from this date at six per cent per annum -
and the Court further orders the said Daniel McNeal to pay to the plaintiffs the said sum of $1170 00 within the time following Viz:
$390 00 on or before the 23rd day of May 1875, $390 00 on or before the 23rd day of January 1876, and $390 00 on or before the 23rd day of January 1877, with interest on each sum from this date at six per cent per annum -
and it is further ordered by the Court that the plaintiffs put upon the files in this case, on or before the 23rd day of May 1875, deeds in fee simple to the said Thomas W. Kezartee, David Shenneman and Daniel McNeal for the lands severally held by them in severalty and that upon full payment of the above several sums of money the Clerk is ordered to deliver said deeds, to said Kezartee, Shenneman and McNeal respectively, and in default of such payments or either of them within the time aforesaid, the said deeds or such as covers lands not paid for shall be delivered up to the said plaintiffs to be cancelled, and the said plaintiffs upon Precipe filed for that purpose shall have a writ of possession issued

No 1698

No 1699

No 1615

Saturday January 23rd 1875

in their favor upon the judgment heretofore rendered in this case upon which these proceedings are founded. and it is further considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendants recover of the said plaintiffs the costs made under the occupying claimant law, herein taxed at $

No 1695 Lucius Webster vs John Horn, Edgar M. Horn and L. W. Smith } This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendants having failed to demurer or answer to said petition but being in default for answer, it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that there is due the plaintiff from the defendants the sum of $118.30 It is therefore considered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants John Horn and Edgar M. Horn as makers of said Note described in the petition of the plaintiff and L. W. Smith as guarantor of said Note the sum of $ 118.30 and his cost herein taxed to $

No 1699 Miller Huston vs Haines Shaffer } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, the defendants being in default for answer and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiffs ought to recover of said defendants the sum of Three hundred and Eighty and 42/100 dollars as the plaintiff have demanded in their petition -
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendants said sum of Three hundred and Eighty and 42/100 dollars and also the costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

1615 Patrick O'Donnell vs Jacob Jenkins and others } confirmation of sale P 381 Exl dec 1837

The Court this day on motion of Attorneys for the plaintiff, having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale by him made upon the order of sale, and the Court being satisfied that such sale in all respects been made in conformity to the statute in such case made and provided does order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed to the lands and tenements so sold in fee simple, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided, and the proceeds of such sale be distributed as follows, First to pay the costs of this suit taxed to $ 26.59 second that the taxes and assessments which are a lien upon the lands and tenements be paid amounting to $18.32 Third, that the residue of the money arising from said sale be retained by the purchaser who is the plaintiff amounting to $5.5.5.09
And it is further ordered by the Court that award of execution be granted in favor of the said plaintiff for the balance due him upon his decree after deducting the costs taxes and balance of purchase money aforesaid in the sum of $195.41
And it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant who may be in possession of said premises or any person

Saturday January 23, 1875

who has come in under him pending this suit deliver up the possession thereof to the said purchaser on demand, and the production of the Sheriffs deed to him

1600 John Simon Weis vs Henry Evans and Frederick Weis { confirmation of sale

P 387 Ex 9. No 1818

The Court this day on motion of Attorneys for the plaintiff, having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff, and the sale by him made, upon the order of sale, and the Court being satisfied that such sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided does order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court is satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold in fee simple pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided, and that the proceeds of said sale be distributed as follows; First to pay the costs of this suit taxed to $20 77
second that the taxes and assessments which are now a lien upon the lands be paid amounting to $4 81 Third that the residue of the money arising from said sale be retained by the purchaser who is the plaintiff amounting to $234 40
And it is ordered by the Court that award of execution be granted in favor of the said plaintiff for the balance due him upon his decree, after deducting the costs, taxes and balance of purchase money aforesaid in the sum of $63 83
And it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the defendant who may be in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under him pending this suit deliver up the possession thereof to the said purchaser on demand and the production of the Sheriffs deed to him

× No 1427 Commissioners Union County Ohio vs William Gabriel { Continued

× No 1430 George L. Converse vs Louisa Pedrazzoli and others { Continued

× No 1456 French Garwood, Guardian vs R. B. Truman Guardian { Continued

× No 1461 Jennie Bunch vs Reuben Bunch { Continued

× No 1513 Ira Tanner vs W. W. Minugh { Continued

× No 1553 Michael Doyle vs James Safford and others { Continued

× No 1570 Mary A. Robertson vs Elias Robertson { Continued

× No 1580 William Gabriel vs J. B. Whelpley, Treasurer { Submitted

× No 1597 Lory Ford vs The Atlantic & Great Western Railway Company { This cause is continued on motion of

× No 1614
× No 1624
× No 1633
× No 1639
× No 1642
No 1657
× No 1663
× No 1664
× No 1667
No 1669
No 1687
No 1728
No 1540
No 1521
No 1677

Saturday January 23d 1875=

defendant and ad ds costs. Pf is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs of this term taxed at $

× No 1614 Frances Fields vs J. Smith Alexander and others } Continued

× No 1624 J. C. Kratline vs David A. Ridenour } Continued for service

× No 1633 David Ward vs Frantz M. Cheney } Continued for service

× No 1639 William Liggett vs S. W. Dunbar and others } Continued

× No 1642 Orange Johnson and others vs Perry Buck and others } Continued under former order

No 1647 Angus Clark and others vs Silas Bow } Left off Ex. L. Rec 1824 Pg 383=

× No 1663 Henry A. Zimmer vs The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company } Continued

× No 1664 James A. Winters vs Lucius Webster } Continued

× No 1667 Charles A. Blish vs The Union Central Life Insurance Company and others } Continued

No 1509 Jacob C. Sidle vs James Malvaine } This cause is settled each party to pay his own costs Judgment accordingly

No 1587 Susan P. Adams vs John Adams } dismissed. Judgment against plaintiff for costs

No 1528 Amanda Custer vs Samuel Little } This cause is settled at the costs of the plaintiff. Pf is therefore considered by the court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the costs in this case expended taxed to $

No 1540 Samuel Little vs John Blair } This cause is settled at the costs of the plaintiff. Pf is therefore considered by the court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the costs in this cause expended taxed to $

No 1521 Amaziah Phelps vs Michael Judy } This cause is settled as follows each party is to pay one half the costs. Judgment accordingly

No 1677 Samuel Lyttle vs Peter Wynegar } This day came the parties by their attorneys and the said parties being at issue, thereupon came a jury to wit, David Ashbaugh, L. K. Drake, Daniel Mitchell, George Graham

Saturday January 23rd 1875

J. L. Grandy, John Bennett, Isaac C. Botkin, Levi Longbake, Alpheus Turner, John Newlove, D. D. Mather and John A. Cook - who being duly empannled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties do upon their oaths say. That they find for the defendant. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the costs of this action herein taxed at $

No. 1647 — William M. Murdock Plaintiff vs James McColley, Paulina McColley and Silas Dyer defendants } Foreclosure

This day this cause came on to be heard, and the defendants still failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiff, are adjudged in default, and by consent of plaintiff, this cause is submitted to be tried by the Court, the intervention of a jury being waived, upon the petition and exhibits; and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the statements of the petition are true, and that there is due and owing to the plaintiff William M. Murdock from the defendants on the first Note in the petition set forth, the sum of one thousand two hundred and fifty Eight dollars and thirty Nine cents,

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court, here, that the plaintiff recover of the said James McColley and Silas Dyer the said sum of $1258.39 together with his costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered that in case the said defendants fail to pay said plaintiff said sum of $1258.39 with interest thereon from this date and costs of suit, within ten days from this date, that an order issue to the Sheriff of said Licking County Ohio, commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in the petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, free and clear of the inchoate right of dower of the defendant Paulina McColley, and bring the proceeds of said sale into Court to be applied towards the payment of the first described Note set forth in said petition of plaintiff, and the residue, if any, to be applied upon the second described Note in said petition, and this cause is continued -

X No. 1693 — Orlando Wells vs The Union Central Life Insurance Company and others } continued by consent

X No. 1687 — Arvina D. Cheney and others by &c vs Ellenora L. Ward and others } Continued with leave to defendants to plead in forty days

X No. 1680 — David Ward vs Frank V. Cheney } Continued

X No. 1683 — Adolphus Brand vs John C. Price } Continued by consent

X No. 1674 — Edward Stillings vs Abraham R. Showalter } Continued by agreement

√ No. 1676 — Selmore Snodgrap vs Robert Ferguson and A. J. Ferguson } settled and costs paid

X No. 706 √ X 1556 X 1472

Saturday January 23d 1875

L. B. Stark executor of the estate of
David Partridge deceased plaintiff
vs
Ormond Wells and Orlando Wells defendants

This day January 20th 1875 this cause came on to be heard on the ipues joined by the answer of the defendants setting up usury and the court being fully advised in the premises does find that the plaintiff had received usury as alleged by the defendants in their answer, and it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff as such executor ought to recover the sum of $2750 23 by reason of the premises stated in his petition, and the Court find that there is due from the said defendants to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth the sum of $2750 23
It is therefore considered by the said Court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of $2750 23 the sum so found due as aforesaid, and also his costs herein expended taxed to ff
and it is further ordered and adjudged, that in case the said defendants fail for three days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $2750 23 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order ipsue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered.

X
M. C. Laurence d. d.
vs
L. L. Jerrold Treasurer d. d.

This cause was submitted to the court and held under advisement

✓
No 1706
Glover and Baker S. M. Co
vs
George C. Edwards and others
Settled

✓
John Hubbard Jr
vs
Desdemona Hubbard

This day came the said John Hubbard Jr by A. Stevenson his Attorney, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the Petition and testimony and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to said defendant according to law, that said parties were married as in said petition alleged, and that said defendant did commit adultery with the said Lansdown as in said petition set forth and averred. It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same, and that said plaintiff pay the costs of this suit taxed at ff

X
No 1556
Rachel Collier
vs
John A Wood and others
Continued

X
No 1472
Almira Harris
vs
David Cook
Continued

Saturday January 23. 1875-

X 1488	Wm B. Moore vs The P. C. and St Louis Railway Company	submitted
X 13-90	C W Rosette vs J. J. Thompson et. al.	Continued
X 13-91	Moses Huffines vs Emma Huffines	Continued
✓ 1592	Hiram Kent vs The Commipioners Union County Ohio et. al.	This day came the said plaintiff and dismiped this cause without prejudice and at his cost It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that said defendants recover of said plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed at $

ordered that all matters, caures and motions now pending in this court not disposed of do stand continued until the next term

X No 1725

And thereupon the court adjourned without day

A B Cole Judge

X No 1725

X No 1725

May Term A.D. 1875-

Monday May 3rd A.D. 1875-

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio met at 8 o'clock A.M. in pursuance of law-

Present P. B. Cole Presiding Judge - John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney John C. Price Sheriff and F. J. Arthur clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came, to-wit: Hugh McFadden Jr. Parker J. Wythe, Henry Willis, Jacob Parthemore, James Thompson, James W. Brown, Isaac Cahill, Enos A. Bell, J. E. Marks, C. W. Smith Wm Staley - and because the residue of said Jurors of that Jury do not appear others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the command of the Court, elected anew, to-wit: Rinaldo Moses, Stephen Shirk Samuel Gamble and John H. Price, good and lawful men, whose names are annexed to the panned, according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided. The Court appoint the said James Thompson Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empannelled, sworn and charged retired to their Room, to deliberate; attended by a sworn constable

X
No 1725

William Wagner
vs
John Ellis and others
} In partition

On motion to the Court by William M. Graham petitioners Attorney, leave is given the petitioner to file an amended petition herein - Amended petition filed

X
No 1725

William Wagner
vs
John Ellis and others
} In Partition

On motion to the Court by William M. Graham petitioners Attorney, R. L. Woodburn Esq, is appointed Guardian ad litem for Benjamin Ellis a minor defendant to said suit

X
No 1725

William Wagner
vs
John Ellis and others
} In Partition

And now came the said William Wagner by William M. Graham his attorney, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence, and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof, the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than Forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this Court, and the Court do further find that the said William Wagner has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of Four Ninths (4/9) part of the said premises, and the Court do further find that Charles H. Gordon, Benjamin Ellis, Sarah Reed and the unknown heirs of C. A. Rice deceased are tenants in common in said premises with the said William Wagner in the following proportions to-wit: That the said Charles H. Gordon is seized of Two Ninths (2/9) part thereof - That the said Benjamin Ellis of one Ninth (1/9) part thereof - The said Sarah Reed of one Ninth (1/9) part thereof, and the unknown heirs of the said C. A. Rice of one Ninth (1/9) part thereof - It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of Andrew McCampbell, David Lane, and William Martin partition be made of said lands, in the following proportions to-wit:

So the said William Wagner Four Ninths (4/9) part. To the said Charles A. Gordon two Ninths (2/9) part. To the said Benjamin Ellis one Ninth (1/9) part To the said Sarah Reed one Ninth (1/9) part and to the unknown heirs of C.A. Rice aforesaid one Ninth (1/9) part. And it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

1738

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

Tuesday May 4th 1875.

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock A.M. pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on yesterday

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Elmer Jangstaff for Apault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill James Thompson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against Isaac Barrick for Apault and Battery. Indorsed a true Bill. James Thompson Foreman of Grand Jury. also their other Bill of Indictment against Richard Harris for Larceny. Indorsed. a true Bill. James Thompson Foreman of Grand Jury - And having finished the business before them they were discharged from further attendance as Grand Jurors at the present term of this Court.

1604

Here follows the Jail report of Grand Jury

To the Honorable Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio May 4th 1875. We the Grand Jury visited County Jail as required by law, we find one Pipe out of order that is connected with Female water Closet. one or two Pipes bursted by cold weather connected with the heating Aparatus balance all found in complete order

James Thompson Foreman Grand Jury

1734 Joseph Newlove vs Henry Wolford and others { settled

1735 Joseph Newlove vs Wellington Armstrong and others { settled

1749 Culbertson & Barr vs C. C. Vansant and W. P. Vansant { settled

1752 McDonald and Company vs A. Walters { settled

1691 William Smith vs W. C. Barnett { settled

1662 John Stickney vs William Herd { settled

1705

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

D B Cole

Judge

Wednesday May 5th 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock A. M. pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

✓ Carlisle A. Rea
1738 vs
Benjamin Carter and John Chapman } And now comes the said Carlisle A. Rea and the said Benjamin Carter and John Chapman having still failed to demurer or answer to the petition of the said plaintiff and being in default, this cause was submitted to the Court upon the petition exhibits and testimony, and the court being fully advised in the premises do aper the amount which said plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises at six hundred and fifty two and 30/100 dollars ($652 30) as said plaintiff has in his petition alleged. It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court here that said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of six hundred and fifty two and 30/100 dollars ($652 30) the sum so as aforesaid found to be due together with his costs herein expended taxed to $
and it appearing that the sum of $630 55/100 of the foregoing amount is founded upon obligations bearing interest at the rate of eight per cent and that the remainder is bearing interest at the rate of six per cent, and it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that said sum of $630 55/100 bear interest at eight per cent until paid and the balance bear interest at six per cent until paid

✓ James Fullington. Charles Phellis and W. W. Woods Partners under the firm name of. Fullington. Phellis & Woods
1604 vs
Benjamin F. Filler. Sarah J. Filler his wife and Timothy McGill } confirmation of sale

P 415

This day this cause came on to be heard upon a motion of the plaintiffs to confirm the sale made, and to order a distribution of the proceeds arising from the sale. And the court having carefully examined the proceedings and the sale of the sheriff made upon the order of sale issued herein as required by law, and being satisfied of the legality of said sale and that the same was in all respects made in conformity to the law do confirm the same, and do order the sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands so sold. And the court further orders that the proceeds arising from said sale be distributed as follows 1st the costs in this case amounting to $58 20 2d The taxes now due and payable amounting to $40 00 3d The plaintiffs claims amounting to $629 62 at this date. 4th That the residue of the money amounting to $67 18 arising from said sale be paid to Timothy McGill to be applied upon the Notes set up by him in his answer as owned and held by him

✓ Collier. Smith and company
1705 vs
James A. Thatcher } settled

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P S Cole Judge

Thursday May 6th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Yesterday

X
1687 Orsina L. Cheney and others
vs
Elenora L. Ward and others

This day came the parties and arranged by general consent of all parties in interest that this cause shall stand continued from Term to Term for the period of 18 Months from the 3d of April 1875; and the clerk is directed to so enter the same-

√
1633 David Ward
vs
Frantz M. Cheney

This day came the parties by their attorneys and settled this cause as follows, The said Martin Scheiderer as Guardian has paid by credit upon Note held against said plaintiff and his wife the sum of $135cts And in consideration of which the said plaintiff acknowledges payment in full of all charges against said Frantz M. Cheney to the date of April 3d 1875; and this cause is settled at the equal costs of each party- it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that this cause stand so settled, and that the said Martin Scheiderer as such Guardian pay one half the costs of this action and that the said David Ward pay the other half of the said costs and in default that execution ipsue therefor

√
1680 David Ward
vs
Frank V. Cheney

This day came the parties by their attorneys and settled this cause as follows. The said Martin Scheiderer as Guardian has paid by credit upon Note held against said plaintiff and his wife the sum of $135cts and in consideration of which the said plaintiff acknowledges payment in full of all charges against said Frank V. Cheney to the date of April 3d 1875; and this cause is settled at the equal costs of each party
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that this cause stand so settled, and that the said Martin Scheiderer as such Guardian pay one half the costs of this action and that the said David Ward pay the other half of the said costs and in default that execution ipsue therefor

√
John Blair
vs
Samuel Tittle

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of defendant for leave to file a petition in error in this case, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule said motion at the costs of defendant
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs taxed &c

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

D. B. Cole Judge

X 1722

1684

X 1644

√ 1679

Friday May 7th 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X
1722 Henry C. Burnham
vs
Samantha A. Fry and others } In Partition

On motion to the court by Porter and Sterling attorneys for plaintiff it is ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union, commanding him that by the oaths of George Sinclair, William Winget and H. J. Sager partition be made of said lands in said petition described in the following proportions to wit, To the said Henry C. Burnham one equal one half part and to the said Samantha A. Fry Mary Burnham and Nellie Burnham together one equal one half part, and it is further ordered that said Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

W. D. Woods
vs
1684 George Davis, Isabella Davis Jr. A. Saxton & Co
Dunn and Shipley, R. L. Woodburn and Margaret Davis } Confirmation of sale P. 430

This day this cause came on to be further heard, on the motion of plaintiffs attorneys to confirm the sale made in this case, and the Court having carefully examined the proceedings and sale of the Sheriff upon the order of sale issued herein, as required by law and being satisfied of the legality of said sale, and that the same was in all respects made in conformity to law, do confirm the same and order the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold
And on motion to distribute the proceeds arising from said sale it is ordered by the Court that the same be distributed as follows; 1st to the payment of costs in this case amounting to $26.27, 2nd to the payment of taxes in the sum of $42.12, 3rd That the residue amounting to $3131.61 be applied on the claim of the plaintiffs set forth in their petition - And it is further ordered by the Court that the defendants who may be in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under them or either of them, pending this suit, deliver up possession thereof, to said purchaser on demand and production of the said Sheriffs deed for said premises

X
1644 Lafayette Whetsel
vs
The C. C. C. & Ind. Ry Company } This day this cause came on to be heard upon motion filed herein by plaintiff to strike out of the answer of defendant herein redundant and irrelevant matter as set forth in said motion on consideration whereof the court doth overrule said motion, to which ruling of the Court plaintiff excepts.

↓
1679 John L. Fleek
vs
Frederick D. Fleek and others } In Partition P428

This day came the parties to this cause and on motion of plaintiffs counsel the sale and proceedings being found regular and lawful, are confirmed and approved and the Sheriff of this County is authorized and ordered to execute to George

Friday May 7th 1875

Ruehlen the purchaser of the lands in said proceedings described a deed in fee simple conveying to him the said lands according to law; it is further ordered and decreed that out of the proceeds of said sale in the Sheriffs hands he pay the taxes if any remain unpaid for the year 1874, including $11.85 paid by F. B. Fleek - also that he pay the costs of this proceeding including an attorney fee of $15.16 3 of which $10.16 3 is to be paid R. L. Woodburn and Piper who represent the largest proportion of the title - $5.00 to D. W. Ayers and Porter and Sterling - also that he pay to the Administrator of R. L. Broom deceased the sum of $46.76 3 in full satisfaction of the claims set up in his answer - also that he pay Samuel B. Woodburn the sum of $4.85 in full satisfaction of the claim set up in his answer -
also that out of said sum in his hands he pay Margaret Fleek as part of her dower claim the sum of $4.13-16 and the balance he pay to the said heirs of John Fleek deceased in the following proportions viz - John L. Fleek one seventh, Frederick B. Fleek one seventh, Sarah A. Clevenger one seventh, Alpheus Fleek one seventh, Wm A. A. Fleek one seventh, Thadeus L. Fleek one seventh, Martha Jane Fleek, James P. Fleek and Ney Fleek each one twenty first part -
It is further ordered and decreed that said sheriff take of said purchaser Notes and Mortgage to said heirs and to Margaret Fleek for the 2d and 3d payments of said purchase money as follows, to said widow for her claim as dower the sum of $4.15.16 out of the 2d and alike out of the 3d payment with interest, and the balance of said two payments to said heirs of John Fleek deceased, in the same proportions as the first payment as herein before provided and deliver the said Notes to them on demand - And it appearing that Alpheus Fleek has departed to parts of the country unknown or possibly may be dead, by consent of parties the said Sheriff is ordered to pay his share of the money and Notes to _____ who is appointed a trustee to invest the same for his use to be paid to him on demand with interest, said Trustee to give security to the satisfaction of the clerk of the court -

X
1139 Felton Bancroft & co
vs
E. Hammond and others } P 311

By consent of parties this cause is continued, and the parties agree that the rent of the property in the amended decree may be collected by the defendant E. Hammond up to the first day of April 1875; the rent from that date is to be collected by the Receiver herein appointed, and held for the benefit of the plaintiffs to be applied on their decree, and by consent R. A. Hutchinson is appointed a Receiver to receive and hold the said rents in accordance with the aforesaid arrangement -

76 Hullington, Garwood and company
vs
Michael Davis } settled costs paid

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

R B Cole Judge

X 1732
X 1723
√ 1430
√ 1597

Saturday May 8th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

X
1732 Harrison Dills and others vs Stuart Robinson and others

The defendant Stuart Robinson by his attorneys Porter and Sterling enters his appearance in this cause and waives notice, and the plaintiff is allowed twenty days to amend his petition and make further parties in the cause is continued

V
1430 George L. Converse vs Louisa Pedrazzoli and others

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, answer and reple and the testimony, and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due the plaintiff the sum of six hundred and thirty two dollars ($632.00) on the cause of action set forth in the petition, and that the same is a lien by reason of the Mortgage mentioned in the petition on the following described real estate, situate in Union County Ohio to wit; Part of survey No 12189, in the Virginia Military district; beginning at two maples north west corner of Edward Smith survey No 9940, thence N. 12° W. one hundred poles to a beach, thence south 78° west one hundred and sixty poles to two maples, thence North 78° E. one hundred and sixty poles to the beginning, excepting twenty five acres off the west end of said tract, leaving a balance of seventy five acres of land, being the premises conveyed by Andrew J. Patterson to said defendant by deed dated October 16, 1871.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said defendant Louisa Pedrazzoli pay to the plaintiff the said sum of six hundred and thirty two dollars, and accruing interest at the rate of Eight per cent per annum within ten days from this date to wit, May 8, 1875, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ and in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union county Ohio as master commissioner requiring him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell according to law, the said lands and tenements and that he pay out of the proceeds of such sale 1st the taxes if any due on said lands and the cost in this case 2d that he pay to plaintiff the said amount so found due as aforesaid and accruing interest and the residue to said defendant Louisa Pedrazzoli

X
1723 Katharine Langstaff vs William A. Langstaff and others

On motion of Robinsons and Piper attorneys for the said William A. Langstaff, and it appearing to the court that the said defendant is of the age of fourteen years it is ordered that R. L. Woodburn be and he is hereby appointed Guardian for the suit for said William A. Langstaff, and thereupon came the said R. L. Woodburn Guardian for the suit and accepted said appointment

V
1597 Lory Ford vs The Atlantic & Great Western Railroad company

This day to wit May 7th 1875 this

Saturday May 8th 1875

day came the parties by their attorneys. And thereupon came a Jury Dwit. R. B. Thompson. John Hudson. J. M. Robinson. John Liggett. C. Holmes Gregory Hawley. David Herron Regular Jurors, Levi Longbrake. D. W. H. Durborow. Samuel Gambol, George Snodgrap and Benjamin Grubbs tales Jurors, who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the ipues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say, that they find for the defendant, and thereupon the plaintiff gave notice of his intention to apply to the Court for a new trial in said cause

1664 James S. Winters vs Lucus Webster }

This day came the parties and settled this cause upon the following terms to wit: That judgment should go against defendant for the sum of ten dollars and the costs of this action.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of ten dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

1721 R. D. Connell vs V. F. Collier and others }

And now the said parties by their attorneys, and thereupon this action came on to be heard upon the petition of the said R. D. Connell and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof it is ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said Justice of the Peace be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs, and it is further ordered that execution be awarded from this court to carry into effect the judgment of the said Justice as if the same had been rendered in this court

1737 McMurry, Fisher and Company vs Henry Hoover } settled

1758 Wright & Harriman vs Adam Blue and others { settled

1720 Charles Oerdorf and wife vs J. L. Beward and wife { settled

1701 Francis M. Cowgill vs Joseph Bonham and others }

This day came the plaintiff and dismissed this action without prejudice at his own costs
It is therefore considered that the defendants recover of plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

1044 Andrew A. Spicer Admr vs William Sprague and others } Continued for service

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

P. B. Cole Judge

1779 ✓ 1757 ✓ 1754 ✓ 256 ✓ X 254 X

Monday May 10. 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on Saturday

1779 Edgar Flood vs J.A. Flood } Copyright

This day came the plaintiff by Thomas E. Duncan his attorney, and thereupon came L. Piper one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed, and now produced in open Court and duly proven waived the issuing and service of proceep, and entered appearance of said defendant herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff, as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $1807.20

It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $1807.20 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of eight per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

1757 William Gabriel vs A.B. Witter and E. Witter } settled

1754 A. Walker vs N. E. Patrick and others } settled

256 The State of Ohio vs Richard Harris }

The defendant Richard Harris this day was arraigned, and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that he be imprisoned in the jail of this county for ten days, and that he pay a fine to the State of Ohio in the sum of twenty five dollars and costs of prosecution

254 The State of Ohio vs Isaac Barrick }

The defendant Isaac Barrick this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged. Thereupon this cause is continued for sentence

The State of Ohio vs Isaac Barrick } Recognizance

This day came in open court and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels, lands and tenements. If default be made in the following condition to wit, That the said Isaac Barrick be and appear before the court of common Pleas of said County of Union on the second Monday of the next Term

Monday May 10, 1875

thereof (that being October 4th 1875) & answer unto the State of Ohio for Assault and Battery and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force.

The State of Ohio
vs
Asbury Gardner } Recognizance

This day came in open Court Asbury Gardner and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred dollars, to be levied of his goods and chattels lands and tenements, if default be made in the condition following, to wit, that the said Asbury Gardner be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the second Monday of the next term thereof, (that being the 4th day of October 1875) & answer unto the State of Ohio for Furnishing intoxicating Liquors to a person in the habit of getting intoxicated, and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court, and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

1725

William Wagner
vs
John Ellis and others } 1718

On motion to the court by William M. Graham counsel for said petitioner, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners, heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law, it is ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and thereupon came the said Charles H. Gordon and elected to take the said premises at the said valuation and it appearing to the court that the said Charles H. Gordon has paid to the said William Wagner, Benjamin Ellis, Sarah Reed and the unknown heirs of C. A. Rice their respective shares of said valuation, it is ordered that the said premises be adjudged to the said Charles H. Gordon, and the Sheriff is ordered to execute and deliver a deed in fee simple to the said Charles H. Gordon for the premises aforesaid, and it is further ordered that the costs of this action and June Taxes of 1875 to the amount to $5.52 and including a counsel fee to the said William M. Graham of Thirty five and 25/100 dollars be paid by the said parties in the proportions to wit,
The said William Wagner one four Ninths (4/9) part, The said Charles H. Gordon one Two Ninths (2/9) part, The said Benjamin Ellis one Ninth (1/9) part, The said Sarah Reed one Ninth (1/9) part, The said unknown heirs of C. A. Rice one Ninth (1/9) part, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

1756

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Beale
Judge

Tuesday May 11th 1875

This morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

255 The State of Ohio vs Elmer Langstaff } Assault & Battery

The defendant Elmer Langstaff this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, say he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged. Thereupon came the parties by their attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the court, and thereupon came a jury to wit, R. B. Thompson, John Hudson, John McCombs, J. M. Robinson, John Lysett O. Holmes, Gregory Haloley, Daniel Herron, Regular Jurors, George Hamilton Job Dillon, Lewis Bland, and R. L. Slimmel tales Jurors, who being duly impaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment.

It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that he pay a fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of five dollars and costs of prosecution

X 1718 Julia A. Converse vs Edward D. Spain and others }

And now come the said parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, answers and evidence and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the court do find that the said defendant Benjamin Penrose holds a Mortgage as he has in his said answer and cross petition set forth and that the same became a lien on said premises in said answer set forth on the 26th day of August A. D. 1869, at 9¼ oclock A. M. on which said Mortgage there is now due to the said Benjamin Penrose the sum of $1294 00 dollars from the said Defendant Edward D. Spain.

It is therefore considered by the said court here that the said defendant Benjamin Penrose recover of the said Defendant Edward Spain the said sum of Twelve hundred and Ninety four and 00/100 dollars the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein taxed &c.

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant Edward Spain fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said defendant Benjamin Penrose the said sum of $1294 00 with costs, of such an order issue to the Sheriff of the said County of Union for the time being as special master commissioner of this court, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said defendant Benjamin Penrose's cross petition described, to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and as to all other matters this cause is continued.

V 1756, J. D. Henson vs J. Sloniters { Leave to file petition in 30 days and cause continued

And thereupon the court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

P. B. Slade Jr. Pro

Wednesday May 12th 1875

This Morning the court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1513 Ira Tanner vs W. W. Minnich } This day came the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit: John M Combs, Regular Juror, D G Crop, W. C. Malin Templeton Tiffett, George E. Shipley, Joseph Smith, John Weidman Jacob Houser, Delmore Snodgrap, J. A. Henderson, Orlando Wells Lewis Bland tales jurors, who being empaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths do say that they find for the plaintiff, and they assess the amount of indebtedness due from defendant to plaintiff in the premises at six dollars and thirty cents.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of defendant said sum of six dollars and thirty cents and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

1647

X 1089 John Jolliff vs D. F. Parsons and others } This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion on file of defendants to make John Sidle a party and bring him in by process, and it appearing that John Sidle was made a party by the plaintiffs petition and a summons has been returned that he was not found in this county, the court overrules the motion so filed, to which ruling the defendants except.

1727

X 1088 Jacob C. Sidle vs D. F. Parsons and others } This day came on this cause to be heard on the motion on file of defendants to make John Sidle a party and bring him in by process, and it appearing that John Sidle was made a party by the plaintiffs petition, and a summons has been returned, that he was not found in the county, the court overrules the motion so filed to which ruling the defendants except.

X 232 J. C. Hanover and S. W. Brown vs William Wharry, C. H. Cady and P. Safford } Continued

X 608 John Swan and others vs Henry Williams and others } Continued

244

X 1667 Charles H. Bliss vs The Union Central Life Insurance Company et. al. } The motion of defendant herein filed is by the Court overruled. to which ruling defendant excepts

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8 oclock tomorrow Morning

Thursday May 13. 1875

This Morning the Court met at 8 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1647 Wm M. Murdock vs James McColly and others } 441

This day came on this cause to be heard on the prop petition of Angus Clark, Susan Clark, Ellen Clark, Lester Clark, and Henry Clark, heirs of Rachel Clark deceased, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and neither party demanding a jury the Court do find there is due the said heirs of Rachel Clark deceased, on the Mortgage set forth in said answer the sum of Five hundred and eighty seven dollars and eight cents, which is secured by Mortgage on said lands in the petition described, duly executed May 26 1871, and recorded in the record of Mortgages of Union County Ohio on the 9th of June 1871, and is a lien on said lands prior to the lien of the plaintiff.

It is therefore ordered and decreed that the said Silas Dow pay said sum of Five hundred and eighty seven and $^{08}/_{100}$ dollars and costs of suit within five days to said heirs and in default of such payment that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County requiring him to appraise advertise and sell according to law said premises and pay said claim, and report his proceedings to this court,

1727 John D. Lytle and David Lytle Partners in business under the firm name and Style of D. Lytle and Company vs Richard Davis and Jonathan Cheney }

This day came the plaintiff D. Lytle and Company by their Attorneys Robinsons and Piper and the said Richard Davis and Jonathan Cheney having failed to answer or demurer to the petition of the said D. Lytle and company and the court being fully advised in the premises, it is considered by the court here that the said plaintiff ought to recover against the said Richard Davis and Jonathan Cheney the sum of one hundred and eighty dollars ($180^{00}) so demanded in their petition and the further sum of 3^{26}/_{100}$ which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition - It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover of the said Richard Davis and Jonathan Cheney the said sum of 183^{26}/_{100}$ the sum so as aforesaid found due and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed $ff

244 The State of Ohio vs Lewis F. Blue }

The defendant Lewis F. Blue this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged.

And thereupon came the parties by their attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the Court and thereupon came a Jury to wit, R. B. Thompson, John Hudson, John McCombs, J. M. Robinson John Liggett, Daniel Herron, Regular Jurors, Peleg Cranston, A. D. Doolittle, Lewis Bland, Asa Kilbourn, George Hamilton, A. J. Thompson

tales Jurors who being duly empaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say that the defendant is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

And thereupon the court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

Friday May 14th 1875

This Morning the court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

× No 1 State of Ohio vs James Green { Continued for sentence

× No 39 State of Ohio vs Joseph Price { Continued for sentence

✓ No 165 State of Ohio vs Gideon Draper and Angelvie Simmers { Left off

× No 172 State of Ohio vs Joseph Robbins { Continued for sentence

✓ No 211 State of Ohio vs Charles C. Vansant { Left off

× No 227 State of Ohio vs Frank Lytle, George Cisco and Elijah Cisco { Continued

× No 229 State of Ohio vs William B. Dunfee { Continued for sentence

✓ No 231 State of Ohio vs Stephen Burkam { This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolli Prosequi on the above indictment

× No 232 State of Ohio vs George W. Brown { Continued

✓ No 239 State of Ohio vs Calvin Bowen { Left off

✓ No 243 State of Ohio vs Leroy Wynegar { This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment

1759 Daniel Johnston vs Howard S. Shaffer, Werter H. Shaffer and H. M. Haines { And now come the said Daniel Johnston and it appearing to the court by the return of the Sheriff that said Werter H. Shaffer and H. M. Haines defendants in the above case have been duly and personally served with summons in the above action, but that said Howard S. Shaffer has not been notified, and the said Werter H. Shaffer and H. M. Haines still failing to demur or answer to the petition of said plaintiff. It is considered ordered

× No 246

× No 247

× No 248

× No 249

× No 250

× No 251

× No 253

✓ No 1624

Friday May 14, 1875

and adjudged, that the said Daniel Johnson ought to recover of the said Worler H. Shaffer and H. M. Haines the said sum of Five hundred and fifty dollars so demanded in his petition together with the sum of Fifty and 32/100 dollars which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition.
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that said Daniel Johnson recover against the said Worler H. Shaffer and the said H. M. Haines the sum of Six hundred and 32/100 dollars, being the amount of principal and interest so found due together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed at $

× No 246 State of Ohio vs Samuel Cook } Continued for service

× No 247 State of Ohio vs Samuel Cook } Continued for service

× No 248 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

× No 249 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

× No 250 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

× No 251 State of Ohio vs Samuel Cook } Continued for service

× No 253 State of Ohio vs Thomas Harris } Continued

✓ No 1624 J. P. Watkins vs David A. Ridenour

And now comes the said J. P. Watkins by D. W. Ayers his Attorney, and the said defendant still failing to answer or demurer to said petition it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, and the Court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said plaintiff on the Note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of one hundred and thirty dollars and Nineteen cents ($130[19])
It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of one hundred and thirty dollars and Nineteen cents ($130[19]) the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of one hundred and thirty dollars and Nineteen cents so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law. And apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the sum so found due with costs of suit

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 8½ o'clock tomorrow Morning

D. B. Cole Judge

Saturday May 15= 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 o'clock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1731 Lawrence Barlow Administrator of Asher Carter deceased vs Alfred Stout

And now comes the said Lawrence Barlow by Cameron and Kennedy his attorneys, and the said defendant still failing to answer or demurer to said petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff as such Administrator is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, and the court after hearing the evidence do find that there is due to the said plaintiff, as such Administrator on the first Note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of one hundred and forty six and $\frac{5}{100}$ dollars, and that on the 21st day of February 1876, there will be a further sum due upon the second Note mentioned in said petition and secured by said Mortgage of one hundred and thirty six and $\frac{66}{100}$ dollars with interest from February 21st 1874.

It is therefore considered by the court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of one hundred and forty six and $\frac{5}{100}$ dollars the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $\frac{}{}$ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for three days from ~~the close~~ this date of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of one hundred and forty six and $\frac{5}{100}$ dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law And apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit And as to the said Note not due this cause is continued, and the said Sheriff after paying the said amount due and costs, is hereby ordered to bring the residue of said purchase money into court to await the further action of the court thereon

1733 Leonard Blish vs S. M. Dockum and L. A. Dockum

This day came the plaintiff Leonard Blish by his attorneys and the said S. M. Dockum and L. A. Dockum having failed to demur or answer to the Petition of the said Leonard Blish, and the court being fully advised in the premises, it is therefore considered that the said Leonard Blish ought to recover against the said S. M. Dockum as principal and L. A. Dockum as surety the sum of Four hundred and forty dollars so demanded in his petition and the sum of 66^{03} which the Court finds due as interest on the said Note in said petition described It is therefore considered that the said Leonard Blish plaintiff recover of the said S. M. Dockum as principal and the said L. A. Dockum as surety the sum of 506^{03} the sum so as aforesaid found due and also his cost in this behalf expended taxed 10 ff

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock Monday Morning

D. B. Cale
Jas Ally

Monday May 17. 1875.

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same Officers present as on Saturday

X
1742 Thomas B. Park
vs
David Park and others } In Partition

This day on motion of Lawrence and Hamilton Attorneys for plaintiff. L. Piper an Attorney of this court was appointed by the court, Guardian ad litem for the infant defendants Richard T. Watson, Eva May Garwood, Park Garwood and Poe Garwood, and said L. Piper then appeared, accepted said appointment and filed an answer in behalf of said infants

X
1742 Thomas B. Parke
vs
David Parke, Kelurah T. Cranston, and Charles Cranston her Husband, Sarah Harper and David Harper her Husband, Matilda Bale and James Bale her Husband, Nancy H. Hall, and John Hall her Husband Wm T. Watson, D. B. Watson, Kelurah Watson, Eliza Ann Watson, Richard T. Watson, Eva May Garwood, Park Garwood, Poe Garwood and David Watson } order for Partition.

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff, on consideration whereof, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court, that due notice hath been given more than forty days prior to this date of the pendency and demand of said petition as required by law, and that the plaintiff hath a legal right and estate in the premises described in the petition and as therein set forth, and no sufficient reason reason why partition should not be made It is ordered that by the oaths of Elephas Burnham, D. A. Woodworth and George Caldwell judicious and disinterested freeholders of the vicinity partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit,
To the plaintiff Thomas B. Parke one Equal eighth part, To the said David Parke one Equal eighth part, To the said Kelurah T. Cranston one Equal eighth part, To the said Sarah Harper one equal eighth part, To the said Matilda Bale one Equal eighth part, To the said Nancy H. Hall one Equal eighth part, To the said William T. Watson, D. B. Watson, Kelurah T. Watson, Eliza Ann Watson and Richard T. Watson each one Equal fortieth part, To the said Eva May Garwood, Park Garwood, Poe Garwood each one Equal twenty fourth part of the same And it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the sheriff of Union County, commanding him to cause partition to be made accordingly, and that he make return of his proceedings under such order at this term of this court

And thereupon the court adjourned until 8½ oclock tomorrow Morning

D B Cole Judge

Tuesday May 18th 1875

This Morning the Court met at 8½ oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1741 J. Greenleaf and Company
vs
A. M. Haines and W. A. Shaffer late partners doing busines under the firm name of Haines and Shaffer } This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendants still failing to answer or demur but being in default and the court being advised in the premises do find that there is due the plaintiff from the defendants the sum of $523.34 It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do recover of the defendants the said sum of $523.34 so as aforesaid found to be their due and their costs herein expended taxed &c

1740 V. T. Hills
vs
A. M. Haines and W. A. Shaffer late partners doing busines under the firm name of Haines and Shaffer } This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendants still failing to answer or demur but being in default, and the court being advised in the premises do find that there is due the plaintiff from the defendants the sum of $352.10 It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do recover of the defendants the said sum of $352.10 so as aforesaid found to be their due and their costs herein expended taxed &c

1765 Lucinda J. Jones
vs
Samuel Vangordon } On Note and Mortgage } This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling her attorneys, and the said defendant Samuel Vangordon stiff failing to answer or demur to the petition of plaintiff it is considered that said plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant on said Note and Mortgage the sum of Three hundred and fifty six dollars as plaintiff in her petition has demanded.
It is therefore considered that said plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of Three hundred and fifty six dollars, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed &c.
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant fail for ten days to pay to plaintiff said sum of $356.00 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of this county commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition ~~to be~~ described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and that said Sheriff bring the proceeds of said sale into Court subject to the ~~further~~ future order of the court as to the distribution of the same

X 1769

No 1768 James Fullington, Charles Phellis and W. W. Woods
Partners under the firm name of Fullington, Phellis and Woods - Plaintiffs
vs
David D. Shearer and Margaret Shearer his wife, John H. Rust
D. O. Rust, A. Rust, C. D. King and D. K. Clint
Partners in business under the firm name of Rust King and Company and A. C. Pierson
Defendants
8 per cent interest

Tuesday May 18, 1875-

This day this cause came on to be heard by the court upon the petition of the plaintiffs exhibits and testimony, the defendants all being in default for plea answer or demurer, and said cause was submitted to the court by the plaintiffs, on consideration whereof the court finds the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the defendant Daniel D. Shearer to the plaintiffs on said indebtedness, at this date the sum of Four thousand two hundred eighty two and 66/100 dollars which sum the court finds to be a lien on the premises in the petition described to wit, on In Lot Numbered Ninety five (95) in the Village of Marysville Union County Ohio.
It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the defendant Daniel D. Shearer within five days from this date, pay to said plaintiffs the said sum of $4282.66 with interest thereon from this date at the rate of Eight per cent per annum until paid, and also the costs of this action taxed to $ and in default thereof that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio for the time being, commanding him to proceed to appraise, advertise and sell the said lands and tenements in the petition mentioned and hereinbefore described as upon judgments at law, and report his proceedings in the premises according to law.
It appearing to the court that lands and tenements of the defendant A. C. Pierson are also Mortgaged to plaintiffs to secure the same indebtedness and that the property embraced in either of the said Mortgages is not sufficient to pay the indebtedness to plaintiffs. It is ordered by the court that whatever sum of money shall be received by plaintiffs on this decree shall also be credited upon the lien in favor of plaintiffs against the lands of said defendant A. C. Pierson.
The defendants Rust King and company asked and obtained leave to file Answer. and this cause is continued.

X
1769

James Fullington, Charles Phellis and Woods
Partners under the firm name of Fullington, Phellis and Woods, Plaintiffs
vs
A. C. Pierson and S. A. Pierson his wife, John H. Rust
D. W. Rust, A. Rust C. S. King and D. H. King
Partners in business under the firm name of
Rust King and company and Daniel D. Shearer, Defendants } Interest 8 per cent

This day this cause came on to be heard by the court upon the petition of the plaintiffs, exhibits and testimony, the defendants all being in default for plea, answer or demurer, and said cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiffs. On consideration whereof the court finds the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the defendant A. C. Pierson to the plaintiffs on said indebtedness at this date the sum of Four thousand two hundred and eighty two and 66/100 dollars, which sum the Court finds to be a lien on the premises in the petition described to wit, West one half of In Lots Numbered one hundred and fifty five (155) and one hundred and fifty eight (158) and all of In lot Number one hundred and fifty (150) in the Village of Marysville Union County Ohio
It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the defendant A. C. Pierson within five days from this date pay to said plaintiffs the said sum of $4282.66 with interest thereon from this date at the rate of eight per cent per annum until paid and also the costs of this suit taxed to $ and in default thereof that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio for the time being commanding

him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the said lands and tenements in the petition mentioned and hereinbefore described as upon judgment at law, and report his proceedings in the premises according to law-
It appearing to the Court that lands and tenements of the defendant Daniel D. Shearer are also Mortgaged to plaintiffs to secure the same indebtedness and that the property embraced in either of said Mortgages is not sufficient to pay the indebtedness to plaintiffs. It is ordered by the court that whatever sum of money shall be received by plaintiffs on this decree, shall also be credited upon the lien in favor of plaintiffs, against the lands of said defendant Daniel D. Shearer-
The defendants Rusk King and Company asked and obtained leave to file answer, and this cause is continued-

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 oclock tomorrow Morning

P B Cole Judge

1748

1774

Wednesday May 19. 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1775 C. & G. Cooper and Company vs Lemuel Myers and Elenora Myers his wife

Monday May 10th 1875. This cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant Lemuel Myers to have plaintiffs petition dismissed for want of verification which motion was overruled by the court, and said defendant then obtained leave to and filed his answer,

1747 B. W. Lewis and Son vs B. Carter { settled

1766 Goldman, Thornhill and company vs Alex. Gandy and others { settled

1736 Thomas Stillings vs John Perry { Note and Mortgage

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and the answer of defendant, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiff ought to recover of defendant the sum of Three hundred and fifty Nine and 78/100 dollars upon the Note and Mortgage set forth in plaintiffs petition.
It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendant said sum of Three hundred and fifty Nine and 78/100 dollars so found his due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant fail for five days to pay to the said plaintiff said sum of $359.78 with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding

1753

1644

1390

Wednesday May 19, 1875

him to cause said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised, and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered

1748 G. W. Montgomery vs Alex. Gandy and Elias Robertson } This day this cause came on to be heard, upon the petition of the plaintiff, and was argued by counsel, and the defendant having failed to answer or demur to said petition, It is therefore considered by the Court that the said G. W. Montgomery recover of the said Alex. Gandy and Elias Robertson the sum of $257 cts including interest as prayed for in said petition and costs of this suit

1774 J. S. Graham vs Henry S. Stiles } This day this cause came on to be heard on the petition of the plaintiff and was argued by counsel, and the defendant having failed to answer or demur to said petition - the Court finds there is due to said plaintiff the sum of $242 cts It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff J. S. Graham recover of the defendant Henry S. Stiles the sum of $242 cts principal and interest as prayed for in said petition and costs of this suit,

1753 Cubberson and Barr vs Robert McElroy and Sterling McElroy } This day this cause came on to be heard and was argued by counsel, and the defendant having failed to answer or demur to said petition, and the Court being advised in the premises finds that since the commencement of this action the defendants have paid on said claim the sum of $90 cts and that there is still due the plaintiffs the sum of $55 32. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of said defendants Robert McElroy and Sterling McElroy the sum of $55 32 and costs of this suit.

1644 Lafayette Wheteel vs The C. C. C. & I. Ry Company } This cause is settled at defendants costs except the witness fees before the Justice, which fees the plaintiff is to pay. No record

1390 David Mulford vs Albert Galloway and others } This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys and dismissed this case without prejudice to a new action. It is therefore considered that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

D. B. Cole Jr. Cl.

Thursday May 20th 1875-

This Morning the court met at 9oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1667 Charles H Blish vs The Union Central life Insurance Company and others } This day came the parties and they being at ipsue. thereupon came a jury to wit:- R. B. Thompson. John Hudson. John McCombs. J. M. Robinson John Liffelt. Daniel Herron. Gregory Hawley. A Holmes Regular Jurors. John Coder. George Wilber. Robert Graham. Josiah Morelock Tales Jurors- who to speak the truth upon the ipsues joined being duly empanneled and sworn do upon their oaths say. they find for the plaintiff. and apes the amount which said plaintiff ought to recover by reason of the premises at eighty eight and 50/100 dollars-

It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of eighty eight- and 50/100 dollars the amount so as aforesaid found due by the verdict of the jury

1784 Farmers Bank of Union County Ohio vs James C. McRary and others } Cognovit This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came A. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this Court, who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of process, And entered appearance of said defendant herein and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney confesses that there is due from said defendant to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $274 39/100

It is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendant the said sum of $274 39/100 so as aforesaid confessed to be due, together with costs of suit herein, to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of Eight per cent per annum. And by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal, and all right to file a petition in error are waived

1456 French Garwood Guardian &c vs R. B. Thurman Guardian &c } This cause is continued at the costs of plaintiffs. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiffs the costs of this term taxed at $

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9oclock tomorrow Morning

R B Cole Judge

Friday May 21st 1875

This Morning the Court met at 9 oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1785 Tuscarawas Valley Bank vs Wm A. Pittinger, John H. Campbell and Philip Getzman } Coprocit

This day came the plaintiff by Porter and Sterling Attorneys, and thereupon came D. T. Carpenter one of the attorneys of record of this court who by virtue of a warrant of Attorney duly executed and now produced in open Court and duly proven, waived the issuing and service of proces, and entered appearance of said defendants herein, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, confesses that there is due from said defendants to said plaintiff as is alleged in said plaintiffs petition the sum of $412.92

Pd is therefore considered that said plaintiff do recover of said defendants the said sum of $412.92 so as aforesaid confesed to be due, together with costs of suit herein to be taxed and with interest to be computed at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, and by virtue of said warrant of Attorney all errors are released, and all right of appeal and all right to file a petition in error are waived

D. T. Carpenter vs Jasper M. Hubbard and Louisa Hubbard } confirmation of sale

On motion of the said plaintiff by Carpenter and Rodney his attorneys, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 17th day of April A.D. 1875 to D. G. Beardsley on an order of sale issued in this cause and dated the 12th day of March A.D. 1875, and the Court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied, that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser the said D. G. Beardsley a deed for the lands and tenements so sold. Pd is further ordered that the said Sheriff shall out of the proceeds of said sale pay first the costs in this action taxed at $49.63 second the taxes on said land amounting to $9.91 Third the sum of $445.50 to the plaintiffs herein, fourth $65.31 to John Hilts the owner and holder of the last note described in plaintiffs petition, fifth the said Sheriff is ordered to pay all the residue of the proceeds of said sale to Jasper M. Hubbard the defendant

1683 Adolphus Brand vs John C. Price } [illegible]

This day came the parties and submitted this cause to the Court, neither party requiring a Jury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the defendant on the issues joined in the premises, and do find the right of possession and property was in the defendant, and the value of the property is Three hundred and fifty dollars, and the defendant is damaged in said sum for the unlawful detention thereof, and the Court finding there is ten dollars unpaid on said Piano, the defendant with the consent of Amos D. Leib consents that said ten dollars be deducted from said Three hundred and fifty dollars leaving $340.

Pd is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that defendant

Friday May 21st 1875

recover of the plaintiff the said sum of Three hundred and forty dollars due as aforesaid and his costs herein expended taxed D $

1722 Henry C. Burnham vs Samantha A. Fry and others } In Partition.

On motion to the Court by Porter and Sterling attorneys for plaintiff, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same having been examined by the Court and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law, it is hereby ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed; and that said Henry C. Burnham hold in severalty the part and premises so set off and assigned to him, and that the said Samantha A. Fry, Mary Burnham and Nellie Burnham hold jointly the part and premises so set off and assigned to them, and it is further ordered and considered that the costs of this action including a counsel fee to Porter and Sterling $ to be paid by said parties in the following proportion to wit: by the said Henry C. Burnham one half part and by the said Samantha A. Fry, Mary Burnham and Nellie Burnham together one half part, and that in default of said payments that execution issue therefor

1570 Mary A. Robertson vs Elias Robertson } Ex. F. No 1772

This day this cause is dismissed without prejudice to a new action for want of prosecution of the case

1751 George D. Shipley vs Joel Armstrong and the Union Building and Loan Association of Marysville O. }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, exhibits and testimony of the plaintiff, and the defendants being in default for answer or demurrer and neither party demanding a jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff. On consideration whereof the Court finds the allegations of the plaintiff in his petition set forth to be true, and that there is due from the defendant Joel Armstrong to the plaintiff the sum of Twenty one and 60/100 dollars, which sum the Court finds to be a lien upon the lands and tenements in the petition described.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant Joel Armstrong within five days from this date pay to said plaintiff the said sum of $21.60 and also his costs of suit taxed at $ and in default thereof that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of Union County Ohio, for the time being, commanding him to appraise, advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition described as upon judgments at law and to report his proceedings herein to the next term of this court

The defendant the Union Building and Loan Association asked and obtained leave to file answer within thirty days. and cause continued-

1505 D. Thompson and others vs E. M. Flint and T. E. Frye The

Friday, May 21, 1875

death of Parties Wheeler one of the plaintiffs being suggested to the Court, W. D. Dean Administrator de bonnes non of said Parties Wheeler deceased is made party plaintiff, and leave is given to file reply and reply filed

1647 William M. Murdock vs James McColly and others } 441 & 45-6

This day came the plaintiff and on his motion the sale made herein is set aside, and it appearing that James McColly and his wife have not been served with any proces, and the decree taken herein was improvident taken, It is therefore ordered that said decree in favor of the plaintiff be and the same is hereby set aside and leave granted to plaintiff to ipue new summons for James McColly and his wife Paulina McColly and this cause is continued

1693 Orlando Wells vs The Union Central life Insurance company }

On motion of the defendants leave is granted to Joseph E. Heffelfinger to file a general demurer on his behalf and the Insurance Company file its amended answer this day which is accordingly done, whereupon the defendants made showing for continuance on account of sickness in the family of Joseph E. Heffelfinger, whereupon this cause is continued at the cost of the defendants

1763 McKee, Weakley and company vs A. M. Haines }

And now comes the said McKee, Weakley and company by their Attorneys Robinsons and Piper and the said A. M. Haines having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said McKee, Weakley and company, it is considered that the said McKee Weakley and Company ought to recover the said sum of $205 34 so demanded in their petition, together with the sum of $ 4 40 which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition- It is therefore considered that the said McKee, Weakley and Company recover against the said A. M. Haines the said sum of $209 45 together with their costs in and about their suit expended taxed at $

1573 Edward R. Thompson vs Rodney Randall and Edward L. Randall } This cause is settled P 412

1427 Commissioners Union County Ohio vs William Gabriel } Continued

1228 Job Fitzpatrick and others vs Allen Haynes and others } Continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until 9 o'clock tomorrow Morning

P Bolles Clk

Saturday May 22d 1875-

This Morning the court met at 9oclock pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on yesterday

1773 Bank of Richwood vs John Hanby and others }

And now comes the said plaintiff by Stevenson and Mather their Attorneys, and the said defendant John Hanby the Mortgagor herein still failing to answer or demurer to said petition. it is considered that the said plaintiff is entitled to an account of the money due him in the premises, and the court after hearing the evidence do find, that there is due to the said plaintiff on the Note and Mortgage in said petition described the sum of $679 00

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $679 00 the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed at $ and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the court, that in case the said defendant John Hanby fails for five days from this date to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $679 00 so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said sum so found due with costs of suit

1742 Thomas B. Parks vs David Parks and others }

This day on motion to the court by the attorneys for the plaintiff, and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff, and also the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined, it is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed; and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof, as returned by said commissioners, on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered by the court that said real estate be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of the County of Union, according to the statute in such case made and provided upon the following terms to wit, one third in hand, one third in one year and one third in two years from the day of sale, with interest the payments to be secured by Mortgage on the premises sold.

1780 A. J. Rodman vs A. Sabine } In error-

This day came the defendant in error and suggest to the court here that in the Transcript of the record returned in this case from the Docket of L. A. Hedges late Justice of the Peace of Claiborne Township in this County, there are certain defects to wit, said Transcript does not show the issuing and return of a summons against said A. J. Rodman- and second, said Transcript does not show that publication in attachment was made as required by law- whereupon on motion by defendant in error it is ordered that said Justice or his successor issue to this Court a transcript of the record and proceedings in this case by the next Term of this court

1566

1663

1726

Saturday May 22d. 1875-

1566 Vatchel Collier vs John A. Wood and others

This day came this cause on to be heard and it being made to appear to the court that this action in attachment was properly brought, but that within four months from the commencement of this cause the said John A. Wood was on his own motion adjudged a Bankrupt by the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio by virtue of which this attachment was dispolved. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court here that this action be dismissed and that the said Vatchel Collier by reason of the premises recover of the defendant his costs herein expended taxed at $

Charles Cooper and others Partners under the firm & name of C. & G. Cooper and company vs Lemuel Myers and others

This day this cause came on to be heard on the demurer filed by the plaintiffs to the answer of the defendant Lemuel Myers- on consideration whereof the court do sustain said demurer- To which ruling and decission of the court the said defendant then and there excepted-
Thereupon the said defendant by his attorneys asked leave to file an amended answer which was granted by the Court- and said defendant was required by the Court to file such amended answer instanter-

1663 Henry A. Zimmer vs The C. C. C. & I. Ry company

This day came the parties by their attorneys and being at issue came a jury to wit, R. B. Thompson, John Hudson John McCombs, J. M. Robinson, John Liggett, A. Holmes, Gregory Hawly, Daniel Harror Regular Jurors, Henry Harrington, Jon Turner Salem Pierse, Samuel McCampbell Tales Jurors, who being duly empanneled sworn and charged well and truly to try the issue joined between the parties, and having heard the testimony the allegations of the parties and the argument of counsel, do under their oaths return into Court their verdict in writing in the words and figures following to wit.
The State of Ohio Union County ss, May Term A.D. 1875- to wit May 18, 1875
Henry A. Zimmer vs The C. C. C. & I. Ry company, we, the Jury in this case being duly empanneled and sworn do find for defendant, J. M. Robinson Foreman-
and thereupon the plaintiff filed herein his motion for a new trial for reasons on file, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel on said motion, do overrule the said motion, to which ruling of the court the plaintiff did except. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court that the said defendant go hence without day and recover of the said plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed at $ and that the plaintiff pay his own costs; and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

1726 John R. Taylor and wife vs Hiram Bowen and others

This day came the parties and it appearing

Saturday May 22nd 1875

that Charles E. Bowen, Alfred Rice, Arden Rice, Charles Rice and Anna Rice are minors, the Court appoint D. W. Ayers their Guardian Adlitem, who appeared and filed his answer according to law, and thereupon the Court being satisfied that due notice hath been given to all the defendants, and that the several allegations of said plaintiffs petition are true do find that by the said will of Edward Bowen now deceased the farm in the petition described should be sold on the marriage of said Julia A. Bowen, and that the proceeds of the same be divided as follows to wit, the sum of five hundred dollars to Edward E. Columber in full of his share of said lands, and the balance to Emma A. Taylor, Euphema Conley, Julia A. Wilkinson the heirs of Amanda Rice, Frank Bowen, Hiram Bowen, and Charles E. Bowen each one seventh It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that John Rice who is hereby appointed Special Commissioner for that purpose proceed to appraise, advertise and sell at public sale the said lands on the following terms to wit, one third cash in hand and the ballance in two Equal annual payments with interest, and report his proceedings in the premises to this Court.

1729 Sarah C. Goodrich vs Francis Goodrich } Divorce

And now came the said Sarah C. Goodrich by Carpenter and Pudney her attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof, the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been guilty of gross neglect of duty and of adultery; it is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be, and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same; and it is further ordered that the custody, nurture, education and care of the said Laura E. Goodrich be and the same is hereby given to the said petitioner, and the said defendant is hereby forever enjoined from interfering with or disturbing the said petitioner in the Custody, nurture, Education and care of the said Laura E. Goodrich And it is further ordered that the said complainant pay the cost of this suit

1776 Ryan, Johnson and Company vs A. C. Pierson and others } Settled and cost paid

1761 John Chapman vs Benjamin Carter and Francis Baldwin }

And now come said parties by their attorneys and by agreement of the same there is due to the plaintiff in this action the sum of $576 32/100 dollars being an amount not in dispute by the pleadings herein, and as to the counter claim of the defendant Benjamin Carter said cause is hereby continued until the next term of said Court; and the Court being fully advised in the

Saturday May 22, 1875

premises. do find that there is due the said plaintiff the sum of $576 32/100 dollars
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of $576 32/100 dollars and costs to date.
And the said cause upon the counter claim of the said defendant Dearler is continued to the next term of this Court.

1591 Moses Huffines vs Emma Huffines } This cause is dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the costs of this action taxed at $

1461 Jennie Bunch vs Reuben Bunch } This cause is dismissed at the costs of the defendant. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the ~~defendant~~ plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs of this action taxed at $

J. W. Robinson
1220 vs } Left off docket P431
James Crickett and Samuel Wolsheimer

Lewis M. Bazzel
1719 vs
Howard S. Shaffer. Rufus Smith and George W. Bayley } This day this cause came on to be heard. and the defendants having failed to answer or demurer as to the $170 21/100 with interest from January 17. 1871 in all $220 00/100 but being in default. It is therefor considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the sum of $220 00/100 as aforesaid found to be his due and his costs herein taxed to $
As to the balance of the sum of money claimed by plaintiff in his petition and which sum was put in issue by the answer of the defendants the same is continued. The Court does further find that the defendants Rufus Smith and George W Bayley are sureties

State of Ohio
vs } Petit Larceny
Richard Harris } This day the defendant Richard Harris came into court in his own proper person, and said defendant being without counsel, and it appearing to the satisfaction of this court that he is unable to employ the same the Court appoints to him R. L. Woodburn as his counsel

David Watson
vs } This cause being in the supreme court of the State of Ohio on proceedings
Heirs of Charles Erb Dec'd in error. and it appearing to the court that the original record of the case is in this court. on motion of the plaintiff for that purpose, it is ordered by the court that the plaintiff in error David Watson execute a bond or undertaking in the nature of a supersedas bond conditioned according to law to the Heirs of Charles Erb deceased in the sum of fifteen hundred dollars to the satisfaction of the clerk of the district Court of this county

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Saturday Morning June 12th 1875 at 9 o'clock

P. S. Cole Jr. E

Saturday June 12th 1875

This Morning the court met at 9 o'clock A.M. pursuant to adjournment the same officers present as on May 22d

Peleg Cranston Auctioneer

On motion this day it was ordered by the court that Peleg Cranston be and he is hereby appointed Auctioneer for the county of Union for the term of one year, upon his paying to the County Treasurer the sum of Three dollars and giving bond according to law.

No 1775

Charles Cooper, Georg Rogers
E. L. Fairchild and Charles G. Cooper
Partners in business under the firm
name of C. G. Cooper and Company, plaintiffs
vs
Samuel Myers and Elnora Myers his wife, defendants

} $1480.95 with 8 per cent

This day this cause came on to be heard on the petition of plaintiffs, the testimony and exhibits; the defendant Samuel Myers being in default for amended answer, and the said Elnorah Myers being also in default and this cause was submitted to the court by the plaintiffs, whereupon the court finds that the allegations of the petition are true and that there is due from the defendants Samuel Myers and Elnorah Myers his wife to the plaintiffs, upon the Mortgage in the petition described at this date the sum of one thousand four hundred and eighty and 95/100 dollars ($1480.95) which sum bears interest at eight per cent per annum, and is a lien on the lands in the petition described by virtue of said Mortgage.

It is therefore considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that in case the defendants fail for five days from this date to pay to said plaintiffs said sum of $1480.95 the sum so found due with costs of suit and interest at eight per cent per annum until paid, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union county for the time being, commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgage described, as upon judgments at law, and that he bring the proceeds arising from such sale into court

1762

McKee, Weakley and company
vs
Haines and Shaffer

} $200.00

And now comes the said McKee Weakley and company and the said H. M. Haines and Wester L. Shaffer having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said McKee Weakley and company it is considered that the said McKee, Weakley and company ought to recover the said sum of Two hundred dollars ($200.00) so demanded in their petition together with the sum of ten and 40/100 ($10.40) which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition.

It is therefore considered that the said McKee, Weakley and company recover against the said H. M. Haines and Wester L. Shaffer the said sum of $210.40/100 dollars with eight per cent interest until the same be paid together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed $

No 1760

X 699
X 708
X 1145
X 1185
X 1216
X 1262
X 1481
X 1590
X 1639
X 1674
X 1654

Saturday June 12. 1875-

No 1760 Benjamin S. Fisher vs J. J. Thompson } This day came the said Benjamin S. Fisher plaintiff by J. B. Cole his Attorney, and the said J. J. Thompson defendant though duly summoned came not but made default.
And thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition, exhibits and evidence, whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find and it is considered that said Benjamin S. Fisher plaintiff is entitled to a revivor in his favor and name and against the said J. J. Thompson defendant of the judgment heretofore rendered in this court at its November Term for 1868, in the case wherein John B. Cahill was plaintiff and the said Benjamin S. Fisher plaintiff and J. J. Thompson defendant in this action were defendants, which judgment was paid by said Fisher as the surety of said Thompson upon the note whereon said judgment was obtained, and said judgment thereupon assigned by said Cahill the payee thereof to said Fisher. It is therefore ordered and adjudged that said judgment stand revived in the name of said Benjamin S. Fisher against said J. J. Thompson defendant for the full amount due thereon to wit $1539.67 and that said Fisher recover of the said Thompson in addition, his costs herein expended taxed at $

X 699	Robert West vs Uriah Zimmerman, Philip Rausch and Hart Monser	} Continued
X 708	Reuben W. Weisz vs James Macahey et. al.	Continued
X 1145	Thos. C. Timmons Admr &c vs Rhoda Haines et. al.	Continued
X 1185	Jacob C. Sidle vs John Ramey	Continued
X 1216	Thos. C. Bowen Admr &c vs J. G. Miller and others	Continued
X 1262	James Taylor Exr &c vs Adam Blue	Continued
X 1481	Charles C. Vansant vs Henry Evans	Continued
X 1590	C. W. Rosette vs J. J. Thompson et. al.	Continued
X 1639	William Liggett vs S. W. H. Darbrand et. al.	Continued
X 1674	Edward Stillings vs Abraham R. Showalter	Continued
X 1654	Dunn and Shipley vs Frederick Reif	Continued

And thereupon the court adjourned until 9 o'clock Saturday Morning June 19. 1875

J. B. Cole Judge